RESEARCH

Open Access

Existence of a positive solution for quasilinear elliptic equations with nonlinearity including the gradient

Mieko Tanaka*

Correspondence: tanaka@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjyuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Abstract

We provide the existence of a positive solution for the quasilinear elliptic equation

 $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, |\nabla u|)\nabla u) = f(x, u, \nabla u)$

in Ω under the Dirichlet boundary condition. As a special case $(a(x, t) = t^{p-2})$, our equation coincides with the usual *p*-Laplace equation. The solution is established as the limit of a sequence of positive solutions of approximate equations. The positivity of our solution follows from the behavior of $f(x, t\xi)$ as t is small. In this paper, we do not impose the sign condition to the nonlinear term f.

MSC: 35J92; 35P30

Keywords: nonhomogeneous elliptic operator; positive solution; the first eigenvalue with weight; approximation

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of a positive solution for the following quasilinear elliptic equation:

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$
(P)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary $\partial \Omega$. Here, $A : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a map which is strictly monotone in the second variable and satisfies certain regularity conditions (see the following assumption (A)). Equation (*P*) contains the corresponding *p*-Laplacian problem as a special case. However, in general, we do not suppose that this operator is (p-1)-homogeneous in the second variable.

Throughout this paper, we assume that the map A and the nonlinear term f satisfy the following assumptions (A) and (f), respectively.

- (A) A(x, y) = a(x, |y|)y, where a(x, t) > 0 for all $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0, +\infty)$, and there exist positive constants C_0 , C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , $0 < t_0 \le 1$ and 1 such that
 - (i) $A \in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}), \mathbb{R}^N);$
 - (ii) $|D_yA(x,y)| \le C_1 |y|^{p-2}$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$;
 - (iii) $D_{y}A(x,y)\xi \cdot \xi \geq C_{0}|y|^{p-2}|\xi|^{2}$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;

© 2013 Tanaka; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



- (iv) $|D_x A(x, y)| \le C_2(1 + |y|^{p-1})$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$; (v) $|D_x A(x, y)| \le C_3 |y|^{p-1} (-\log |y|)$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 < |y| < t_0$.
- (f) *f* is a continuous function on $\Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $f(x, 0, \xi) = 0$ for every

 $(x,\xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and the following growth condition: there exist $1 < q < p, b_1 > 0$ and a continuous function f_0 on $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ such that

$$-b_1(1+t^{q-1}) \le f_0(x,t) \le f(x,t,\xi) \le b_1(1+t^{q-1}+|\xi|^{q-1})$$
(1)

for every $(x, t, \xi) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

In this paper, we say that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a (weak) solution of (*P*) if

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

A similar hypothesis to (A) is considered in the study of quasilinear elliptic problems (see [1, Example 2.2.], [2–5] and also refer to [6, 7] for the generalized *p*-Laplace operators). *From now on, we assume that* $C_0 \le p - 1 \le C_1$, which is without any loss of generality as can be seen from assumptions (A)(ii), (iii).

In particular, for $A(x, y) = |y|^{p-2}y$, that is, div $A(x, \nabla u)$ stands for the usual *p*-Laplacian $\Delta_p u$, we can take $C_0 = C_1 = p - 1$ in (A). Conversely, in the case where $C_0 = C_1 = p - 1$ holds in (A), by the inequalities in Remark 3(ii) and (iii), we see that $a(x, t) = |t|^{p-2}$ whence $A(x, y) = |y|^{p-2}y$. Hence, our equation contains the *p*-Laplace equation as a special case.

In the case where f does not depend on the gradient of u, there are many existence results because our equation has the variational structure (*cf.* [1, 4, 8]). Although there are a few results for our equation (*P*) with f including ∇u , we can refer to [7, 9] and [10] for the existence of a positive solution in the case of the (p,q)-Laplacian or m-Laplacian (1 < m < N). In particular, in [9] and [7], the nonlinear term f is imposed to be nonnegative. The results in [7] and [10] are applied to the m-Laplace equation with an (m - 1)-superlinear term f w.r.t. u. Here, we mention the result in [9] for the p-Laplacian. Faria, Miyagaki and Motreanu considered the case where f is (p - 1)-sublinear w.r.t. u and ∇u , and they supposed that $f(x, u, \nabla u) \ge cu^r$ for some c > 0 and 0 < r < p - 1. The purpose of this paper is to remove the sign condition and to admit the condition like $f(x, u, \nabla u) \ge \lambda u^{p-1} + o(u^{p-1})$ for large $\lambda > 0$ as $u \to 0+$. Concerning the condition for f as $|u| \to 0$, Zou in [10] imposed that there exists an L > 0 satisfying $f(x, u, \nabla u) = Lu^{m-1} + o(|u|^{m-1} + |\nabla u|^{m-1})$ as $|u|, |\nabla u| \to 0$ for the m-Laplace problem. Hence, we cannot apply the result of [10] and [9] to the case of $f(x, u, \nabla u) = \lambda m(x)u^{p-1} + (1 - u^{p-1})|\nabla u|^{r-1} + o(u^{p-1})$ as $u \to 0+$ for 1 < r < p and $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (admitting sign changes), but we can do our result if $\lambda > 0$ is large.

In [9], the positivity of a solution is proved by the comparison principle. However, since we are not able to do it for our operator in general, after we provide a non-negative and non-trivial solution as a limit of positive approximate solutions (in Section 2), we obtain the positivity of it due to the strong maximum principle for our operator.

1.1 Statements

To state our first result, we define a positive constant A_p by

$$A_p := \frac{C_1}{p-1} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_0}\right)^{p-1} \ge 1,$$
(2)

which is equal to 1 in the case of $A(x, y) = |y|^{p-2}y$ (*i.e.*, the case of the *p*-Laplacian) because we can choose $C_0 = C_1 = p - 1$. Then, we introduce the hypothesis (f1) to the function $f_0(x, t)$ in (f) as *t* is small.

(f1) There exist $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $b_0 > \mu_1(m)A_p$ such that the Lebesgue measure of $\{x \in \Omega; m(x) > 0\}$ is positive and

$$\liminf_{t \to 0+} \frac{f_0(x,t)}{t^{p-1}} \ge b_0 m(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \Omega,$$
(3)

where f_0 is the continuous function in (f) and $\mu_1(m)$ is the first positive eigenvalue of the *p*-Laplacian with the weight function *m* obtained by

$$\mu_1(m) := \inf\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx; u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} m|u|^p \, dx = 1\right\}.$$
(4)

Theorem 1 Assume (f1). Then equation (P) has a positive solution $u \in int P$, where

$$P := \left\{ u \in C_0^1(\overline{\Omega}); u(x) \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\},$$

int $P := \left\{ u \in C_0^1(\overline{\Omega}); u(x) > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \partial u / \partial v < 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\},$

and v denotes the outward unit normal vector on $\partial \Omega$.

Next, we consider the case where A is asymptotically (p-1)-homogeneous near zero in the following sense:

(AH0) There exist a positive function $a_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega}, (0, +\infty))$ and

 $\widetilde{a}_0(x,t) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,+\infty),\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$A(x,y) = a_0(x)|y|^{p-2}y + \widetilde{a}_0(x,|y|)y \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{and}$$
(5)

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{\widetilde{a}_0(x,t)}{t^{p-2}} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$
 (6)

Under (AH0), we can replace the hypothesis (f1) with the following (f2):

(f2) There exist $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $b_0 > \lambda_1(m)$ such that (3) and the Lebesgue measure of $\{x \in \Omega; m(x) > 0\}$ is positive, where $\lambda_1(m)$ is the first positive eigenvalue of

 $-\operatorname{div}(a_0(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ with a weight function *m* obtained by

$$\lambda_1(m) := \inf\left\{\int_{\Omega} a_0(x) |\nabla u|^p \, dx; u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} m|u|^p \, dx = 1\right\}.$$
(7)

Theorem 2 Assume (AH0) and (f2). Then equation (P) has a positive solution $u \in int P$.

Throughout this paper, we may assume that $f(x, t, \xi) = 0$ for every $t \le 0, x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ because we consider the existence of a positive solution only. In what follows, the norm on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is given by $||u|| := ||\nabla u||_p$, where $||u||_q$ denotes the usual norm of $L^q(\Omega)$ for $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ ($1 \le q \le \infty$). Moreover, we denote $u_{\pm} := \max{\{\pm u, 0\}}$.

1.2 Properties of the map A

Remark 3 The following assertions hold under condition (A):

- (i) for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, A(x, y) is maximal monotone and strictly monotone in *y*;
- (ii) $|A(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_1}{p-1} |y|^{p-1}$ for every $(x,y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N$;

.

(iii) $A(x, y)y \ge \frac{C_0}{p-1}|y|^p$ for every $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, where C_0 and C_1 are the positive constants in (A).

Proposition 4 ([3, Proposition 1]) Let $A: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)^*$ be a map defined by

$$\langle A(u),v\rangle = \int_{\Omega} A(x,\nabla u)\nabla v\,dx$$

for $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then A is maximal monotone, strictly monotone and has $(S)_+$ property, that is, any sequence $\{u_n\}$ weakly convergent to u with $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ strongly converges to u.

2 Constructing approximate solutions

Choose a function $\psi \in P \setminus \{0\}$. In this section, for such ψ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the following elliptic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = f(x, u, \nabla u) + \varepsilon \psi(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ &u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{aligned}$$
 (P; \varepsilon)

In [7], the case $\psi \equiv 1$ in the above equation is considered.

Lemma 5 Suppose (f1) or (f2). Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $f(x, t, \xi)t + \lambda_0 t^p \ge 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$, $t \ge 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof From the growth condition of f_0 and (3), it follows that

$$f_0(x,t)t \ge -b_0 \|m\|_{\infty} t^p - b'_1 t^p \quad \text{for every } (x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,\infty)$$

holds, where b'_1 is a positive constant independent of (x, t). Therefore, for $\lambda_0 \ge b_0 ||m||_{\infty} + b'_1$, we easily see that $f(x, t, \xi)t + \lambda_0 t^p \ge f_0(x, t)t + \lambda_0 t^p \ge 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$, $t \ge 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ holds.

Proposition 6 If $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a non-negative solution of $(P; \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \ge 0$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Moreover, for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists a positive constant D > 0 such that $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \le D \max\{1, ||u_{\varepsilon}||\}$ holds for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$.

Proof Set $\overline{p}^* = Np/(N-p)$ if N > p, and in the case of $N \le p$, $\overline{p}^* > p$ is an arbitrarily fixed constant. Let u_{ε} be a non-negative solution of $(P; \varepsilon)$ with $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ (some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$). For r > 0, choose a smooth increasing function $\eta(t)$ such that $\eta(t) = t^{r+1}$ if $0 \le t \le 1$, $\eta(t) = d_0t$ if $t \ge d_1$ and $\eta'(t) \ge d_2 > 0$ if $1 \le t \le d_1$ for some $0 < d_2 < 1 < d_0, d_1$. Define $\xi_M(u) := M^{r+1}\eta(u/M)$ for M > 1.

If $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{r+p}(\Omega)$, then by taking $\xi_M(u_{\varepsilon})$ as a test function (note that η' is bounded), we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{C_0}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p \xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx \end{split}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \psi) \xi_{M}(u_{\varepsilon}) dx$$

$$\leq b_{1} \int_{\Omega} (1 + u_{\varepsilon}^{q-1} + \varepsilon_{0} \|\psi\|_{\infty}) M^{r+1} \eta(u_{\varepsilon}/M) dx + b_{1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{q-1} \xi_{M}(u_{\varepsilon}) dx$$

$$\leq d_{0} d_{1} (2b_{1} + \varepsilon_{0} \|\psi\|_{\infty}) (\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{r+q}^{r+q} + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{r+1}^{r+1}) + b_{1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{q-1} \xi_{M}(u_{\varepsilon}) dx$$
(8)

due to Remark 3(iii) and $M^{r+1}\eta(t/M) \leq d_0d_1t^{r+1}$. Putting $\beta := p/(p-q+1) < p$, we see that $(\xi_M(u_{\varepsilon}))/(\xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}))^{(q-1)/p} = u_{\varepsilon}^{r+1}/((r+1)u_{\varepsilon}^r)^{(q-1)/p} \leq u_{\varepsilon}^{1r/\beta}$ provided $0 < u_{\varepsilon} < M$ (note r > 0). Similarly, if $M \leq u_{\varepsilon} \leq d_1M$, then $(\xi_M(u_{\varepsilon}))/(\xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}))^{(q-1)/p} \leq d_0d_1M^{r+1}/(d_2M^r)^{(q-1)/p} = d_0d_1d_2^{(1-q)/p}M^{1+r/\beta} \leq d_0d_1d_2^{(1-q)/p}u_{\varepsilon}^{1+r/\beta}$, and if $u_{\varepsilon} > d_1M$, then $(\xi_M(u_{\varepsilon}))/(\xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}))/(\xi'_M(u_{\varepsilon}))^{(q-1)/p} = d_0^{1/\beta}M^{r/\beta}u_{\varepsilon} \leq d_0^{1/\beta}u_{\varepsilon}^{(1+r)/\beta}$ (note $d_1 > 1$). Thus, according to Young's inequality, for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $C_{\delta} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{q-1} \xi_{M}(u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \xi_{M}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx + C_{\delta} \int_{u_{\varepsilon}>0} \frac{(\xi_{M}(u_{\varepsilon}))^{\beta}}{(\xi_{M}'(u_{\varepsilon}))^{(q-1)\beta/p}} \, dx \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \xi_{M}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx + C_{\delta} d_{3} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{r+\beta} \, dx, \end{split}$$
(9)

where $\beta := p/(p-q+1) < p$ and $d_3 = \max\{d_0d_1d_2^{(1-q)/p}, d_0^{1/\beta}\}$ (> 1). As a result, because of $r + p > r + q, r + \beta$, according to Hölder's inequality and the monotonicity of t^r with respect to r on $[1, \infty)$, taking a $0 < \delta < C_0/b_1(p-1)$ and setting $u_{\varepsilon}^M(x) := \min\{u_{\varepsilon}(x), M\}$, we obtain

$$b_{4}(r')^{p} \max\left\{1, \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{r+p}^{r+p}\right\} \ge (r')^{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \xi_{M}'(u_{\varepsilon}) dx \ge (r')^{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{M}|^{p} (u_{\varepsilon}^{M})^{r} dx$$
$$= \left\| (u_{\varepsilon}^{M})^{r'} \right\|^{p} \ge C_{*} \left\| (u_{\varepsilon}^{M})^{r'} \right\|_{\bar{p}^{*}}^{p} = C_{*} \left\| u_{\varepsilon}^{M} \right\|_{\bar{p}^{*}r'}^{r+p}$$
(10)

provided $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{r+p}(\Omega)$ by (8) and (9), where r' = 1 + r/p, C_* comes from the continuous embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^{\overline{p}^*}(\Omega)$ and d_4 is a positive constant independent of u_{ε} , ε and r. Consequently, Moser's iteration process implies our conclusion. In fact, we define a sequence $\{r_m\}_m$ by $r_0 := \overline{p}^* - p$ and $r_{m+1} := \overline{p}^*(p + r_m)/p - p$. Then, we see that $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\overline{p}^*(p+r_m)/p}(\Omega) = L^{p+r_m+1}(\Omega)$ holds if $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p+r_m}(\Omega)$ by applying Fatou's lemma to (10) and letting $M \to \infty$. Here, we also see $r_{m+1} = \overline{p}^*r_m/p + \overline{p}^* - p \ge (\overline{p}^*/p)^{m+1}r_0 \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore, by the same argument as in Theorem C in [4], we can obtain $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \le D \max\{1, \|u_{\varepsilon}\|\}$ for some positive constant D independent of u_{ε} and ε .

Lemma 7 Suppose (f1) or (f2). If $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a solution of $(P; \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \in \operatorname{int} P$.

Proof Taking $-(u_{\varepsilon})_{-}$ as a test function in $(P; \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{C_0}{p-1} \left\| \nabla(u_{\varepsilon})_{-} \right\|_p^p \leq \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \left(-\nabla(u_{\varepsilon})_{-} \right) dx = -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \psi(u_{\varepsilon})_{-} dx \leq 0$$

because of $f(x, t, \xi) = 0$ if $t \le 0$ and by Remark 3(iii). Hence, $u_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ follows. Because Proposition 6 guarantees that $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \in C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ (for some $0 < \alpha < 1$) by the regularity result in [11]. Note that $u_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$ because of $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\psi \neq 0$. In addition, Lemma 5 implies the existence of $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda_0 u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} \ge 0$ in the distribution sense.

Therefore, according to Theorem A and Theorem B in [4], $u_{\varepsilon} > 0$ in Ω and $\partial u_{\varepsilon} / \partial v < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, namely, $u_{\varepsilon} \in \text{int } P$.

The following result can be shown by the same argument as in [9, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 8 Suppose (f1) or (f2). Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $(P; \varepsilon)$ has a positive solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in int P$.

Proof Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m, \ldots\}$ be a Schauder basis of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (refer to [12] for the existence). For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the *m*-dimensional subspace V_m of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by $V_m := \text{lin.sp.}\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$. Moreover, set a linear isomorphism $T_m \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to V_m$ by $T_m(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) := \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i e_i \in V_m$, and let $T_m^* \colon V_m^* \to (\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ be a dual map of T_m . By identifying \mathbb{R}^m and $(\mathbb{R}^m)^*$, we may consider that T_m^* maps from V_m^* to \mathbb{R}^m . Define maps A_m and B_m from V_m to V_m^* as follows:

$$\langle A_m(u), v \rangle := \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) \nabla v \, dx$$
 and $\langle B_m(u), v \rangle := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) v \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \psi v \, dx$

for $u, v \in V_m$. We claim that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_m \in V_m$ such that $A_m(u_m) - B_m(u_m) = 0$ in V_m^* . Indeed, by the growth condition of f, Remark 3(iii) and Hölder's inequality, we easily have

$$\langle A_m(u) - B_m(u), u \rangle$$

$$\geq \frac{C_0}{p-1} \|u\|^p - b_1 (\|u\|_1 + \|u\|_q^q + \|\nabla u\|_p^{q-1} \|u\|_\beta) - \varepsilon \|\psi\|_\infty \|u\|_1$$
(11)

for every $u \in V_m$, where $\beta = p/(p-q+1) < p$. This implies that $A_m - B_m$ is coercive on V_m by q < p. Set a homotopy $H_m(t, y) := ty + (1-t)T_m^*(A_m(T_m(y)) - B_m(T_m(y)))$ for $t \in [0,1]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By recalling that $A_m - B_m$ is coercive on V_m , we see that there exists an R > 0 such that $(H_m(t, y), y) > 0$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $|y| \ge R$ because $\|\cdot\|$ and the norm of \mathbb{R}^m are equivalent on V_m . Therefore, we have

$$1 = \deg(I_m, B_R(0), 0) = \deg(H_m(1, \cdot), B_R(0), 0)$$

= $\deg(H_m(0, \cdot), B_R(0), 0) = \deg(T_m^* \circ (A_m - B_m) \circ T_m, B_R(0), 0),$

where I_m is the identity map on \mathbb{R}^m , $B_R(0) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m; |y| < R\}$ and deg(g, B, 0) denotes the degree on \mathbb{R}^m for a continuous map $g : B \to \mathbb{R}^m$ (*cf.* [13]). Hence, this yields the existence of $y_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $(T_m^* \circ (A_m - B_m) \circ T_m)(y_m) = 0$, and so the desired u_m is obtained by setting $u_m = T_m(y_m) \in V_m$ since T_m^* is injective.

Because (11) with $u = u_m \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ leads to the boundedness of $||u_m||$ by q < p, we may assume, by choosing a subsequence, that u_m converges to some u_0 weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Let P_m be a natural projection onto V_m , that is, $P_m u = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i e_i$ for $u = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i e_i$. Since $u_m, P_m u_0 \in V_m$ and $A_m(u_m) - B_m(u_m) = 0$ in V_m^* , by noting that $A_m = A$ on V_m for a map A defined in Proposition 4, we obtain

$$\langle A(u_m), u_m - u_0 \rangle + \langle A(u_m), u_0 - P_m u_0 \rangle$$

= $\langle A_m(u_m), u_m - P_m u_0 \rangle = \langle B_m(u_m), u_m - P_m u_0 \rangle$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_m, \nabla u_m) + \varepsilon \psi) (u_m - u_0) dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_m, \nabla u_m) + \varepsilon \psi) (u_0 - P_m u_0) dx \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$, where we use the boundedness of $||u_m||$, the growth condition of f and $u_m \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. In addition, since $||A(u_m)||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)^*}$ is bounded, by the boundedness of $||u_m||$, we see that $\langle A(u_m), u_0 - P_m u_0 \rangle \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, whence $\langle A(u_m), u_m - u_0 \rangle \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ holds. As a result, it follows from the $(S)_+$ property of A that $u_m \to u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $m \to \infty$.

Finally, we shall prove that u_0 is a solution of $(P; \varepsilon)$. Fix any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in V_l$. For each $m \ge l$, by letting $m \to \infty$ in $\langle A_m(u_m), \varphi \rangle = \langle B_m(u_m), \varphi \rangle$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u_0) \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_0, \nabla u_0) \varphi \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \psi \varphi \, dx. \tag{12}$$

Since *l* is arbitrary, (12) holds for every $\varphi \in \bigcup_{l \ge 1} V_l$. Moreover, the density of $\bigcup_{l \ge 1} V_l$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ guarantees that (12) holds for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This means that u_0 is a solution of $(P; \varepsilon)$. Consequently, our conclusion $u_0 \in \operatorname{int} P$ follows from Lemma 7.

3 Proof of theorems

Lemma 9 Let φ , $u \in int P$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^p}{u^{p-1}}\right) dx \le A_p \|\nabla \varphi\|_p^p$$

holds, where A_p is the positive constant defined by (2).

Proof Because of $\varphi, u \in \text{int } P$, there exist $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ such that $\delta_1 u \ge \varphi \ge \delta_2 u$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Thus, $\delta_1 \ge \varphi/u \ge \delta_2$ and $1/\delta_2 \ge u/\varphi \ge 1/\delta_1$ in Ω . Hence, $u/\varphi, \varphi/u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ hold. Therefore, we have

$$A(x, \nabla u)\nabla\left(\frac{\varphi^{p}}{u^{p-1}}\right) = p\left(\frac{\varphi}{u}\right)^{p-1}A(x, \nabla u)\nabla\varphi - (p-1)\left(\frac{\varphi}{u}\right)^{p}A(x, \nabla u)\nabla u$$
$$\leq \frac{pC_{1}}{p-1}\left(\frac{\varphi}{u}\right)^{p-1}|\nabla u|^{p-1}|\nabla\varphi| - C_{0}\left(\frac{\varphi}{u}\right)^{p}|\nabla u|^{p}$$
$$= \left\{\left(\frac{pC_{0}}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}\frac{\varphi}{u}|\nabla u|\right\}^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}C_{1}C_{0}^{(1-p)/p}|\nabla\varphi|$$
$$- C_{0}\left(\frac{\varphi}{u}\right)^{p}|\nabla u|^{p} \leq A_{p}|\nabla\varphi|^{p}$$
(13)

in Ω by (ii) and (iii) in Remark 3 and Young's inequality.

Lemma 10 Assume that $a_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega}, [0, \infty))$ and let $\varphi, u \in int P$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} a_0(x) |\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \nabla \varphi \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^p - u^p}{\varphi^{p-1}}\right) dx - \int_{\Omega} a_0(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^p - u^p}{u^{p-1}}\right) dx \ge 0$$

holds.

Proof First, we note that $u/\varphi, \varphi/u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ hold by the same reason as in Lemma 9. Applying Young's inequality to the second term of the right-hand side in (14) (refer to (13) with $C_0 = C_1 = p - 1$), we obtain

$$a_{0}(x)|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2}\nabla\varphi\nabla\left(\frac{\varphi^{p}-u^{p}}{\varphi^{p-1}}\right)$$

$$\geq a_{0}(x)\left(|\nabla\varphi|^{p}-p\left(\frac{u}{\varphi}\right)^{p-1}|\nabla\varphi|^{p-1}|\nabla u|+(p-1)\left(\frac{u}{\varphi}\right)^{p}|\nabla\varphi|^{p}\right)$$
(14)

$$\geq a_0(x) \left(|\nabla \varphi|^p - |\nabla u|^p \right) \tag{15}$$

in Ω . Similarly, we also have

$$a_0(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^p-u^p}{u^{p-1}}\right) \le a_0(x)\left(|\nabla \varphi|^p-|\nabla u|^p\right) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$
(16)

The conclusion follows from (15) and (16).

Under (f1) or (f2), we denote a solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in \operatorname{int} P$ of $(P; \varepsilon)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ obtained by Proposition 8.

Lemma 11 Assume (f1) or (f2). Let I := (0,1]. Then $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon \in I}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof Taking u_{ε} as a test function in (*P*; ε), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_0}{p-1} \|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon} \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \psi \, u_{\varepsilon} \, dx \\ &\leq b_1 \big(\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_1 + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_q^q + \|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\|_p^{q-1} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\beta} \big) + \|\psi\|_{\infty} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_1 \\ &\leq b_1' \big(\|u_{\varepsilon}\| + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^q \big) \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 3(iii), the growth condition of f, Hölder's inequality and the continuity of the embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\Omega)$, where $\beta = p/(p-q+1)$ (< p) and b'_1 is a positive constant independent of u_{ε} . Because of q < p, this yields the boundedness of $||u_{\varepsilon}|| (= ||\nabla u_{\varepsilon}||_p)$. \Box

Lemma 12 Assume (f1) or (f2). Then $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|/u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $|||\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|/u_{\varepsilon}||_{p}^{p} \leq \lambda_{0}|\Omega|/C_{0}$ hold for every $\varepsilon > 0$, where $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Ω , and where C_{0} and λ_{0} are positive constants as in (A) and Lemma 5, respectively.

Proof Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose any $\rho > 0$. By taking $(u_{\varepsilon} + \rho)^{1-p}$ as a test function, we obtain

$$(1-p)\int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x,\nabla u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}}{(u_{\varepsilon}+\rho)^{p}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x,u_{\varepsilon},\nabla u_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon\psi}{(u_{\varepsilon}+\rho)^{p-1}} dx \ge -\lambda_{0}\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}}{(u_{\varepsilon}+\rho)^{p-1}} dx \ge -\lambda_{0}|\Omega|,$$
(17)

by Lemma 5 and $\varepsilon\psi\geq 0.$ On the other hand, by Remark 3(iii) and 1-p < 0, we have

$$(1-p)\int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x,\nabla u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}}{(u_{\varepsilon}+\rho)^{p}} dx \le -C_{0}\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p}}{(u_{\varepsilon}+\rho)^{p}} dx.$$
(18)

Therefore, (17) and (18) imply the inequality $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p / (u_{\varepsilon} + \rho)^p dx \le \lambda_0 |\Omega| / C_0$ for every $\rho > 0$. As a result, by letting $\rho \to 0+$, our conclusion is shown.

Lemma 13 Assume (f2) and (AH0). Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{int} P$. If $u_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0+$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \left| \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{a}_0(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^p - u_{\varepsilon}^p}{u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}} \right) dx \right| = 0$$

holds, where \tilde{a}_0 is a continuous function as in (AH0).

Proof Note that $u_{\varepsilon}/\varphi, \varphi/u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ hold (as in the proof of Lemma 9). Because we easily see that $|\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{a}_0(x, |\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx| \leq C ||\nabla u||_p^p$ for every $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with some C > 0 independent of u (see (6)), it is sufficient to show $|\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{a}_0(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|)\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\nabla(\varphi^p/u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}) dx| \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0+$. Here, we fix any $\delta > 0$. By the property of \widetilde{a}_0 (see (6)) and because we are assuming that $u_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0+$, we have $|\widetilde{a}_0(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|)| \leq \delta |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2}$ for every $x \in \Omega$ provided sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, for such sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{a}_{0}(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi^{p}}{u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq p \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\widetilde{a}_{0}(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|)| |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla \varphi| \varphi^{p-1}}{u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}} dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\widetilde{a}_{0}(x, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|)| |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \varphi^{p}}{u_{\varepsilon}^{p}} dx \\ &\leq \delta \|\varphi\|_{C_{0}^{1}(\overline{\Omega})}^{p} \left\{ p \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p} dx \right\} \\ &\leq \delta \|\varphi\|_{C_{0}^{1}(\overline{\Omega})}^{p} |\Omega| \left(p(\lambda_{0}/C_{0})^{1-1/p} + (p-1)(\lambda_{0}/C_{0}) \right) \end{split}$$

because of $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|/u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 12. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, our conclusion is shown.

3.1 Proof of main results Proof of Theorems

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Due to Proposition 6 and Lemma 11, we have $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \leq M$ for some M > 0independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Hence, there exist M' > 0 and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \in C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq M'$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ by the regularity result in [11]. Because the embedding of $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ into $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is compact and by $u_{\varepsilon} \in \text{int } P$, there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ and $u_0 \in P$ such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0+$ and $u_n := u_{\varepsilon_n} \to u_0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ as $n \to \infty$. If $u_0 \neq 0$ occurs, then $u_0 \in$ int P by the same reason as in Lemma 7, and hence our conclusion is proved. Now, we shall prove $u_0 \neq 0$ by contradiction for each theorem. So, we suppose that $u_0 = 0$, whence $u_n \to 0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1 Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{int} P$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the first positive eigenvalue $\mu_1(m)$ (*cf.* [14, 15], it is well known that we can obtain φ as the minimizer of (4)), namely, φ is a positive solution of $-\Delta_p u = \mu_1(m)m(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ in Ω and u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. Since p-Laplacian is (p-1)-homogeneous, we may assume that φ satisfies $\int_{\Omega} m(x)\varphi^p dx = 1$, and hence $\|\nabla \varphi\|_p^p = \mu_1(m) \int_{\Omega} m(x)\varphi^p dx = \mu_1(m)$ holds by taking φ as a test function. Choose $\rho > 0$ satisfying $b_0 - A_p \mu_1(m) > \rho \|\varphi\|_p^p$ (note that $b_0 - A_p \mu_1(m) > 0$ as in (f1)). Due to (f1), there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $f_0(x, t) \ge (b_0 m(x) - \rho)t^{p-1}$ for every $0 \le t \le \delta$ and $x \in \Omega$. Since

we are assuming $u_n \to 0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ as $n \to \infty$, $||u_n||_{\infty} \le \delta$ occurs for sufficiently large *n*. Then, for such sufficiently large *n*, according to Lemma 9, (1) and $\psi \ge 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_p\mu_1(m) &= A_p \|\nabla\varphi\|_p^p \ge \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u_n) \nabla\left(\frac{\varphi^p}{u_n^{p-1}}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) + \varepsilon\psi}{u_n^{p-1}} \varphi^p \, dx \\ &\ge \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_0(x, u_n)}{u_n^{p-1}} \varphi^p \, dx \ge b_0 \int_{\Omega} m(x) \varphi^p \, dx - \rho \|\varphi\|_p^p = b_0 - \rho \|\varphi\|_p^p > A_p \mu_1(m). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2 Since $\infty > \sup_{x \in \Omega} a_0(x) \ge \inf_{x \in \Omega} a_0(x) > 0$ holds, by the standard argument as in the *p*-Laplacian, we see that $\lambda_1(m) > 0$ and it is the first positive eigenvalue of $-\operatorname{div}(a_0(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ in Ω and u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, by the well-known argument, there exists a positive eigenfunction $\varphi_1 \in \operatorname{int} P$ corresponding to $\lambda_1(m)$ (we can obtain φ_1 as the minimizer of (7)). Hence, by taking φ_1 as a test function, we have $0 < \int_{\Omega} a_0(x)|\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx = \lambda_1(m) \int_{\Omega} m(x)\varphi_1^p dx$. Thus, $\int_{\Omega} m(x)\varphi_1^p dx > 0$ follows. Because $u_n \in \operatorname{int} P$ is a solution of $(P; \varepsilon_n)$ and $\varphi_1 \in \operatorname{int} P$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_1(m)$, according to Lemma 11 and Lemma 13 (note $A(x, y) = a_0|y|^{p-2}y + \widetilde{a}_0(x, |y|)y$ as in (AH0)), we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} a_{0}(x) |\nabla\varphi_{1}|^{p-2} \nabla\varphi_{1} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi_{1}^{p} - u_{n}^{p}}{\varphi_{1}^{p-1}}\right) dx - \int_{\Omega} a_{0}(x) |\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi_{1}^{p} - u_{n}^{p}}{u_{n}^{p-1}}\right) dx$$
$$\leq \lambda_{1}(m) \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi_{1}^{p} - u_{n}^{p}) dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_{0}(x, u_{n})}{u_{n}^{p-1}} \varphi_{1}^{p} dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{a}_{0}(x, |\nabla u_{n}|) \nabla u_{n} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi_{1}^{p} - u_{n}^{p}}{u_{n}^{p-1}}\right) dx + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}) u_{n} dx + \varepsilon_{n} \int_{\Omega} \psi u_{n} dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f_{0}(x, u_{n})}{u_{n}^{p-1}} - b_{0}m(x)\right) \varphi_{1}^{p} dx - (b_{0} - \lambda_{1}(m)) \int m(x) \varphi_{1}^{p} dx + o(1)$$
(19)

as $n \to \infty$ since we are assuming $u_n \to 0$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$, where we use the facts that $\psi \ge 0$ and $\varphi_1 > 0$ in Ω . Furthermore, by Fatou's lemma and (3), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{f_0(x,u_n)}{u_n^{p-1}}-b_0m(x)\right)\varphi_1^p\,dx\geq 0.$$

As a result, by taking a limit superior with respect to *n* in (19), we have $0 \le -(b_0 - \lambda_1(m)) \int m(x)\varphi_1^p dx < 0$. This is a contradiction.

Competing interests

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express her sincere thanks to Professor Shizuo Miyajima for helpful comments and encouragement. The author thanks Professor Dumitru Motreanu for giving her the opportunity of this work. The author thanks referees for their helpful comments.

Received: 15 May 2013 Accepted: 10 July 2013 Published: 24 July 2013

References

- 1. Motreanu, D, Papageorgiou, NS: Multiple solutions for nonlinear Neumann problems driven by a nonhomogeneous differential operator. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 139, 3527-3535 (2011)
- Damascelli, L: Comparison theorems for some quasilinear degenerate elliptic operators and applications to symmetry and monotonicity results. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 15, 493-516 (1998)
- 3. Motreanu, D, Motreanu, VV, Papageorgiou, NS: Multiple constant sign and nodal solutions for nonlinear Neumann eigenvalue problems. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. **10**, 729-755 (2011)
- Miyajima, S, Motreanu, D, Tanaka, M: Multiple existence results of solutions for the Neumann problems via super- and sub-solutions. J. Funct. Anal. 262, 1921-1953 (2012)
- Motreanu, D, Tanaka, M: Generalized eigenvalue problems of nonhomogeneous elliptic operators and their application. Pac. J. Math. 265(1), 151-184 (2013)
- 6. Kim, Y-H: A global bifurcation for nonlinear equations with nonhomogeneous part. Nonlinear Anal. **71**, 738-743 (2009)
- 7. Ruiz, D: A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions for strongly nonlinear problems. J. Differ. Equ. 2004, 96-114 (2004)
- Tanaka, M: Existence of the Fučík type spectrums for the generalized p-Laplace operators. Nonlinear Anal. 75, 3407-3435 (2012)
- 9. Faria, L, Miyagaki, O, Motreanu, D: Comparison and positive solutions for problems with (*P*, *Q*)-Laplacian and convection term. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (to appear)
- 10. Zou, HH: A priori estimates and existence for quasi-linear elliptic equations. Calc. Var. 33, 417-437 (2008)
- 11. Lieberman, GM: Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. Nonlinear Anal. **12**, 1203-1219 (1988)
- 12. Fučík, S, John, O, Nečas, J: On the existence of Schauder bases in Sobolev spaces. Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. 13, 163-175 (1972)
- 13. Deimling, K: Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Springer, New York (1985)
- 14. Anane, A: Etude des valeurs propres et de la résonnance pour l'opérateur *p*-laplacien. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **305**, 725-728 (1987)
- Cuesta, M: Eigenvalue problems for the *p*-Laplacian with indefinite weights. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2001(33), 1-9 (2001)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-173

Cite this article as: Tanaka: Existence of a positive solution for quasilinear elliptic equations with nonlinearity including the gradient. *Boundary Value Problems* 2013 2013:173.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com