RESEARCH

Boundary Value Problems a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access

The existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of nonlinear sixth-order boundary value problem with three variable coefficients

Wanjun Li

Correspondence: lwj1965@163.com Department of Mathematics, Longdong University, Qingyang 745000, Gansu, P. R. China

Abstract

In this article, we discuss the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the sixth-order boundary value problem with three variable parameters as follows:

 $\begin{cases} u^{(6)} + A(t)u^{(4)} + B(t)u^{(2)} + C(t)u + f(x, u) = 0, \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$

where A(t), B(t), $C(t) \in C[0,1]$, $f(t, u) : [0,1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous. The proof of our main result is based upon spectral theory of operators and fixed point theorem in cone.

Keywords: sixth-order differential equation, positive solution, fixed point theorem, spectral theory of operators

1 Introduction

In this article, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solution for the following nonlinear sixth-order boundary value problem (BVP for short) with three variable parameters

$$\begin{cases} -u^{(6)} - C(t)u^{(4)} + B(t)u'' - A(t)u = f(t, u), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where A(t), B(t), $C(t) \in C[0,1]$, $f(t, u) : [0,1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

In recent years, BVPs for sixth-order ordinary differential equations have been studied extensively, see [1-7] and the references therein. For example, Tersian and Chaparova [1] have studied the existence of positive solutions for the following systems (1.2):

$$\begin{cases} u^{(6)} + Au^{(4)} + Bu'' + Cu - f(t, u) = 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \\ u(0) = u(L) = u''(0) = u''(L) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(L) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where *A*, *B*, and *C* are some given real constants and f(x, u) is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^2 , is motivated by the study for stationary solutions of the sixth-order parabolic differential equations



© 2012 Li; licensee Springer. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} + A \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + B \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(x, u).$$

This equation arose in the formation of the spatial periodic patterns in bistable systems and is also a model for describing the behaviour of phase fronts in materials that are undergoing a transition between the liquid and solid state. When $f(x, u) = u - u^3$, it was studied by Gardner and Jones [2] as well as by Caginalp and Fife [3]. In [1], existence of nontrivial solutions for (1.2) is proved using a minimization theorem and a multiplicity result using Clarks theorem when C = 1 and $f(x, u) = u^3$. The authors have studied also the homoclinic solutions for (1.2) when C = -1 and $f(x, u) = -a(x)u|u|^{\sigma}$, where a(x) is a positive periodic function and σ is a positive constant by the mountain-pass theorem of Brezis-Nirenberg and concentration-compactness arguments. In [4], by variational tools, including two Brezis-Nirenbergs linking theorems, Gyulov et al. have studied the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions of BVP (1.2).

Recently, in [5], the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of sixth-order BVP with three parameters

$$\begin{cases} -u^{(6)} - \gamma u^{(4)} + \beta u'' - \alpha u = f(t, u), & t \in [0, 1], \\ u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(i)}(1) = 0, & i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

has been studied under the hypothesis of

 $(A_1) f: [0,1] \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous. $(A_2) \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ and under the condition of satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{\pi^6} + \frac{\beta}{\pi^4} + \frac{\gamma}{\pi^2} < 1, \\ 3\pi^4 - 2\gamma\pi^2 - \beta > 0, \quad \gamma < 3\pi^2, \\ 18\alpha\beta\gamma - \beta^2\gamma^2 + 4\alpha\gamma^3 + 27\alpha^2 - 4\beta^3 \le 0, \end{aligned}$$

the existence and multiplicity for positive solution of BVP (1.3) are established by using fixed point index theory. In this article, we consider more general BVP (1.1), based upon spectral theory of operators and fixed point theorem in cone, we will establish the existence and multiplicity positive solution of BVP (1.1) and extend the result of [5] under appropriate conditions. Our ideas mainly come from [5,8-10].

We list the following conditions for convenience:

 $(H_1) f: [0,1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous.

(*H*₂) A(t), B(t), $C(t) \in C[0,1]$, $\alpha = \min_{0 \le t \le 1} A(t)$, $\beta = \min_{0 \le t \le 1} B(t)$, $\gamma = \min_{0 \le t \le 1} C(t)$, and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{\pi^6} + \frac{\beta}{\pi^4} + \frac{\gamma}{\pi^2} < 1, \\ 3\pi^4 - 2\gamma\pi^2 - \beta > 0, \quad \gamma < 3\pi^2, \\ 18\alpha\beta\gamma - \beta^2\gamma^2 + 4\alpha\gamma^3 + 27\alpha^2 - 4\beta^3 \le 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let Y = C[0,1], $Y_+ = \{u \in Y : u(t) \ge 0, t \in [0,1]\}$. It is well known that Y is a Banach space equipped with the norm $||u||_0 = \sup_{0\le t\le 1} |u(t)|$, $u \in Y$. Set $X = \{u \in C^4[0,1] : u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0\}$, then X also is a Banach space equipped with the norm $||u||_X = \max \{||u(t)||_0, ||u''(t)||_0, ||u^{(4)}(t)||_0\}$. If $u \in C^4[0,1] \cap C^6(0,1)$ fulfils BVP (1.1),

then we call u is a solution of BVP (1.1). If u is a solution of BVP (1.1), and u(t) > 0, $t \in (0, 1)$, then we say u is a positive solution of BVP (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will make some preliminaries which are needed to show our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in X$, then $||u||_0 \le ||u^{(4)}||_0 \le ||u||_X$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the Lemma 1 in [8], so we omit it. \Box

Lemma 2.2. [5] Let λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 be the roots of the polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + \gamma \lambda^2 - \beta \lambda + \alpha$. Suppose that condition (H_2) holds, then λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 are real and greater than $-\pi^2$.

Note : Based on Lemma 2.2, it is easy to learn that when the three parameters satisfy the condition of (H_2) , they satisfy the condition of non-resonance.

Let $G_i(t, s)(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be the Green's function of the linear BVP

$$-u^{\prime\prime}(t) + \lambda_{i}u(t) = 0, \ u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

Lemma 2.3. $[10]G_i(t, s)(i = 1, 2, 3)$ has the following properties

(c1) $G_i(t, s) > 0, \forall t, s \in (0, 1).$

(c2) $G_i(t, s) < C_iG_i(s, s), \forall t, s \in [0,1]$, in which $C_i > 0$ is constant.

(c3) $G_i(t, s) \ge \delta_i G_i(t, t) G_i(s, s)$, $\forall t, s \in [0,1]$, in which $\delta_i > 0$ is constant. We set

$$M_{i} = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} G_{i}(s, s), \quad m_{i} = \min_{\substack{\frac{1}{4} \le t \le \frac{3}{4}}} G_{i}(s, s), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(2.1)

$$C_{ij} = \int_{0}^{1} G_i(\delta, \delta) G_j(\delta, \delta) d\delta, \quad c_{ij} = \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_i(\delta, \delta) G_j(\delta, \delta) d\delta, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(2.2)

$$D_{i} = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{i}(t, s) ds, \quad d_{i} = \max_{\substack{1 \\ 4 \le t \le 3 \\ 4 \\ \frac{1}{4} \le t \le 4 \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4}} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{i}(t, s) ds \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
(2.3)

then starting from Lemma 2.3 we know M_i , m_i , $C_{ij} > 0$. For any $h \in Y$, take into consideration of linear BVP:

$$\begin{cases} -u^{(6)} - \gamma u^{(4)} + \beta u'' - \alpha u = h(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

where α , β , γ satisfy assumption (H_2). Since

$$-u^{(6)} - \gamma u^{(4)} + \beta u'' - \alpha u = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_1\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_2\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_3\right) u, \quad (2.5)$$

then for any $h \in Y$, the LBVP(2.4) has a unique solution u, which we denoted by Ah = u. The operator A can be expressed by

$$u(t) = (Ah)(t) := \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, \delta)G_{2}(\delta, \tau)G_{3}(\tau, s)h(t)dsd\tau d\delta.$$
(2.6)

Lemma 2.4. The linear operator $A : Y \to X$ is completely continuous and $||A|| \leq \varpi$, where $\varpi = |\lambda_2 + \lambda_3|(C_1C_2C_3M_1M_2M_3|\lambda_3| + C_1C_2M_1M_2) + |\lambda_2\lambda_3|(C_1C_2C_3M_1M_2M_3 + C_1M_1)$.

Proof. It is easy to show that the operator $A: Y \to X$ is linear operator. $\forall h \in Y, u = Ah \in X, u(0) = u(1) = u^{(0)} = u^{(1)} = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0$. Let $v = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_2\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_3\right) u$, that is

$$v = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_2\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_3\right) u = u^{(4)} - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) u'' + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 u, \qquad (2.7)$$

by (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} -v'' + \lambda_1 v = h(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ v(0) = v(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $v(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)h(s)ds, t \in [0, 1]$, so

$$u^{(4)} - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) u'' + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 u = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) h(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$
 (2.8)

By (2.6), for any $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$|u(t)| \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,\delta)G_{2}(\delta,\tau)G_{3}(\tau,s)|h(t)|dsd\tau d\delta \leq C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}M_{3}||h||_{0}.$$
 (2.9)

Again, let $\omega = -u'' + \lambda_3 u$, then $\omega(0) = \omega(1) = \omega''(0) = \omega''(1) = 0$, by (2,5), we have

$$\begin{cases} \omega^{(4)} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\omega'' + \lambda_1\lambda_2\omega = h(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ \omega(0) = \omega(1) = \omega''(0) = \omega''(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

Then $\omega(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(t,\tau)G_2(\tau,s)h(s)dsd\tau$, $t \in [0,1]$, that is

$$-u'' + \lambda_3 u = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(t,\tau) G_2(\tau,s) h(s) ds d\tau, \quad t \in [0,1].$$
(2.11)

So

$$|u''| \le C_1 C_2 M_1 M_2 (1 + |\lambda_3| C_3 M_3) ||h||_0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$
(2.12)

Based on (2.8), (2.9), and (2.12), we have

$$|u^{(4)}(t)| \leq |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}||u''(t)| + |\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}||u(t)| + \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)|h(s)|ds$$

$$\leq |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}|(C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}M_{3}|\lambda_{3}| + C_{1}C_{2}M_{1}M_{2})||h||_{0}$$

$$+ |\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}|(C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}M_{3}||h||_{0} + C_{1}M_{1})||h||_{0}$$

$$\leq \varpi ||h||_{0}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$
(2.13)

where

So, $||u^{(4)}(t)|| \le \varpi ||h||_0$, by Lemma2.1, $||u||_X \le \varpi ||h||_0$, then

$$||Ah||_X \le \varpi ||h||_0,$$
 (2.15)

so *A* is continuous, and $||A|| \leq \varpi$.

Next, we will show that *A* is compact with respect to the norm $||\cdot||_X$ on *X*.

Suppose $\{h_n\}(n = 1, 2, ...)$ an arbitrary bounded sequence in *Y*, then there exists $K_0 > 0$ such that $||h_n||_0 \le K_0$, n = 1, 2, ... Let $u_n = Ah_n$, 1, 2, ... By (2.8), $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, $t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u_{n}^{(4)}(t_{2}) - u_{n}^{(4)}(t_{1}) \right| \\ &\leq |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}| |u''_{n}(t_{2}) - u''_{n}(t_{1})| + |\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}| |u_{n}(t_{2}) - u_{n}(t_{1})| + \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},s) - G_{1}(t_{1},s)| |h_{n}(s)| ds. \\ &\leq |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}| \left(|\lambda_{3}| |u_{n}(t_{2}) - u_{n}(t_{1})| + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},\tau) - G_{1}(t_{1},\tau)| |G_{2}(\tau,s)| |h_{n}(s)| dsd\tau \right) \\ &+ |\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}| |u_{n}(t_{2}) - u_{n}(t_{1})| + \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},s) - G_{1}(t_{1},s)| |h_{n}(s)| ds. \\ &\leq (\lambda_{3}^{2} + 2|\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}|) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},\delta) - G_{1}(t_{1},\delta)| |G_{2}(\delta,\tau)| |G_{3}(\tau,s)| |h_{n}(s)| dsd\tau d\delta. \\ &+ |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}| \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},\tau) - G_{1}(t_{1},\tau)| |G_{2}(\tau,s)| |h_{n}(s)| dsd\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},s) - G_{1}(t_{1},s)| |h_{n}(s)| ds. \\ &\leq \left((\lambda_{3}^{2} + 2|\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}|) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},\delta) - G_{1}(t_{1},\delta)| G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) dsd\tau d\delta. \\ &+ |\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}| \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},\tau) - G_{1}(t_{1},\tau) G_{2}(\tau,s) dsd\tau + \int_{0}^{1} |G_{1}(t_{2},s) - G_{1}(t_{1},s)| ds \right) K_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $G_i(t, s)(i = 1, 2, 3)$ is uniform continuity on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, based on the above demonstration, it is easy to proof that $\left\{u_n^{(4)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous on [0,1]. From (2.15), we know $||u||_0$, $||u^{(4)}||_0 \le ||u||_X \le \varpi ||h_n||_0 \le \varpi K_0$, so $\left\{u_n(t)\right\}$, $\left\{u_n''(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{u_n^{(4)}(t)\right\}$ are relatively compact in **R**. Based on Lemma 1.2.7 in [11], we know $\left\{u_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the relatively compact in *X*, so *A* is compact operator. \Box

The main tools of this article are the following well-known fixed point index theorems.

Let *E* be a Banach Space and $K \subseteq E$ be a closed convex cone in *E*. Assume that Ω is a bounded open subset of *E* with boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $K \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $A: K \cap \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow K$ be a completely continuous mapping. If $Au \neq u$ for every $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega$, then the fixed point index $i(A, K \cap \Omega, K)$ is well defined. We have that if $i(A, K \cap \Omega, K) \neq 0$, then A has a fixed point in $K \cap \Omega$.

Let $K_r = \{u \in K \mid ||u|| < r\}$ and $\partial K_r = \{u \in K \mid ||u|| < r\}$ for every r > 0.

Lemma 2.5. [12] Let $A : K \to K$ be a completely continuous mapping. If $\mu Au \neq u$ for every $u \in \partial K_r$ and $0 < \mu \le 1$, then $i(A, K_r, K) = 1$.

Lemma 2.6. [12] Let $A : K \to K$ be a completely continuous mapping. Suppose that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\inf_{u \in \partial K_r} ||Au|| > 0$,

(ii) $\mu A u \neq u$ for every $u \in \partial K_r$ and $\mu \geq 1$,

then $i(A, K_r, K) = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. [12] Let *X* be a Banach space, and let $K \subseteq X$ be a cone in *X*. For p > 0, define $K_p = \{u \in K | ||u|| < p\}$. Assume that $A : K_p \to K$ is a completely continuous mapping such that $Au \neq u$ for every $u \in \partial K_p = \{u \in K | ||u|| = p\}$.

(i) If $||u|| \le ||Au||$, for every $u \in \partial K_p$, then $i(A, K_p, K) = 0$.

(ii) If $||u|| \ge ||Au||$, for every $u \in \partial K_p$, then $i(A, K_p, K) = 1$.

3 Main results

We bring in following notations in this section:

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{f_{-0}}_{u \to 0_{+}} \inf \min_{0 \le t \le 1} (f(t, u)/u), \quad \bar{f}_{\infty} = \lim_{u \to +\infty} \sup \max_{0 \le t \le 1} (f(t, u)/u), \\ & \bar{f}_{0} = \lim_{u \to 0_{+}} \sup \max_{0 \le t \le 1} (f(t, u)/u), \quad \underline{f}_{\infty} = \lim_{u \to +\infty} \inf \min_{0 \le t \le 1} (f(t, u)/u). \\ & a(t) = A(t) - \alpha, \quad b(t) = B(t) - \beta, \quad c(t) = C(t) - \gamma, \\ & \Gamma = \pi^{6} - \gamma \pi^{4} - \beta \pi^{2} - \alpha, \quad K = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} [a(t) + b(t) + c(t)], \end{split}$$

Suppose that:

(*H*₃) $L = \square K < 1$, where \square is defined as in (2.14).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (H_1) - (H_3) hold, and $b(t) \ge (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)c(t)$, $\lambda_3b(t) - a(t) \le \lambda_3^2c(t)$, then in each of the following cases:

(i) $\underline{f}_0 > \Gamma$, $\overline{f}_\infty < (1 - L)\Gamma$, (ii) $\overline{f}_0 < (1 - L)\Gamma$, $\underline{f}_\infty > \Gamma$, the BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. $\forall h \in Y$, consider the LBVP

$$\begin{cases} -u^{(6)} - C(t)u^{(4)} + B(t)u'' - A(t)u = h(t), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 < t < 1$$
(3.1)

It is easy to prove (3.1) is equivalent to the following BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u^{(6)} - \gamma u^{(4)} + \beta u'' - \alpha = Gu + h(t), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases} \qquad (3.2)$$

where $Gv := (C(t) - \gamma)v^{(4)} - (B(t) - \beta)v^{(4)} + (A(t) - \alpha)v$, $\forall v \in X$. Obviously, the operator $G: X \to Y$ is linear, and $\forall v \in X$, $t \in [0,1]$, we have $|Gv(t)| \le K ||v||_X$. Hence $||Gv||_0 \le K ||v||_X$, and so $||G|| \le K$. On the other hand, $u \in C^4[0,1] \cap C^6(0,1)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a solution of (3.2) iff $u \in X$ satisfies u = A(Gu + h), i.e.,

$$u \in X, \quad (I - AG)u = Ah. \tag{3.3}$$

Owing to $G: X \to Y$ and $A: Y \to X$, the operator I - AG maps X into Y. From $A \le \varpi$ (by Lemma 2.4) together with $||G|| \le K$ and condition (H_3), applying operator spectral theorem, we have that the operator $(I - AG)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded. Let $H = (I - AG)^{-1}A$, then (3.3) is equivalent to u = Hh. By the Neumann expansion formula, H can be expressed by

$$H = (I + AG + \dots + (AG)^{n} + \dots)A = A + (AG)A + \dots + (AG)^{n}A + \dots$$
(3.4)

The complete continuity of A with the continuity of $(I - AG)^{-1}$ yields that the operator $H: Y \to X$ is completely continuous. If we restrict $H: Y_+ \to Y$, $\forall h \in Y_+$ and mark u = Ah, then $u \in X \cap Y_+$. Based on equation (2.8), (2.11) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$u'' = \lambda_3 u - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(t,\tau) G_2(\tau,s) h(s) ds d\tau \le \lambda_3 u, \quad t \in [0,1],$$
$$u^{(4)} = (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) u'' - \lambda_2 \lambda_3 u + \int_0^1 G_1(t,s) h(s) ds \ge (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) u'' - \lambda_2 \lambda_3 u, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

by $b(t) \ge (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)c(t)$ and $\lambda_3 b(t) - a(t) \le \lambda_3^2 c(t)$, we have

$$(Gu)(t) = c(t)u^{(4)} - b(t)u'' + a(t)u$$

$$\geq \left[(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)c(t) - b(t) \right] u'' - \left[\lambda_2 \lambda_3 c(t) - a(t) \right] u$$

$$\geq \lambda_3 \left[(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)c(t) - b(t) \right] u - \left[\lambda_2 \lambda_3 c(t) - a(t) \right] u$$

$$\geq \left[\lambda_3^2 c(t) - \lambda_3 b(t) + a(t) \right] u \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\forall h \in Y_+, \quad (GAh)(t) \ge 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \tag{3.5}$$

and so $(AG)(Ah)(t) = A(GAh)(t) \ge 0$, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. Suppose that $\forall h \in Y_+$, $(AG)^k (Ah)(t) \ge 0$, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. For any $h \in Y_+$, let $h_1 = GAh$, by (3.5) we have $h_1 \in Y_+$, and so

$$(AG)^{k+1}(Ah)(t) = (AG)^{k}(AGAh)(t) = (AG)^{k}(Ah_{1})(t) \ge 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Thus by induction it follows that $\forall n \ge 1$, $\forall h \in Y_+$, $(AG)^n (Ah)(t) \ge 0$, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. By (3.4), we have

$$\forall h \in Y_+, \ (Hh)(t) = (Ah)(t) + (AG)(Ah)(t) + \dots + (AG)^n (Ah)(t) + \dots$$

$$\geq (Ah)(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$
 (3.6)

So $H: Y_+ \to Y_+ \cap X$. On the other hand, we have

$$\forall h \in Y_{+}, \ (Hh)(t) \leq (Ah)(t) + ||(AG)||(Ah)(t) + \dots + ||(AG)^{n}||(Ah)(t) + \dots \\ \leq (1 + L + \dots + L^{n} + \dots)(Ah)(t) \\ \leq \frac{1}{1 - L}(Ah)(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

$$(3.7)$$

So the following inequalities hold

$$||Hh||_0 \le \frac{1}{1-L} ||Ah||_0, \quad \forall t \in [0,1].$$
 (3.8)

For any $u \in Y_+$, define Fu = f(t, u). Based on condition (H_1) , it is easy to show $F : Y_+ \to Y_+$ is continuous. By (3.1)-(3.3), It is easy to see that $u \in C^4[0,1] \cap C^6(0, 1)$ is a positive solution of BVP (1.1) iff $u \in Y_+$ is a nonzero solution of an operator equation as follows

$$u = HFu. \tag{3.9}$$

Let Q = HF. Obviously, $Q : Y_+ \to Y_+$ is completely continuous. We next show that the operator Q has at least one nonzero fixed point in Y_+ .

Let

$$P = \{ u \in Y_+ | u(t) \ge \sigma | |u||_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \}.$$

In which

$$\sigma = \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 C_{12} C_{23}}{C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2} (1 - L) G_1(t, t). \tag{3.10}$$

Here M_1 and M_2 can be defined as that in (2.1), C_{12} and C_{23} can be defined as that in (2.2), $C_{i\nu}\delta_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$ can be defined as that in Lemma 2.3. It is easy to prove that P is a cone in Y. We will prove $QP \subseteq P$ next.

For any $u \in P$, let h = Fu, then $h \in Y_+$. By (3.6) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(Qu)(t) = (HFu)(t) \ge (AFu)(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, for all $u \in P$, we have

$$(AFu)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,\delta)G_{2}(\delta,\tau)G_{3}(\tau,s)(Fu)(s)dsd\tau d\delta$$

$$\leq C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2} \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s)(Fu)(s)ds.$$

And accordingly we have $||AFu||_0 \le C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2 \int_0^1 G_3(s,s)(Fu)(s) ds$, that is

$$\int_0^1 G_3(s,s)(Fu)(s)ds \ge \frac{||AFu||_0}{C_1C_2C_3M_1M_2}.$$
(3.11)

By using (c3) in Lemma 2.3, (3.8) and (3.11), we have

$$(AFu)(t) \geq \delta_{1}\delta_{2}\delta_{3}C_{12}C_{23}G_{1}(t,t)\int_{0}^{1}G_{3}(s,s)(Fu)(s)ds$$
$$\geq \frac{\delta_{1}\delta_{2}\delta_{3}C_{12}C_{23}G_{1}(t,t)}{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}||AFu||_{0}$$
$$\geq \frac{\delta_{1}\delta_{2}\delta_{3}C_{12}C_{23}G_{1}(t,t)}{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}(1-L)||HFu||_{0}$$
$$\geq \frac{\delta_{1}\delta_{2}\delta_{3}C_{12}C_{23}G_{1}(t,t)}{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}(1-L)||Qu||_{0}.$$

So $(Qu)(t) \ge \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 C_{12} C_{23} G_1(t,t)}{C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2} (1-L) ||Qu||_0 = \sigma ||Qu||_0$. Thus $QP \subseteq P$. Let

$$\rho = \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 C_{12} C_{23} m_1 (1 - L)}{C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2},\tag{3.12}$$

in which m_1 can be defined as that in (2.1). It's easy to prove

$$\forall u \in P \Rightarrow u(t) \ge \rho ||u||_0, \quad \forall t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$
(3.13)

Case (i), since $\underline{f}_0 > \Gamma$, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $f(t, x) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)x$, $0 \le t \le 1$, $0 < x \le r_0$. Let $r \in (0, r_0)$ and $\Omega_r = \{u \in P \mid ||u||_0 \le r\}$, then for every $u \in \partial \Omega_r$, we have $||u||_0 = r$, $0 < u(t) \le r$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and so $f(t, u(t)) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)u(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$. By (3.13), it follows that

$$f(t, u(t)) > (\Gamma + \varepsilon)u(t) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)\rho r, \quad \forall t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$
 (3.14)

From (3.6) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{split} ||Qu||_{0} &\geq Qu\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (HFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq (AFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) f(s,u(s)) ds d\tau d\delta \\ &\geq \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho r ds d\tau d\delta \\ &\geq \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} m_{1} C_{12} C_{23} (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho r \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{3}(s,s) ds. \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} m_{1} m_{3} C_{12} C_{23} (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho r > 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\inf_{u \in \partial \Omega_r} ||Qu||_0 > 0$. Now we shall prove $\forall u \in \partial \Omega_r$, $\mu \ge 1$, $\mu Qu \ne u$. In fact, suppose the contrary, then there exist $u_0 \in \partial \Omega_r$, and $\mu_0 \ge 1$ such that $\mu_0 Qu_0 = u_0$. By

(3.6), we have $u_0(t) \ge \frac{1}{\mu_0}u_0(t) = Qu_0(t) \ge (AFu_0)(t)$. Let $\omega_0 = AFu_0$, then $u_0 \ge \omega_0$ and $\omega_0(t)$ satisfies BVP (2.4) with $h = Fu_0$. Hence

$$\begin{cases} -\omega_0^{(6)} - \gamma \omega_0^{(4)} + \beta \omega''_0 - \alpha \omega_0 = f(t, u_0), & t \in (0, 1), \\ \omega_0(0) = \omega_0(1) = \omega''_0(0) = \omega''_0(1) = \omega_0^{(4)}(0) = \omega_0^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

After multiplying the two sides of the first equation in (3.15) by sin π*t* and integrating on [0,1], we have

$$\Gamma \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt = \int_{0}^{1} f(t, u_{0}(t)) \sin \pi t dt,$$

then

$$(\Gamma + \varepsilon) \int_{0}^{1} u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt \leq \int_{0}^{1} f(t, u_0(t)) \sin \pi t dt$$

$$= \Gamma \int_{0}^{1} \omega_0(t) \sin \pi t dt \leq \Gamma \int_{0}^{1} u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt.$$
(3.16)

Since $u_0(t) \ge \rho \|u_0\|_0 = \rho r$, $\forall t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$, so $\int_0^1 u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt > 0$ and we see that $\Gamma + \varepsilon < \Gamma$, which is a contradiction. Then based on Lemma 2.6, we come to

$$i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 0.$$
 (3.17)

On the other hand, since $\overline{f}_{\infty} < (1-L)\Gamma$, there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, (1-L)\Gamma)$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that $f(t, x) \leq [(1-L)\Gamma - \varepsilon] x, 0 \leq t \leq 1, x > R_0$. Let $M_{R_0} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq x \leq R_0} f(t, x)$. Then

$$f(t,x) < [(1-L)\Gamma - \varepsilon]x + M_{R_0}, \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \quad x \ge 0.$$

We choose $R > \max\left\{R_0, r, \frac{\sqrt{2}M_{R_0}}{\rho\varepsilon}\right\}$ and let $\Omega_R = \{u \in P | ||u||_0 < R\}$. Next we prove $\forall u \in \partial \Omega_R, \mu \ge 1, \mu u \ne Qu$. Assume on the contrary that $\exists \mu_0 \ge 1, u_0 \in \partial \Omega_R$, such that $\mu_0 u_0 = Qu_0$. Let $\omega_1 = AFu_0$, by (3.6), we have $u_0 \le \mu_0 u_0 = Qu_0 \le \frac{1}{1-L}AFu_0 \le \frac{1}{1-L}\omega_1$ and $\omega_1(t)$ satisfies BVP (2.4) with $h = Fu_0$. Similarly to (3.16), we can prove

$$(1-L)\Gamma \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt \leq \Gamma \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{1}(t) \sin \pi t dt = \int_{0}^{1} f(t, u_{0}(t)) \sin \pi t dt$$

$$\leq \left[(1-L)\Gamma - \varepsilon \right] \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt + M_{R_{0}} \int_{0}^{1} \sin \pi t dt,$$
(3.18)

and so

$$M_{R_0} \int_{0}^{1} \sin \pi t dt \ge \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt \ge \varepsilon \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt$$

$$\ge \rho \varepsilon ||u_0||_0 \int_{0}^{1} \sin \pi t dt,$$
(3.19)

Thus, by (3.19), we have $R = ||u_0||_0 \le \frac{\sqrt{2}M_{R_0}}{\rho\epsilon}$ which is contradictory with $R > \frac{\sqrt{2}M_{R_0}}{\rho\epsilon}$.

Then by Lemma 2.5 we know

$$i(Q, \Omega_R, P) = 1.$$
 (3.20)

Now, by the additivity of fixed point index, combine (3.17) and (3.20) to conclude that

$$i(Q, \Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r, P) = i(Q, \Omega_R, P) - i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 1.$$

Therefore *Q* has a fixed point in $\Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r$, which is the positive solution of BVP (1.1).

Case (ii), since $\bar{f}_0 < (1 - L)\Gamma$, based on the definition of \bar{f}_0 , we may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega > 0$, so that

$$f(t,u) \leq \left[(1-L)\Gamma - \varepsilon \right] u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq u \leq \omega.$$
(3.21)

Let $r \in (0, \omega)$, we now prove that $\mu Qu \neq u$ for every $u \in \partial \Omega_r$, and $0 < \mu \leq 1$. In fact, suppose the contrary, then there exist $u_0 \in \partial \Omega_r$, and $0 < \mu_0 \leq 1$ such that $\mu_0 Qu_0 = u_0$. Let $\omega_2 = AFu_0$, by (3.6), we have $u_0 = \mu_0 Qu_0 \leq \frac{1}{1-L}AFu_0 \leq \frac{1}{1-L}\omega_2$ and $\omega_2(t)$ satisfies BVP (2.4) with $h = Fu_0$. Similarly to (3.18), we have

$$(1-L)\Gamma\int_{0}^{1}u_{0}(t)\sin\pi tdt \leq \Gamma\int_{0}^{1}\omega_{2}(t)\sin\pi tdt = \int_{0}^{1}f(t,u_{0}(t))\sin\pi tdt$$

$$\leq \left[(1-L)\Gamma-\varepsilon\right]\int_{0}^{1}u_{0}(t)\sin\pi tdt.$$
(3.22)

Since $\int_0^1 u_0(t) \sin \pi t dt > 0$, We see that $(1 - L)\Gamma \le (1 - L)\Gamma - \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 1. \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, because $\underline{f}_{\infty} > \Gamma$, there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, \Gamma)$ and H > 0 such that

$$f(t,x) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)x, \quad t \in [0,1], \quad x > H.$$
(3.24)

Let $C = \max_{0 \le t \le 1, 0 \le x \le H} |f(t,x) - (\Gamma + \varepsilon)x| + 1$, then it is clear that

$$f(t,x) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)x - C, \quad t \in [0,1], \quad x \ge 0.$$
(3.25)

Choose $R > R_0 = \max \{H/\rho, \omega\}, \forall u \in \partial \Omega_R$. By (3.13) and (3.25), we have

$$u(s) \ge \rho ||u||_0 > H, \quad \forall s \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$

And so

$$f(s, u(s)) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)u(s) \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon)\rho||u||_0, \quad \forall s \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$
(3.26)

From (3.6) and (3.26), we get

$$||Qu||_{0} \geq Qu\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (HFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq (AFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) f(s,u(s)) ds d\tau d\delta$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho ||u||_{0} ds d\tau d\delta$$

$$\geq \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} m_{1} C_{12} C_{23} (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho ||u||_{0} \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s) ds.$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} m_{1} m_{3} C_{12} C_{23} (\Gamma+\varepsilon) \rho ||u||_{0} > 0,$$

from which we see that $\inf_{u \in \partial \Omega_r} ||Qu||_0 > 0$, namely the hypotheses (i) of Lemma 2.6 holds. Next, we show that if *R* is large enough, then $\mu Qu \neq u$ for any $u \in \partial \Omega_R$ and $\mu \geq 1$. In fact, suppose the contrary, then there exist $u_0 \in \partial \Omega_R$ and $\mu_0 \geq 1$ such that $\mu_0 Qu_0 = u_0$, then by (3.6), $AFu_0 \leq Qu_0 \leq u_0 = \mu_0 Qu_0 \leq \frac{\mu_0}{1-L} AFu_0$. Let $\omega_0 = AFu_0$, then $\omega_0 \leq u_0 \leq \frac{\mu_0}{1-L} \omega_0$, and ω_0 satisfies BVP (2.4), in which $h = Fu_0$, consequently,

$$\begin{cases} -\omega_0^{(6)} - \gamma \omega_0^{(4)} + \beta \omega''_0 - \alpha \omega_0 = f(t, u_0), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \omega_0(0) = \omega_0(1) = \omega''_0(0) = \omega''_0(1) = \omega_0^{(4)}(0) = \omega_0^{(4)}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.27)

After multiplying the two sides of the first equation in (3.27) by sin πt and integrating on [0,1], we have

$$\Gamma \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt = \int_{0}^{1} f(t, u_{0}(t)) \sin \pi t dt \ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon) \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt - \frac{2C}{\pi}$$
$$\ge (\Gamma + \varepsilon) \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt - \frac{2C}{\pi}.$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \omega_0(t) \sin \pi t dt \le \frac{2C}{\pi \varepsilon}.$$
(3.28)

It's easy to prove that $\omega_0(t)$, the solution of LBVF (3.27) satisfies

$$\omega_0(t) \geq \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 C_{12} C_{23}}{C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2} G_1(t, t) ||\omega_0||_0,$$

and accordingly,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \omega_{0}(t) \sin \pi t dt \geq \frac{\delta_{1} \delta_{2} \delta_{3} C_{12} C_{23} ||\omega_{0}||_{0}}{C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} M_{1} M_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, t) \sin \pi t dt, \qquad (3.29)$$

by (3.28), we get

$$||\omega_0||_0 \le \frac{2CC_1C_2C_3M_1M_2}{\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3C_{12}C_{23}\pi\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^1 G_1(t,t)\sin\pi tdt\right)^{-1} := \bar{R},$$
(3.30)

Consequently, $||u_0||_0 \leq \frac{\mu_0}{1-L} ||\omega_0||_0 \leq \frac{\mu_0}{1-L} \overline{R}$.

We choose $R > \max\{\frac{\mu_0}{1-L}\overline{R}, R_0\}$, then to any $u \in \partial \Omega_R$, $\mu \ge 1$, there is always $\mu Qu \neq u$. Hence, hypothesis (ii) of Lemma 2.6 also holds. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$i(Q, \Omega_R, P) = 0.$$
 (3.31)

Now, by the additivity of fixed point index, combine (3.23) and (3.31) to conclude that

$$i(Q, \Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r, P) = i(Q, \Omega_R, P) - i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = -1.$$

Therefore, *Q* has a fixed poind in $\Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r$, which is the positive solution of BVP (1.1). The proof is completed. \Box

From Theorem 3.1, we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 3.1. Assume (H_1) - (H_3) hold, then in each of the following cases:

(i) $f_{-0} = \infty$, $\bar{f}_{\infty} = 0$, (ii) $\bar{f}_{0} = 0$, $f_{-\infty} = \infty$,

the BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

4 Multiple solutions

Next, we study the multiplicity of positive solutions of BVP (1.1) and assume in this section that

(*H*₄) there is a *p* >0 such that $0 \le u \le p$ and $0 \le t \le 1$ imply $f(t, u) < \eta p$, where $\eta = \left(\frac{C_1 C_2 C_3 M_1 M_2}{1-L} \int_0^1 G_1(s, s) ds\right)^{-1}$.

 (H_5) there is a p > 0 such that $\sigma p \le u \le p$ and $0 \le t \le 1$ imply $f(t, u) \ge \lambda p$, where $\lambda^{-1} = \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 m_1 C_{12} C_{23} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_3(s, s) ds$. Here, σ can be defined as (3.10).

Theorem 4.1. Assume (H_1) - (H_4) hold. If $\underline{f}_0 > \Gamma$ and $\underline{f}_\infty > \Gamma$, then BVP (1.1) has at least two positive solution u_1 and u_2 such that $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p \le ||u_2||_0$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists $0 < r_0 < p < R_1 < +\infty$, such that $0 < r < r_0$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 0$ and $R \ge R_1$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_R, P) = 0$.

Next we prove $i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = 1$ if (H_4) is satisfied. In fact, for every $u \in \partial \Omega_p$, based on the preceding definition of Q we come to

$$(Qu)(t) = (HFu)(t) \le \frac{1}{1-L} ||AFu||_{0}$$

= $\frac{1}{1-L} \max_{0\le t\le 1} \left| \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,\delta)G_{2}(\delta,\tau)G_{3}(\tau,s)(Fu)(s)dsd\tau d\delta \right|$
 $\le \frac{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}{1-L} \left| \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s)f(s,u(s)ds) \right|.$

Consequently,

$$||Qu||_{0} \leq \frac{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}{1-L} \left| \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s)f(s,u(s))ds \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}M_{1}M_{2}}{1-L} \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s)\eta p ds = p = ||u||_{0}.$$

Therefore, by (ii) of Lemma 2.7 we have

$$i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Combined with (3.17), (3.31), and (4.1), we have

$$i(Q, \Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_p, P) = i(Q, \Omega_R, P) - i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = -1.$$

$$i(Q, \Omega_p \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r, P) = i(Q, \Omega_p, P) - i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 1.$$

Therefore, Q has fixed points u_1 and u_2 in $\Omega_p \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r$ and $\Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_p$, respectively, which means that $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are positive solutions of BVP (1.1) and $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p \le ||u_2||_0$. The proof is completed. \Box

Theorem 4.2. Assume (H_1) - (H_3) and (H_5) can be established, and $\overline{f}_0 < (1 - L)\Gamma$ and $\overline{f}_{\infty} < (1 - L)\Gamma$, then BVP (1.1) has at least two positive solution u_1 and u_2 such that $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p \le ||u_2||_0$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists $0 < \omega < p < R_2 < +\infty$, such that $0 < r < \omega$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 1$ and $R \ge R_2$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_R, P) = 1$.

We now prove that $i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = 0$ if (H_5) is satisfied. In fact, for every $u \in \partial \Omega_p$, by (3.13) we come to $\rho p \leq \rho ||u||_0 \leq u(t) \leq ||u||_0 = p$, $t \in [1/4, 3/4]$, accordingly, by (H_5) , we have

$$f(t, u) \ge \lambda p, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \quad \forall u \in \partial \Omega_p$$

from the proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$||Qu||_{0} \geq Qu\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (HFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq (AFu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) f(s,u(s)) ds d\tau d\delta$$
$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},\delta\right) G_{2}(\delta,\tau) G_{3}(\tau,s) \lambda p ds d\tau d\delta$$
$$\geq \delta_{1}\delta_{2}\delta_{3}m_{1}C_{12}C_{23} \int_{0}^{1} G_{3}(s,s) \lambda p ds = p = ||u||_{0}.$$
$$\frac{1}{4}$$

Therefore, $||Qu||_0 \ge Qu(\frac{1}{2}) \ge ||u||_0$, according to (i) of Lemma 2.7, we come to

$$i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = 0.$$
 (4.2)

Combined with (3.20), (3.23), and (4.2), there exist

$$i(Q, \Omega_R \setminus \Omega_p, P) = i(Q, \Omega_R, P) - i(Q, \Omega_p, P) = 1.$$

$$i(Q, \Omega_p \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r, P) = i(Q, \Omega_p, P) - i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = -1.$$

Therefore, Q has fixed points u_1 and u_2 in $\Omega_p \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r$ and $\Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_p$, respectively, which means that $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are positive solutions of BVP (1.1) and $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p \le ||u_2||_0$. The proof is completed. \Box

Theorem 4.3. Assume that (H_1) - (H_3) hold. If $\underline{f}_0 > \Gamma$ and $\overline{f}_\infty < (1 - L)\Gamma$, and there exists $p_2 > p_1 > 0$ that satisfies

(i) $f(t, u) < \eta p_1$ if $0 \le t \le 1$ and $0 \le u \le p_1$,

(ii) $f(t, u) \ge \lambda p_2$ if $0 \le t \le 1$ and $\sigma p_2 \le u \le p_2$,

where η , σ , λ are just as the above, then BVP (1.1) has at least three positive solutions u_1 , u_2 , and u_3 such that $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p_1 \le ||u_2||_0 \le p_2 \le ||u_3||_0$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists $0 < r_0 < p_1 < p_2 < R_3 < +\infty$, such that $0 < r < r_0$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 0$ and $R \ge R_3$ implies $i(Q, \Omega_R, P) = 1$.

From the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we have $i(Q, \Omega_{p_1}, P) = 1, i(Q, \Omega_{p_2}, P) = 0$. Combining the four afore-mentioned equations, we have

$$\begin{split} &i(Q, \Omega_R \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{p_2}, P) = i(Q, \Omega_R, P) - i(Q, \Omega_{p_2}, P) = 1. \\ &i(Q, \Omega_{p_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{p_1}, P) = i(Q, \Omega_{p_2}, P) - i(Q, \Omega_{p_1}, P) = -1. \\ &i(Q, \Omega_{p_1} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_r, P) = i(Q, \Omega_{p_1}, P) - i(Q, \Omega_r, P) = 1. \end{split}$$

Therefore, Q has fixed points u_1 , u_2 and u_3 in $\Omega_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{p_2}$, $\Omega_{p_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{p_1}$ and $\Omega_{p_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_r$, respectively, which means that $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ and $u_3(t)$ are positive solutions of BVP (1.1) and $0 \le ||u_1||_0 \le p_1 \le ||u_2||_0 \le p_2 \le ||u_3||_0$. The proof is completed. \Box

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions, and to be sponsored by the Tutorial Scientific Research Program Foundation of Education Department of Gansu Province P.R.China(1110-05).

Authors' contributions

WL conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 November 2011 Accepted: 22 February 2012 Published: 22 February 2012

References

- 1. Tersian, S, Chaparova, J: Periodic and homoclinic solutions of some semilinear sixth-order ODE. J Math Anal Appl. 272, 223–239 (2002). doi:10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00153-1
- Gardner, RA, Jones, CKRT: Traveling waves of a perturbed diffusion equation arising in a phase field model. Indiana Univ Math J. 39(4):1197–1222 (1990). doi:10.1512/iumj.1990.39.39054
- Caginalp, G, Fife, P: Higher order phase field models and detailed anisotropy. Phys Rev B. 34, 4940–4943 (1986). doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.34.4940
- Gyulov, T, Morosanu, G, Tersian, S: Existence for a semilinear sixth-order ODE. J Math Anal Appl. 321, 86–98 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.08.007
- Zhang, L, An, Y: Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of a boundary-value problem for sixth-order ode with three parameters. Bound Value Probl 2010, 13 (2010). (Article ID 878131) doi:10.1155/2010/878131

- Bates, P, Fife, P, Gardner, R, Jones, CKRJ: The existence of traveling wave solutions of a generalized phase-field model. SIAM J Math Anal. 28, 60–93 (1997). doi:10.1137/S0036141095283820
- Peletier, LA, Troy, WC, Van der Vorst, RCAM: Stationary solutions of a fourth-order nonlinear diffusion equation. Diff Equ. 31, 301–314 (1995)
- Chai, G: Existence of positive solutions for fourth-order boundary value problem with variable parameters. Nonlinear Anal. 66, 870–880 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.12.028
- 9. Zhang, M, Wei, Z: Existence of positive solutions for fourth-order *m*-point boundary value problem with variable parameters. Appl Math Comput. **190**, 1417–1431 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2007.02.019
- 10. Li, Y: Positive solutions of fourth-order boundary value problems with two parameters. J Math Anal Appl. 281, 477–484 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0022-247X(03)00131-8
- 11. Guo, D, Lakshmikantham, V, Liu, X: Nonlinear Integral Equtions in Abstract Spaces. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-Loudon (1996)
- 12. Guo, D, Lakshmikantham, V: Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones. Academic Press, New York (1998)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2012-22

Cite this article as: Li: The existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of nonlinear sixth-order boundary value problem with three variable coefficients. *Boundary Value Problems* 2012 2012:22.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com