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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a kind of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems with impulsive effects. By using the mountain pass theorem and Ekeland's variational principle, the existence of two positive solutions and two negative solutions is established. Moreover, we do not assume that the nonlinearity satisfies the well-known AR-condition.
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## 1 Introduction

Impulsive effects exist widely in many evolution processes, in which their states are changed abruptly at a certain moment of time. Impulsive differential equations have become more important in recent years in mathematical models of real processes and phenomena studied in control theory [1, 2], population dynamics and biotechnology [3, 4], physics and mechanics problems [5]. There has been a significant development in the area of impulsive differential equations with fixed moments. We refer the reader to $[6,7]$ and the references therein. Fixed-point theorems in cones [8-10] and the method of lower and upper solutions with monotone iterative technique [11-13], have been used to study impulsive differential equations.

Moreover, the Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems (for short BVPs) have received a lot of attention. Many works have been carried out to discuss the existence of at least one solution, multiple solutions. The methods used therein mainly depend on the LeraySchauder continuation theorem, Mawhin's continuation theorem. Since it is very difficult to give the corresponding Euler functional for Sturm-Liouville BVPs and verify the existence of the critical points for the Euler functional, few people consider the existence of solutions for Sturm-Liouville BVPs by critical point theory, and many works considered the existence of solutions for Dirichlet BVPs [14]. Recently, few researchers have used variational methods to study the existence of solutions for impulsive differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions [15, 16]. In [17], by mountain pass theorem, Tian and Ge considered the existence of positive solutions of a kind of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems with impulsive effects. The authors require that the nonlinearity $f(t, x):[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and $f(t, 0) \not \equiv 0$. They have not obtained the existence of both positive solutions and negative solutions.

[^0]Based on the knowledge mentioned above, in this paper, we consider the constant-sign solutions of the following BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-a(t) \phi_{p}(x(t))+f(t, x(t)), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1], t \neq t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k},  \tag{1.1}\\
-\Delta \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=I_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k, \\
\alpha_{1} x(0)-\alpha_{2} x^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
\beta_{1} x(1)+\beta_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>1, \phi_{p}(x)=|x|^{p-2} x, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \geq 0, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}>0,0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<t_{k+1}=1$, $\Delta\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right)=\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)$. Here $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)$and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)$denote the right and left limits, respectively. Assume that $F(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t, s) d s, f(t, x)$ is continuous, $I_{i}(x)$ is continuous on $R, i=1, \ldots, k, a(t) \in C([0,1],(0,+\infty))$.
Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [18] established the existence of nontrival solutions for Dirichlet problems under the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition: there exist some $\mu>2$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu \int_{0}^{x} f(t, s) d s \leq f(t, x) x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $|x| \geq R$. Since then, the AR-condition has been used extensively. By the usual AR-condition, it is easy to show that the Euler-Lagrange functional associated with the system has the mountain pass geometry, and the Palais-Smale sequence is bounded. For example, in [16, 17], based on (1.2), the authors considered the boundary value problems with impulsive effects.

In this paper, we study the existence of constant-sign solutions of BVP (1.1) without the AR-condition. The paper is organized as follows. In the forthcoming section, we give the Euler functional of BVP (1.1) and some basic lemmas. The aim of Section 3 is to prove the existence of at least two positive solutions of BVP (1.1) based on the mountain pass theorem and Ekeland's variational principle. At last, we give some results of the existence of at least two negative solutions.

## 2 Preliminary

The Sobolev space $W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is defined by

$$
W^{1, p}[0,1]=\left\{x:[0,1] \rightarrow R \mid x \text { is absolutely continuous and } x^{\prime} \in L^{p}(0,1 ; R)\right\}
$$

and is endowed with the norm

$$
\|x\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|x(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

Then, from [19], $W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is a sparable and reflexive Banach space.

Definition 2.1 We say that $x$ is a classical solution of BVP (1.1) if it satisfies the equation of BVP (1.1) a.e. on $[0,1]$, the limits $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)$and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, k$, exist and the SturmLiouville boundary conditions hold.

However, if $x \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$, then $x$ is absolutely continuous and $x^{\prime} \in L^{p}[0,1]$. In this case, the one-sided derivatives $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)$may not exist. As a consequence, we need to introduce a different concept of solution.

Definition 2.2 We say that $x \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is a weak solution of BVP (1.1) if it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} a(t) \phi_{p}(x) y d t+\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} f(t, x) y d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right) y(0)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right) y(1) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $y \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$.

Consider $\varphi: W^{1, p}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x)= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} F(t, x) d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{x\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}(t) d t+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)|x(0)|^{p}+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)|x(1)|^{p} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear $\varphi$ is continuously differentiable on $W^{1, p}[0,1]$ and by computation, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(x), y\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{1} a(t) \phi_{p}(x) y d t+\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime} d t-\int_{0}^{1} f(t, x) y d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& +\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right) y(0)+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right) y(1), \quad x, y \in W^{1, p}[0,1] \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, a critical point of $\varphi$ gives us a weak solution of BVP (1.1).

Lemma 2.1 [20] There exists a positive constant $c_{p}$ such that

$$
\left(|x|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right) \geq \begin{cases}c_{p}|x-y|^{p}, & p \geq 2  \tag{2.4}\\ c_{p} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(|x|+|y|)^{2-p}}, & 1<p<2\end{cases}
$$

for any $x, y \in R^{N},|x|+|y| \neq 0$. Here, $(x, y)=x \cdot y^{T}$.

For $x \in C[0,1]$, suppose that $\|x\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|x(t)|,|x|_{m}=\min _{t \in[0,1]}|x|$.

Lemma 2.2 If $x \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$, then, $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 2\|x\|$.

Lemma 2.3 [17] For $x \in X$, let $x^{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm x, 0\}$, then, the following properties hold:
(i) $x \in X \Rightarrow x^{+}, x^{-} \in X$;
(ii) $x=x^{+}-x^{-}$;
(iii) $\left\|x^{+}\right\|_{X} \leq\|x\|_{X}$;
(iv) if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ uniformly converges to $x$ in $C([0,1])$, then, $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)_{n \in N}$ uniformly converges to $x^{+}$;
(vi) $\phi_{p}(x) x^{+}=\left|x^{+}\right|^{p}, \phi_{p}(x) x^{-}=-\left|x^{-}\right|^{p}$.

In the following, let $H$ be a Banach space, let $\varphi$ be continuously differentiable, and we state (C) condition [21].
(C) Every sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N} \subset H$ such that the following conditions hold:
(i) $\left(\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in N}$ is bounded,
(ii) $\left(1+\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H}\right)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{*}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
has a subsequence, which converges strongly in $H$.
This condition is weaker than the usual Palais-Smale condition, but can be used in place of it when constructing deformations of sublevel sets via negative pseudo-gradient flows, and, therefore, also in minimax theorems such as the mountain pass lemma and the saddle point theorem.

Lemma 2.4 If $x(t) \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is a weak solution of $B V P(1.1)$, then $x(t)$ is a classical solution of $B V P(1.1)$.

Proof The proof of this lemma is similar to that of [22]. For the sake of completeness, we give a simple proof here.

Choose $y \in W_{0}^{1, p}[0,1]$ with $y(t)=0$ for every $t \in\left[0, t_{i}\right] \cup\left[t_{i+1}, 1\right]$, then

$$
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} a(t) \phi_{p}(x) y d t-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t, x) y d t-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} y d t=0
$$

Whence, by the fundamental lemma,

$$
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-a(t) \phi_{p}(x)+f(t, x), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right] .
$$

Hence, $x \in W^{2, p}\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$, that is, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}^{-}\right)$exist, and $x$ satisfies the equation of BVP (1.1) a.e. on $[0,1]$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime} d t= & \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d y \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left[\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}^{-}\right)\right) y\left(t_{i+1}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right)-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} y d t\right] \\
= & -\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right) y(0)+\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right) y(1)-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) y d t \\
= & -\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right) y(0)+\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right) y(1)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} y d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now multiplying the equation by $y \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$ and integrating between 0 and 1 , together with (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right)\right) y(0)+\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)+\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)\right) y(1) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\Delta \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)+I_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right) y\left(t_{i}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $y(t)=t(t-1) \Pi_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k}\left(t-t_{j}\right)$, then, $y\left(t_{i}\right) \neq 0$ and $-\Delta \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=I\left(x\left(t_{i}\right)\right)(i \neq 0$, $k+1)$. Let $i=1, \ldots, k$, we arrive $x$ satisfies the impulsive condition and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right)\right) y(0)+\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)+\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)\right) y(1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2.5). Let $y(t)=t-1$, then, $\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right)$, that is, $\frac{\alpha_{1} x(0)}{\alpha_{2}}=x^{\prime}(0)$. Let $y(t)=t$, then, $\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)=-\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)$, that is, $\frac{\beta_{1} x(1)}{\beta_{2}}=-x^{\prime}(1)$. Hence, $x$ is a solution of BVP (1.1).

## 3 Existence of constant-sign solutions

Assume that $H(t, x)=x f(t, x)-p F(t, x), G_{i}(x)=I_{i}(x) x-p \int_{0}^{x} I_{i}(t) d t, f(t, 0)=0$ a.e. on [0,1], $f(t, x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and $x \geq 0 ; f(t, x) \leq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and $x \leq 0 ; I_{i}(0)=0, I_{i}(x) \geq$ 0 for $x \geq 0, I_{i}(x) \leq 0$ for $x \leq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Define $x^{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm x, 0\}, f^{+}(t, x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \leq 0, \\ f(t, x), & x>0,\end{cases}$ $I_{i}^{+}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & x \leq 0, \\ I_{i}(x), & x>0,\end{array} F^{+}(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} f^{+}(t, s) d s\right.$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{+}(x)= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}(t, x) d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{x\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)|x(0)|^{p}+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)|x(1)|^{p} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $\varphi_{+}$is continuously differentiable and $f^{+}(t, x)=f\left(t, x^{+}\right), I_{i}^{+}(x)=I_{i}\left(x^{+}\right)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) I_{i}(x) \leq b_{i}+c_{i} x^{\tau-1}, b_{i}, c_{i} \geq 0, x \geq 0, \tau>p, i=1,2, \ldots, k$;
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ there exits a constant $a_{0} \geq 0$ such that for a.e. $t \in[0,1], 0<x \leq y, H(t, x) \leq H(t, y)+a_{0}$, $G_{i}(x) \leq G_{i}(y)+a_{0}, i=1,2, \ldots, k ;$
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right) \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t, x)}{x^{p-1}}=+\infty$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
Then, $\varphi_{+}$satisfies (C) condition.

Remark 3.1 Let

$$
f(t, x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<0 \\ c x^{p-1}\left(\ln \left(1+x^{p}\right)+1-\sin x^{p}\right), & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ c x^{p-1}\left(\ln \left(1+x^{p}\right)+1-\sin 1\right), & 1<x\end{cases}
$$

Then, $f(t, x)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$. However, it does not satisfy the AR-condition while $x$ is large.

Remark 3.2 The condition of $H(t, x) \leq H(t, y)+a_{0}$ for $a_{0} \geq 0,0<x \leq y$, a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, is weaker than the following condition:
there is $x_{0}>0$ such that $H(t, x)$ is increasing in $x \geq x_{0}>0$,
which is equivalent to the condition:
$\frac{f(t, x)}{x}$ is increasing in $x \geq x_{0}>0$.
Proof Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ be a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{+}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq c, \quad\left(1+\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(W^{1, p}\right)^{*}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}[0,1]$, there are several steps.
Step 1. $\left(x_{n}^{-}\right)_{n \in N} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is bounded.
From (3.2), for $\varepsilon>0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), u\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon, \quad u \in W^{1, p}[0,1] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $x_{n}^{-}$is an absolutely continuous function on $[0,1]$, and so, the fundamental theorem of calculus ensures the existence of a set $E_{0} \subset[0,1]$ such that meas $\left([0,1] \backslash E_{0}\right)=0$ and $x_{n}^{-}$is differentiable on $E_{0}$, then, let $u=-x_{n}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon> & \left|\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right),-x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle\right| \\
= & \mid-\int_{0}^{1} a(t) \phi_{p}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n}^{-} d t+\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(-x_{n}^{-}\right)^{\prime} d t+\int_{0}^{1} f^{+}\left(t, x_{n}\right) x_{n}^{-} d t \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}^{-}\left(t_{i}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x_{n}(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right) x_{n}^{-}(0)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x_{n}(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right) x_{n}^{-}(1) \right\rvert\, \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left|x_{n}^{-}\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(x_{n}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|x_{n}^{-}(0)\right|^{p}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|x_{n}^{-}(1)\right|^{p} \\
\geq & \min \left\{|a(t)|_{m^{2}}, 1\right\}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|^{p}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|x_{n}^{-}(0)\right|^{p}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|x_{n}^{-}(1)\right|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left(x_{n}^{-}\right)_{n \in N} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is bounded.
Step 2. $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)_{n \in N} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is bounded.
Suppose that $\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set $y_{n}=\frac{x_{n}^{+}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|}$for all $n \geq 1$. Obviously, $\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1$, that is, $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1, p}[0,1]$. Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n} \rightharpoonup y \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}[0,1], \quad y_{n} \rightarrow y \quad \text { in } C[0,1] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $y \geq 0$ and from the inequality $\left|\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(W^{1, p}\right)^{*}} \cdot\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\| \leq$ $\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(W^{1, p}\right)^{*}} \cdot\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)_{n \in N}, \varepsilon_{n} \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n} \quad \text { for large } n .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}}\right|= & \left.\left|\int_{0}^{1} a(t) y_{n}^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{1}\right| y_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f^{+}\left(t, x_{n}\right) y_{n}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p-1}} d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \\
& \left.+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \frac{\left|x_{n}^{+}(0)\right|^{p}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \frac{\left|x_{n}^{+}(1)\right|^{p}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \quad \text { for large } n . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1,0 \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{n}\right|^{p} d t \leq 1$, one has

$$
0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} a(t) y_{n}^{p} d t \leq\|a(t)\|_{\infty}
$$

Moreover, $\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \frac{\left|x_{n}^{+}(0)\right|^{p}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \leq 2^{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right), \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \frac{\left|x_{n}^{+}(1)\right|^{p}}{\left\|x_{n}^{\|_{n}}\right\|^{p}} \leq 2^{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)$, and from $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{b_{i}\left|x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{c_{i}\left|x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{\tau}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p}} \\
& \geq-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{b_{i}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p-1}}-2^{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{\tau-p} \rightarrow-\infty, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $[0,1]_{+}=\{t \in[0,1], y(t)>0\}$, then, $x_{n}^{+}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \in[0,1]_{+}$. By the hypothesis,

$$
\frac{f\left(t, x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)}{\left(x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)^{p-1}} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad t \in[0,1]_{+}, \text {as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Let $\chi_{n}(t)=\chi_{\left\{x_{n}^{+}>0\right\}}(t)=\chi_{\left\{y_{n}>0\right\}}(t)$, then, $\chi_{n}(t) y_{n}(t)^{p} \rightarrow \chi_{[0,1]_{+}}(t) y(t)^{p}$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. If meas $[0,1]_{+}>0$, then,

$$
\chi_{n}(t) y_{n}(t)^{p} \frac{f\left(t, x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)}{\left(x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)^{p-1}} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad t \in[0,1]_{+}, \text {as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence, by Fatou's lemma,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f^{+}\left(t, x_{n}\right) y_{n}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|^{p-1}} d t=\int_{0}^{1} \chi_{n}(t) y_{n}(t)^{p} \frac{f\left(t, x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)}{\left(x_{n}^{+}(t)\right)^{p-1}} d t \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then, from (3.5), we reach a contradiction, that is, meas $[0,1]_{+}=0$. Since $y \geq 0$, we conclude that $y(t)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$. Then, $y(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$.

Assume that $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset[0,1]$ be such that

$$
\varphi_{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \varphi_{+}\left(t x_{n}^{+}\right) .
$$

Fix an integer $m \geq 1$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\left(2 p\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} y_{n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $z_{n}=\frac{\left(2 p\left\|x_{0}^{+}\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|} x_{n}^{+}$. Since $\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an integer $n_{0}$, for $n \geq n_{0}$, one has $\frac{\left(2 p\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|} \leq 1$. Whence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) \geq & \varphi_{+}\left(z_{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left|z_{n}\right|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}\left(t, z_{n}\right) d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{z_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|z_{n}(0)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|z_{n}(1)\right|^{p} \\
= & 2\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left|y_{n}\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t\right)-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}\left(t, z_{n}\right) d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{z_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|z_{n}(0)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|z_{n}(1)\right|^{p} \\
\geq & 2 \min \left\{|a(t)|_{m}, 1\right\}\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}\left(t, z_{n}\right) d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{z_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $t \in[0,1]$, then, $z_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $t \in[0,1]$, and $z_{n}\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\varphi_{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) \geq 2 \min \left\{|a(t)|_{m}, 1\right\}\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}, \quad n>n_{0}>m .
$$

Therefore, we have $\varphi_{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\varphi_{+}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}^{-}\right)_{n \in N} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ are bounded, then, $\left(\varphi_{+}\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)\right)_{n \in N} \subset R$ is bounded. Together with $\varphi_{+}(0)=0$, one has $t_{n} \in(0,1)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & t_{n}\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t} \varphi_{+}\left(t x_{n}^{+}\right)\right|_{t=t_{n}}\right)=\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right), t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} a(t) \phi_{p}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+} d t+\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\right)\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime} d t-\int_{0}^{1} f^{+}\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+} d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}^{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(0)\right|^{p}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(1)\right|^{p} \\
= & t_{n}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} d t+t_{n}^{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} f^{+}\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+} d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}^{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(0)\right|^{p}+\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(1)\right|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} H\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) d t+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} f\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+} d t+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{1} F\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}(t) d t \\
= & \frac{1}{p} t_{n}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} t_{n}^{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(0)\right|^{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|t_{n} x_{n}^{+}(1)\right|^{p}-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t \\
= & \varphi_{+}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) \\
\geq & 2 \min \left\{|a(t)|_{m}, 1\right\}\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}, \quad n>n_{0}>m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi_{+}\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)$is bounded, there exists $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & \geq p \varphi_{+}\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} f^{+}\left(t, x_{n}\right) x_{n}^{+} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)-p \int_{0}^{1} F^{+}\left(t, x_{n}^{+}\right) d t-p \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} H\left(t, x_{n}^{+}\right) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<t_{n} x_{n}^{+} \leq x_{n}^{+}$, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k+1) a_{0}+\eta & \geq(k+1) a_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} H\left(t, x_{n}^{+}\right) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{1} H\left(t, t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\right) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(t_{n} x_{n}^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \geq 2 p \min \left\{|a(t)|_{m}, 1\right\}\left\|x_{m}^{+}\right\|^{p}, \quad n>n_{0}>m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m \geq 1$ is an arbitrary integer, let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have a contradiction. This proves that $\left(x_{n}^{+}\right)_{n \in N} \subset W^{1, p}[0,1]$ is bounded.
From step 1 and step 2, we obtain that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is bounded. Hence, we may assume that

$$
x_{n} \rightharpoonup x \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}[0,1], \quad x_{n} \rightarrow x \quad \text { in } C[0,1] .
$$

Moreover, for $m, n \in N$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right), x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left(\phi_{p}\left(x_{n}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\phi_{p}\left(\left(x_{m}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{1}\left(f^{+}\left(t, x_{n}\right)-f^{+}\left(t, x_{m}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right) d t \\
& \quad-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)-I_{i}^{+}\left(x_{m}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right)\left(x_{n}\left(t_{i}\right)-x_{m}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)+\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x_{n}(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x_{m}(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}(0)-x_{m}(0)\right) \\
& +\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x_{n}(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x_{m}(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}(1)-x_{m}(1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C[0,1],\left|\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right), x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left(\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\right.$ $\left.\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right)\right\|\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{m}\right\|\right),\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}[0,1], \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, one has $\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right), x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $f^{+}(t, x)$ is continuous in $x, I_{i}^{+}(x)$ is continuous, $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ uniformly in [0,1], whence, $\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x_{n}(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right.$ $\left.\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1} x_{m}(0)}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}(0)-x_{m}(0)\right) \rightarrow 0,\left(\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x_{n}(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1} x_{m}(1)}{\beta_{2}}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}(1)-x_{m}(1)\right) \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p \geq 2$, from Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive constant $c_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right) d t \geq c_{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p<2$, by Lemma 2.1, the Hölder inequality and the boundedness of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ in $W^{1, p}[0,1]$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p}}{\left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{m}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}}\left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{m}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} d t \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{m}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2-p}} d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{m}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
\leq & c_{p}^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right), x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right) d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} 2^{\frac{(p-1)(2-p)}{2}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\left|x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) d t\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
\leq & c_{p}^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{p}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right), x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right) d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} 2^{\frac{(p-1)(2-p)}{2}} \\
& \times\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|x_{m}\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have $\int_{0}^{1}\left|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, that is, $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1, p}[0,1]$. By the completeness of $W^{1, p}[0,1]$, one has that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is a convergence sequence.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ and
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right) f(t, x) \leq b_{0}(t)+c_{0}(t) x^{\tau-1}, x \geq 0, b_{0}(t), c_{0}(t) \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$;
( $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ ) $\frac{1}{p} \min \left\{|a|_{m}, 1\right\}-2\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right) \varrho^{1-p}-\frac{2^{\tau}}{\tau}\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right) \varrho^{\tau-p}>0, \varrho=$ $\left(\frac{\tau(p-1)\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right)}{2^{\tau-1}(\tau-p)\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{\tau-1}$
hold, then, BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Proof From $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, one has $F^{+}(t, x) \leq b_{0}(t) x^{+}+\frac{c_{0}(t)}{\tau}\left(x^{+}\right)^{\tau}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{+}(x) \geq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} F^{+}(t, x) d t-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{x\left(t_{i}\right)} I_{i}^{+}(t) d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t)|x| d t-\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t)|x|^{\tau} d t \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\left|x\left(t_{i}\right)\right|-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{c_{i}}{\tau}\left|x\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{\tau} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{p} \min \left\{|a|_{m}, 1\right\}\|x\|^{p}-2\|x\| \int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t-\frac{2^{\tau}}{\tau}\|x\|^{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t \\
& -2\|x\| \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}-\frac{2^{\tau}}{\tau}\|x\|^{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{p} \min \left\{|a|_{m}, 1\right\}-2\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right)\|x\|^{1-p}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2^{\tau}}{\tau}\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right)\|x\|^{\tau-p}\right)\|x\|^{p} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $h(x)=2\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right) x^{1-p}+\frac{2^{\tau}}{\tau}\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right) x^{\tau-p}$, then, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(x)=$ $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} h(x)=+\infty$. Hence, there exists $\bar{x} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $0<h(\bar{x})=\min _{x \in(0,+\infty)} h(x)$. Obviously, $0=h^{\prime}(\bar{x})=2(1-p)\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right) \bar{x}^{-p}+2^{\tau} \frac{\tau-p}{\tau}\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right) \bar{x}^{\tau-p-1}$, then, $\bar{x}=\left(\frac{\tau(p-1)\left(\int_{0}^{1} b_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right)}{2^{\tau-1}(\tau-p)\left(\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(t) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{\tau-1}$. We infer that there exists an $\eta^{\prime}>0$ such that $\varphi_{+}(x) \geq$ $\eta^{\prime}>0$ for all $x \in\left\{x \in W^{1, p}[0,1],\|x\|=\bar{x}\right\}$.

Moreover, choose $x(t)>0, t \in(0,1), x \in W^{1, p}[0,1], \int_{0}^{1}|x|^{p} d t=1$. For, $\forall N_{1}>0$, there exists $M>0$ such that $\frac{f(t, x)}{x^{p-1}} \geq N_{1}$ for $x>M$. Choose $N_{2}=\left\|b_{0}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|c_{0}(t)\right\|_{\infty} M^{\tau-1}$, one has $f^{+}(t, x) \geq N_{1} x^{p-1}-N_{2}$. Hence, $F^{+}(t, x) \geq \frac{1}{p} N_{1} x^{p}-N_{2} x$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_{+}(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{p}} \leq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\frac{1}{p} N_{1}+\frac{N_{2}}{\lambda^{p-1}} \int_{0}^{1} x d t+\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)|x(0)|^{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)|x(1)|^{p} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $N_{1}>0$ is arbitrary, we have $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varphi_{+}(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{p}}=-\infty$, that is, $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi_{+}(\lambda x)=-\infty$. Hence, from the mountain pass theorem, we obtain $x_{0} \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \eta^{\prime}>0=\varphi_{+}(0) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows $x_{0} \not \equiv 0$. If $x_{0} \leq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, then, $0=\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}^{-}\right\rangle=-\int_{0}^{1} a(t)\left|x_{0}^{-}\right|^{p} d t-$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(x_{0}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left|x_{0}^{-}(0)\right|^{p}-\phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left|x_{0}^{-}(1)\right|^{p}$. Hence, $x_{0}^{-}(t)=0$ a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, that is $x_{0}(t) \geq$ 0 and $x_{0} \not \equiv 0$. This implies that $x_{0}$ is a positive solution of BVP (1.1).

Theorem 3.2 Assume $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$ and
( $\left.\mathrm{A}_{6}\right) I_{i}(x) \geq d_{i} x^{\gamma-1}, 0<\gamma<p, x \geq 0, d_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k$
hold, then, BVP (1.1) has two positive solutions.

Proof Assume $B_{\rho}=\left\{x \in W^{1, p}[0,1]:\|x\| \leq \bar{x}\right\}$. Obviously, $\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)>-\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{+}(\lambda x) \leq & \frac{1}{p} \lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{1} a(t)|x|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{p} \lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\frac{\lambda^{\gamma}}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}\left(x^{+}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{\gamma} \\
& +\frac{\lambda^{p}}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)|x(0)|^{p}+\frac{\lambda^{p}}{p} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)|x(1)|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda \in(0,1)$ is small enough and $x$ is positive, we have $\varphi_{+}(\lambda x)<0$, then,

$$
-\infty<\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)<0 .
$$

Let $\varepsilon \in[0, \bar{\rho})$ with $\bar{\rho}=\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}-\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}$and consider the functional $\varphi_{+}: \bar{B}_{\rho} \rightarrow R$, we can apply Ekeland's variational principle [19] and obtain $x_{\varepsilon} \in \bar{B}_{\rho}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x) \leq \varphi_{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)+\varepsilon<\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)+\bar{\rho}=\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}} \varphi_{+} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varphi_{+}(y)+\varepsilon\left\|y-x_{\varepsilon}\right\| \quad \text { for all } y \in \bar{B}_{\rho} .
$$

From (3.13), we have $x_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}$. Define $\psi_{\varepsilon}(y)=\varphi_{+}(y)+\varepsilon\left\|y-x_{\varepsilon}\right\|$, then, $x_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}$ is a minimizer of $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ on $\bar{B}_{\rho}$. Therefore, for small $\lambda>0$ and all $h \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$ with $\|h\|=1$, we have

$$
\frac{\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\varepsilon}+\lambda h\right)-\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\lambda} \geq 0
$$

then,

$$
\frac{\varphi_{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon}+\lambda h\right)-\varphi_{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\lambda}+\varepsilon\|h\| \geq 0
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right), h\right\rangle \geq-\varepsilon\|h\| . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\psi_{\varepsilon}(y)=\varphi_{+}(y)-\varepsilon\left\|y-x_{\varepsilon}\right\|$, then, $\psi_{\varepsilon}(y) \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$, that is, $x_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}$ is a maximum of $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ on $\bar{B}_{\rho}$. Therefore, for small $\lambda>0$ and all $h \in W^{1, p}[0,1]$ with $\|h\|=1$, with the same discussion above, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right), h\right\rangle \leq \varepsilon\|h\| . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$ and set $x_{n}=x_{\varepsilon_{n}} \in B_{\rho}$. Then, $\varphi_{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)$ and $\varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\varphi_{+}(x)$ satisfies (C) condition, we may assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ in $W^{1, p}[0,1]$. Hence, $\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(\tilde{x})=0$

$$
\varphi_{+}(\tilde{x})=\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)<0=\varphi_{+}(0),
$$

which implies that $\tilde{x} \not \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{x}$ is a critical point of $\varphi_{+}$. Moreover,

$$
\varphi_{+}(\tilde{x})=\inf _{\bar{B}_{\rho}} \varphi_{+}(x)<0<\eta \leq \varphi_{+}\left(x_{0}\right),
$$

so, $\tilde{x} \not \equiv x_{0}$. If $\tilde{x} \leq 0$ a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, with the same discussion in Theorem 3.1, $\tilde{x}^{-}=0$ a.e. $t \in[0,1]$. Hence, $\tilde{x} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{x} \not \equiv 0$, which implies $\tilde{x}$ is another positive solution of BVP (1.1).

With the similar discussion above, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3 Assume $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{6}\right)$ and
( $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) there exists $\mu>1$ such that for all $s \in[0,1]$, we have $\mu H(t, x) \geq H(t, s x)$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, all $x \geq 0, \mu G_{i}(x) \geq G_{i}(s x), i=1,2, \ldots, k, x \geq 0$
hold, then, BVP (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Theorem 3.4 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$ and
( $\left.\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) I_{i}(x) \geq-b_{i}-c_{i}|x|^{\tau-1}, b_{i}, c_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k, x \leq 0, \tau>p$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) H(t, x) \leq H(t, y)+a_{0}, G_{i}(x) \leq G_{i}(y)+a_{0}, y \leq x \leq 0, a_{0} \geq 0$;
( $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ ) $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{f(t, x)}{\phi_{p}(x)}=+\infty$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right) f(t, x) \geq-b_{0}(t)-c_{0}(t)|x|^{\tau-1}, b_{0}(t), c_{0}(t) \in C([0,1],[0, \infty)), x \leq 0$;
( $\mathrm{B}_{5}$ ) $I_{i}(x) \leq-d_{i}|x|^{\gamma-1}, \gamma<p, x \leq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k$
hold, then, $B V P$ (1.1) has at least two negative solutions.

Theorem 3.5 Assume that $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{5}\right),\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$ and
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ there exists $\mu>1$ such that for all $s \in[0,1]$, we have $\mu H(t, x) \geq H(t, s x)$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, all $x \geq 0, \mu G_{i}(x) \geq G_{i}(s x), i=1,2, \ldots, k, x \leq 0$
hold, then, BVP (1.1) has at least two negative solutions.
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