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A new conservative finite difference scheme is presented for an initial-boundary value problem of the general Rosenau-RLW equation. Existence of its difference solutions are proved by Brouwer fixed point theorem. It is proved by the discrete energy method that the scheme is uniquely solvable, unconditionally stable, and second-order convergent. Numerical examples show the efficiency of the scheme.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following initial-boundary value problem of the general Rosenau-RLW equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-u_{x x t}+u_{x x x x t}+u_{x}+\left(u^{p}\right)_{x}=0 \quad\left(x_{l}<x<x_{r}, 0<t<T\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad\left(x_{l} \leq x \leq x_{r}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{l}, t\right)=u\left(x_{r}, t\right)=0, \quad u_{x x}\left(x_{l}, t\right)=u_{x x}\left(x_{r}, t\right)=0 \quad(0 \leq t \leq T) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \geq 2$ is a integer and $u_{0}(x)$ is a known smooth function. When $p=2,(1.1)$ is called as usual Rosenau-RLW equation. When $p=3$, (1.1) is called as modified Rosenau-RLW (MRosenau-RLW) equation. The initial boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) possesses the following conservative quantities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{l}}^{x_{r}} u(x, t) d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{l}}^{x_{r}} u_{0}(x, t) d x=Q(0)  \tag{1.4}\\
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0 x}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0 x x}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)=E(0) . \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is known the conservative scheme is better than the nonconservative ones. Zhang et al. [1] point out that the nonconservative scheme may easily show nonlinear blow up. In [2] Li and Vu-Quoc said "... in some areas, the ability to preserve some invariant properties of the original differential equation is a criterion to judge the success of a numerical simulation". In [3-11], some conservative finite difference schemes were used for a system of the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Regularized long wave (RLW) equations, Sine-Gordon equation, Klein-Gordon equation, Zakharov equations, Rosenau equation, respectively. Numerical results of all the schemes are very good. Hence, we propose a new conservative difference scheme for the general Rosenau-RLW equation, which simulates conservative laws (1.4) and (1.5) at the same time. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, a nonlinear difference scheme is proposed and corresponding convergence and stability of the scheme are proved. In Section 3, some numerical experiments are shown.

## 2. A Nonlinear-Implicit Conservative Scheme

In this section, we propose a nonlinear-implicit conservative scheme for the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) and give its numerical analysis.

### 2.1. The Nonlinear-Implicit Scheme and Its Conservative Law

For convenience, we introduce the following notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=x_{r}+j h, \quad t_{n}=n \tau, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, J, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \quad\left[\frac{T}{\tau}\right]=N \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=\left(x_{r}-x_{l}\right) / J$ and $\tau$ denote the spatial and temporal mesh sizes, $u_{j}^{n} \equiv u\left(x_{j}, t_{n}\right)$, $U_{j}^{n} \approx u\left(x_{j}, t_{n}\right)$, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{t}=\frac{U_{j}^{n+1}-U_{j}^{n}}{\tau}, \quad\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{x}=\frac{U_{j+1}^{n}-U_{j}^{n}}{h}, \quad\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{\bar{x}}=\frac{U_{j}^{n}-U_{j-1}^{n}}{h}, \\
\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{\hat{x}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{x}+\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{\bar{x}}\right), \quad U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{j}^{n+1}+U_{j}^{n}\right), \quad\left\langle U^{n}, V^{n}\right\rangle=h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} U_{j}^{n} V_{j}^{n}, \\
\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle U^{n}, U^{n}\right\rangle, \quad\left\|U^{n}\right\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq J}\left|U_{j}^{n}\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and in the paper, $C$ denotes a general positive constant, which may have different values in different occurrences.

Since $\left(u^{p}\right)_{x}=(2 /(p+1)) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} u^{i}\left(u^{p-i}\right)_{x}$, then the finite difference scheme for the problem (1.1)-(1.3) is written as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{t}-\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x} t}+\left(U_{j}^{n}\right)_{x x \overline{x x} t}+\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\hat{x}}+\frac{2}{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\hat{x}}=0, \\
j=1,2, \ldots, J-1 ; \quad n=1,2, \ldots, N, \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{j}^{0}=u_{0}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots, J,  \tag{2.4}\\
U_{0}^{n}=U_{J}^{n}=0, \quad\left(U_{0}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=\left(U_{J}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=0, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [12]). For any two mesh functions, $U, V \in Z_{h^{\prime}}^{0}$ one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle(U)_{x}, V\right\rangle=-\left\langle U,(V)_{\bar{x}}\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle(U)_{\bar{x}}, V\right\rangle=-\left\langle U,(V)_{\bar{x}}\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle V,(U)_{x \bar{x}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle(V)_{x^{\prime}}(U)_{x}\right\rangle,  \tag{2.6}\\
\left\langle U,(U)_{x \bar{x}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle(U)_{x},(U)_{x}\right\rangle=-\left\|U_{x}\right\|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\left(U_{0}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=\left(U_{J}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle U,(U)_{x x \overline{x x}}\right\rangle=\left\|U_{x x}\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right]$, then scheme (2.3)-(2.5) is conservative in the senses:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q^{n}=\frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} U_{j}^{n}=Q^{n-1}=\cdots=Q^{0},  \tag{2.8}\\
E^{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}=E^{n-1}=\cdots=E^{0} . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.3) with $h / 2$, according to boundary condition (2.5), and then summing up for $j$ from 1 to $J-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1}\left(U_{j}^{n+1}-U_{j}^{n}\right)=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{n}=\frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} U_{j}^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.8) is gotten from (2.10).
Computing the inner product of (2.3) with $U^{n+1 / 2}$, according to boundary condition (2.5) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|U^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left\langle\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle+\left\langle\kappa\left(U^{n+1 / 2}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right), U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa\left(U^{n+1 / 2}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right)=\frac{2}{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\hat{x}}  \tag{2.13}\\
U^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(U^{n+1}+U^{n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

According to

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}^{\prime}} U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle=0 \\
&\left\langle\kappa\left(U^{n+1 / 2}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right), U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{p+1}\left\langle\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\widehat{x}}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle \\
&=-\frac{2}{p+1}\left\langle\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left[\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i+1}\right]_{\widehat{x}^{\prime}}\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right\rangle  \tag{2.14}\\
&=-\frac{2}{p+1}\left\langle\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(U^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\widehat{x}^{\prime}}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

we have $\left\langle\kappa\left(U^{n+1 / 2}, U^{n+1 / 2}\right), U^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle=0$. It follows from (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|U^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.9) is gotten from (2.15). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

### 2.2. Existence and Prior Estimates of Difference Solution

To show the existence of the approximations $U^{n}(n=1,2, \ldots, N)$ for scheme (2.3)-(2.5), we introduce the following Brouwer fixed point theorem [13].

Lemma 2.3. Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional inner product space, $\|\cdot\|$ be the associated norm, and $g: H \rightarrow H$ be continuous. Assume, moreover, that there exist $\alpha>0$, for all $z \in H,\|z\|=\alpha$, $\langle\omega(z), z\rangle>0$. Then, there exists $a z^{*} \in H$ such that $g\left(z^{*}\right)=0$ and $\left\|z^{*}\right\| \leq \alpha$.

Let $Z_{h}^{0}=\left\{v=\left(v_{j}\right) \mid v_{0}=v_{J}=\left(v_{0}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=\left(v_{J}\right)_{x \bar{x}}=0, j=0,1, \ldots, J\right\}$, then have the following.

Theorem 2.4. There exists $U^{n+1} \in Z_{h}^{0}$ which satisfies scheme (2.3)-(2.5).
Proof (by Brouwer fixed point theorem). It follows from the original problem (1.1)-(1.3) that $U^{0}$ satisfies scheme (2.3)-(2.5). Assume there exists $U^{1}, U^{2}, \ldots, U^{n} \in Z_{h}^{0}$ which satisfy scheme (2.3)-(2.5), as $n \leq N-1$, now we try to prove that $U^{n+1} \in Z_{h^{\prime}}^{0}$, satisfy scheme (2.3)-(2.5).

We define $\omega$ on $Z_{h}^{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(v)=2 v-2 U^{n}-2 v_{x \bar{x}}+2 U_{x \bar{x}}+2 v_{x x \overline{x x}}-2 U_{x x \bar{x} \bar{x}}+\tau v_{\hat{x}}+\tau \kappa(v, v), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(v, v)=(2 /(p+1)) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} v^{i}\left(v^{p-i}\right)_{\hat{x}}$. Computing the inner product of (2.17) with $v$ and considering $\langle\mathcal{k}(v, v), v\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle v_{\hat{x}}, v\right\rangle=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\omega(v), v\rangle= & 2\|v\|^{2}+2\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|v_{x x}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle U^{n}, v\right\rangle+2\left\langle U_{x \bar{x}}^{n}, v\right\rangle-2\left\langle U_{x x \overline{x x}}^{n}, v\right\rangle \\
\geq & 2\|v\|^{2}+2\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|v_{x x}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}\right) \\
& -\left(\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}\right)-\left(\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x x}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
= & \|v\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x x}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
\geq & \|v\|^{2}-\left(\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for all $v \in Z_{h^{\prime}}^{0}\|v\|^{2}=\left\|U^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+1$ there exists $\langle\omega(v), v\rangle \geq 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that exists $v^{*} \in Z_{h}^{0}$ which satisfies $\omega\left(v^{*}\right)=0$. Let $U^{n+1}=2 v-U^{n}$, then it can be proved that $U^{n+1} \in Z_{h}^{0}$ is the solution of scheme (2.3)-(2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Next we will give some priori estimates of difference solutions. First the following two lemmas [14] are introduced:

Lemma 2.5 (discrete Sobolev's estimate). For any discrete function $\left\{u_{j}^{n} \mid j=0,1, \ldots, J\right\}$ on the finite interval $\left\{x_{l}, x_{r}\right\}$, there is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon\left\|u_{x}^{n}\right\|+C(\varepsilon)\left\|u^{n}\right\|, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon, C(\varepsilon)$ are two constants independent of $\left\{u_{j}^{n} \mid j=0,1, \ldots, J\right\}$ and step length $h$.

Lemma 2.6 (discrete Gronwall's inequality). Suppose that the discrete function $\left\{w^{n} \mid n=0,1\right.$, $\ldots, N\}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{n}-w^{n-1} \leq A \tau w^{n}+B \tau w^{n-1}+C_{n} \tau \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ and $C_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots, N)$ are nonnegative constants. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|w^{n}\right| \leq\left(w^{0}+\tau \sum_{l=1}^{N} C_{l}\right) e^{2(A+B) T} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is sufficiently small, such that $(A+B) \tau \leq(N-1) / 2 N,(N>1)$.
Theorem 2.7. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right]$, then the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U^{n}\right\| \leq C, \quad\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\| \leq C, \quad\left\|U^{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C, \quad\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\| \leq C \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Proof. It is follows from (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U^{n}\right\| \leq C, \quad\left\|U_{x}^{n}\right\| \leq C, \quad\left\|U_{x x}^{n}\right\| \leq C \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U^{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7.
Remark 2.8. Theorem 2.7 implies that scheme (2.3)-(2.5) is unconditionally stable.

### 2.3. Convergence and Uniqueness of Difference Solution

First, we consider the convergence of scheme (2.3)-(2.5). We define the truncation error as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{j}^{n}=\left(u_{j}^{n}\right)_{t}-\left(u_{j}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x} t}+\left(u_{j}^{n}\right)_{x x \overline{x x} t}+\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\hat{x}}+\frac{2}{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\hat{x}}  \tag{2.25}\\
j=1,2, \ldots, J-1 ; \quad n=1,2, \ldots, N,
\end{array}
$$

then from Taylor's expansion, we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.9. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right]$ and $u(x, t) \in C^{5,3}$, then the truncation errors of scheme (2.3)-(2.5) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r_{j}^{n}\right|=O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right), \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0, h \rightarrow 0$.
Theorem 2.10. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.9 are satisfied, then the solution of scheme (2.3)-(2.5) converges to the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) with order $O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)$ in the $L_{\infty}$ norm.

Proof. Subtracting (2.3) from (2.25) letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}^{n}=u_{j}^{n}-U_{j}^{n}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j}^{n}=\left(e_{j}^{n}\right)_{t}-\left(e_{j}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x} t}+\left(e_{j}^{n}\right)_{x x \overline{x x} t}+\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}}+\kappa\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\kappa\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing the inner product of (2.28) with $2 e^{n+1 / 2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle 2 r^{n}, e^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle= & \left\|e^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+2\left\langle\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}^{\prime}} e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle  \tag{2.29}\\
& +2\left\langle\kappa\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\kappa\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right), e^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

From the conservative property (1.5), it can be proved by Lemma 2.5 that $\|u\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq C$. Then by Theorem 2.7 we can estimate (2.29) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\kappa\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\kappa\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right), e^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{2}{p+1} h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]-\sum_{\hat{x}}^{p-1}\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\hat{x}}\right\}\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{p+1} h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i} \sum_{r=0}^{i-1}\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i-1-r}\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{r}\right]\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i}\right]_{\hat{x}}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(U_{i}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{i}\left[\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{p-i-1}\left[\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{p-i-1-r}\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{r}\right]\right]\right\}\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)  \tag{2.30}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

According to the following inequality [11]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}\right), \quad\left\|e_{x}^{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|e^{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right), \\
\left\langle\left(e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}^{\prime}} e_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle=0  \tag{2.31}\\
\left\langle 2 r^{n}, e^{n+1 / 2}\right\rangle \leq\left\|r^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (2.30)-(2.31) into (2.29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\|_{t}^{2} \leq\left\|r^{n}\right\|^{2}+C\left(\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right) . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{n}=\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.32) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{n}-B^{n-1} \leq C \tau\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{2}+C \tau\left(B^{n}-B^{n-1}\right) . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing suitable $\tau$ which is small enough, we obtain by Lemma 2.6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{n} \leq C\left(B^{0}+\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the discrete initial conditions, we know that $e^{0}$ is of second-order accuracy, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{0}=O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{n}\right\| \leq O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right), \quad\left\|e_{x}^{n}\right\| \leq O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right), \quad\left\|e_{x x}^{n}\right\| \leq O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5, we have $\left\|e^{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.10.

Table 1: The errors of numerical solutions at $t=60$ with $\tau=h$ for $p=2$.

| $h$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\| /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\|_{\infty} /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | $5.476327 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.958718 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
| 0.2 | $1.385256 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.983761 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3.953296 | 3.930200 |
| 0.1 | $3.474318 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.252185 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3.987130 | 3.980050 |
| 0.05 | $8.691419 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.134571 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3.997412 | 3.994759 |
| 0.025 | $2.059064 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.550730 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.221051 | 4.151348 |

Table 2: The errors of numerical solutions at $t=60$ with $\tau=h$ for $p=3$.

| $h$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\| /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\|_{\infty} /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | $1.164674 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.251029 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
| 0.2 | $2.940136 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.080424 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.961294 | 3.934592 |
| 0.1 | $7.357052 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.708996 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3.996350 | 3.988283 |
| 0.05 | $1.837759 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.772212 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4.003273 | 4.000165 |
| 0.025 | $4.283535 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.596208 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4.290286 | 4.242688 |

Theorem 2.11. Scheme (2.3)-(2.5) is uniquely solvable.
Proof. Assume that $U^{n}$ and $U^{\prime n}$ both satisfy scheme (2.3)-(2.5), let $W^{n}=U^{n}-U^{\prime n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(W_{j}^{n}\right)_{t}-\left(W_{j}^{n}\right)_{x \bar{x} t}+\left(W_{j}^{n}\right)_{x x \overline{x x} t}+\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{\widehat{x}}-\left(U_{j}^{\prime n+1 / 2}\right)_{\hat{x}} \\
+\kappa\left(U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}, U_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\kappa\left(U_{j}^{\prime n+1 / 2}, U_{j}^{\prime n+1 / 2}\right)=0  \tag{2.38}\\
W_{j}^{0}=0 \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, N) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|W_{x}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|W_{x x}^{n}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.11.
Remark 2.12. All results above in this paper are correct for initial-boundary value problem of the general Rosenau-RLW equation with finite or infinite boundary.

## 3. Numerical Experiments

In order to test the correction of the numerical analysis in this paper, we consider the following initial-boundary value problems of the general Rosenau-RLW equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-u_{x x t}+u_{x x x x t}+u_{x}+\left(u^{p}\right)_{x}=0 \quad(0<t<T) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: Exact solutions of $u(x, t)$ at $t=0$ and numerical solutions computed by scheme (2.3)-(2.5) at $t=30,60$ for $p=2$.

Table 3: The errors of numerical solutions at $t=60$ with $\tau=h$ for $p=6$.

| $h$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\| /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{n / 4}-U^{n / 4}\right\\|_{\infty} /\left\\|u^{n}-U^{n}\right\\|_{\infty}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | $1.787127 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.353868 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
| 0.2 | $4.598952 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.649585 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.885945 | 3.851797 |
| 0.1 | $1.156944 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.159339 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3.975084 | 3.965980 |
| 0.05 | $2.892147 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.040878 \times 10^{-3}$ | 4.000294 | 3.995992 |
| 0.025 | $6.585307 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.375782 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4.391818 | 4.381199 |

Table 4: Discrete mass $Q^{n}$ and discrete energy $E^{n}$ with $\tau=h=0.1$ at various $t$ for $p=2$.

|  | $Q^{n}$ | $E^{n}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1.89765826296001 | 0.53317523158085 |
| 20 | 1.89765826887321 | 0.53317523187251 |
| 30 | 1.89765826299393 | 0.53317523117725 |
| 40 | 1.89765826556893 | 0.53317523147809 |
| 50 | 1.89765826097587 | 0.53317523177618 |
| 60 | 1.89765826538488 | 0.53317523107405 |

Table 5: Discrete mass $Q^{n}$ and discrete energy $E^{n}$ with $\tau=h=0.1$ at various $t$ for $p=3$.

|  | $Q^{n}$ | $E^{n}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2.67260867526530 | 1.11346267885270 |
| 20 | 2.67260867623658 | 1.11346267846522 |
| 30 | 2.67260867414713 | 1.11346267808394 |
| 40 | 2.67260867263988 | 1.11346267871158 |
| 50 | 2.67260867287471 | 1.11346267833006 |
| 60 | 2.67260867972944 | 1.11346267895871 |

Table 6: Discrete mass $Q^{n}$ and discrete energy $E^{n}$ with $\tau=h=0.1$ at various $t$ for $p=6$.

|  | $Q^{n}$ | $E^{n}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 3.98866332039089 | 1.91761301465671 |
| 20 | 3.98866326085426 | 1.91761301473989 |
| 30 | 3.98866316768549 | 1.91761301482083 |
| 40 | 3.98866319450697 | 1.91761301492744 |
| 50 | 3.98866397335989 | 1.91761301400971 |
| 60 | 3.98866362197259 | 1.91761301467917 |

with an initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{l}, t\right)=u\left(x_{r}, t\right)=0, \quad u_{x x}\left(x_{l}, t\right)=u_{x x}\left(x_{r}, t\right)=0 \quad(0 \leq t \leq T) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}(x)=e^{\ln \left\{(p+3)(3 p+1)(p+1) /\left[2\left(p^{2}+3\right)\left(p^{2}+4 p+7\right)\right]\right\} /(p-1)} \operatorname{sech}^{4 /(p-1)}\left(\left(p-1 / \sqrt{4 p^{2}+8 p+20}\right) x\right)$. Then the exact solution of the initial value problem (3.1)-(3.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=e^{\ln \left\{(p+3)(3 p+1)(p+1) /\left[2\left(p^{2}+3\right)\left(p^{2}+4 p+7\right)\right]\right\} /(p-1)} \operatorname{sech}^{4 /(p-1)}\left[\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{4 p^{2}+8 p+20}}(x-c t)\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\left(p^{4}+4 p^{3}+14 p^{2}+20 p+25\right) /\left(p^{4}+4 p^{3}+10 p^{2}+12 p+21\right)$ is wave velocity.
It follows from (3.4) that the initial-boundary value problem (3.1)-(3.3) is consistent to the boundary value problem (3.3) for $-x_{l} \gg 0, x_{r} \gg 0$. In the following examples, we always choose $x_{l}=-30, x_{r}=120$.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 give the errors in the sense of $L_{2}$-norm and $L_{\infty}$-norm of the numerical solutions under various steps of $\tau$ and $h$ at $t=60$ for $p=2,3$ and 6 . The three tables verify the second-order convergence and good stability of the numerical solutions. Tables 4, 5, and 6 shows the conservative law of discrete mass $Q^{n}$ and discrete energy $E^{n}$ computed by scheme (2.3)-(2.5) for $p=2,3$ and 6 .

Figures 1, 2, and 3 plot the exact solutions at $t=0$ and the numerical solutions computed by scheme (2.3)-(2.5) with $\tau=h=0.1$ at $t=30,60$, which also show the accuracy of scheme (2.3)-(2.5).
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Figure 2: Exact solutions of $u(x, t)$ at $t=0$ and numerical solutions computed by scheme (2.3)-(2.5) at $t=30,60$ for $p=3$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& -t=0 \\
& \cdots \cdot t=30 \\
& \cdots-t=60
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3: Exact solutions of $u(x, t)$ at $t=0$ and numerical solutions computed by scheme (2.3)-(2.5) at $t=30,60$ for $p=6$.
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