Hindawi Publishing Corporation Boundary Value Problems Volume 2010, Article ID 325415, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2010/325415

# Research Article

# Variational Approach to Impulsive Differential Equations with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

## Huiwen Chen and Jianli Li

Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jianli Li, ljianli@sina.com

Received 18 September 2010; Accepted 9 November 2010

Academic Editor: Zhitao Zhang

Copyright © 2010 H. Chen and J. Li. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the existence of *n* distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions for impulsive differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions by using variational methods and critical point theory.

#### 1. Introduction

Impulsive effects exist widely in many evolution processes in which their states are changed abruptly at certain moments of time. Such processes are naturally seen in control theory [1, 2], population dynamics [3], and medicine [4, 5]. Due to its significance, a great deal of work has been done in the theory of impulsive differential equations. In recent years, many researchers have used some fixed point theorems [6, 7], topological degree theory [8], and the method of lower and upper solutions with monotone iterative technique [9] to study the existence of solutions for impulsive differential equations.

On the other hand, in the last few years, some researchers have used variational methods to study the existence of solutions for boundary value problems [10–16], especially, in [14–16], the authors have studied the existence of infinitely many solutions by using variational methods.

However, as far as we know, few researchers have studied the existence of n distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions for impulsive boundary value problems by using variational methods.

Motivated by the above facts, in this paper, our aim is to study the existence of n distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions to the Dirichlet boundary problem for the second-order impulsive differential equations

$$u''(t) + \lambda h(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

$$-\Delta u'(t_j) = I_j(u(t_j)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

$$u(0) = u(T) = 0,$$
(1.1)

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $h \in C([0,T] \times R,R)$ ,  $I_j \in C(R,R)$ ,  $j = 1,2,\dots,p$ ,  $\Delta u'(t_j) = u'(t_j^+) - u'(t_j^-)$ ,  $u'(t_j^+)$  and  $u'(t_j^-)$  denote the right and the left limits, respectively, of  $u'(t_j)$  at  $t = t_j$ ,  $j = 1,2,\dots,p$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Suppose that E is a Banach space and  $\varphi \in C^1(E,R)$ . If any sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset E$  for which  $\varphi(u_k)$  is bounded and  $\varphi'(u_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to +\infty$  possesses a convergent subsequence in E, we say that  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Let *E* be a real Banach space. Define the set  $\Sigma = \{A \mid A \in E \setminus \{\theta\} \text{ as symmetric closed set}\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.2** (see [17, Theorem 3.5.3]). Let E be a real Banach space, and let  $\varphi \in C^1(E,R)$  be an even functional which satisfies the Palais-Smale condition,  $\varphi$  is bounded from below and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ; suppose that there exists a set  $K \subset \Sigma$  and an odd homeomorphism  $h : K \to S^{n-1}(n - one-dimensional unit sphere)$  and  $\sup_{x \in K} \varphi(x) < 0$ , then  $\varphi$  has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points.

To begin with, we introduce some notation. Denote by X the Sobolev space  $H_0^1(0,T)$ , and consider the inner product

$$(u,v) = \int_0^T u'(t)v'(t)dt$$
 (2.1)

and the norm

$$||u|| = \left(\int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (2.2)

Hence, X is reflexive. We define the norm in C([0,T]) as  $||x||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |x(t)|$ .

For  $u \in H^2(0,T)$ , we have that u and u' are absolutely continuous and  $u'' \in L^2(0,T)$ . Hence,  $\Delta u'(t) = u'(t^+) - u'(t^-) = 0$  for every  $t \in [0,T]$ . If  $u \in H^1_0(0,T)$ , then u is absolutely continuous and  $u' \in L^2(0,T)$ . In this case, the one-sided derivatives  $u'(t^-)$ ,  $u'(t^+)$  may not exist. As a consequence, we need to introduce a different concept of solution. Suppose that  $u \in C([0,T])$  such that for every  $j=1,2,\ldots,p$ ,  $u_j=u|_{(t_j,t_{j+1})}$  satisfies  $u_j \in H^2(t_j,t_{j+1})$ , and it satisfies the equation in problem (1.1) for  $t \neq t_j$ , a.e.  $t \in [0,T]$ , the limits  $u'(t_j^+)$ ,  $u'(t_j^-)$ , and

j = 1, 2, ..., p exist, and impulsive conditions and boundary conditions in problem (1.1) hold, we say it is *a classical solution* of problem (1.1).

Consider the functional

$$\varphi: X \longrightarrow R,$$
 (2.3)

defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 - \lambda \int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds,$$
 (2.4)

where  $H(t,u) = \int_0^u h(t,s)ds$ . Clearly,  $\varphi$  is a Fréchet differentiable functional, whose Fréchet derivative at the point  $u \in X$  is the functional  $\varphi'(u) \in X^*$  given by

$$\varphi'(u)(v) = \int_0^T u'(t)v'(t)dt - \lambda \int_0^T h(t, u(t))v(t)dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(u(t_j))v(t_j),$$
 (2.5)

for any  $v \in X$ . Obviously,  $\varphi'$  is continuous.

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $u \in X$  is a critical point of the functional  $\varphi$ , then u is a classical solution of problem (1.1).

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of [16, Lemma 2.4], and we omit it here.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $u \in X$ , then  $||u||_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{T}||u||$ .

*Proof.* For  $u \in X$ , then u(0) = u(T) = 0. Hence, for  $t \in [0, T]$ , by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$|u(t)| = \left| \int_0^t u'(s) ds \right| \le \int_0^T |u'(s)| ds \le \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T |u'(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{T} ||u||, \tag{2.6}$$

which completes the proof.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exist a, b > 0 and  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$|h(t,u)| \le a + b|u|^{\gamma} \quad \text{for any } (t,u) \in [0,T] \times R. \tag{3.1}$$

- (ii) h(t, u) is odd about u and H(t, u) > 0 for every  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times R \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $I_j(u)$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$  are odd and  $\int_0^u I_j(s)ds \le 0$  for any  $u \in R$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$ .

Then for any  $n \in N$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* By (2.4), (ii), and (iii),  $\varphi \in C^1(X, R)$  is an even functional and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

Next, we will verify that  $\varphi$  is bounded from below. In view of (i), (iii), and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_0^T \left( a|u(t)| + b|u(t)|^{\gamma+1} \right) dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \lambda a T^{3/2} \|u\| - \lambda b T^{(\gamma+3)/2} \|u\|^{\gamma+1}$$

$$> -\infty,$$
(3.2)

for any  $u \in X$ . That is,  $\varphi$  is bounded from below.

In the following we will show that  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Let  $\{u_k\} \subset X$ , such that  $\{\varphi(u_k)\}$  is a bounded sequence and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi'(u_k) = 0$ . Then, there exists M > 0 such that

$$|\varphi(u_k)| \le M. \tag{3.3}$$

In view of (3.2), we have

$$M \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u_k\|^2 - \lambda a T^{3/2} \|u_k\| - \lambda b T^{(\gamma+3)/2} \|u_k\|^{\gamma+1}. \tag{3.4}$$

So  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in X. From the reflexivity of X, we may extract a weakly convergent subsequence that, for simplicity, we call  $\{u_k\}$ ,  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  in X. Next, we will verify that  $\{u_k\}$  strongly converges to u in X. By (2.5), we have

$$(\varphi'(u_{k}) - \varphi'(u))(u_{k} - u) = ||u_{k} - u||^{2} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} [h(t, u_{k}(t)) - h(t, u(t))](u_{k}(t) - u(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^{p} [I_{j}(u_{k}(t_{j})) - I_{j}(u(t_{j}))](u_{k}(t_{j}) - u(t_{j})).$$

$$(3.5)$$

By  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  in X, we see that  $\{u_k\}$  uniformly converges to u in C([0,T]). So,

$$\lambda \int_0^T [h(t, u_k(t)) - h(t, u(t))] (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^p [I_j(u_k(t_j)) - I_j(u(t_j))] (u_k(t_j) - u(t_j)) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$
(3.6)

By  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi'(u_k) = 0$  and  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ , we have

$$(\varphi'(u_k) - \varphi'(u))(u_k - u) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$
 (3.7)

In view of (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7), we obtain  $||u_k - u|| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then,  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Let  $v_m(t) = (\sqrt{2T}/m\pi)\sin(m\pi/T)t$ , m = 1, 2, ..., n, then

$$\|v_m\|^2 = 1 = \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{T^2} \int_0^T |v_m(t)|^2 dt, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (3.8)

Define

$$K_n(r) = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n c_m v_m \mid \sum_{m=1}^n c_m^2 = r^2 \right\}, \quad r > 0.$$
 (3.9)

Then, for any r > 0, there exists an odd homeomorphism  $f : K_n(r) \to S^{n-1}$ . Let  $0 < r < 1/\sqrt{T}$ , then  $\|u\|_{\infty} \le \sqrt{T} \|u\| = \sqrt{T}r < 1$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . By (ii), we have

$$H(t, u(t)) = \int_0^{u(t)} h(t, s) ds > 0 \quad \text{as } u(t) \neq 0,$$
 (3.10)

then  $\int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt > 0$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ .

Let  $\alpha_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt$ ,  $\beta_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds$ , then  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\beta_n \le 0$ . Let  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} > 0$ , then when  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ , we have

$$\varphi(u) \le \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda_n \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$= 0.$$
(3.11)

By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi$  possesses at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points. That is, problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let the following conditions hold:* 

- (i) h(t, u) is bounded,
- (ii) h(t,u) is odd about u and H(t,u) > 0 for every  $(t,u) \in [0,T] \times R \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (iii)  $I_i(u)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p) are odd and  $\int_0^u I_i(s) ds \le 0$  for any  $u \in R$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p).

Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, then Corollary 3.2 holds.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exists a, b > 0 and  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$|h(t,u)| \le a + b|u|^{\gamma} \quad \text{for any } (t,u) \in [0,T] \times R. \tag{3.12}$$

(ii) There exists  $a_i, b_i > 0$  and  $\gamma_i \in [0, 1)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p) such that

$$|I_j(u)| \le a_j + b_j |u|^{\gamma_j}$$
 for any  $u \in R \ (j = 1, 2, ..., p)$ . (3.13)

(iii) h(t,u) and  $I_j(u)$  (j=1,2,...,p) are odd about u and H(t,u)>0 for every  $(t,u)\in [0,T]\times R\setminus\{0\}$ .

Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* By (2.4) and (iii),  $\varphi \in C^1(X, R)$  is an even functional and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

Next, we will verify that  $\varphi$  is bounded from below. Let  $M_1 = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ ,  $M_2 = \max\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\}$ . In view of (i), (ii), and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} H(t, u(t)) dt + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} \left( a|u(t)| + b|u(t)|^{\gamma+1} \right) dt$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( a_{j} |u(t_{j})| + b_{j} |u(t_{j})|^{\gamma_{j}+1} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda a T^{3/2} \|u\| - \lambda b T^{(\gamma+3)/2} \|u\|^{\gamma+1} - p M_{1} \sqrt{T} \|u\|$$

$$- M_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} T^{(\gamma_{j}+1)/2} \|u\|^{\gamma_{j}+1}$$

$$> -\infty,$$
(3.14)

for any  $u \in X$ . That is,  $\varphi$  is bounded from below.

In the following, we will show that  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, by (3.3) and (3.14), we have

$$M \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u_k\|^2 - \lambda a T^{3/2} \|u_k\| - \lambda b T^{(\gamma+3)/2} \|u_k\|^{\gamma+1} - p M_1 \sqrt{T} \|u_k\| - M_2 \sum_{j=1}^p T^{(\gamma_j+1)/2} \|u_k\|^{\gamma_j+1}.$$

$$(3.15)$$

It follows that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in X. In the following, the proof of the Palais-Smale condition is the same as that in Theorem 3.1, and we omit it here.

Take the same  $K_n(r)$  as in Theorem 3.1, then for any r > 0, there exists an odd homeomorphism  $f: K_n(r) \to S^{n-1}$ . Let  $0 < r < 1/\sqrt{T}$ , then  $||u||_{\infty} \le \sqrt{T}||u|| = \sqrt{T}r < 1$ for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . By (iii), we have

$$H(t, u(t)) = \int_{0}^{u(t)} h(t, s) ds > 0 \quad \text{as } u(t) \neq 0.$$
 (3.16)

Then,  $\int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt > 0$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . Let  $\alpha_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \int_0^T H(t, u(t)) dt$ ,  $\beta_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds$ , then  $\alpha_n > 0$ . Let  $\lambda_n = \max\{0, ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1}\}\$ , then when  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ , we have

$$\varphi(u) \le \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda \alpha_n - \beta_n < \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda_n \alpha_n - \beta_n \le 0.$$
(3.17)

By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi$  possesses at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points. That is, problem (1.1) has at least *n* distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let the following conditions hold:* 

- (i) h(t, u) is bounded,
- (ii)  $I_i(u)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p) are bounded,
- (iii) h(t,u) and  $I_i(u)$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$  are odd about u and H(t,u)>0 for every  $(t,u)\in$  $[0,T] \times R \setminus \{0\}.$

Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* Let 
$$\gamma = 0$$
 and  $\gamma_i = 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, ..., p$ ) in Theorem 3.3, then Corollary 3.4 holds.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that the following conditions hold.

- (i) There exist constants  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $h(t, \sigma) = 0$ , h(t, u) > 0 for every  $u \in (0, \sigma)$ .
- (ii) h(t, u) is odd about u.
- (iii)  $I_j(u)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p) are odd and  $\int_0^u I_j(s) ds \le 0$  for any  $u \in R$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p).

Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

Proof. Let

$$h_1(t,u) = \begin{cases} h(t,\sigma), & u > \sigma, \\ h(t,u), & |u| \le \sigma, \\ h(t,-\sigma), & u < -\sigma, \end{cases}$$
(3.18)

then  $h_1(t, u)$  is continuous, bounded, and odd. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda h_1(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$
  
 $-\Delta u'(t_j) = I_j(u(t_j)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$  (3.19)  
 $u(0) = u(T) = 0.$ 

Next, we will verify that the solutions of problem (3.19) are solutions of problem (1.1). In fact, let  $u_0(t)$  be the solution of problem (3.19). If  $\max_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) > \sigma$ , then there exists an interval  $[a,b] \subset [0,T]$  such that

$$u_0(a) = u_0(b) = \sigma, \quad u_0(t) > \sigma \quad \text{for any } t \in (a, b).$$
 (3.20)

When  $t \in [a, b]$ , by (i), we have

$$u_0''(t) = -\lambda h_1(t, u) = -\lambda h(t, \sigma) = 0.$$
 (3.21)

Thus, there exist constants c such that  $u'_0(t) = c$  for any  $t \in [a, b]$ . We consider the following two possible cases.

Case 1.  $c \ge 0$ , then  $u_0'$  is nondecreasing in [a,b]. By  $u_0'(a) \ge 0$  and  $u_0'(b) \le 0$ , we have

$$0 \le u_0'(a) \le u_0'(t) \le u_0'(b) \le 0 \quad \text{for every } t \in [a, b]. \tag{3.22}$$

That is,  $u_0'(t) \equiv 0$  for any  $t \in [a,b]$ . So, there exists a constant d such that  $u_0(t) \equiv d$ , which contradicts (3.20). Then,  $\max_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) \le \sigma$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\min_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) \ge -\sigma$ .

Case 2. c < 0, the arguments are analogous, then  $u_0(t)$  is solution of problem (1.1).

For every  $u \in X$ , we consider the functional

$$\varphi_1: X \longrightarrow R,$$
 (3.23)

defined by

$$\varphi_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 - \lambda \int_0^T H_1(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds,$$
 (3.24)

where  $H_1(t, u) = \int_0^u h_1(t, s) ds$ .

It is clear that  $\varphi_1$  is Fréchet differentiable at any  $u \in X$  and

$$\varphi_1'(u)(v) = \int_0^T u'(t)v'(t)dt - \lambda \int_0^T h_1(t, u(t))v(t)dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(u(t_j))v(t_j), \qquad (3.25)$$

for any  $v \in X$ . Obviously,  $\varphi'_1$  is continuous. By Lemma 2.3, we have the critical points of  $\varphi_1$  as solutions of problem (3.19). By (3.24), (ii), and (iii),  $\varphi_1 \in C^1(X, R)$  is an even functional and  $\varphi_1(0) = 0$ .

In the following, we will show that  $\varphi_1$  is bounded from below. since  $h_1(t, u) = 0$  for  $|u| \ge \sigma$ , thus

$$\int_{0}^{T} H_{1}(t, u(t)) dt = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{u(t)} h_{1}(t, s) ds dt \le \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\sigma} h_{1}(t, s) ds dt = e > 0.$$
 (3.26)

By (iii), we have

$$\varphi_{1}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} H_{1}(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda e \geq -\lambda e, \tag{3.27}$$

for any  $u \in X$ . That is,  $\varphi_1$  is bounded from below.

In the following we will show that  $\varphi_1$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Let  $\{u_k\} \subset X$  such that  $\{\varphi_1(u_k)\}$  is a bounded sequence and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi_1'(u_k) = 0$ . Then, there exists  $M_3 > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi_1(u_k)| \le M_3. \tag{3.28}$$

By (3.27), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_k||^2 \le M_3 + \lambda e. \tag{3.29}$$

It follows that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in X. In the following, the proof of the Palais-Smale condition is the same as that in Theorem 3.1, and we omit it here.

Take the same  $K_n(r)$  as in Theorem 3.1, then, for any r > 0, there exists an odd homeomorphism  $f: K_n(r) \to S^{n-1}$ . Let  $0 < r < \sigma/\sqrt{T}$ , then  $\|u\|_{\infty} \le \sqrt{T}\|u\| = \sqrt{T}r < \sigma$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . By (i) and (ii), we have

$$H_1(t, u(t)) = \int_0^{u(t)} h_1(t, s) ds = \int_0^{u(t)} h(t, s) dt > 0 \quad \text{as } u(t) \neq 0.$$
 (3.30)

Then,  $\int_0^T H_1(t, u(t)) dt > 0$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ .

Let  $\alpha_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \int_0^T H_1(t, u(t)) dt$ ,  $\beta_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds$ , then  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\beta_n \le 0$ . Let  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} > 0$ , then when  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ , we have

$$\varphi_1(u) \le \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda_n \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$= 0$$
(3.31)

By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi_1$  possesses at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points. Then, problem (3.19) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions, that is, problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions

**Theorem 3.6.** Let the following conditions hold.

- (i) There exist constants  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $h(t, \sigma) = 0$ , h(t, u) > 0 for every  $u \in (0, \sigma)$ .
- (ii) There exist  $a_j, b_j > 0$ , and  $\gamma_j \in [0,1)$  (j = 1,2,...,p) such that

$$|I_i(u)| \le a_i + b_i |u|^{\gamma_j}$$
 for any  $u \in R \ (j = 1, 2, ..., p)$ . (3.32)

(iii) h(t, u) and  $I_i(u)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., p) are odd about u.

Then, for any  $n \in N$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5, and we omit it here.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let the following conditions hold.* 

- (i) There exist constants  $\sigma_1 > 0$  such that  $h(t, \sigma_1) \leq 0$ .
- (ii) There exist  $a_i, b_i > 0$ , and  $\gamma_i \in [0,1)$  (j = 1,2,...,p) such that

$$|I_i(u)| \le a_i + b_i |u|^{\gamma_i}$$
 for any  $u \in R \ (j = 1, 2, ..., p)$ . (3.33)

(iii) h(t,u) and  $I_j(u)$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$  are odd about u and  $\lim_{u\to 0} h(t,u)/u=1$  uniformly for  $t\in[0,T]$ .

Then, for any  $n \in N$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

Proof. Let

$$h_2(t,u) = \begin{cases} h(t,\sigma_1), & u > \sigma_1, \\ h(t,u), & |u| \le \sigma_1, \\ h(t,-\sigma_1), & u < -\sigma_1, \end{cases}$$
 (3.34)

then  $h_2(t, u)$  is continuous, bounded, and odd. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda h_2(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$
  
 $-\Delta u'(t_j) = I_j(u(t_j)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$  (3.35)  
 $u(0) = u(T) = 0.$ 

Next, we will verify that the solutions of problem (3.35) are solutions of problem (1.1). In fact, let  $u_0(t)$  be the solution of problem (3.35). If  $\max_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) > \sigma_1$ , then there exists an interval  $[a,b] \subset [0,T]$  such that

$$u_0(a) = u_0(b) = \sigma_1, \ u_0(t) > \sigma_1 \text{ for any } t \in (a, b).$$
 (3.36)

When  $t \in [a, b]$ , by (i), we have

$$u_0''(t) = -\lambda h_2(t, u) = -\lambda h(t, \sigma_1) \ge 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Thus,  $u'_0(t)$  is nondecreasing in [a,b]. By  $u'_0(a) \ge 0$  and  $u'_0(b) \le 0$ , we have

$$0 \le u_0'(a) \le u_0'(t) \le u_0'(b) \le 0$$
 for every  $t \in [a, b]$ . (3.38)

That is,  $u_0'(t) \equiv 0$  for any  $t \in [a,b]$ . So, there exists a constant d such that  $u_0(t) \equiv d$ , which contradicts (3.36). Then  $\max_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) \le \sigma_1$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\min_{0 \le t \le T} u_0(t) \ge -\sigma_1$ . Then,  $u_0(t)$  is solution of problem (1.1).

For every  $u \in X$ , we consider the functional

$$\varphi_2: X \longrightarrow R,$$
 (3.39)

defined by

$$\varphi_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 - \lambda \int_0^T H_2(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds,$$
 (3.40)

where  $H_2(t, u) = \int_0^u h_2(t, s) ds$ .

It is clear that  $\varphi_2$  is Fréchet differentiable at any  $u \in X$  and

$$\varphi_2'(u)(v) = \int_0^T u'(t)v'(t)dt - \lambda \int_0^T h_2(t, u(t))v(t)dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(u(t_j))v(t_j), \tag{3.41}$$

for any  $v \in X$ . Obviously,  $\varphi'_2$  is continuous. By Lemma 2.3, we have the critical points of  $\varphi_2$  as solutions of problem (3.35). By (3.40) and (iii),  $\varphi_2 \in C^1(X, R)$  is an even functional and  $\varphi_2(0) = 0$ .

Next, we will show that  $\varphi_2$  is bounded from below. Let  $M_1 = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ ,  $M_2 = \max\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\}$ . since  $uh_2(t, u) \le 0$  for  $|u| \ge \sigma_1$ , thus

$$\int_{0}^{T} H_{2}(t, u(t)) dt = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{u(t)} h_{2}(t, s) ds dt \le \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{1}} h_{2}(t, s) ds dt = e.$$
 (3.42)

By (ii) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\varphi_{2}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} H_{2}(t, u(t)) dt - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} I_{j}(s) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^{2} - \lambda e - p M_{1} \sqrt{T} \|u\| - M_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} T^{(\gamma_{j}+1)/2} \|u\|^{\gamma_{j}+1}$$

$$> -\infty,$$
(3.43)

for any  $u \in X$ . That is,  $\varphi_2$  is bounded from below.

In the following we will show that  $\varphi_2$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Let  $\{u_k\} \subset X$  such that  $\{\varphi_2(u_k)\}$  is a bounded sequence and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi_2'(u_k) = 0$ . Then, there exists  $M_4 > 0$  such that

$$\left|\varphi_2(u_k)\right| \le M_4. \tag{3.44}$$

By (3.43), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_k\|^2 \le M_4 + \lambda e + pM_1\sqrt{T}\|u_k\| + M_2\sum_{i=1}^p T^{(\gamma_j+1)/2}\|u_k\|^{\gamma_j+1}. \tag{3.45}$$

It follows that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in X. In the following, the proof of the Palais-Smale condition is the same as that in Theorem 3.1, and we omit it here.

Take the same  $K_n(r)$  as in Theorem 3.1, then for any r>0, there exists an odd homeomorphism  $f:K_n(r)\to S^{n-1}$ . By (iii), for any  $0<\varepsilon<1$ , there exists  $\delta>0$ , when  $|u|\leq \delta$ , we have

$$h_2(t, u) \ge u - \varepsilon |u|. \tag{3.46}$$

Let  $0 < r < \min\{\sigma_1/\sqrt{T}, \delta/\sqrt{T}\}$ , then  $\|u\|_{\infty} \le \sqrt{T}\|u\| = \sqrt{T}r < \min\{\sigma_1, \delta\}$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . Then,  $\int_0^T H_2(t, u(t)) dt = \int_0^T \int_0^{u(t)} h_2(t, s) dt \ge \int_0^T (1/2)(1 - \varepsilon)|u(t)|^2 dt > 0$  for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ . Let  $\alpha_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \int_0^T H_2(t, u(t)) dt$ ,  $\beta_n = \inf_{u \in K_n(r)} \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) ds$ , then  $\alpha_n > 0$ . Let  $\lambda_n = \max\{((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1}, 0\}$ , then when  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , for any  $u \in K_n(r)$ , we have

$$\varphi_1(u) \le \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \lambda_n \alpha_n - \beta_n$$

$$\le 0.$$
(3.47)

By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi_2$  possesses at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial critical points. Then, problem (3.35) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions, that is, problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let the following conditions hold.

- (i) There exist constants  $\sigma_1 > 0$  such that  $h(t, \sigma_1) \leq 0$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{u\to 0} h(t,u)/u = 1$  uniformly for  $t \in [0,T]$ .
- (iii) h(t,u) and  $I_j(u)$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$  are odd about u and  $\int_0^u I_j(s)ds \le 0$  for any  $u \in R$   $(j=1,2,\ldots,p)$ .

Then, for any  $n \in N$ , there exists  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda > \lambda_n$ , and problem (1.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.7, and we omit it here.  $\Box$ 

## 4. Some Examples

Example 4.1. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda(1+t)\sqrt[3]{u(t)} = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,\pi],$$
$$-\Delta u'(t_j) = -u(t_j), \quad j = 1,2,$$
$$u(0) = u(\pi) = 0.$$
 (4.1)

It is easy to see that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 hold. Let

$$\alpha_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} (1+t)|u(t)|^{4/3} dt > \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} |u(t)|^{2} dt > \frac{3r^{2}}{4n^{2}},$$

$$\beta_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} -\sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} s ds = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} -\sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{|u(t_{j})|^{2}}{2} \ge -\pi r^{2},$$

$$(4.2)$$

then  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} < ((2+4\pi)/3)n^2$ . Applying Theorem 3.1, then for any  $n \in N$ , when  $\lambda > ((2+4\pi)/3)n^2$ , problem (4.1) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

Example 4.2. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda(1+t)\sqrt[3]{u(t)} = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,\pi],$$
$$-\Delta u'(t_j) = -\sqrt[3]{u(t_j)}, \quad j = 1,2,$$
$$u(0) = u(\pi) = 0.$$
 (4.3)

It is easy to see that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.3 hold. Let  $r = 1/2\sqrt{\pi}$ ,

$$\alpha_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} (1+t)|u(t)|^{4/3} dt > \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} |u(t)|^{2} dt > \frac{3r^{2}}{4n^{2}},$$

$$\beta_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} s^{1/3} ds = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{3}{4} |u(t_{j})|^{4/3} > -\frac{3}{2},$$

$$(4.4)$$

then  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} < ((2+24\pi)/3)n^2$ . Applying Theorem 3.3, then for any  $n \in N$ , when  $\lambda > ((2+24\pi)/3)n^2$ , problem (4.3) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

Example 4.3. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda \left(1 + t^2\right) \left[u(t) - (u(t))^3\right] = 0, \quad t \neq t_j, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, \pi],$$
$$-\Delta u'(t_j) = -u(t_j), \quad j = 1, 2,$$
$$u(0) = u(\pi) = 0.$$
 (4.5)

Let  $\sigma = 1$ , it is easy to see that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.5 hold. Let

$$\alpha_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left( 1 + t^{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} |u(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{4} |u(t)|^{4} \right) dt > \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} |u(t)|^{2} dt > \frac{r^{2}}{4n^{2}},$$

$$\beta_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} s ds = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{|u(t_{j})|^{2}}{2} \ge -\pi r^{2},$$

$$(4.6)$$

then  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} < (2+4\pi)n^2$ . Applying Theorem 3.5, then for any  $n \in N$ , when  $\lambda > (2+4\pi)n^2$ , problem (4.5) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

Example 4.4. Consider boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda \left[ u(t) - (1+t)(u(t))^{3} \right] = 0, \quad t \neq t_{j}, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, \pi],$$

$$-\Delta u'(t_{j}) = -\sqrt[3]{u(t_{j})}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$u(0) = u(\pi) = 0.$$
(4.7)

Let  $\sigma_1 = 1$ , it is easy to see that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.7 hold. Let  $r = 1/4\sqrt{\pi}$ ,

$$\alpha_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |u(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (1+t) |u(t)|^{4} \right] dt > \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} |u(t)|^{2} dt > \frac{r^{2}}{4n^{2}},$$

$$\beta_{n} = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{u(t_{j})} s^{1/3} ds = \inf_{u \in K_{n}(r)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{3}{4} |u(t_{j})|^{4/3} > -\frac{3}{2},$$

$$(4.8)$$

then  $\lambda_n = ((1/2)r^2 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^{-1} < (2 + 24\pi)n^2$ . Applying Theorem 3.7, then for any  $n \in N$ , when  $\lambda > (2 + 24\pi)n^2$ , problem (4.7) has at least n distinct pairs of nontrivial classical solutions.

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the NNSF of China (no. 10871062) and a project supported by Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10JJ6002).

#### References

- [1] R. K. George, A. K. Nandakumaran, and A. Arapostathis, "A note on controllability of impulsive systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 241, no. 2, pp. 276–283, 2000.
- [2] G. Jiang and Q. Lu, "Impulsive state feedback control of a predator-prey model," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 193–207, 2007.
- [3] S. I. Nenov, "Impulsive controllability and optimization problems in population dynamics," *Nonlinear Analysis*. *Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 36, pp. 881–890, 1999.
- [4] M. Choisy, J.-F. Guégan, and P. Rohani, "Dynamics of infectious diseases and pulse vaccination: teasing apart the embedded resonance effects," *Physica D*, vol. 223, no. 1, pp. 26–35, 2006.
- [5] S. Gao, L. Chen, J. J. Nieto, and A. Torres, "Analysis of a delayed epidemic model with pulse vaccination and saturation incidence," *Vaccine*, vol. 24, no. 35-36, pp. 6037–6045, 2006.
- [6] J. Li and J. J. Nieto, "Existence of positive solutions for multipoint boundary value problem on the half-line with impulses," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 834158, 12 pages, 2009.
- [7] J. Chu and J. J. Nieto, "Impulsive periodic solutions of first-order singular differential equations," *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 143–150, 2008.
- [8] D. Qian and X. Li, "Periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations with sublinear impulsive effects," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 303, no. 1, pp. 288–303, 2005.
- [9] L. Chen and J. Sun, "Nonlinear boundary value problem of first order impulsive functional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 2, pp. 726–741, 2006.
- [10] J. J. Nieto and D. O'Regan, "Variational approach to impulsive differential equations," Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 680–690, 2009.
- [11] Y. Tian and W. Ge, "Applications of variational methods to boundary-value problem for impulsive differential equations," *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 509–527, 2008.
- [12] Y. Tian, W. Ge, and D. Yang, "Existence results for second-order system with impulse effects via variational methods," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 31, no. 1-2, pp. 255–265, 2009.
- [13] H. Zhang and Z. Li, "Variational approach to impulsive differential equations with periodic boundary conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 67–78, 2010.
- [14] Z. Zhang and R. Yuan, "An application of variational methods to Dirichlet boundary value problem with impulses," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 155–162, 2010.
- [15] J. Zhou and Y. Li, "Existence and multiplicity of solutions for some Dirichlet problems with impulsive effects," Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applicationss, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 2856–2865, 2009.

- [16] J. Sun and H. Chen, "Variational method to the impulsive equation with Neumann boundary
- conditions," Boundary Value Problems, vol. 2009, Article ID 316812, 17 pages, 2009. [17] D. Guo, J. Sun, and Z. Liu, Functional Methods of Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations, Shandong Science and Technology Press, 2005.