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We prove the existence of a solution to the periodic nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equation with damping $u^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(x) u^{\prime}(x)+g(x, u(x))=f(x), u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$. We suppose that $\int_{0}^{T} r(x) d x=0$, the nonlinearity $g$ satisfies the potential Landesman Lazer condition and prove that a critical point of a corresponding energy functional is a solution to this problem.

## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the nonlinear problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(x) u^{\prime}(x)+g(x, u(x))=f(x), \quad x \in[0, T],  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $r \in L^{1}(0, T)$, the nonlinearity $g:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and $f \in L^{1}(0, T)$.

To state an existence result to (1.1) Amster [1] assumes that $r$ is a nondecreasing function (see also [2]). He supposes that the nonlinearity $g$ satisfies the growth condition $(g(x, s)-g(x, t)) /(s-t) \leq c_{1}, c_{1}<\lambda_{1}$ for $x \in[0, T], s, t \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq t$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the problem $-u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u, u(0)=u(T)=0$ and there exist $a^{-}, a^{+}$such that $\left.g\right|_{[0, T] \times I_{a^{+}}} \geq \int_{0}^{T} p_{1}(x) f(x) d x /\left\|p_{1}\right\|_{1} \geq\left. g\right|_{[0, T] \times I_{a^{-}}}$. An interval $I_{a}$ is centered in $a$ with the radius $\delta_{1}|a|+\delta_{2}$ where $\delta_{1}=\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} c_{1} T /\left(\lambda_{1}-c_{1}\right)<1,0<\delta_{2}$ and $p_{1}$ is a solution to the problem $p_{1}^{\prime}-r p_{1}=k_{1}, k_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p_{1}(0)=p_{1}(T)=1$.

In [3, 4] authors studied (1.1) with a constant friction term $r(x)=c$ and results with repulsive singularities were obtained in $[5,6]$.

In this paper we present new assumptions, we suppose that the friction term $r$ has zero mean value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} r(x) d x=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the nonlinearity $g$ is bounded by a $L^{1}$ function and satisfies the following potential Landesman-Lazer condition (see also [7, 8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{-}(x)\right] d x<\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} f(x)\right] d x<\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{+}(x)\right] d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(x, t) d t, G_{+}(x)=\lim \inf _{s \rightarrow+\infty} G(x, s) / s, G_{-}(x)=\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow-\infty}(G(x, s) / s)$ and $R(x)=e^{\int_{0}^{x}(1 / 2) r(\xi) d \xi}$.

To obtain our result we use variational approach even if the linearization of the periodic problem (1.1) is a non-self-adjoint operator.

## 2. Preliminaries

Notation. We will use the classical space $C^{k}(0, T)$ of functions whose $k$ th derivative is continuous and the space $L^{p}(0, T)$ of measurable real-valued functions whose $p$ th power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable. We denote $H$ the Sobolev space of absolutely continuous functions $u:(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T)$ and $u(0)=u(T)$ with the norm $\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T} u^{2}(x)+u^{\prime 2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. By a solution to (1.1) we mean a function $u \in C^{1}(0, T)$ such that $u^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous, $u$ satisfies the boundary conditions and (1.1) is satisfied a.e. in $(0, T)$.

We denote $R(x)=e^{\int_{0}^{x}(1 / 2) r(\xi) d \xi}$ and we study (1.1) by using variational methods. We investigate the functional $J: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} G(x, u)-R^{2} f u\right] d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(x, t) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $u$ is a critical point of $J$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall v \in H \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that every critical point $u \in H$ of the functional $J$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}(g(x, u)-f) v\right] d x=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H$.
Now we prove that any critical point of the functional $J$ is a solution to (1.1) mentioned above.

Lemma 2.1. Let the condition (1.2) be satisfied. Then any critical point of the functional $J$ is a solution to (1.1).

Proof. Setting $v=1$ in (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}(g(x, u)-f)\right] d x=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left[R(t)^{2}(g(t, u(t))-f(t))\right] d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then previous equality (2.5) implies $\Phi(0)=\Phi(T)=0$ and by parts in (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(R^{2} u^{\prime}+\Phi\right) v^{\prime}\right] d x=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H$. Hence there exists a constant $c_{u}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2} u^{\prime}+\Phi=c_{u} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0, T]$. The condition (1.2) implies $R(0)=R(T)=1$ and from (2.8) we get $u^{\prime}(0)=$ $R^{2}(0) u^{\prime}(0)=-\Phi(0)+c_{u}=-\Phi(T)+c_{u}=u^{\prime}(T)$. Using $\left(R^{2}\right)^{\prime}=R^{2} r$ and differentiating equality (2.8) with respect to $x$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+r u^{\prime}+g(x, u)-f\right)=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $u$ is a solution to (1.1).
We say that $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (PS) if every sequence ( $u_{n}$ ) for which $J\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H$ and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

To prove the existence of a critical point of the functional $J$ we use the Saddle Point Theorem which is proved in Rabinowitz [9] (see also [10]).

Theorem 2.2 (Saddle Point Theorem). Let $H=\widehat{H} \oplus \widetilde{H}, \operatorname{dim} \widehat{H}<\infty$ and $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{H}=\infty$. Let $J: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional such that $J \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ and
(a) there exists a bounded neighborhood $D$ of 0 in $\widehat{H}$ and a constant $\alpha$ such that $J / \partial D \leq \alpha$,
(b) there is a constant $\beta>\alpha$ such that $J / \widetilde{H} \geq \beta$,
(c) J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (PS).

Then, the functional J has a critical point in $H$.

## 3. Main Result

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{+}(x)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G(x, s)}{s}, \quad G_{-}(x)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{G(x, s)}{s} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the following potential Landesman-Lazer type condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{-}(x)\right] d x<\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} f(x)\right] d x<\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{+}(x)\right] d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also suppose that there exists a function $q(x) \in L^{1}(0, T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x, s)| \leq q(x), \quad x \in[0, T], s \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Under the assumptions (1.2), (3.2), (3.3), problem (1.1) has at least one solution.
Proof. We verify that the functional $J$ satisfies assumptions of the Saddle Point Theorem 2.2 on $H$, then $J$ has a critical point $u$ and due to Lemma $2.1 u$ is the solution to (1.1).

It is easy to see that $J \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\widetilde{H}=\left\{u \in H: \int_{0}^{T} u(x) d x=0\right\}$ then $H=\mathbb{R} \oplus \widetilde{H}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\widetilde{H})=\infty$.

In order to check assumption (a), we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} J(s)=-\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by contradiction. Then, assume on the contrary there is a sequence of numbers $\left(s_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|s_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ and a constant $c_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(s_{n}\right) \geq c_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $J$ and from (3.5) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{R^{2}\left(-G\left(x, s_{n}\right)+f s_{n}\right)}{\left|s_{n}\right|} d x \geq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that from (3.2) it follows there exist constants $s_{+}, s_{-}$and functions $A_{+}(x), A_{-}(x) \in$ $L^{1}(0, T)$ such that $A_{+}(x) \leq G(x, s), G(x, s) \leq A_{-}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in(0, T)$ and for all $s \geq s_{+}, s \leq s_{-}$, respectively. We suppose that for this moment $s_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$. Using (3.6) and Fatou's lemma we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} f(x)\right] d x \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{+}(x)\right] d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction to (3.2). We proceed for the case $s_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$. Then assumption (a) of Theorem 2.2 is verified.
(b) Now we prove that $J$ is bounded from below on $\widetilde{H}$. For $u \in \widetilde{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x=\|u\|^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assumption (3.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(x, s)| \leq q(x)|s|, \quad x \in[0, T], s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence and due to compact imbedding $H \subset C(0, T)\left(\|u\|_{C(0, T)} \leq c_{2}\|u\|\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} G(x, u)-R^{2} f u\right] d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \min _{x \in[0, T]} R(x)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x-\max _{x \in[0, T]} R(x)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}(|q|+|f|)|u| d x  \tag{3.10}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \min _{x \in[0, T]} R(x)^{2}\|u\|^{2}-\max _{x \in[0, T]} R(x)^{2}\left(\|q\|_{1}+\|f\|_{1}\right) c_{2}\|u\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the function $R$ is strictly positive equality (3.10) implies that the functional $J$ is bounded from below.

Using (3.4), (3.10) we see that there exists a bounded neighborhood $D$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}=\widehat{H}$, a constant $\alpha$ such that $J / \partial D \leq \alpha$, and there is a constant $\beta>\alpha$ such that $J / \widetilde{H} \geq \beta$.

In order to check assumption (c), we show that $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. First, we suppose that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is unbounded and there exists a constant $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(G\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f u_{n}\right)\right] d x\right| \leq c_{3}  \tag{3.11}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left(w_{k}\right)$ be an arbitrary sequence bounded in $H$. It follows from (3.12) and the Schwarz inequality that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\
k \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} u_{n}^{\prime} w_{k}^{\prime}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(g\left(x, u_{n}\right) w_{k}-f w_{k}\right)\right] d x\right| \\
\quad=\left|\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\
k \rightarrow \infty}} J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w_{k}\right| \leq \lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\
k \rightarrow \infty}}\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|w_{k}\right\|=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ k \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\frac{R^{2} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} w_{k}-\frac{R^{2} f}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} w_{k}\right] d x=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and $w_{k}=v_{n}$ then (3.13), (3.14) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to compact imbedding $H \subset C(0, T)$ and (3.15) we have $\left|v_{n}\right| \rightarrow d$ in $C(0, T), d>0$. Suppose that $v_{n} \rightarrow d$ and set $w_{k}=v_{n}-d$ in (3.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} u_{n}^{\prime} v_{n}^{\prime}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\right)\left(v_{n}-d\right)\right] d x=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the nonlinearity $g$ is bounded (assumption (3.3)) and $v_{n} \rightarrow d$ the second integral in previous equality (3.16) converges to zero. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} u_{n}^{\prime} v_{n}^{\prime}\right] d x=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we divide (3.11) by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2} u_{n}^{\prime} v_{n}^{\prime}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{R^{2}\left(G\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} d x\right\}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equalities (3.17), (3.18) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} R^{2}\left(-\frac{G\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}+f\right) v_{n} d x=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $v_{n} \rightarrow d>0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x)=+\infty$. Using Fatou's lemma and (3.19) we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} f(x)\right] d x \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left[R(x)^{2} G_{+}(x)\right] d x \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction to (3.2). We proceed for the case $v_{n} \rightarrow-d$ similarly. This implies that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded. Then there exists $u_{0} \in H$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $H, u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(0, T), C(0, T)$ (taking a subsequence if it is necessary). It follows from equality (3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty \\ k \rightarrow \infty}}\left\{\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)^{\prime} w_{k}^{\prime}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g\left(x, u_{m}\right)\right)\right] w_{k} d x\right\}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strong convergence $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $C(0, T)$ and the assumption (3.3) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g\left(x, u_{m}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)\right] d x=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $w_{k}=u_{n}, w_{k}=u_{m}$ in (3.21) and subtract these equalities, then using (3.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[R^{2}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}-u_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we obtain the strong convergence $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H$. This shows that $J$ satisfies the PalaisSmale condition and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.
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