# Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of sublinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations 

Ying Lv*
"Correspondence:
ly0904@swu.edu.cn
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715, People's Republic of China


#### Abstract

In this paper I consider a class of sublinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, and new results about the existence and multiplicity of solutions are obtained by using the minimizing theorem and the dual fountain theorem respectively.
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## 1 Introduction and main result

Consider the following semilinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\phi u=f(x, u), \quad \text { in } R^{3},  \tag{1}\\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x)=0, \quad \text { in } R^{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Such a system, also known as the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system, arises in an interesting physical context. Indeed, according to a classical model, the interaction of a charge particle with an electromagnetic field can be described by coupling the nonlinear Schrödinger and the Maxwell equations (we refer to [1, 2] for more details on the physical aspects and on the qualitative properties of the solutions). In particular, if we are looking for electrostatic-type solutions, we just have to solve (1).

In recent years, system (1), with $V(x) \equiv 1$ or being radially symmetric, has been widely studied under various conditions on $f$; see, for example, [3-11]. Since (1) is set on $R^{3}$, it is well known that the Sobolev embedding $H^{1}\left(R^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(R^{3}\right)\left(2 \leq s \leq 2^{*}=6\right)$ is not compact, and then it is usually difficult to prove that a minimizing sequence or a sequence that satisfies the (PS) condition, briefly a Palais-Smale sequence, is strongly convergent if we seek solutions of (1) by variational methods. If $V(x)$ is radial (for example, $V(x) \equiv 1$ ), we can avoid the lack of compactness of Sobolev embedding by looking for solutions of (1) in the subspace of radial functions of $H^{1}\left(R^{3}\right)$, which is usually denoted by $H_{r}^{1}\left(R^{3}\right)$, since the embedding $H_{r}^{1}\left(R^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(R^{3}\right)(2<s<6)$ is compact. Specially, Ruiz [11] dealt with (1) under the assumption that $V(x) \equiv 1$ and $f(u)=u^{p}(1<p<5)$ and got some general existence, nonexistence and multiplicity results.

Moreover, in [12] the authors considered system (1) with periodic potential $V(x)$, and the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions was proved by the nonlinear superposition principle established in [13].

[^0]There are also some papers treating the case with nonradial potential $V(x)$. More precisely, Wang and Zhou [14] got the existence and nonexistence results of (1) when $f(u)$ is asymptotically linear at infinity. Chen and Tang [15] proved that (1) has infinitely many high energy solutions under the condition that $f(x, u)$ is superlinear at infinity in $u$ by the fountain theorem. Soon after, Li, Su and Wei [16] improved their results.

Up to now, there have been few works concerning the case that $V(x)$ is nonradial potential and $f(x, u)$ is sublinear at infinity in $u$. Very recently, Sun [17] treated the above case based on the variant fountain theorem established in Zou [18].

Theorem 1.1 [17] Assume that the following conditions hold:
$\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad V \in C\left(R^{3}, R\right)$ satisfies $\inf _{x \in R^{3}} V(x) \geq a>0$, where $a>0$ is a constant. For every $M>0$, $\operatorname{meas}\left\{x \in R^{3}: \nu(x) \leq M\right\}<\infty$.
$\left(H_{1}\right) F(x, u)=a(x)|u|^{r}$, where $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, y) d y, a: R^{3} \rightarrow R^{+}$is a positive function such that $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ and $1<r<2$.

Then problem (1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions $\left\{\left(u_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{k}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{k} u_{k}^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F\left(x, u_{k}\right) d x \rightarrow 0^{-}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In the present paper, based on the dual fountain theorem, we can prove the same result under a more generic condition, which generalizes the result in [17]. Our first result can be stated as follows.

## Theorem 1.2 Assume that $V$ satisfies

$\left(V_{1}\right) V \in C\left(R^{3}, R\right)$ and $\inf _{x \in R^{3}} V(x)>0$;
and $f$ satisfies the following conditions.
( $W_{1}$ ) There exist constants $\delta>0, r_{1} \in(1,2)$ and a function $a_{1} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}\left(R^{3},[0,+\infty)\right)$ such that

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{1}(x)|u|^{r_{1}-1}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \leq \delta$;
$\left(W_{2}\right)$ There exist constants $M>0, r_{2} \in(1,2)$ and a function $a_{2} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{2}}}\left(R^{3},[0,+\infty)\right)$ such that

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{2}(x)|u|^{r_{2}-1}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \geq M ;$
$\left(W_{3}\right)$ For every $m>\delta$, there exist a constant $r_{3} \in(1,2)$ and a function $b_{m} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{3}}}\left(R^{3}\right.$, $[0,+\infty))$ such that

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq b_{m}(x)
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \leq m ;$
$\left(W_{4}\right)$ There exist constants $r_{4} \in(1,2), \eta>0$ and $\zeta>0$ such that

$$
F(x, u) \geq \eta|u|^{r_{4}}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|u| \leq \zeta$, where meas $\{\Omega\}>0, F(x, u):=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, y) d y$;
$\left(W_{5}\right) F(x,-u)=F(x, u)$ for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $u \in R$.
Then problem (1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions $\left\{\left(u_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{k}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{k} u_{k}^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F\left(x, u_{k}\right) d x \rightarrow 0^{-}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

By Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1.3 Assume that $L$ satisfies $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $W$ satisfies
$\left(W_{6}\right) F(x, u)=a(x)|u|^{r}$, where $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, y) d y, 1<r<2$ is a constant and $a: R^{3} \rightarrow R$ is a function such that $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ and $a(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega$, where meas $\{\Omega\}>0$.

Then problem (1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions $\left\{\left(u_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{k}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{k} u_{k}^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F\left(x, u_{k}\right) d x \rightarrow 0^{-}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.4 In Theorem 1.2, infinitely many solutions for problem (1) are obtained under the symmetry condition $\left(W_{5}\right)$ by using the dual fountain theorem. As a special case of Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3 generalizes and improves Theorem 1.1. To show this, it suffices to compare $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(W_{6}\right)$. Firstly, it is clear that $\left(V_{1}\right)$ is really weaker than $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Secondly, in $\left(H_{1}\right) a$ is assumed to be positive, while in ( $W_{6}$ ) we assume that $a$ is indefinite.

Moreover, under all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 except ( $W_{5}$ ) we obtain an existence result.

Theorem 1.5 Assume that L satisfies $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $W$ satisfies $\left(W_{1}\right),\left(W_{2}\right),\left(W_{3}\right),\left(W_{4}\right)$. Then problem (1) possesses a nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.6 In Theorem 1.5 we obtain the existence of solutions for problem (1) under the assumption that $f(x, u)$ is indefinite and without any coercive assumptions respect to $V$ such as $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. There are functions $V$ and $f$ which satisfy Theorem 1.5 , but do not satisfy the corresponding results in [2-16]. For example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x) \equiv 1, \quad f(x, u)=\tilde{a}(x)|u|^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{a}(x)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{n} n^{3}(|x|-n) & \text { for } n \leq|x| \leq n+\frac{1}{n^{2}}  \tag{3}\\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

in which $n \geq 3$. It is clear that $\tilde{a} \in C\left(R^{3}, R\right)$ is indefinite. Denoting by $\pi$ the area of the unit ball in $R^{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R^{3}} \tilde{a}^{4}(x) d x & =\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\left(\int_{n}^{n+\frac{1}{n^{2}}} n^{12} r^{2}(r-n)^{4} d r+\int_{n+\frac{1}{n^{2}}}^{n+\frac{2}{n^{2}}} n^{12} r^{2}\left(n+\frac{2}{n^{2}}-r\right)^{4} d r\right) \pi \\
& =\pi \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} 2 n^{12} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n^{2}}} r^{6} d x \\
& =\frac{2 \pi}{7} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^{-2} \\
& <\infty \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

which means that $\tilde{a} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-\frac{3}{2}}}\left(R^{3}\right)$. So, (2) satisfies our results, but does not satisfy the results in [3-17].

## 2 Preliminary results

In order to establish our results via critical point theory, we firstly describe some properties of the space $H^{1}\left(R^{3}\right)$, on which the variational functional associated with problem (1) is defined. Define the function space

$$
H^{1}\left(R^{3}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right): \nabla u \in\left(L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)\right)^{3}\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{1}}:=\left(\int_{R^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and the function space

$$
D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{2^{*}}: \nabla u \in\left(L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)\right)^{3}\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}=\left(\int_{R^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Let

$$
E:=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(R^{3}\right): \int_{R^{3}} V(x) u^{2} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the inner product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{R^{3}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+V(x) u v) d x
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\|u\|^{2}=(u, u) .
$$

Note that the following embeddings

$$
E \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(R^{3}\right), \quad 2 \leq s \leq 2^{*}, \quad D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}\left(R^{3}\right)
$$

are continuous, where $2^{*}=6$ is the critical exponent for the Sobolev embeddings in dimension 3. Therefore, there exist constants $C_{p}$ and $C_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{p}\|u\|, \quad\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}} \leq C_{*}\|u\|_{D^{1,2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E$. Here $L^{p}\left(R^{3}\right)\left(2 \leq p \leq 2^{*}\right)$ denotes the Banach spaces of a function on $R^{3}$ with values in $R$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int_{R^{3}}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Let

$$
L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right):=\left\{u: R^{3} \rightarrow R: \int_{R^{3}} a(x)|u|^{r} d x<+\infty\right\},
$$

where $a(x)>0$ for a.e. $x \in R^{3}$. Then $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{a}^{r}}=\left(\int_{R^{3}} a(x)|u|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r}
$$

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that assumption $\left(V_{1}\right)$ holds. Then the embedding of $E$ in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is compact, where $r \in(1,2), a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is positive for a.e. $x \in R^{3}$.

Proof For any bounded set $K \subset E$, there exists a positive constant $M_{0}$ such that $\|u\| \leq M_{0}$ for all $u \in K$. We claim that $K$ is precompact in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$. In fact, since $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $T_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{\varepsilon}} a(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r}} d x\right)^{(2-r) / 2}<\varepsilon .
$$

For any $u, v \in K$, applying the Hölder inequality for $r$ such that $\frac{r}{2}+\frac{2-r}{2}=1$ and the first inequality in (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{|x| \geq T_{\varepsilon}} a(x)|u-v|^{r} d x & \leq\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{\varepsilon}} a(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r}} d x\right)^{(2-r) / 2}\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{\varepsilon}}|u-v|^{2} d x\right)^{r / 2} \\
& \leq\|u-v\|_{L^{2}}^{r}\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{\varepsilon}} a(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r}} d x\right)^{(2-r) / 2} \\
& \leq C_{2}^{r}\|u-v\|^{r} \varepsilon \\
& \leq 2 C_{2}^{r} M_{0}^{r} \varepsilon . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides, since $E\left(B_{T_{\varepsilon}}(0)\right) \subset H^{1}\left(B_{T_{\varepsilon}}(0)\right)$ is compactly embedded in $L_{a}^{r}\left(B_{T_{\varepsilon}}(0)\right)$, where $B_{T_{\varepsilon}}(0)=\left\{x \in R^{3}:|x| \leq T_{\varepsilon}\right\}$, there are $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m} \in K$ such that for any $u \in K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \leq T_{\varepsilon}} a(x)\left|u-u_{i}\right|^{r} d x<\varepsilon . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it follows from (6) and (7) that $K$ is precompact in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Obviously, we have $E$ is compact embedded in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$, where $r \in(1,2), a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is positive for a.e. $x \in R^{3}$.

Lemma 2.2 Assume that assumptions $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(W_{1}\right),\left(W_{2}\right)$ and $\left(W_{3}\right)$ hold and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E$. Then

$$
f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(x, u)
$$

in $L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)$.

Proof Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E$. Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u
$$

in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$, where $r \in(1,2), a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is positive for a.e. $x \in R^{3}$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, it can be assumed that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{a}^{r}}<+\infty
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(x):=\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left|u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right| \in L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{g}-h_{l}\right\|_{L_{a}^{r}} \leq \sum_{n=l}^{g}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L_{a}^{r}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g>l \in N^{+}$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$, so by (9) we know that $\left\{h_{k}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Therefore, by the completeness of $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$, there exists $h \in L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that $h_{k} \rightarrow h$ in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Now we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(x) \leq h(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in N^{+}$and almost every $x \in R^{3}$. If not, there exist $k_{0} \in N^{+}$and $S \subset R^{3}$, with meas $\{S\}>0$, such that

$$
h_{k_{0}}(x)>h(x)
$$

for all $x \in S$. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and $S_{0} \subset S$, with meas $\left\{S_{0}\right\}>0$, such that

$$
h_{k_{0}}(x) \geq h(x)+c
$$

for all $x \in S_{0}$. By the definition of $h_{k}$, we have

$$
h_{k}(x) \geq h_{k_{0}}(x) \geq h(x)+c
$$

for all $k \geq k_{0}$ and $x \in S_{0}$. Therefore, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^{3}} a(x)\left|h_{k}-h\right|^{r} d x & \geq \int_{S_{0}} a(x)\left|h_{k}-h\right|^{r} d x \\
& \geq c^{r} \int_{S_{0}} a(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
0 \geq c^{r} \int_{S_{0}} a(x) d x
$$

which contradicts the fact that $a(x)>0$ for a.e. $x \in R^{3}$. Now we have proved (10). It follows from ( $W_{2}$ ) that there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{2}(x)|u|^{r_{2}-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \geq M . \operatorname{By}\left(W_{1}\right)$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{1}(x)|u|^{r_{1}-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \leq \delta$, which together with $\left(W_{3}\right)$ shows there exists $b_{M} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r} r_{3}}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{1}(x)|u|^{r_{1}-1}+\frac{b_{M}(x)}{\delta^{r_{3}-1}}|u|^{r_{3}-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \leq M$. Combining (11) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, u)| \leq a_{1}(x)|u|^{r_{1}-1}+a_{2}(x)|u|^{r_{2}-1}+\frac{b_{M}}{\delta^{r_{3}-1}}|u|^{r_{3}-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $u \in R$. Hence, by (10) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right| \leq & a_{1}(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r_{1}-1}+|u|^{r_{1}-1}\right)+a_{2}(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r_{2}-1}+|u|^{r_{2}-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{b_{M}(x)}{\delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r_{3}-1}+|u|^{r_{3}-1}\right) \\
\leq & a_{1}(x)\left(\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{r_{1}-1}+2|u(x)|^{r_{1}-1}\right)+a_{2}(x)\left(\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{r_{2}-1}+2|u|^{r_{2}-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{b_{M}(x)}{\delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left(\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{r_{3}-1}+2|u|^{r_{3}-1}\right) \\
\leq & a_{1}(x)\left(|h|^{r_{1}-1}+2|u|^{r_{1}-1}\right)+a_{2}(x)\left(|h|^{r_{2}-1}+2|u|^{r_{2}-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{b_{M}(x)}{\delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left(|h|^{r_{3}-1}+2|u|^{r_{3}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in N$ and $x \in R^{3}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|^{2} d x \leq & 6 a_{1}^{2}(x)\left(|h|^{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)}+4|u|^{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)}\right) d x \\
& +6 a_{2}^{2}(x)\left(|h|^{2\left(r_{2}-1\right)}+4|u|^{2\left(r_{2}-1\right)}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{6 b_{M}^{2}(x)}{\delta^{2\left(r_{3}-1\right)}}\left(|h|^{2\left(r_{3}-1\right)}+4|u|^{2\left(r_{3}-1\right)}\right) d x \\
= & \varrho(x) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \in N$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R^{3}} a_{1}^{2}(x)|h|^{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)} d x & \leq\left(\int_{R^{3}} a_{1}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}} d x\right)^{\frac{2-r_{1}}{r_{1}}}\left(\int_{R^{3}} a_{1}(x)|h|^{r_{1}} d x\right)^{\frac{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{r_{1}}} \\
& =\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{r_{1}}}}^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}\|h\|_{L_{a_{1}}^{r_{1}}}^{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)} \\
& <\infty . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{3}} a_{1}^{2}(x)|u|^{2\left(r_{1}-1\right)} d x<\infty, \quad \int_{R^{3}} a_{2}^{2}(x)|h|^{2\left(r_{2}-1\right)} d x<\infty, \\
& \int_{R^{3}} a_{2}^{2}(x)|u|^{2\left(r_{2}-1\right)} d x<\infty, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}} b_{M}^{2}(x)|h|^{2\left(r_{3}-1\right)} d x<\infty, \quad \int_{R^{3}} b_{M}^{2}(x)|u|^{2\left(r_{3}-1\right)} d x<\infty . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15), (16), (17) and (18) that

$$
\varrho \in L^{1}\left(R^{3}\right),
$$

which together with Lebesgue's convergence theorem shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we have proved the lemma.

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $G \subset R^{3}$ is an open set. Then, for any closed set $H \subset G$, there exists a function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that $\varphi(x)=0$ for all $x \in R^{3} \backslash G, \varphi(x)=1$ for all $x \in H$ and $0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in G \backslash H$.

Proof Letting

$$
\tilde{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}e^{\frac{1}{|x|^{2}-1}}, & |x|<1 \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

then $\tilde{\alpha} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\alpha}=B_{1}(0)$. For any given $\varepsilon>0$, defining $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{\varepsilon}$ as follows,

$$
\alpha(x)=\frac{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}{\int_{R^{3}} \tilde{\alpha}(x) d x}, \quad \alpha_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}} \alpha\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

one has $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right), \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{\varepsilon}=\{x:|x| \leq \varepsilon\}$ and $\int_{R^{3}} \alpha_{\varepsilon}(x) d x=1$. Denoting

$$
d_{0}=\inf _{x \in H, y \in \partial G} d(x, y)
$$

and

$$
G_{\theta}:=\{x \in G, d(x, \partial G) \geq \theta\},
$$

it is clear that $d_{0}>0$ and $H \subset G_{d_{0}}$. Lastly, we define

$$
\psi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in G_{\frac{d_{0}}{2}}, \\ 0, & x \in R \backslash G_{\frac{d_{0}}{2}}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{R^{3}} \psi(x-y) \alpha_{\frac{d_{0}}{4}}(y) d y
$$

then $\varphi(x)=1$ for all $x \in H$ and $\varphi(x)=0$ for all $x \in G_{\frac{d_{0}}{4}}$. Moreover, by the definition of $\alpha_{\varepsilon}$, we have $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$.

Since $E$ is a Hilbert space, then there exists a basis $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that $X=\overline{\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} X_{j}}$, where $X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{j}\right\}$. Letting $Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}, Z_{k}=\bigoplus_{j \geq k} X_{j}$, now we show the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.4 Suppose $r \in(1,2)$ and $a \in L^{2-r}\left(R^{3}\right)$, then we have

$$
\beta_{k}(a, r):=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=1}\|u\|_{L_{a}^{r}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof It is clear that $0<\beta_{k+1}(a, r) \leq \beta_{k}(a, r)$, so there exists $\beta(a, r) \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}(a, r) \rightarrow \beta(a, r) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition of $\beta_{k}(a, r)$, there exists $u_{k} \in Z_{k}$ with $\left\|u_{k}\right\|=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L_{a}^{r}}>\frac{\beta_{k}(a, r)}{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k \in N}$ is bounded, then there exists $u \in E$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now, since $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ is a basis of $E$, it follows that for all $j \in N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(u_{k}, v_{j}\right) \quad \forall k>j \\
& \rightarrow\left(u, v_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which shows that $u=0$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
u_{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $L_{a}^{r}\left(R^{3}\right)$ for all $r \in(1,2)$ and $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$, which together with (20) and (21) implies that $\beta(a, r)=0$ for all $r \in(1,2)$ and $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$.

We obtain the existence of a solution for problem (1) by using the following standard minimizing argument.

Lemma 2.5 [19] Let E be a real Banach space and $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, R)$ satisfying the (PS) condition. If $\Phi$ is bounded from below,

$$
c:=\inf _{E} \Phi
$$

is a critical value of $\Phi$.

In order to prove the multiplicity of solutions, we will use the dual fountain theorem. Firstly, we introduce the definition of the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition.

Definition 2.6 Let $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, R)$ and $c \in R$. The function $\Phi$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition if any sequence $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\} \in E$, such that

$$
\Phi\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow c,\left.\quad \Phi\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}} ^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n_{j} \rightarrow \infty
$$

contains a subsequence converging to a critical point of $\Phi$.

Now we show the following dual fountain theorem.

Lemma 2.7 [20] If $\Phi(-u)=\Phi(u)$ and for every $k \geq k_{0}$, there exists $\rho_{k}>\gamma_{k}>0$ such that
(i) $a_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi(u) \geq 0$,
(ii) $b_{k}:=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\gamma_{k}} \Phi(u)<0$,
(iii) $d_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, if $\Phi \in C^{1}(X, R)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition for all $c \in\left[d_{k_{0}}, 0\right)$, then $\Phi$ has a sequence of critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0^{-}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3 Proof of theorems

Define the functional $I: E \times D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u, \phi)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi u^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, u) d x . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to know that $I$ exhibits a strong indefiniteness, namely it is unbounded both from below and from above on an infinitely dimensional subspace. This indefiniteness can be removed using the reduction method described in [1], by which we are led to study a variable functional that does not present such a strong indefinite nature.
Now we recall this method. For any $u \in E$, consider the linear functional $T_{u}: D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right) \rightarrow$ $R$ defined as

$$
T_{u}(v)=\int_{R^{3}} u^{2} v d x
$$

By the Hölder inequality and using the second inequality in (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^{3}} u^{2} v d x & \leq\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{6 / 5}}\|v\|_{L^{6}} \\
& \leq\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}\|v\|_{L^{6}} \\
& \leq C_{12 / 5} C_{*}\|u\|^{2}\|v\|_{D^{1,2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $T_{u}$ is continuous on $D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Set

$$
\mu(u, v)=\int_{R^{3}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Obviously, $\mu(u, v)$ is bilinear, bounded and coercive. Hence, the LaxMilgram theorem implies that for every $u \in E$, there exists a unique $\phi_{u} \in D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
T_{u}(v)=\mu\left(\phi_{u}, v\right)
$$

for any $v \in D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$, that is,

$$
\int_{R^{3}} u^{2} v d x=\int_{R^{3}} \nabla \phi_{u} \cdot \nabla v d x
$$

for any $v \in D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Using integration by parts, we get

$$
\int_{R^{3}} \nabla \phi_{u} \cdot \nabla v d x=-\int_{R^{3}} v \Delta \phi_{u} d x
$$

for any $v \in D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \phi_{u}=u^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a weak sense. We can write an integral expression for $\phi_{u}$ in the form

$$
\phi_{u}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} \frac{u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y
$$

for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ (see [21], Theorem 1); by density it can be extended for any $u \in E$ (see Lemma 2.1 of [22]). Clearly, $\phi_{u} \geq 0$ and $\phi_{-u}=\phi_{u}$ for all $u \in E$.

It follows from (23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x=\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u}\left(-\Delta \phi_{u}\right) d x=\int_{R^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{u}\right|^{2} d x, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2} & =\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u}^{6} d x\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\int_{R^{3}}|u|^{\frac{12}{5}}\right)^{5 / 6} \\
& =C_{*}\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}}\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C_{*}\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{2} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x \leq C_{*}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{4} \leq C_{*}^{2} C_{12 / 5}^{4}\|u\|^{4}:=C\|u\|^{4} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we can consider the functional $\Phi: E \rightarrow R$ defined by $\Phi(u)=I\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$. By (24), the reduced functional takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, u) d x . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq \frac{a_{1}(x)}{r_{1}}|u|^{r_{1}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $|u| \leq \delta$, where $r_{1} \in(1,2)$ and $a_{1} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Let $u \in E$, then $u \in C^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)$, the space of continuous function $u$ on $R^{3}$, such that $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there exists $T_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leq \delta \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|x|>T_{1}$. Hence, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>T_{1}}|F(x, u)| d x & \leq \int_{|x|>T_{1}} \frac{a_{1}(x)}{r_{1}}|u(x)|^{r_{1}} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r_{1}}\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{1}} a_{1}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{1}\right) / 2}\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{1}}|u(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r_{1}}\left(\int_{|x| \geq T_{1}} a_{1}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{1}\right) / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{r_{1}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r_{1}} C_{2}^{r_{1}}\|u\|^{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (26) shows that $\Phi$ is well defined. Furthermore, it is well known that $\Phi$ is a $C^{1}$ functional with derivative given by

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{R^{3}}\left[(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v)+V(x) u v+\phi_{u} u v-f(x, u) v\right] d x .
$$

It can be proved that $(u, \phi) \in E \times D^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1) if and only if $u \in E$ is a critical point of the functional $\Phi$ and $\phi=\phi_{u}$; see, for instance, [1].

Lemma 3.1 Under conditions $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(W_{1}\right),\left(W_{2}\right),\left(W_{3}\right), \Phi$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition.
Proof Assume that $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset E$ is a sequence such that

$$
\Phi\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow c,\left.\quad \Phi\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}} ^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n_{j} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then there exists $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\left|\Phi\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)\right| \leq \sigma, \quad\left\|\left.\Phi\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}} ^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)\right\|_{E}^{*} \leq \sigma
$$

for all $n_{j} \in N$.
Firstly, we show that $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\}$ is bounded. By (14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq \frac{a_{1}(x)}{r_{1}}|u|^{r_{1}}+\frac{a_{2}(x)}{r_{2}}|u|^{r_{2}}+\frac{b_{M}(x)}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}|u|^{r_{3}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in R$ and $x \in R^{3}$, which together with $\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}^{2} d x \geq 0$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{2}= & 2 \Phi\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}^{2} d x+2 \int_{R^{3}} F\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right) d x \\
\leq & 2 \sigma+\frac{2}{r_{1}} \int_{R^{3}} a_{1}(x)\left|u_{n_{j}}\right|^{r_{1}} d x+\frac{2}{r_{2}} \int_{R^{3}} a_{2}(x)\left|u_{n_{j}}\right|^{r_{2}} d x \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}} \int_{R^{3}} b_{M}(x) \right\rvert\, u_{n_{j}} r^{r_{3}} d x \\
\leq & 2 \sigma+\frac{2}{r_{1}}\left(\int_{R^{3}} a_{1}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{1}\right) / 2}\left(\int_{R^{3}}\left|u_{n_{j}}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& +\frac{2}{r_{2}}\left(\int_{R^{3}} a_{2}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{2}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{2}\right) / 2}\left(\int_{R^{3}}\left|u_{n_{j}}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{2} / 2} \\
& +\frac{2}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left(\int_{R^{3}} b_{M}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{3}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{3}\right) / 2}\left(\int_{R^{3}}\left|u_{n_{j}}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{3} / 2} \\
\leq & 2 \sigma+\frac{2}{r_{1}} C_{2}^{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\| \frac{2}{L^{2-r_{1}}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{r_{1}}+\frac{2}{r_{2}} C_{2}^{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\| \frac{2}{L^{2-r_{2}}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{r_{2}} \\
& +\frac{2}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}} C_{2}^{r_{3}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2} \frac{2}{2-r_{3}}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{r_{3}} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $r_{i}<2$ for all $i=1,2,3$, so $\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|$ is bounded.
By the fact that $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$, there exists $u \in E$ and a constant $d>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n_{j} \in N}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\| \leq d, \quad\|u\| \leq d \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
u_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup u
$$

in $E$ as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u} u\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by $\left(V_{1}\right),(32)$ and Lemma 2.2 , one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{R^{3}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right) u_{n_{j}} d x\right| & \leq\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\| \\
& \leq C_{2} d\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n_{j}}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. Summing up (33) and (36), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n_{j}}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. By the Hölder inequality and (25), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x & \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n_{j}}}\right\|_{L^{6}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{3}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{*}\left\|\phi_{u_{n_{j}}}\right\|_{D^{1,2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{3}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{*}^{2}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{2}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|_{L^{3}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{*}^{2} C_{12 / 5}^{2} C_{3} C_{2}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{3}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\| \\
& \leq 2 C_{*}^{2} C_{12 / 5}^{2} C_{3} C_{2} d^{4} \\
& <\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we have

$$
\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, which together with (34) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}}\left(\phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}-\phi_{u} u\right)\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.2 and (32), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{R^{3}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right)\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x\right| & \leq\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u(x))\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\| \\
& \leq 2 C_{2} d\left\|f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, an easy computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n_{j}}-u\right\rangle= & \left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\|^{2}+\int_{R^{3}}\left(\phi_{u_{n_{j}}} u_{n_{j}}-\phi_{u} u\right)\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x \\
& -\int_{R^{3}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n_{j}}\right)-f(x, u)\right)\left(u_{n_{j}}-u\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left\|u_{n_{j}}-u\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty . \Phi$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition.

Remark 3.2 Under conditions $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(W_{1}\right),\left(W_{2}\right),\left(W_{3}\right), \Phi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is a sequence such that $I\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and

$$
I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\left|I\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq \sigma, \quad\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E}^{*} \leq \sigma
$$

for all $n \in N$. The rest of the proof is the same as that of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 For any $k \in N$, we take $k$ disjoint open sets $\left\{\Omega_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{i} \subset \Omega
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $\Omega_{i}$, there exist a closed set $H_{i}$ and an open set $G_{i}$ such that $H_{i} \subset \Omega_{i} \subset G_{i}$ and

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left\{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}\right\}<\varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{meas}\left\{\Omega_{i} \backslash H_{i}\right\}<\varepsilon .
$$

For every $G_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$, by Lemma 2.3 there exists $\varphi_{i} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(G_{i}, R\right)$ such that $\left.\varphi_{i}\right|_{H_{i}}=1$ and $0 \leq \varphi_{i} \leq 1$. Letting $v_{i}=\frac{\varphi_{i}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|}$, can be extended to be a basis $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$. Therefore $X=\overline{\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} X_{j}}$, where $X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{j}\right\}$. Now we define $Y_{k}:=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}, Z_{k}:=\overline{\bigoplus_{j \geq k} X_{j}}$.

By Lemma 3.1, $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, R)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition and $\Phi(u)=\Phi(-u)$. Hence, to prove Theorem 1.2, we should just show that $\Phi$ has the geometric property (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 2.7.
(i) By Lemma 2.4

$$
\beta_{k}(a, r)=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=1}\|u\|_{L_{a}^{r}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $r \in(1,2)$ and $a \in L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}\left(R^{3}\right)$. In view of (30) and the fact that $\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u)= & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{2}{r_{1}} \int_{R^{3}} a_{1}(x)|u|^{r_{1}} d x-\frac{2}{r_{2}} \int_{R^{3}} a_{2}(x)|u|^{r_{2}} d x \\
& -\frac{2}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}} \int_{R^{3}} b_{M}(x)|u|^{r_{3}} d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{2\|u\|_{L_{a_{1}}}^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}-\frac{2\|u\|_{L_{a_{2}}}^{r_{2}}}{r_{2}}-\frac{2\|u\|_{L_{a_{3}}^{r_{3}}}^{r_{3}}}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{2 \beta_{k}\left(a_{1}, r_{1}\right)^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\|u\|^{r_{1}}-\frac{2 \beta_{k}\left(a_{2}, r_{2}\right)^{r_{2}}}{r_{2}}\|u\|^{r_{2}}-\frac{2 \beta_{k}\left(b_{M}, r_{3}\right)^{r_{3}}}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\|u\|^{r_{3}} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $r:=\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}, \beta_{k}:=\max \left\{\beta_{k}\left(a_{1}, r_{1}\right), \beta_{k}\left(a_{2}, r_{2}\right), \beta_{k}\left(b_{M}, r_{3}\right)\right\}, C^{\prime}:=\max \left\{\frac{2}{r_{1}}, \frac{2}{r_{2}}, \frac{2}{r_{3} \delta^{r} 3^{-1}}\right\}$, then $\beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-3 C^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{r}\|u\|^{r} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\|u\| \leq 1$ and $\beta_{k} \leq 1$. Now we can choose $\rho_{k}=\left(12 \beta_{k}^{r} C^{\prime}\right)^{1 /(2-r)}$, then $\rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. When $k$ is large enough, we have $\rho_{k} \leq 1, \beta_{k} \leq 1$, which together with (40) shows

$$
a_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{4} \rho_{k}^{2}>0 .
$$

(ii) For any $u \in Y_{k}$, there exists $\lambda_{i}=1,2, \ldots, k$ such that

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} v_{i} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{r_{4}}}^{r_{4}} & =\int_{R^{3}}|u(x)|^{r_{4}} d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}} d x+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}} d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}} d x+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\varphi_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}} d x+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \operatorname{meas}\left\{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}(x)\right|^{r_{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \varepsilon \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|^{2} & =\int_{R^{3}}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right] d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2} \int_{G_{i}}\left[\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|^{2}+V(x) v_{i}^{2}\right] d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left\|v_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2} . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Since all the norms of a finite dimensional space are equivalent, there is a constant $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$
\tilde{C}\|u\| \leq\|u\|_{L^{r_{4}}}
$$

for all $u \in Y_{k}$. By (30), one has

$$
F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) \geq-\frac{a_{1}(x)}{r_{1}}\left|\lambda_{i} v_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}(x)}{r_{2}}\left|\lambda_{i} v_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}-\frac{b_{M}(x)}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left|\lambda_{i} v_{i}\right|^{r_{3}} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) d x \\
& \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}} a_{1}(x)\left|v_{i}\right|^{r_{1}} d x-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{r_{2}} a_{2}(x)\left|v_{i}\right|^{r_{2}} d x \\
&-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}} b_{M}(x)\left|v_{i}\right|^{r_{3}} d x \\
& \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \quad-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{2}}}^{2}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{2} / 2} \\
& \quad-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2}} \frac{2}{2-r_{3}}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\varphi_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{2}} d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{2}}}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\varphi_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{2}} d x\right)^{r_{2} / 2} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2}-r_{3}}\left(\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} \frac{\left|\varphi_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{2}} d x\right)^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}}\left(\operatorname{meas}\left\{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}\right\}\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& -\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}}\left(\operatorname{meas}\left\{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}\right\}\right)^{r_{2} / 2} \\
& -\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{3}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}}\left(\operatorname{meas}\left\{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}\right\}\right)^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}} \varepsilon^{r_{1} / 2}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2} \\
& -\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2}-{ }^{2}-r_{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $u \in Y_{k}$ with $\|u\|=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2}=\gamma_{k}$, we can choose $\gamma_{k}$ small enough such that $\left|\lambda_{i} v_{i}(x)\right|<$ $\zeta$ for all $x \in R^{3}$ and $i=1, \ldots, k$, which together with ( $W_{4}$ ) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) \geq \eta\left|\lambda_{i} v_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega_{i}$ and $i=1, \ldots, k$. Combining (24), (41), (42), (43) and (44), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, u) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{G_{i}} F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[\int_{G_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i}} F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega_{i}} F\left(x, \lambda_{i} v_{i}\right) d x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}} \varepsilon^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}-\frac{2}{2-r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{3}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& -\eta \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left|v_{i}\right|^{r_{4}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}} \varepsilon^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{3}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\eta\left(\|u\|_{L^{4} 4}^{r_{4}}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\eta \tilde{C}^{r_{4}}\|u\|^{r_{4}}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{\|_{1}}} \varepsilon_{1}^{r_{1}^{1 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2-r r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{3}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& +\eta \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \varepsilon \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2}+\frac{C}{4}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}-\eta \tilde{C}^{r_{4}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)^{r_{4} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}} \varepsilon^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}-\frac{2}{2-r_{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r}} \frac{2}{} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& +\eta \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \varepsilon \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}+\frac{C}{4} \gamma_{k}^{4}-\eta\left(\tilde{C} \gamma_{k}\right)^{r_{4}}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{1}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{1}}}{ }^{r_{1}^{r_{1}}} \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-r}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{2}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{2}}} \varepsilon^{r_{2} / 2}+\frac{1}{r_{3} \delta^{r_{3}-1}}\left\|b_{M}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{3}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\mid \lambda_{i} i^{r_{3}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{3}}} \varepsilon^{r_{3} / 2} \\
& +\eta \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r_{4}}}{\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|^{r_{4}}} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \gamma_{k}^{2}+\frac{C}{4} \gamma_{k}^{4}-\eta\left(\tilde{C} \gamma_{k}\right)^{r_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in Y_{k}$ with $\|u\|=\gamma_{k}$, when $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_{k}$ are both small enough. Since $r_{4}<2$, we can choose $\gamma_{k}<\rho_{k}$ small enough such that

$$
b_{k}:=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\gamma_{k}} \Phi(u)<0 .
$$

(iii) By (40), for any $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{k}$, we have

$$
\Phi(u) \geq-3 C^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{r}\|u\|^{r} .
$$

Therefore

$$
0 \geq \inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi(u) \geq-3 C^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{r} \rho_{k}^{r}
$$

Since $\beta_{k}, \rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
d_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi(u) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, by Lemma 2.7, we obtain that problem (1) has infinitely many solutions $\left\{\left(u_{k}, \phi_{k}\right)\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{k}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{k} u_{k}^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F\left(x, u_{k}\right) d x \rightarrow 0^{-}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 Similar to (31), there exist constants $k_{i}>0, i=1,2,3$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i}\|u\|^{r_{i}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E$. Since $1<r_{i}<2$, it follows from (45) that the functional $\Phi$ is bounded from below. By Lemma 2.5 and Remark 3.2, $\Phi$ possesses a critical point $u$ satisfying

$$
\Phi(u)=\inf _{E} \Phi, \quad \Phi^{\prime}(u)=0 .
$$

It remains to show that $u$ is nontrivial. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist an open set $G$ and a closed set $H$ such that $H \subset \Omega \subset G$ and

$$
\operatorname{meas}\{G \backslash \Omega\}<\varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{meas}\{\Omega \backslash H\}<\varepsilon
$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists a function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{H}(x)=1$, $\left.\varphi\right|_{R \backslash G}(x)=0$, then $\varphi \in E$. Choosing $0<\lambda<\min \{\delta, \zeta\}$, then $|\lambda \varphi(x)|<\delta$ for all $x \in R^{3}$, which together with (28) shows

$$
F(x, \lambda \varphi(x)) \geq-\frac{a_{1}(x)}{r_{1}}|\lambda \varphi(x)|^{r_{1}}
$$

for all $x \in R^{3}$. Therefore, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{G \backslash H} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x & \geq-\int_{G \backslash H} \frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}} a_{1}(x) \varphi^{r_{1}} d x \\
& \geq-\frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left(\int_{G \backslash H} a_{1}(x)^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}} d x\right)^{\left(2-r_{1}\right) / 2}\left(\int_{G \backslash H} \varphi^{2} d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \geq-\frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}}\left(\int_{G \backslash H} 1 d x\right)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \geq-\frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-r_{1}}}}(\operatorname{meas}\{G \backslash H\})^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \geq-\frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}}(2 \varepsilon)^{r_{1} / 2} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\lambda<\zeta$, we have $|\lambda \varphi(x)|<\zeta$ for all $x \in R^{3}$, which together with $\left(W_{4}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, \lambda \varphi) \geq \eta|\lambda \varphi|^{r_{4}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. It follows from (24), (46), (47) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\lambda \varphi) & =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{R^{3}} \phi_{\lambda \varphi}(\lambda \varphi)^{2} d x-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+C \lambda^{4}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\int_{R^{3}} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+C \lambda^{4}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\int_{G} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+C \lambda^{4}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\left[\int_{H} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x+\int_{G \backslash H} F(x, \lambda \varphi) d x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+C \lambda^{4}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\lambda^{r_{4}} \int_{H} \eta|\varphi|^{r_{4}} d x+\frac{\lambda^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{L^{2-r_{1}}}(2 \varepsilon)^{r_{1} / 2} \\
& \leq \lambda^{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+C \lambda^{4}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\lambda^{r_{4}} \eta \operatorname{meas}\{H\} \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\varepsilon$ and $\lambda$ are both small enough. Since $\Phi(0)=0$, then $u \neq 0$. Hence, $\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1).
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