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#### Abstract

In this article, a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on a cone is constructed by the generalized Poisson integral with a slowly growing continuous boundary function. A solution of the Poisson integral for any continuous boundary function is also given explicitly by the Poisson integral with the generalized Poisson kernel depending on this boundary function. MSC: 31B05; 31B10 Keywords: Dirichlet problem; stationary Schrödinger equation; cone


## 1 Introduction and results

Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{+}$be the set of all real numbers and the set of all positive real numbers respectively. We denote the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space by $\mathbf{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$. A point in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $P=\left(X, x_{n}\right)$, where $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. The Euclidean distance between two points $P$ and $Q$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $|P-Q|$. Also $|P-O|$ with the origin $O$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is simply denoted by $|P|$. The boundary and the closure of a set $\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $\partial \mathbf{S}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively.
We introduce a system of spherical coordinates $(r, \Theta), \Theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right)$, in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which are related to Cartesian coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ by $x_{n}=r \cos \theta_{1}$.

The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{+}^{n-1}$, respectively. For simplicity, a point $(1, \Theta)$ on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and the set $\{\Theta ;(1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ for a set $\Omega, \Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, are often identified with $\Theta$ and $\Omega$, respectively. For two sets $\Xi \subset \mathbf{R}_{+}$and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, the set $\left\{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; r \in \Xi,(1, \Theta) \in \Omega\right\}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is simply denoted by $\Xi \times \Omega$.

For $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$, let $B(P, r)$ denote an open ball with a center at $P$ and radius $r$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. $S_{r}=\partial B(O, r)$. By $C_{n}(\Omega)$, we denote the set $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \Omega$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with the domain $\Omega$ on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$. We call it a cone. We denote the sets $I \times \Omega$ and $I \times \partial \Omega$ with an interval on $\mathbf{R}$ by $C_{n}(\Omega ; I)$ and $S_{n}(\Omega ; I)$. By $S_{n}(\Omega ; r)$ we denote $C_{n}(\Omega) \cap S_{r}$. By $S_{n}(\Omega)$ we denote $S_{n}(\Omega ;(0,+\infty))$ which is $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)-\{O\}$. We denote the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume elements induced by the Euclidean metric on $S_{r}$ by $d S_{r}$.
Let $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ denote the class of nonnegative radial potentials $a(P)$, i.e., $0 \leq a(P)=a(r), P=$ $(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$, such that $a \in L_{\text {loc }}^{b}\left(C_{n}(\Omega)\right)$ with some $b>n / 2$ if $n \geq 4$ and with $b=2$ if $n=2$ or $n=3$.
This article is devoted to the stationary Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sch}_{a} u(P)=-\Delta u(P)+a(P) u(P)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P \in C_{n}(\Omega), \Delta$ is the Laplace operator and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{a}$. These solutions called $a$-harmonic functions or generalized harmonic functions are associated with the operator $\operatorname{Sch}_{a}$. Note that they are (classical) harmonic functions in the case $a=0$. Under these assumptions, the operator $\mathrm{Sch}_{a}$ can be extended in the usual way from the space $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(C_{n}(\Omega)\right)$ to an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}\left(C_{n}(\Omega)\right)$ (see [1-3]). We will denote it $\mathrm{Sch}_{a}$ as well. This last one has a Green's function $G(\Omega, a)(P, Q)$. Here $G(\Omega, a)(P, Q)$ is positive on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ and its inner normal derivative $\partial G(\Omega, a)(P, Q) / \partial n_{Q} \geq 0$. We denote this derivative by $\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q)$, which is called the Poisson $a$-kernel with respect to $C_{n}(\Omega)$. We remark that $G(\Omega, 0)(P, Q)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\Omega, 0)(P, Q)$ are the Green's function and Poisson kernel of the Laplacian in $C_{n}(\Omega)$ respectively.
Given a domain $D \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and a continuous function $u$ on $\partial(D)$, we say that $h$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on $D$ with $u$ if $\operatorname{Sch}_{a} h=0$ in $D$ and

$$
\lim _{P \in D, P \rightarrow Q} h(P)=u(Q)
$$

for every $Q \in \partial(D)$. Note that $h$ is a solution of the classical Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian in the case $a=0$.
Let $\Delta^{*}$ be a Laplace-Beltrami operator (the spherical part of the Laplace) on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $\lambda_{j}\left(j=1,2,3, \ldots, 0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots\right)$ be the eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem for $\Delta^{*}$ on $\Omega$ (see, e.g., [4, p. 41])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{*} \varphi(\Theta)+\lambda \varphi(\Theta)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \varphi(\Theta)=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Corresponding eigenfunctions are denoted by $\varphi_{j v}\left(1 \leq v \leq v_{j}\right)$, where $v_{j}$ is the multiplicity of $\lambda_{j}$. We set $\lambda_{0}=0$, norm the eigenfunctions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{11}>0$. Then there exist two positive constants $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} \delta(P) \leq \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \leq d_{2} \delta(P) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $P=(1, \Theta) \in \Omega$ (see Courant and Hilbert [5]), where $\delta(P)=\inf _{Q \in \partial C_{n}(\Omega)}|P-Q|$.
In order to ensure the existences of $\lambda_{j}(j=1,2,3, \ldots)$. We put a rather strong assumption on $\Omega$ : if $n \geq 3$, then $\Omega$ is a $C^{2, \alpha}$-domain $(0<\alpha<1)$ on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ surrounded by a finite number of mutually disjoint closed hypersurfaces (e.g., see [6, pp. 88-89] for the definition of $C^{2, \alpha}$-domain). Then $\varphi_{j v} \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})\left(j=1,2,3, \ldots, 1 \leq v \leq v_{j}\right)$ and $\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial n>0$ on $\partial \Omega$ (here and below, $\partial / \partial n$ denotes differentiation along the interior normal).
Hence well-known estimates (see, e.g., [7, p. 14]) imply the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=1}^{v_{j}} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta) \frac{\partial \varphi_{j v}(\Phi)}{\partial n_{\Phi}} \leq M(n) j^{2 n-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $M(n)$ denotes a constant depending only on $n$.
Let $V_{j}(r)$ and $W_{j}(r)$ stand, respectively, for the increasing and nonincreasing, as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{n-1}{r} Q^{\prime}(r)+\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{r^{2}}+a(r)\right) Q(r)=0, \quad 0<r<\infty, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

normalized under the condition $V_{j}(1)=W_{j}(1)=1$.
We shall also consider the class $\mathscr{B}_{a}$, consisting of the potentials $a \in \mathcal{A}_{a}$ such that there exists a finite limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{2} a(r)=k \in[0, \infty)$; moreover, $r^{-1}\left|r^{2} a(r)-k\right| \in L(1, \infty)$. If $a \in$ $\mathscr{B}_{a}$, then the solutions of Equation (1.1) are continuous (see [8]).
In the rest of the article, we assume that $a \in \mathscr{B}_{a}$ and we shall suppress this assumption for simplicity. Further, we use the standard notations $u^{+}=\max (u, 0), u^{-}=-\min (u, 0),[d]$ is the integer part of $d$ and $d=[d]+\{d\}$, where $d$ is a positive real number.
Denote

$$
\iota_{j, k}^{ \pm}=\frac{2-n \pm \sqrt{(n-2)^{2}+4\left(k+\lambda_{j}\right)}}{2} \quad(j=0,1,2,3, \ldots) .
$$

It is known (see [9]) that in the case under consideration the solutions to Equation (1.4) have the asymptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{j}(r) \sim d_{3} r^{\iota_{j, k}^{+}}, \quad W_{j}(r) \sim d_{4} r^{\iota_{j, k}^{-}}, \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ are some positive constants.
If $a \in \mathcal{A}_{a}$, it is known that the following expansion for the Green function $G(\Omega, a)(P, Q)$ (see [10, Ch. 11], [1, 11])

$$
G(\Omega, a)(P, Q)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^{\prime}(1)} V_{j}(\min (r, t)) W_{j}(\max (r, t))\left(\sum_{v=1}^{v_{j}} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta) \varphi_{j v}(\Phi)\right),
$$

where $P=(r, \Theta), Q=(t, \Phi), r \neq t$ and $\chi^{\prime}(s)=\left.w\left(W_{1}(r), V_{1}(r)\right)\right|_{r=s}$, is their Wronskian. The series converges uniformly if either $r \leq s t$ or $t \leq s r(0<s<1)$.

For a nonnegative integer $m$ and two points $P=(r, \Theta), Q=(t, \Phi) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$, we put

$$
K(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0<t<1 \\ \widetilde{K}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q) & \text { if } 1 \leq t<\infty\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{K}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{\chi^{\prime}(1)} V_{j}(r) W_{j}(t)\left(\sum_{v=1}^{v_{j}} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta) \varphi_{j v}(\Phi)\right) .
$$

We introduce another function of $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ and $Q=(t, \Phi) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$

$$
G(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)=G(\Omega, a)(P, Q)-K(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q) .
$$

The generalized Poisson kernel $\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)\left(P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega), Q=(t, \Phi) \in S_{n}(\Omega)\right)$ with respect to $C_{n}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)=\frac{\partial G(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)}{\partial n_{Q}} .
$$

In fact,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, 0)(P, Q)=\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q) .
$$

We remark that the kernel function $\mathbb{P}(\Omega, 0, m)(P, Q)$ coincides with the one in Yoshida and Miyamoto [12] (see [10, Ch. 11]).

Put

$$
U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=\int_{S_{n}(\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q) u(Q) d \sigma_{Q},
$$

where $u(Q)$ is a continuous function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ and $d \sigma_{Q}$ is a surface area element on $S_{n}(\Omega)$.
With regard to classical solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian, Yoshida and Miyamoto [12, Theorem 1] proved the following result.

Theorem A If u is a continuous function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{S_{n}(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t, \Phi)|}{1+t^{t_{m+1,0^{+}}^{+n-1}}} d \sigma_{Q}<\infty,
$$

then $U(\Omega, 0, m ; u)(P)$ is a classical solution of the Dirichlet problem on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ with $g$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-l_{m+1,0}^{+}} U(\Omega, 0, m ; u)(P)=0 .
$$

Our first aim is to give growth properties at infinity for $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$.

Theorem 1 Let $\gamma \geq 0($ resp. $\gamma<0), \iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}>-\iota_{1, k}^{+}+1\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.-\iota_{[-\gamma], k}^{+}-\{-\gamma\}>-\iota_{1, k}^{+}+1\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1 \leq \iota_{m+1, k}^{+}<\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+2 \\
& \left(\text { resp.s }-\iota_{[-\gamma], k}^{+}-\{-\gamma\}-n+1 \leq \iota_{m+1, k}^{+}<-\iota_{[-\gamma], k}^{+}-\{-\gamma\}-n+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u$ is a measurable function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{S_{n}(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t, \Phi)|}{1+t^{\left.t^{+}\right], k}+\{\gamma\}} d \sigma_{Q}<\infty \quad\left(\operatorname{resp} . \int_{S_{n}(\Omega)}|u(t, \Phi)|\left(1+t^{\left.t^{+}-\gamma\right], k+\{-\gamma\}}\right]\right) d \sigma_{Q}<\infty\right), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}-\{\gamma\}+n-1} U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=0  \tag{1.7}\\
& (r e s p .  \tag{1.8}\\
& \left.\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{\iota_{[-\gamma], k^{+}}^{+}\{-\gamma\}+n-1} U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=0\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we are concerned with solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on $C_{n}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2 Let $\gamma$ and $\iota_{m+1, k}^{+}$be as in Theorem 1. If u is a continuousfunction on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.6), then $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ with $u$ and (1.7) (resp. (1.8)) holds.

If we take $\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}=\iota_{m+1, k}^{+}+n-1$, then we immediately have the following corollary, which is just Theorem A in the case $a=0$.

Corollary If $u$ is a continuous function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{n}(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t, \Phi)|}{1+t_{m+1, k}^{t^{+}}+n-1} d \sigma_{Q}<\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ with $u$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-l_{m+1, k}^{+}} U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=0 . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Corollary, we can give a solution of the Dirichlet problem for any continuous function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3 If u is a continuous function on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.9) and $h(r, \Theta)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ with $u$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-t_{m+1, k}^{+}} h^{+}(P)=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
h(P)=U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)+\sum_{j=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{v_{j}} d_{j v} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta)\right) V_{j}(r),
$$

where $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ and $d_{j v}$ are constants.

## 2 Lemmas

Throughout this article, let $M$ denote various constants independent of the variables in questions, which may be different from line to line.

## Lemma 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q)| \leq M r^{l_{1, k}^{-}} t^{t_{1, k}^{+}}-1  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left(\operatorname{resp} \cdot|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q)| \leq M r^{\iota_{1, k}^{+}} t^{l_{1, k}^{-1}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ and any $Q=(t, \Phi) \in S_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying $0<\frac{t}{r} \leq \frac{4}{5}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.0<\frac{r}{t} \leq \frac{4}{5}\right)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, 0)(P, Q)| \leq M \frac{1}{t^{n-1}}+M \frac{r}{|P-Q|^{n}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ and any $Q=(t, \Phi) \in S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left(\frac{4}{5} r, \frac{5}{4} r\right)\right)$.
Proof (2.1) and (2.2) are obtained by Kheyfits (see [10, Ch. 11]). (2.3) follows from Azarin (see [13, Lemma 4 and Remark]).

Lemma 2 (see [1]) For a nonnegative integer m, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)| \leq M(n, m, s) V_{m+1}(r) \frac{W_{m+1}(t)}{t} \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}(\Phi)}{\partial n_{\Phi}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ and $Q=(t, \Phi) \in S_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying $r \leq$ st $(0<s<1)$, where $M(n, m, s)$ is a constant dependent of $n, m$ and $s$.

Lemma 3 (see [2, Theorem 1]) If $u(r, \Theta)$ is a solution of Equation (1.1) on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{+}(r, \Theta) d S_{1}=O\left(r^{\iota_{m, k}^{+}}\right), \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
u(r, \Theta)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{v_{j}} d_{j v} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta)\right) V_{j}(r)
$$

Lemma 4 Obviously, the conclusion of Lemma 3 holds true if (2.5) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty,(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-l_{m+1, k}^{+}} u^{+}(r, \Theta)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Since

$$
V_{m+1}(r) \sim r^{r^{+}+1, k} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

from (1.5) and

$$
\iota_{m+1, k}^{+} \geq \iota_{m, k}^{+},
$$

(2.6) gives that (2.5) holds, from which the conclusion immediately follows.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

We only prove the case $\gamma \geq 0$, the remaining case $\gamma<0$ can be proved similarly.
For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $R_{\epsilon}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left(R_{\epsilon}, \infty\right)\right)} \frac{|u(Q)|}{\left.1+t^{t^{+}}\right], k+\{\gamma\}} d \sigma_{Q}<\epsilon . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation $G(\Omega, a)(P, Q) \leq G(\Omega, 0)(P, Q)$ implies this inequality (see [14])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Omega, 0)(P, Q) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<s<\frac{4}{5}$ and any fixed point $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ satisfying $r>\frac{5}{4} R_{\epsilon}$, let $I_{1}=$ $S_{n}(\Omega ;(0,1)), I_{2}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left[1, R_{\epsilon}\right]\right), I_{3}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left(R_{\epsilon}, \frac{4}{5} r\right]\right), I_{4}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left(\frac{4}{5} r, \frac{5}{4} r\right)\right), I_{5}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left[\frac{5}{4} r, \frac{r}{s}\right)\right)$, $I_{6}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left[1, \frac{r}{s}\right)\right)$ and $I_{7}=S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left[\frac{r}{s}, \infty\right)\right)$, we write

$$
U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{7} U_{\Omega, a, i}(P)
$$

where

$$
U_{\Omega, a, i}(P)=\int_{I_{i}}|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q)||u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \quad(i=1,2,3,4,5)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{\Omega, a, 6}(P)=\int_{I_{6}}|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)||u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q}, \\
& U_{\Omega, a, 7}(P)=\int_{I_{7}}\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{K}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)}{\partial n_{Q}}\right||u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}>-\iota_{1, k}^{+}+1,(1.6)$, (2.1) and (3.1), we have the following growth estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\Omega, a, 2}(P) & \leq M r^{l_{1, k}^{-}} \int_{I_{2}} t^{t_{1, k}^{+}-1}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r^{\iota_{1, k}^{-}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\epsilon}^{\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}+\iota_{1, k}^{+}-1},  \tag{3.3}\\
U_{\Omega, a, 1}(P) & \leq M r_{1, k}^{l_{1, k}^{-}},  \tag{3.4}\\
U_{\Omega, a, 3}(P) & \leq M \epsilon r^{\iota^{[\dagger \gamma], k}+\{\gamma\}-n+1} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain by $\iota_{m+1, k}^{+} \geq \iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1$, (2.2) and (3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\Omega, a, 5}(P) & \leq M r^{\iota_{1, k}^{+}} \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ; ;[(5 / 4) r, \infty))} t^{t_{1, k}^{-1}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q}} \\
& \leq M r^{\iota_{1, k}^{+}} \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;[(5 / 4) r, \infty))} t^{\left.t^{\dagger} \mid \gamma\right], k^{+}+\{\gamma\}+l_{1, k}^{-}-1} \frac{|u(Q)|}{t^{\iota^{+}(\gamma], k^{+}+\{\gamma\}}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M \epsilon r^{\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.3) and (3.2), we consider the inequality

$$
U_{\Omega, a, 4}(P) \leq U_{\Omega, 0,4}(P) \leq U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime}(P)+U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P),
$$

where

$$
U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime}(P)=M \int_{I_{4}} t^{1-n}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q}, \quad U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P)=M r \int_{I_{4}} \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P-Q|^{n}} d \sigma_{Q} .
$$

We first have

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime}(P) & =M \int_{I_{4}} t^{\iota_{1, k}^{+}+\iota_{1, k}^{-}-1}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r^{\iota_{1, k}^{+}} \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;((4 / 5) r, \infty))} t^{\iota_{1, k}^{-}-1}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M \epsilon r^{\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1}, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which is similar to the estimate of $U_{\Omega, a, 5}(P)$.
Next, we shall estimate $U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P)$. Take a sufficiently small positive number $d_{5}$ such that $I_{4} \subset B\left(P, \frac{1}{2} r\right)$ for any $P=(r, \Theta) \in \Pi\left(d_{5}\right)$, where

$$
\Pi\left(d_{5}\right)=\left\{P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega) ; \inf _{z \in \partial \Omega}|(1, \Theta)-(1, z)|<d_{5}, 0<r<\infty\right\}
$$

and divide $C_{n}(\Omega)$ into two sets $\Pi\left(d_{5}\right)$ and $C_{n}(\Omega)-\Pi\left(d_{5}\right)$.

If $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)-\Pi\left(d_{5}\right)$, then there exists a positive $d_{5}^{\prime}$ such that $|P-Q| \geq d_{5}^{\prime} r$ for any $Q \in S_{n}(\Omega)$, and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P) & \leq M \int_{I_{4}} t^{1-n}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M \epsilon r^{\left.\iota^{+}\right], k+\{\gamma\}-n+1}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which is similar to the estimate of $U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime}(P)$.
We shall consider the case $P=(r, \Theta) \in \Pi\left(d_{5}\right)$. Now put

$$
H_{i}(P)=\left\{Q \in I_{4} ; 2^{i-1} \delta(P) \leq|P-Q|<2^{i} \delta(P)\right\} .
$$

Since $S_{n}(\Omega) \cap\left\{Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|P-Q|<\delta(P)\right\}=\varnothing$, we have

$$
U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P)=M \sum_{i=1}^{i(P)} \int_{H_{i}(P)} r \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P-Q|^{n}} d \sigma_{Q},
$$

where $i(P)$ is a positive integer satisfying $2^{i(P)-1} \delta(P) \leq \frac{r}{2}<2^{i(P)} \delta(P)$.
Since we see from (1.2)

$$
r \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \leq M \delta(P)
$$

for $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$. Similar to the estimate of $U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime}(P)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{H_{i}(P)} r \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P-Q|^{n}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{H_{i}(P)} r \frac{|u(Q)|}{\left(2^{i-1} \delta(P)\right)^{n}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M 2^{(1-i) n} \int_{H_{i}(P)} t^{1-n}|u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M \epsilon r^{[\langle\gamma], k}+\{\gamma\}-n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, i(P)$.
So

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\Omega, 0,4}^{\prime \prime}(P) \leq M \epsilon r^{\left.{ }^{t} \mid \gamma\right], k}+\{\gamma\}-n+1 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only consider $U_{\Omega, a, 6}(P)$ in the case $m \geq 1$, since $U_{\Omega, a, 6}(P) \equiv 0$ for $m=0$. By the definition of $\widetilde{K}(\Omega, a, m),(1.3)$ and Lemma 2 , we see

$$
U_{\Omega, a, 6}(P) \leq \frac{M}{\chi^{\prime}(1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m} j^{2 n-1} q_{j}(r),
$$

where

$$
q_{j}(r)=V_{j}(r) \int_{I_{6}} \frac{W_{j}(t)|u(Q)|}{t} d \sigma_{Q} .
$$

To estimate $q_{j}(r)$, we write

$$
q_{j}(r) \leq q_{j}^{\prime}(r)+q_{j}^{\prime \prime}(r),
$$

where

$$
q_{j}^{\prime}(r)=V_{j}(r) \int_{I_{2}} \frac{W_{j}(t)|u(Q)|}{t} d \sigma_{Q}, \quad q_{j}^{\prime \prime}(r)=V_{j}(r) \int_{S_{n}\left(\Omega ;\left(R_{\epsilon}, r / s\right)\right)} \frac{W_{j}(t)|u(Q)|}{t} d \sigma_{Q} .
$$

Notice that

$$
V_{j}(r) \frac{V_{m+1}(t)}{V_{j}(t) t} \leq M \frac{V_{m+1}(r)}{r} \leq M r^{\iota_{m+1, k^{-1}}} \quad\left(t \geq 1, R_{\epsilon}<\frac{r}{s}\right) .
$$

Thus, by $\iota_{m+1, k}^{+}<\iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+2$, (1.5) and (1.6) we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{j}^{\prime}(r) & =V_{j}(r) \int_{I_{2}} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_{j}(t) t^{n-1}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M V_{j}(r) \int_{I_{2}} \frac{V_{m+1}(t)}{t^{t_{m+1, k}^{+}}} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_{j}(t) t^{n-1}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r^{+}{ }_{m+1, k^{-1}} R_{\epsilon}^{\left.\iota_{[ }^{+}+\right], k^{+}+\{\gamma\}-i_{m+1, k}^{+}}{ }^{-n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous to the estimate of $q_{j}^{\prime}(r)$, we have

$$
q_{j}^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq M \epsilon r^{[\gamma], k}+\{\gamma\}-n+1 .
$$

Thus we can conclude that

$$
q_{j}(r) \leq M \epsilon r^{[ }[\gamma], k+\{\gamma\}-n+1,
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\Omega, a, 6}(P) \leq M \epsilon r^{\left.\iota^{+} \mid \gamma\right], k}+\{\gamma\}-n+1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\iota_{m+1, k}^{+} \geq \iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1$, (1.5), (2.4) and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\Omega, 0,7}(P) & \leq M V_{m+1}(r) \int_{I_{7}} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_{m+1}(t) t^{n-1}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M \epsilon r^{\left.{ }^{+}+[\gamma], k^{+}+\gamma\right\}-n+1} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.3)-(3.11), we obtain that if $R_{\epsilon}$ is sufficiently large and $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, then $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=o\left(r^{\left.L^{\dagger}\right], k^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$. Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

For any fixed $P=(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)$, take a number satisfying $R>\max \left(1, \frac{r}{s}\right)\left(0<s<\frac{4}{5}\right)$. By $\iota_{m+1, k}^{+} \geq \iota_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1,(1.4),(1.6)$ and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(R, \infty))}|\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)||u(Q)| d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M V_{m+1}(r) \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(R, \infty))} \frac{|u(Q)|}{t^{+}{ }_{m+1, k}+n-1} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r^{\iota^{+}}{ }_{m+1, k} \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(r / s, \infty))} t^{t^{+}[\gamma], k}+\{\gamma\}-t_{m+1, k}^{+}-n+1 \frac{|u(Q)|}{t^{t_{[\gamma], k}+\{\gamma\}}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r^{{ }^{+}}[\gamma], k+\{\gamma\}-n+1 \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(r / s, \infty))} \frac{|u(Q)|}{t^{t^{t}(\gamma \gamma], k+\{\gamma\}}} d \sigma_{Q} \\
& \leq M r{ }^{[ }{ }_{[\gamma], k}^{+}+\{\gamma\}-n+1 \quad \varphi_{1}(\Theta) \\
& <\infty \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ is finite for any $P \in C_{n}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)$ is a generalized harmonic function of $P \in C_{n}(\Omega)$ for any fixed $Q \in S_{n}(\Omega), U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ is also a generalized harmonic function of $P \in C_{n}(\Omega)$. That is to say, $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ is a solution of Equation (1.1) on $C_{n}(\Omega)$.
Now we study the boundary behavior of $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$. Let $Q^{\prime}=\left(t^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right) \in \partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ be any fixed point and $l$ be any positive number satisfying $l>\max \left(t^{\prime}+1, \frac{4}{5} R\right)$.

Set $\chi_{S(l)}$ is a characteristic function of $S(l)=\left\{Q=(t, \Phi) \in \partial C_{n}(\Omega), t \leq l\right\}$ and write

$$
U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=U^{\prime}(P)-U^{\prime \prime}(P)+U^{\prime \prime \prime}(P),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\prime}(P)=\int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(0,(5 / 4) l])} \mathbb{P}(\Omega, a)(P, Q) u(Q) d \sigma_{Q}, \\
& U^{\prime \prime}(P)=\int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;(1,(5 / 4) l])} \frac{\partial K(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q)}{\partial n_{Q}} u(Q) d \sigma_{Q}, \\
& U^{\prime \prime \prime}(P)=\int_{S_{n}(\Omega ;((5 / 4) l, \infty))} \mathbb{P}(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q) u(Q) d \sigma_{Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $U^{\prime}(P)$ is the Poisson $a$-integral of $u(Q) \chi_{S((5 / 4) l)}$, we have $\lim _{P \rightarrow Q^{\prime}, P \in C_{n}(\Omega)} U^{\prime}(P)=u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\lim _{\Theta \rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}} \varphi_{j v}(\Theta)=0\left(j=1,2,3, \ldots ; 1 \leq v \leq v_{j}\right)$ as $P=$ $(r, \Theta) \rightarrow Q^{\prime}=\left(t^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right) \in S_{n}(\Omega)$, we have $\lim _{P \rightarrow Q^{\prime}, P \in C_{n}(\Omega)} U^{\prime \prime}(P)=0$ from the definition of the kernel function $K(\Omega, a, m)(P, Q) . U^{\prime \prime \prime}(P)=O\left(r^{r^{+}[\gamma], k^{+}\{\gamma\}-n+1} \varphi_{1}(\Theta)\right)$, and therefore tends to zero.

So the function $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ can be continuously extended to $\overline{C_{n}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$
\lim _{P \rightarrow Q^{\prime}, P \in C_{n}(\Omega)} U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)=u\left(Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any $Q^{\prime}=\left(t^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right) \in \partial C_{n}(\Omega)$ from the arbitrariness of $l$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

From Corollary, we have the solution $U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$ of the Dirichlet problem on $C_{n}(\Omega)$ with $u$ satisfying (1.9). Consider the function $h(P)-U(\Omega, a, m ; u)(P)$. Then it follows that this is the solution of Equation (1.1) in $C_{n}(\Omega)$ and vanishes continuously on $\partial C_{n}(\Omega)$.
Since

$$
0 \leq(h-U(\Omega, a, m ; u))^{+}(P) \leq h^{+}(P)+(U(\Omega, a, m ; u))^{-}(P)
$$

for any $P \in C_{n}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty, P(r, \Theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-\iota_{m+1, k}^{+}}(h-U(\Omega, a, m ; u))^{+}(P)=0
$$

from (1.10) and (1.11). Then the conclusions of Theorem 3 follow immediately from Lemma 4.
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