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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for a class of subquadratic nonautonomous second-order Hamiltonian systems by using the variant fountain theorem.


## 1 Introduction

Consider the second-order Hamiltonian systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}(t)+\nabla_{u} W(t, u)=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(T), \quad \dot{u}(0)=\dot{u}(T), \quad T>0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $W(t, u)$ is also $T$-periodic and satisfies the following assumption (A):
(A) $W(t, u)$ is measurable in $t$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, continuously differentiable in $u$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and there exist $a \in C\left(R^{+}, R^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left([0, T], R^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|W(t, u)| \leq a(|u|) b(t), \quad\left|\nabla_{u} W(t, u)\right| \leq a(|u|) b(t)
$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Here and in the sequel, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ always denote the standard inner product and the norm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively.

There have been many investigations on the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for Hamiltonian systems via the variational methods (see [1-7] and the references therein). In [6], Zhang and Liu studied the asymptotically quadratic case of $W(t, u)=$ $\frac{1}{2}\langle U(t) u, u\rangle+W_{1}(t, u)$ under the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}\right) W_{1}(t, u) \geq 0$ for all $(t, u) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and there exist constants $\mu \in(0,2)$ and $R_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{u} W_{1}(t, u), u\right\rangle \leq \mu W_{1}(t, u), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \text { and }|u| \geq R_{1} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{2}\right) \lim _{|u| \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_{1}(t, u)}{|u|^{2}}=\infty$ uniformly for $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist constants $c_{2}, R_{2}>0$ such that

$$
W_{1}(t, u) \leq c_{2}|u|, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \text { and }|u| \leq R_{2} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}\right) \liminf _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_{1}(t, u)}{|u|} \geq d>0$ uniformly for $t \in[0, T]$.
They obtained the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions of (1.1) provided $W_{1}(t, u)$ is even in $u$ (see Theorem 1.1 of [6]).

The subquadratic condition $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}\right)$ is widely used in the investigation of nonlinear differential equations. This condition was weakened by some researchers; see, for example, [4] of Jiang and Tang. This paper considers the case of $U(t) \equiv 0$, then $W(t, u)=W_{1}(t, u)$. Motivated by [4] and [6], we replace $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}\right)$ with the following condition:
$\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad W(t, u) \geq 0$ for all $(t, u) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), u\right\rangle-2 W(t, u)\right)=-\infty \quad \text { and } \\
& \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(t, u)}{|u|^{2}}=0 \quad \text { uniformly for } t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ implies that for some constant $R_{1}^{\prime}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), u\right\rangle \leq 2 W(t, u), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \text { and }|u| \geq R_{1}^{\prime} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption (A) and the condition $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, u) \leq \epsilon|u|^{2}+\max _{s \in[0, \delta]} a(s) b(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Meanwhile, we weaken the condition $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}\right)$ to $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ as follows:
$\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ There exists a constant $\varrho \in(0,1]$ such that

$$
\liminf _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(t, u)}{|u|^{\varrho}} \geq d>0 \quad \text { uniformly for } t \in[0, T]
$$

Then our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ hold and $W(t, u)$ is even in $u$. Then (1.1) possesses infinitely many solutions.

Remark The conditions $\left(A Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A Q_{3}\right)$ are stronger than $\left(A Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(A Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Then Theorem 1.1 above is different from Theorem 1.1 of [6].

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the variational setting for our problem and give the variant fountain theorem. Let $E=H_{T}^{1}$ be the usual Sobolev space with the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{E}=\int_{0}^{T}\langle u(t), v(t)\rangle d t+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\rangle d t .
$$

We define the functional on $E$ by

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t-\Psi(u)
$$

where $\Psi(u)=\int_{0}^{T} W(t, u(t)) d t$. Then $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are continuously differentiable and

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}\langle\dot{u}, \dot{v}\rangle d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), v\right\rangle d t
$$

Define a self-adjoint linear operator $\mathcal{B}: L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{B} u, v\rangle d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\rangle d t
$$

with the domain $D(\mathcal{B})=E$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ has a sequence of eigenvalues $\sigma_{k}=\frac{4 k^{2} \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$. Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ be the system of eigenfunctions corresponding to $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$, it forms an orthogonal basis in $L^{2}$. Denote by $E^{+}=\left\{u \in E \mid \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t=0\right\}, E^{0}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, it is well known that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{0}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{B}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}, \\
& E^{+}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j} \mid j=1,2, \ldots\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $E$ possesses orthogonal decomposition $E=E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$. For $u \in E$, we have

$$
u=u^{0}+u^{+} \in E^{0} \oplus E^{+} .
$$

We can define on $E$ a new inner product and the associated norm by

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{0}=\left\langle\mathcal{B} u^{+}, v^{+}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle u^{0}, v^{0}\right\rangle_{L^{2}},
$$

and

$$
\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Therefore, $\Phi$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\Psi(u) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), v\right\rangle d t  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left\langle u^{+}, v^{+}\right\rangle_{0}-\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u, v \in E$ with $u=u^{0}+u^{+}$and $v=v^{0}+v^{+}$respectively. It is known that $\Psi^{\prime}: E \rightarrow E$ is compact.
Denote by $|\cdot|_{p}$ the usual norm of $L^{P}$, then there exists a $\tau_{p}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p} \leq \tau_{p}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We state an abstract critical point theorem founded in [8]. Let $E$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $E=\overline{\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{j}}$ with $\operatorname{dim} X_{j}<\infty$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}}$. Consider the following $C^{1}$-functional $\Phi_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(u):=A(u)-\lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in[1,2] .
$$

Theorem 2.1 [8, Theorem 2.2] Assume that the functional $\Phi_{\lambda}$ defined above satisfies the following:
$\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right) \Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2]$, and $\Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=\Phi_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times E$;
$\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right) B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$, and $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of $E$;
( $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ) There exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\alpha_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0>\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2]
$$

and

$$
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2] .
$$

Then there exist $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1, u_{\lambda_{n}} \in Y_{n}$ such that

$$
\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right)=0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right] \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Particularly, if $\left\{u_{\lambda_{n}}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence for every $k$, then $\Phi_{1}$ has infinitely many nontrivial critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset E \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $\Phi_{1}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0^{-}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to apply this theorem to prove our main result, we define the functionals $A, B$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}$ on our working space $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}, \quad B(u)=\int_{0}^{T} W(t, u) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u)=A(u)-\lambda B(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} W(t, u) d t \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u=u^{0}+u^{+} \in E=E^{0}+E^{+}$and $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Then $\Phi_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Let $X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}\right\}, j=0,1,2, \ldots$. Note that $\Phi_{1}=\Phi$, where $\Phi$ is the functional defined in (2.1).

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We firstly establish the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ hold. Then $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$ and $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of $E$.

Proof Since $W(t, u) \geq 0$, by (2.4), it is obvious that $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$.
By the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [6], for any finite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset E$, there exists a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\{t \in[0, T]:|u| \geq \epsilon\|u\|\}) \geq \epsilon, \quad \forall u \in Y \backslash\{0\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(\cdot)$ is the Lebesgue measure.
For the $\epsilon$ given in (3.1), let

$$
\Lambda_{u}=\{t \in[0, T]:|u| \geq \epsilon\|u\|\}, \quad \forall u \in Y \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then $m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) \geq \epsilon$. By $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, there exists a constant $R_{3}>R_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, u) \geq d|u|^{\varrho} / 2, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \text { and }|u| \geq R_{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{1}^{\prime}$ is the constant given in (1.2). Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \geq R_{3}, \quad \forall t \in \Lambda_{u} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in Y$ with $\|u\| \geq R_{3} / \epsilon$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u) & =\int_{0}^{T} W(t, u) d t \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} W(t, u) d t \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} d|u|^{\varrho} / 2 d t \\
& \geq d \epsilon^{\varrho}\|u\|^{\varrho} \cdot m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) / 2 \geq d \epsilon^{\varrho+1}\|u\|^{\varrho} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u \in Y$ with $\|u\| \geq R_{3} / \epsilon$. This implies $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on $Y$.

Lemma 3.2 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ hold. Then there exist a positive integer $k_{1}$ and two sequences $0<r_{k}<\rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)>0, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1}, \\
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2], \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)<0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{k}, e_{k+1}, \ldots\right\}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof Comparing this lemma with Lemma 2.7 of [6], we find that these two lemmas have the same condition $\left(\mathrm{AQ}_{2}\right)$ which is the key in the proof of Lemma 2.7 of [6]. We can prove our lemma by using the same method of [6], so the details are omitted.

Now it is the time to prove our main result Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By virtue of (1.3), (2.3) and (2.5), $\Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Obviously, $\Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=\Phi_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times E$ since $W(t, u)$ is even in $u$. Consequently, the condition $\left(T_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 holds. Lemma 3.1 shows that the condition $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ holds, whereas Lemma 3.2 implies that the condition $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$ holds for all $k \geq k_{1}$, where $k_{1}$ is given there. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, for each $k \geq k_{1}$, there exist $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and $u_{\lambda_{n}} \in Y_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right)=0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right] \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of notational simplicity, in the following we always set $u_{n}=u_{\lambda_{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Step 1 . We firstly prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (3.7), one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\langle\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)=-2 \eta_{k} .
$$

More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t=2 \eta_{k} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Put $z_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, we have $\left\|z_{n}\right\|=1$. Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$
z_{n} \rightharpoonup z \quad \text { in } E, \quad z_{n} \rightarrow z \quad \text { in } L^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{n}(t) \rightarrow z(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

By (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{T} W\left(t, u_{n}\right) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{n}\left(\epsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d t+\max _{s \in[0, \delta]} a(s) \int_{0}^{T} b(t) d t\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\lambda_{n} \epsilon\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d t-\lambda_{n} c_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}=\max _{s \in[0, \delta]} a(s) \int_{0}^{T} b(t) d t$. Therefore, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} & \geq \frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\lambda_{n} \epsilon\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{\left|u_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)^{2} d t-\frac{\lambda_{n} c_{1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\lambda_{n} \epsilon\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\lambda_{n} c_{1}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in the inequality, by using $\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k}$ and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\right)\|z\|_{2}^{2} \leq 0
$$

Thus, $z \neq 0$ on a subset $\Omega$ of $[0, T]$ with positive measure.
By (1.2), we have

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), u\right\rangle-2 W(t, u) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \text { and }|u| \geq R_{1}^{\prime},
$$

and by the assumption (A), we obtain

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), u\right\rangle-2 W(t, u) \leq c_{3} b(t), \quad \text { for all }|u| \leq R_{1}^{\prime} \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T],
$$

where $c_{3}=\left(2+R_{1}^{\prime}\right) \max _{\left[0, R_{1}^{\prime}\right]} a(s)$. So, we get

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{u} W(t, u), u\right\rangle-2 W(t, u) \leq c_{3} b(t)
$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t+\int_{[0, T] \backslash \Omega}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t+\int_{[0, T] \backslash \Omega} c_{3} b(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

An application of Fatou's lemma yields

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{u} W\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-2 W\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right) d t \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

which is a contradiction to (3.8).
Step 2. We prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $E$.
Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E, E$ is reflexible and $\operatorname{dim} E^{0}<\infty$, without loss of generality, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{0} \rightarrow u_{0}^{0}, \quad u_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup u_{0}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $u_{0}=u_{0}^{0}+u_{0}^{+} \in E=E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$.
Note that

$$
0=\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=u_{n}^{+}-\lambda_{n} P_{n} \Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $P_{n}: E \rightarrow Y_{n}$ is the orthogonal projection for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{+}=\lambda_{n} P_{n} \Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the compactness of $\Psi^{\prime}$ and (3.9), the right-hand side of (3.10) converges strongly in $E$ and hence $u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+}$in $E$. Together with (3.9), we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $E$.
Now, from the last assertion of Theorem 2.1, we know that $\Phi=\Phi_{1}$ has infinitely many nontrivial critical points. The proof is completed.
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