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We study periodic solutions for nonlinear second-order ordinary differential problem $x^{\prime \prime}+$ $f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$. By constructing upper and lower boundaries and using Leray-Schauder degree theory, we present a result about the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution for secondorder ordinary differential equations with some assumption.

## 1. Introduction

The study on periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations is a very important branch in the differential equation theory. Many results about the existence of periodic solutions for second-order differential equations have been obtained by combining the classical method of lower and upper solutions and the method of alternative problems (The Lyapunov-Schmidt method) as discussed by many authors [1-10]. In [11], the author gives a simple method to discuss the existence and uniqueness of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. In this paper, we will extend this method to the periodic problem.

We consider the second-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we will study the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) with the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f, f_{x}$, and $f_{x^{\prime}}$ are continuous in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, x x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(t+2 \pi, x, x^{\prime}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
N^{2}<\alpha-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \leq \beta<(N+1)^{2}, \\
\sin \frac{\pi \sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4 N}<\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4 \alpha}} \quad \text { if } N>0,  \tag{1.3}\\
\gamma<\frac{4(N+1)}{\pi}\left[1-\frac{\beta}{(N+1)^{2}}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N$ is some positive integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{x}\right), \quad \beta=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{x}\right), \quad \gamma=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|f_{x^{\prime}}\right| . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following is our main result.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, then (1.1) has a unique $2 \pi$-periodic solution.

## 2. Basic Lemmas

The following results will be used later.
Lemma 2.1 (see [12]). Let $x \in C^{1}([0, h], \mathbb{R})(h>0)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x(h)=0, \quad x(t)>0 \quad \text { for } t \in(0, h), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h}\left|x(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \leq \frac{h}{4} \int_{0}^{h} x^{\prime 2}(t) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $h / 4$ is optimal.
Lemma 2.2 (see [12]). Let $x \in C^{1}([a, b], \mathbb{R})(a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a<b)$ with the boundary value conditions $x(a)=x(b)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} x^{2}(t) d t \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} x^{\prime 2}(t) d t . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+q(t) x & =0, \\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0) & =x^{\prime}(2 \pi) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $p, q$ are $L^{2}$-integrable $2 \pi$-periodic function, where $p, q$ satisfy the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\inf _{[0,2 \pi]} q(t), \quad \beta=\sup _{[0,2 \pi]} q(t), \quad \gamma=\sup _{[0,2 \pi]}|p(t)|, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.4) has only the trivial $2 \pi$-periodic solution $x(t) \equiv 0$.
Proof. If on the contrary, (2.4) has a nonzero $2 \pi$-periodic solution $x(t)$, then using (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) d s} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) d s} q(t) x=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0} \in[0,2 \pi]$ is undetermined.
Firstly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least one zero in $(0,2 \pi)$. If $x(t) \neq 0$, we may assume $x(t)>0$. Since $x(t)$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic solution, there exists a $t_{0} \in[0,2 \pi]$ with $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0=$ $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}+2 \pi\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+2 \pi}\left(e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) d s} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d t=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+2 \pi} e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) d s} q(t) x d t<0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we could get a contradiction.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(0)=x(2 \pi)=0, x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi)=$ $A>0$; then there exists a sufficiently small $\delta>0$ such that $x(\delta / 2)>0, x(2 \pi-\delta / 2)<0$. Since $x(t)$ is a continuous function, there must exist a $t^{\prime} \in[\delta / 2,2 \pi-\delta / 2]$ with $x\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Secondly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least $2 N+2$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$. Considering the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\gamma \varphi^{\prime}+\alpha \varphi=0, \quad \varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi^{\prime}(0)=A \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\frac{2 A}{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}} e^{\gamma t / 2} \sin \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2} t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the solution of (2.8) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t)=2 A \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}} r^{\gamma t / 2} \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2} t+\theta\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2]$ with $\sin \theta=\sqrt{\left(4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}\right) / 4 \alpha}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2}<N+1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds under the assumptions of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, there is a $t_{0} \in(0, \pi)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2} t_{0}+\theta=\pi, \quad \text { i.e., } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2} t_{0}<\pi \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $N>0$. By the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, (2.11), and (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sin \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2} t_{0}=\sin \theta=\sqrt{\frac{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}{4 \alpha}}>\sin \frac{\pi \sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4 N},  \tag{2.13}\\
\frac{\pi}{2}<\frac{\pi \sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4 N}<\pi . \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\sin t$ is decreasing in $[\pi / 2, \pi)$, we have $0<t_{0}<\pi / 2 N$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad \varphi(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right), \varphi^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}+\gamma \psi^{\prime}+\alpha \psi=0, \quad \psi\left(t_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{0}\right), \quad \psi^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}} \varphi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\gamma\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2} \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\theta\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the solution of (2.16), where $\theta$ is the same as the previous one, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}} \varphi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\gamma\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2} \sin \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists a $t_{1} \in(0,2 \pi)$ with $t_{1}-t_{0} \in(0, \pi)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{2}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)+\theta=\pi \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(t_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.19), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4} t_{1}=\pi-\theta, \quad \text { i.e., } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4} t_{1}<\pi . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and (2.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \frac{\sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4} t_{1}=\sin \theta=\sqrt{\frac{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}{4 \alpha}}>\sin \frac{\pi \sqrt{4 \alpha-\gamma^{2}}}{4 N} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sin t$ is decreasing on $[\pi / 2, \pi)$, we have $0<t_{1}<\pi / N$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad \psi(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $x(t)$ has a zero point in $\left(0, t_{1}\right]$. If on the contrary $x(t)>0$ for $t \in$ $\left(0, t_{1}\right]$, then we would have the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x(t) \leq \varphi(t), & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right], \\
x(t) \leq \psi(t), & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] . \tag{2.25}
\end{array}
$$

In fact, from (2.4), (2.8), and (2.15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right. & \left.x(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\varphi^{\prime \prime}(t) x(t)+\varphi^{\prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t)-\varphi^{\prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& =\left(\gamma \varphi^{\prime}(t)-\alpha \varphi(t)\right) x(t)-\varphi(t)\left(-p(t) x^{\prime}(t)-q(t) x(t)\right)  \tag{2.26}\\
& =(\gamma+p(t)) \varphi^{\prime}(t) x(t)+(-p(t))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) x(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)+(q(t)-\alpha) \varphi(t) x(t) \\
& \geq(-p(t))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) x(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. Setting $y=\varphi^{\prime}(t) x(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime}(t)$, and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime} \geq-p(t) y \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y e^{\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\varphi(0)=x(0)=0$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=0, \quad y e^{\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s} \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) x(t)-\varphi(t) x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right], \quad \text { i.e., }\left(\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating from 0 to $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\varphi(s)}{x(s)}\right)^{\prime} d s=\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)}-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)}=\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)}-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{x^{\prime}(0)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)} \geq 1, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (2.24). By a similar argument, we have (2.25). Therefore, $0<x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \psi\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, a contradiction, which shows that $x(t)$ has at least one zero in $\left(0, t_{1}\right]$, with $t_{1}<\pi / N$.

We let $x\left(t^{1}\right)=0, t^{1} \in\left(0, t_{1}\right]$. If $t^{1}+t_{1}<2 \pi$, then from a similar argument, there is a $t^{2} \in\left(t^{1}, t^{1}+t_{1}\right)$, such that $x\left(t^{2}\right)=0$ and so on. So, we obtain that $x(t)$ has at least $2 N+2$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$.

Thirdly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least $2 N+3$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$. If, on the contrary, we assume that $x(t)$ only has $2 N+2$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$, we write them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=t^{0}<t^{1}<\cdots<t^{2 N+1}=2 \pi \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}\left(t^{i}\right) \neq 0, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, 2 N+1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x^{\prime}\left(t^{0}\right)>0$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}\left(t^{i}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t^{i+1}\right)<0, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, 2 N \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain $x^{\prime}\left(t^{2 N+1}\right)<0$, which contradicts $x^{\prime}\left(t^{2 N+1}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t^{0}\right)>0$. Therefore, $x(t)$ has at least $2 N+3$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$.

Finally, we prove Lemma 2.3. Since $x(t)$ has at least $2 N+3$ zeros on $[0,2 \pi]$, there are two zeros $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ with $0<\xi_{2}-\xi_{1} \leq \pi /(N+1)$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} x^{\prime 2}(t) d t & =-\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} x(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} p(t) x(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} q(t) x^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leq\left[\frac{\gamma}{4}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)+\frac{\beta}{\pi^{2}}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} x^{\prime 2}(t) d t \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{4}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)+\frac{\beta}{\pi^{2}}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\pi \gamma}{4(N+1)}+\frac{\beta}{(N+1)^{2}}<1 . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} x^{\prime 2}(t) d t=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $x^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t \in\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right]$. Also $x\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0$. Therefore, $x(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Firstly, we prove the existence of the solution. Consider the homotopy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha x=\lambda\left(-f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+\alpha x\right) \equiv \lambda F\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $\alpha=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{x}\right)$. When $\lambda=1$, it holds (1.1). We assume that $\Phi(t)$ is the fundamental solution matrix of $x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha x=0$ with $\Phi(0)=I$. Equation (3.1) can be transformed into the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}(t)=\Phi(t)\left(\binom{x(0)}{x^{\prime}(0)}+\int_{0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), x(t)$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic solution of (3.2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-\Phi(2 \pi))\binom{x(0)}{x^{\prime}(0)}=\Phi(2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(I-\Phi(2 \pi))$ is invertible,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x(0)}{x^{\prime}(0)}=(I-\Phi(2 \pi))^{-1} \Phi(2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute (3.4) into (3.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}(t)= & \Phi(t)(I-\Phi(2 \pi))^{-1} \Phi(2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s \\
& +\Phi(t) \int_{0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Define an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda}: C^{1}[0,2 \pi] \longrightarrow C^{1}[0,2 \pi], \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\lambda}\left[\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}\right](t) \equiv & \Phi(t)(I-\Phi(2 \pi))^{-1} \Phi(2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\Phi(t) \int_{0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)\binom{0}{\lambda F\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $P_{\lambda}$ is a completely continuous operator in $C^{1}[0,2 \pi]$.
There exists $B>0$, such that every possible periodic solution $x(t)$ satisfies $\|x\| \leq B(\|\cdot\|$ denote the usual normal in $\left.C^{1}[0,2 \pi]\right)$. If not, there exists $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ and the solution $x_{k}(t)$ with $\left\|x_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \infty(k \rightarrow \infty)$.

We can rewrite (3.1) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha x_{k}=-\lambda_{k} \int_{0}^{1} f_{x^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{k}, \theta x_{k}^{\prime}\right) d \theta x_{k}^{\prime}-\lambda_{k} \int_{0}^{1} f_{x}\left(t, \theta x_{k}, 0\right) d \theta x_{k}-\lambda_{k} f(t, 0,0)+\lambda_{k} \alpha x_{k} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{k}=x_{k} /\left\|x_{k}\right\|(t \in \mathbb{R})$, obviously $\left\|y_{k}\right\|=1(k=1,2, \ldots)$. It satisfies the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha y_{k}=-\lambda_{k} \int_{0}^{1} f_{x^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{k}, \theta x_{k}^{\prime}\right) d \theta y_{k}^{\prime}-\lambda_{k} \int_{0}^{1} f_{x}\left(t, \theta x_{k}, 0\right) d \theta y_{k}-\lambda_{k} f(t, 0,0) /\left\|x_{k}\right\|+\lambda_{k} \alpha y_{k}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(t, 0,0)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \longrightarrow 0 \quad(k \longrightarrow \infty) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{y_{k}\right\},\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, there exists continuous function $u(t), v(t)$ and a subsequence of $\{k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ (denote it again by $\left.\{k\}_{1}^{\infty}\right)$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{k}(t)=$ $u(t), \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{k}^{\prime}(t)=v(t)$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$. Using $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left\{\int_{0}^{1} f_{x}\left(t, \theta x_{k}, 0\right) d \theta\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ and
$\left\{\int_{0}^{1} f_{x^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{k}, \theta x_{k}^{\prime}\right) d \theta\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ are uniformly bounded. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $L^{2}$-integrable function $p(t), q(t)$, and a subsequence of $\{k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ (denote it again by $\{k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ ), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} f_{x}\left(t, \theta x_{k}, 0\right) d \theta \xrightarrow{\omega} q(t), \quad \int_{0}^{1} f_{x^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{k}, \theta x_{k}^{\prime}\right) d \theta \xrightarrow{\omega} p(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xrightarrow{\omega}$ denotes "weakly converges to" in $L^{2}[0,2 \pi]$. As a consequence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\alpha u(t)=-\lambda_{0} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{0} q(t) u(t)+\lambda_{0} \alpha u(t), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda_{0} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda_{0} q(t)+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) \alpha\right) u(t)=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote that $\tilde{p}(t)=\lambda_{0} p(t), \tilde{q}(t)=\lambda_{0} q(t)+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) \alpha$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{p}(t)|=\lambda_{0}|p(t)| \leq \gamma, \quad \lambda_{0} \alpha+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) \alpha \leq \tilde{q}(t) \leq \lambda_{0} \beta+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) \alpha, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also satisfy the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. Notice that $\tilde{p}(t)$ and $\tilde{q}(t)$ are $L^{2}$-integrable on $[0,2 \pi]$, so $u(t)$ satisfies Lemma 2.3. Hence, we have $u(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in[0,2 \pi)$, which contradicts $\|u\|=1$. Therefore, $\mathrm{PC}^{1}[0,2 \pi]$ is bounded.

Denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega=\left\{x \in C^{1}[0,2 \pi],\|x\|<B+1\right\},  \tag{3.15}\\
h_{\lambda}(x)=x-P_{\lambda} x .
\end{gather*}
$$

Because $0 \notin h_{\lambda}(\partial \Omega)$ for $\lambda \in[0,1]$, by Leray-Schauder degree theory, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(x-P x, \Omega, 0)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}(x), \Omega, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{0}(x), \Omega, 0\right) \neq 0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we conclude that $P$ has at least one fixed point in $\Omega$, that is, (1.1) has at least one solution.
Finally, we prove the uniqueness of the equation when the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Let $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ be two $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of the problem. Denote $x_{0}(t)=x_{1}(t)-$ $x_{2}(t), t \in[0,2 \pi]$, then $x_{0}(t)$ is a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\int_{0}^{1} f_{x^{\prime}}\left(t, x_{2}+x_{0}, x_{2}^{\prime}+\theta x_{0}^{\prime}\right) d \theta x^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{1} f_{x}\left(t, x_{2}+\theta x_{0}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) d \theta x=0,  \tag{3.17}\\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $x_{0}(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in[0,2 \pi]$.

Let $\tilde{x}(t+2 k \pi)=x(t), t \in[0,2 \pi], k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}(t+2 k \pi)=x^{\prime \prime}(t)=-f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=-f\left(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{\prime}\right)=-f\left(t+2 k \pi, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t \in[0,2 \pi], k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote $\tilde{x}(t+2 k \pi)(t \in[0,2 \pi])$ by $x(t)(t \in \mathbb{R})$. So, $x(t)$ is the solution of the problem (1.1). The proof is complete.

## 4. An Example

Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{3} \sin t x^{\prime}+6 x+\cos x=p(t) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(t)=p(t+2 \pi)$ is a continuous function. Obviously,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{x}\right)=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(6-\sin x)=5, \\
\beta=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{x}\right)=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(6-\sin x)=7,  \tag{4.2}\\
r=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|f_{x^{\prime}}\right|=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\frac{2}{3} \sin t\right|=\frac{2}{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfy Theorem 1.1, then there is a unique $2 \pi$-periodic solution in this system.
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