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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the solvability of anti-periodic boundary value problems for second-order nonlinear differential equations. By using topological methods, some sufficient conditions for the existence of solution are obtained, which extend and improve the previous results.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we will consider the existence of solutions to second-order differential equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in J=[0, T], \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the anti-periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)+x(T)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(T)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a positive constant and $f:[0, T] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous. Equation (1.1) subject to (1.2) is called an anti-periodic boundary value problem.

Anti-periodic problems have been studied extensively in recent years. For example, antiperiodic boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations were considered in [1-9]. Also, anti-periodic boundary conditions for impulsive differential equations, partial differential equations and abstract differential equations were investigated in [10-16]. The methods and techniques employed in these papers involve the use of the Leray-Schauder degree theory $[7,8]$, the upper and lower solutions [2,10-12], and a fixed point theorem [1]. By using Schauder's fixed point theorem and lower and upper solutions method, Wang and Shen in [3] considered the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) when a first-order derivative is not involved explicitly in the nonlinear term $f$, namely equation (1.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

They proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 ([3, Theorem 2.2]) Assume there exist constants $0<r<2, l>0$, and functions $p, q, h \in C(J, R)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u f(t, u) \leq p(t) u^{2}+q(t)|u|^{r}+h(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in J$ and $|u|>l$. Further suppose that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} p^{+}(s) d s<4
$$

where $p^{+}(t)=\max \{p(t), 0\}$. Then (1.2) and (1.3) have at least one solution.

Theorem 1.2 ([3, Theorem 1.2]) Let $\gamma$ be a positive constant. Assume there exist a continuous and nondecreasing function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and a nonnegative function $p \in C(J, R)$ with

$$
\left|f(t, u)+\gamma^{2} u\right| \leq p(t) \psi(|u|)
$$

for $t \in J$ and $u \in R$. Further suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(u)}{u}<K:=\frac{\gamma\left(e^{\gamma T}+1\right)^{2}}{e^{\gamma T}\left(e^{\gamma T}-1\right) \int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.2) and (1.3) have at least one solution.

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of a solution to the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2). The significant point here is that the right-hand side of (1.1) may depend on $x^{\prime}$. The dependence of right-hand side on $x^{\prime}$ is naturally seen in many physical phenomena, and we refer the readers to $[17,18]$ for some nice examples. If there appears $x^{\prime}$ in nonlinear term, the relative boundary value problem will be more complicated. Meanwhile, we note equation (1.4) or (1.5) implies that $f(t, x)$ is at most linear for $x$, so the problem has not been solved when $f(t, x)$ is super-linear for $x$. Motivated by the above two aspects, we devote ourselves to studying the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2).
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) as an equivalent integral equation, which is a widely used technique in the theory of boundary value problem. In Section 3, a general existence result is presented for (1.1) and (1.2). The result provides a natural motivation for the obtention of a priori bounds on solutions and greatly minimizes the proofs of the new results in the following section. The main tool used here is the Leray-Schauder topological degree. In Section 4, some new conditions are presented for (1.1) and (1.2). The new conditions involve linear or quadratic growth constraints on $|f(t, p, q)|$ in $|q|$.

## 2 Preliminaries

If a function $x \in C^{2}(J, R)$ satisfies equations (1.1) and (1.2), we call $x$ a solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Let $C^{1}(J, R)$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|=\max \left\{|x|_{0},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right\}$, where $|x|_{0}=$ $\max _{t \in J}|x(t)|,\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}=\max _{t \in J}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|$.

Let $\lambda>0, \delta(t) \in C(J, R)$ and consider the anti-periodic boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)-\lambda^{2} x(t)=\delta(t), \quad t \in J  \tag{2.1}\\
x(0)+x(T)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.1 $x$ is a solution of (2.1) if and only if $x$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) \delta(s) d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{e^{\lambda(t-s)}-e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}, & 0 \leq s<t \leq T \\ \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\end{cases}
$$

Proof Suppose $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.1) and denote $D=\frac{d}{d t}$, then the first equation of (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D-\lambda)(D+\lambda) x(t)=\delta(t) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=(D+\lambda) x(t), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (2.3), we have

$$
(D-\lambda) y(t)=\delta(t)
$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $e^{-\lambda t}$ and integrating from 0 to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\lambda t} y(t)-y(0)=\int_{0}^{t} \delta(s) e^{-\lambda s} d s \\
& y(t)=e^{\lambda t}\left[y(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \delta(s) e^{-\lambda s} d s\right], \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y(0)=x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)$.
Similarly, multiplying the two sides of (2.4) by $e^{\lambda t}$ and integrating from 0 to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=e^{-\lambda t}\left[x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda s} y(s) d s\right] . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda s} y(s) d s \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left[y(0)\left(e^{2 \lambda t}-1\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{2 \lambda t}-e^{2 \lambda s}\right) \delta(s) e^{-\lambda s} d s\right], \quad t \in J . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right) e^{\lambda t}+\left(\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{-\lambda t}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{-\lambda(t-s)}-e^{\lambda(t-s)}\right) \delta(s) d s\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(0)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right)+\left(\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)\right)\right\}, \\
& x(T)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right) e^{\lambda T}+\left(\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{-\lambda T}-\int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{-\lambda(T-s)}-e^{\lambda(T-s)}\right) \delta(s) d s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further from (2.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right) \lambda e^{\lambda t}+\left(\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)\right)(-\lambda) e^{-\lambda t}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{t}\left(-\lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)}-\lambda e^{\lambda(t-s)}\right) \delta(s) d s\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right) \lambda+\left(\lambda x^{\prime}(0)-x(0)\right)(-\lambda)\right\}, \\
x^{\prime}(T)= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)\right) \lambda e^{\lambda T}-\left(\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)\right) \lambda e^{-\lambda T}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T}\left(-\lambda e^{-\lambda(T-s)}-\lambda e^{\lambda(T-s)}\right) \delta(s) d s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account $x(0)+x(T)=0, x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(T)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda x(0)=\frac{-1}{e^{\lambda T}+1} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\lambda(T-s)} \delta(s) d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda x(0)-x^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{e^{-\lambda T}+1} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda(T-s)} \delta(s) d s \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\frac{-1}{e^{\lambda T}+1} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\lambda(T-s)} \delta(s) d s \cdot e^{\lambda t}+\frac{1}{e^{-\lambda T}+1} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda(T-s)} \delta(s) d s \cdot e^{-\lambda t}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{t}\left[e^{-\lambda(t-s)}-e^{\lambda(t-s)}\right] \delta(s) d s\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda T}}\left[-\int_{0}^{T} e^{\lambda(T+t-s)} \delta(s) d s+\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right) \int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda(t-s)} \delta(s) d s\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{1+e^{-\lambda T}}\left[\int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda(T+t-s)} \delta(s) d s-\left(1+e^{-\lambda T}\right) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \delta(s) d s\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left\{\frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda T}}\left[\int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda(t-s)} \delta(s) d s-\int_{t}^{T} e^{\lambda(T+t-s)} \delta(s) d s\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{1+e^{-\lambda T}}\left[-\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \delta(s) d s+\int_{t}^{T} e^{-\lambda(T+t-s)} \delta(s) d s\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-s)}}{1+e^{\lambda T}} \cdot \delta(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{1+e^{-\lambda T}} \cdot \delta(s) d s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t}^{T} \frac{e^{-\lambda(T+t-s)}}{1+e^{-\lambda T}} \cdot \delta(s) d s-\int_{t}^{T} \frac{e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{1+e^{\lambda T}} \cdot \delta(s) d s\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\left\{\int_{0}^{t}\left[e^{\lambda(t-s)}-e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}\right] \delta(s) d s+\int_{t}^{T}\left[e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}\right] \delta(s) d s\right\} \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) \delta(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.2).
On the other hand, assume $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.2). Then

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \delta(s) d s=\int_{0}^{T} G^{*}(t, s) \delta(s) d s
$$

where

$$
G^{*}(t, s)=\frac{1}{2\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} \begin{cases}e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}, & 0 \leq s<t \leq T \\ -e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\end{cases}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left[\lambda e^{\lambda(t-s)}-\lambda e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}\right]}{2\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} \delta(s) d s+\frac{\left[1+e^{\lambda T}\right]}{2\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} \delta(t) \\
& +\int_{t}^{T} \frac{\left[\lambda e^{\lambda(s-t)}-\lambda e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}\right]}{2\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} \delta(s) d s-\frac{\left[-1-e^{\lambda T}\right]}{2\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} \delta(t) \\
= & \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) \delta(s) d s+\delta(t) \\
= & \lambda^{2} x(t)+\delta(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Direct computation yields

$$
x(0)+x(T)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(T)=0
$$

Hence, $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.1). This proof is complete.

For later use, we present the following estimations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{(t, s) \in J \times J}|G(t, s)|=\frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}, \quad \max _{(t, s) \in J \times J}\left|G^{* \prime}(t, s)\right|=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1 The integral equation (2.2) we obtained is much simpler than that in [3] which needs a double integral.

Combining Lemma 2.1 and equation (1.1), we can easily get

Theorem 2.1 The anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $G(t, s)$ is defined in Lemma 2.1.

Define an operator $T: C^{1}(J, R) \rightarrow C^{1}(J, R)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x(t):=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 $T: C^{1}(J, R) \rightarrow C^{1}(J, R)$ is completely continuous.

Proof Noting the continuity of $f$, this follows in a standard step-by-step process and so is omitted.

In view of Theorem 2.1, we obtain

Theorem $2.2 x \in C^{2}(J, R)$ is a solution of the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) if and only if $x \in C^{1}(J, R)$ is the fixed point of the operator $T$.

## 3 General existence

In this section, an abstract existence result is presented for (1.1) and (1.2). The obtained result emphasizes the natural search for a priori bounds on solutions to the boundary value problem, which will be conducted in the following section.

Firstly, we introduce some basic properties of the Leray-Schauder degree. For more detail, we refer an interested reader to $[19,20]$.

Theorem 3.1 The Leray-Schauder degree has the following properties.
(i) (Homotopy invariance) Let $\Omega \subset X \times[0,1]$ be a bounded open set, and let $F: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ be compact. If $x-F(x, t) \neq z$ for each $(x, t) \in \partial \Omega$, then $d_{L S}\left(I-F(\cdot, t), \Omega_{t}, z\right)$ is independent of $t$.
(ii) (Existence) If $d_{L S}(I-f, \Omega, z) \neq 0$, then $z \in(I-f)(\Omega)$.

Now, we give the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2 Let $M, N$ and $\lambda$ be positive constants in $R$ and $f:[0, T] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ be continuous. Consider the family of anti-periodic boundary value problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)-\lambda^{2} x(t)=\mu\left[f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(t)\right], \quad t \in J, \mu \in[0,1]  \tag{3.1}\\
x(0)+x(T)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(T)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If all potential solutions to (3.1) satisfy

$$
|x|_{0}<M, \quad\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}<N,
$$

with $M$ and $N$ independent of $\mu$, then the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof In view of Theorem 2.2, we want to show there exists at least one $x \in C^{1}(J, R)$ with $x$ satisfying $T x=x$. This solution will then naturally be in $C^{2}(J, R)$.

Consider the family of problems associated with (2.12), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, \mu):=x-\mu T x=0, \quad \mu \in[0,1] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.2) is equivalent to the family of anti-periodic boundary value problems (3.1).
Now, let $\Omega \subset C^{1}(J, R)$ with

$$
\Omega:=\left\{x \in C^{1}(J, R):|x|_{0}<M,\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}<N\right\} .
$$

From Lemma 2.2, we know that $T: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C(J, R)$ is completely continuous. Therefore, $H$ : $\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow C(J, R)$ is a compact mapping. By the assumption of the theorem, all possible solutions $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ must satisfy $x \in \Omega$, and thus

$$
H(x, \mu) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \text { and } \mu \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence, the following Leray-Schauder degrees are defined and the homotopy invariance principle in Theorem 3.1 applies:

$$
d_{L S}(H(x, \mu), \Omega, 0)=d_{L S}(H(x, 1), \Omega, 0)=d_{L S}(H(x, 0), \Omega, 0)=1,
$$

since $0 \in \Omega$. By the existence property of the Leray-Schauder degree, (3.2) has at least one solution in $\Omega$ for all $\mu \in[0,1]$. And hence (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution.

## 4 Main results

In this section, some existence theorems are presented.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ and $K_{1}$ be nonnegative constants and $\lambda>0$. Iff is continuous and satisfies

$$
\left|f(t, p, q)-\lambda^{2} p\right| \leq \alpha_{1}|p|+\beta_{1}|q|+K_{1}, \quad(t, p, q) \in J \times R \times R,
$$

with

$$
\lambda\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)-\beta_{1}\left(e^{\lambda T}-1\right)>0,
$$

and

$$
\frac{e^{\lambda T}+1}{e^{\lambda T}-1} \lambda^{2}-\lambda \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}>0
$$

then the anti-periodic boundary problem (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof Consider the family (3.1). We want to show the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold for some positive constants $M$ and $N$.
Let $x(t)$ be a solution to (3.1) and consider the equivalent equation (3.2), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\mu \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $t \in[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(t)| & =\left|\mu \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)| \cdot\left|f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)|\left[\alpha_{1}|x(s)|+\beta_{1}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|+K_{1}\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)| d s & =\int_{0}^{t}|G(t, s)| d s+\int_{t}^{T}|G(t, s)| d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|e^{\lambda(t-s)}-e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}\right|}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s+\int_{t}^{T} \frac{\left|e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}\right|}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s+\int_{t}^{T} \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}+e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s \\
& =\left.\frac{-e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}}{2 \lambda^{2}\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\right|_{0} ^{t}+\left.\frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{2 \lambda^{2}\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\right|_{t} ^{T} \\
& =\frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{\lambda^{2}\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{0} \leq \frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{\lambda^{2}\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)}\left[\alpha_{1}|x|_{0}+\beta_{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}+K_{1}\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (4.1), we get

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=\mu \int_{0}^{T} G^{\prime \prime}(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s
$$

then

$$
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|G^{*}(t, s)\right|\left[\alpha_{1}|x|_{0}+\beta_{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}+K_{1}\right] d s
$$

and because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|G^{*}(t, s)\right| d s & =\int_{0}^{t}\left|G^{*}(t, s)\right| d s+\int_{t}^{T}\left|G^{\prime \prime}(t, s)\right| d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}\right|}{2\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s+\int_{t}^{T} \frac{\left|e^{\lambda(s-t)}+e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}\right|}{2\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}}{2\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s+\int_{t}^{T} \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}+e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{2\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} d s \\
& =\left.\frac{-e^{\lambda(t-s)}+e^{\lambda(T-t+s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\right|_{0} ^{t}+\left.\frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}-e^{\lambda(T+t-s)}}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\right|_{t} ^{T} \\
& =\frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{\lambda\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{\lambda\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)}\left[\alpha_{1}|x|_{0}+\beta_{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}+K_{1}\right]
$$

The rearrangement yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \frac{\left(e^{\lambda T}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{1}|x|_{0}+K_{1}\right)}{\lambda\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)-\beta_{1}\left(e^{\lambda T}-1\right)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (4.3) into (4.2) and rearranging, we obtain

$$
|x|_{0} \leq \frac{K_{1}}{\frac{e^{\lambda T}+1}{e^{\lambda T}-1} \lambda^{2}-\lambda \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}}:=M_{1} .
$$

So,

$$
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \frac{\left(e^{\lambda T}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{1} M_{1}+K_{1}\right)}{\lambda\left(e^{\lambda T}+1\right)-\beta_{1}\left(e^{\lambda T}-1\right)}:=N_{1}
$$

Hence, Theorem 3.2 holds for positive constants $M=M_{1}+1$ and $N=N_{1}+1$. The solvability of (1.1) and (1.2) now follows.

Theorem 4.2 Assume there exist nonnegative constants $\alpha_{2}, K_{2}$ and $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\left|f(t, p, q)-\lambda^{2} p\right|<\alpha_{2}\left[p f(t, p, q)+q^{2}\right]+K_{2}, \quad \text { for }(t, p, q) \in J \times R \times R
$$

then the anti-periodic boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof Suppose $x(t)$ is a solution of (3.1), and in view of (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x|_{0}= & \max _{t \in J}\left|\mu \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s\right| \\
\leq & \mu \max _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)| \cdot\left|\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right]\right| d s \\
\leq & \max _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)|\left[\mu \alpha_{2}\left(x(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+\mu K_{2}\right] d s \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T} \max _{t \in J}|G(t, s)|\left[\alpha _ { 2 } \left(x ( s ) \left(\mu f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\lambda^{2}(1-\mu) x(s)\right)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha_{2}\left(x(s) x^{\prime \prime}(s)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
& =\frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha_{2} \frac{d}{d s}\left(x^{\prime}(s) x(s)\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
& =\frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)}\left[\alpha_{2}\left(x(T) x^{\prime}(T)-x(0) x^{\prime}(0)\right)+K_{2} T\right] \\
& \leq \frac{e^{\lambda T}-1}{2 \lambda\left(1+e^{\lambda T}\right)} K_{2} T:=M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}= & \left|\mu \max _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{T} G^{* *}(t, s)\left[f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)-\lambda^{2} x(s)\right] d s\right| \\
\leq & \max _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{T}\left|G^{* *}(t, s)\right|\left[\alpha_{2} \mu\left(x(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T} \max _{t \in J}\left|G^{* \prime}(t, s)\right|\left[\alpha _ { 2 } \left(x ( s ) \left(\mu f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\lambda^{2}(1-\mu) x(s)\right)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha_{2}\left(x(s) x^{\prime \prime}(s)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha_{2}\left(x(s) x^{\prime \prime}(s)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right)+K_{2}\right] d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}\left[x(T) x^{\prime}(T)-x(0) x^{\prime}(0)\right]+\frac{1}{2} K_{2} T . \\
= & \frac{1}{2} K_{2} T:=N_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.2 holds for positive constants $M=M_{2}+1$ and $N=N_{2}+1$. The solvability of (1.1) and (1.2) now follows.

Example 4.1 Consider the anti-periodic boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=x(t)+x(t)\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\sin t, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{4.4}\\
x(0)=-x(1), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=-x^{\prime}(1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim (4.4) has at least one solution.

Proof Let $T=1$, and $f(t, p, q)=p+p q^{2}+\sin t$ in Theorem 4.2. Choose $\lambda=1$, we get for $(t, p, q) \in[0,1] \times R^{2}$ that

$$
|f(t, p, q)-p|=\left|p q^{2}+\sin t\right| \leq|p| q^{2}+\sin t
$$

and

$$
p f(t, p, q)+q^{2}=p^{2}+p^{2} q^{2}+p \sin t+q^{2} \geq p^{2}+p^{2} q^{2}+q^{2}-|p| .
$$

Note $\min _{v \geq 0}\left\{v^{2}-v\right\}>-1$, we have $p^{2} q^{2}+q^{2}-|p| q^{2}=q^{2}\left(p^{2}-|p|+1\right)>0$. Thus, for $\alpha_{2}=1$, $K_{2}=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(t, p, q)-p| & \leq|p| q^{2}+\sin t \leq|p| q^{2}+1 \\
& \leq q^{2}+p^{2} q^{2}+1 \\
& \leq q^{2}+p^{2} q^{2}+p^{2}-|p|+2 \\
& \leq \alpha_{2}\left[p f(t, p, q)+q^{2}\right]+K_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.2.

Now, we reconsider the problem (1.2) and (1.3). The following result is obtained.

Theorem 4.3 Supposef: $[0, T] \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous. If there exist nonnegative constants $\alpha_{3}, K_{3}$ and $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\left|f(t, p)-\lambda^{2} p\right|<\alpha_{3} p f(t, p)+K_{3}, \quad \text { for }(t, p) \in[0, T] \times R,
$$

then (1.2) and (1.3) has at least one solution.

Proof The proof is similar to Theorem 4.2 and here we omit it.

An example to highlight the Theorem 4.3 is presented.

Example 4.2 Consider the anti-periodic boundary value problem given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=x^{3}+x+t, \quad t \in[0,10]  \tag{4.5}\\
x(0)+x(10)=0, \\
x^{\prime}(0)+x^{\prime}(10)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim (4.5) has at least one solution.

Proof Let $f(t, p)=p^{3}+p+t$ and see that $|f(t, p)-p| \leq|p|^{3}+10$ for $(t, p) \in[0,10] \times R$. For $\alpha_{3}, K_{3}$ and $\lambda$ to be chosen below, see that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{3} p & f(t, p)+K_{3} \\
& =\alpha_{3}\left(p^{4}+p^{2}+p t\right)+K_{3} \\
& =\left(p^{4}+1\right)+\left[p^{2}+p t+39\right] \quad \text { for the choices } \alpha_{3}=1, K_{3}=40 \\
& =\left(p^{4}+1\right)+\left[(p+t / 2)^{2}+39-t^{2} / 4\right] \\
& \geq\left(\left|p^{3}\right|\right)+10 \text { for the choose } \lambda=1 \\
& \geq|f(t, p)-p| \quad \text { for all }(t, p) \in[0,10] \times R .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the conditions of Theorem 4.3 hold and the solvability follows.

Remark 4.1 The results of [3] do not apply to the above example since $|f(t, p)|$ grows more than linearly in $|p|$. Therefore, we improve the previous results.


Figure 1 Solutions found by numerical stimulations with (a): $f(t, p)=p^{3}+p+t, T=10$ in equation (4.5); (b): $f(t, p, q)=p+p q^{2}+\sin t, T=1$ in equation (4.4).

Finally, in order to illustrate our main results, we use the 'bvp4c' package in MATLAB to simulate. As shown in Figure 1(a) and (b), numerical simulations also suggest that Examples 4.1 and 4.2 with the given coefficients admit at least one solution.
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