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#### Abstract

In 2000, Cingolani and Lazzo (J. Differ. Equ. 160:118-138, 2000) studied nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions and considered only the subcritical growth. They related the number of solutions with the topology of the global minima set of a suitable ground energy function. In the present paper, we establish these results in the critical case. In particular, we remove the condition $c_{0}<c_{\infty}$, which is a key condition in their paper. In the proofs we apply variational methods and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory. MSC: 35J60; 35Q55 Keywords: nonlinear Schrödinger equations; critical growth; variational methods


## 1 Introduction and main result

We investigate the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta \psi+W(x) \psi-g(x, \psi) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which arises in quantum mechanics and provides a description of the dynamics of the particle in a non-relativistic setting. $\hbar$ is the Planck's constant, $m>0$ denotes the mass of the particle, $W: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the electric potential, $g$ is the nonlinear coupling, and $\psi$ is the wave function representing the state of the particle. A standing wave solution of equation (1.1) is a solution of the form $\psi(x, t)=u(x) e^{-i \frac{E t}{h}}$. It is clear that $\psi(x, t)$ solves (1.1) if and only if $u(x)$ solves the following stationary equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta u+(W(x)-E) u=g(x, u) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity and without loss of generality, we set $\varepsilon=\hbar, V(x)=2 m(W(x)-E)$ and $\tilde{g}=$ $2 m g$, then equation (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u=\tilde{g}(x, u) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A considerable amount of work has been devoted to investigating solutions of (1.3). The existence, multiplicity and qualitative property of such solutions have been extensively studied. For single interior spikes solutions in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, please see [1-9] etc. For multiple interior spikes, please see $[10,11]$ etc. For single boundary spike solutions with

[^0]Neumann boundary condition, please see [6, 12-15] etc. For multiple boundary spikes, please see [16-18] etc. In particular, Wang and Zeng [9] studied the existence and concentration behavior of solutions for NLS with competing potential functions. Cingolani and Lazzo in [19] obtained the multiple solutions for the similar equation. In those papers only the subcritical growth was considered. In the present paper, we complete these studies by considering a class of nonlinearities with the critical growth. In particular, we remove the condition $c_{0}<c_{\infty}$, which is a key condition in [19].
In the sequel, we restrict ourselves to the critical case in which $\tilde{g}(x, u)=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+f(x, u)$. More specifically, we study the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.4}\\
u>0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $2^{*}:=2 N /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$, and $2^{*}:=\infty$ if $N=1,2 . f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies
( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) $f(x, t)=0$ for each $t \leq 0$;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x, t)}{t}=0$;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ there exists $q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{t^{q-1}}<\infty ;
$$

$\left(f_{4}\right)$ there exists $2<\theta<2^{*}$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(x, t) \leq f(x, t) t, \quad \forall t>0,
$$

where $F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau$;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ the function $\frac{f(x, t)}{t}$ is strictly increasing in $t \geq 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$.
Our main results are the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let $N \geq 4$. Suppose that fatisfies $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{5}\right), V$ is a continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and satisfies $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)>0$. Then when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, the problem (1.4) has at least $\operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ distinct nontrivial solutions.

Here cat $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ denotes the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of $\Sigma$ in $\Sigma_{\delta}$. By definition (e.g., [20]), the category of $A$ with respect to $M$, denoted by cat $(A, M)$, is the least integer $k$ such that $A \subset A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}$, with $A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ closed and contractible in $M$. We set $\operatorname{cat}(\emptyset, M)=0$ and $\operatorname{cat}(A, M)=+\infty$ if there are no integers with the above property. We will use the notation $\operatorname{cat}(M)$ for $\operatorname{cat}(M, M)$.
To prove Theorem 1.1, we mainly use the idea of $[15,19,21]$. More precisely, we can show that the $(P S)_{c}$-condition holds in the subset $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}($ see (4.6)). Hence the standard LjusternikSchnirelmann category theory can be applied in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ to yield the existence of at least cat $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$. And then we construct two continuous mappings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\varepsilon}: \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta: \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\delta} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\delta}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \operatorname{dist}(x, \Sigma) \leq \delta\right\}, \quad \forall \delta>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a topological argument asserts that

$$
\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 2 \operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)
$$

We will also prove that if $u$ is a critical point of $I_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying $I_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c_{0}+h(\varepsilon)\right)$, then $u$ cannot change sign. Hence we obtain at least $\operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ nontrivial critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some notations and preliminaries. A compactness result is given in Section 3, which is a key step in our proof. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

$H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the usual Sobolev space of real-valued functions defined by

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u: \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and } u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

with the normal

$$
\|u\|^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(a) u^{2}\right) d x .
$$

Let $H_{\varepsilon}$ be the subspace of a Hilbert space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x<\infty
$$

We denote by $S$ the Sobolev constant for the embedding $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\inf _{0 \neq u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the usual Sobolev space of real-valued functions defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u: \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and } u \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} .
$$

We say that a function $u \in H_{\varepsilon}$ is a weak solution of the problem (1.4) if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u v-|u|^{2^{*}-2} u v-f(x, u) v\right) d x=0, \quad \forall v \in H_{\varepsilon} .
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, we have that the associated functional $I_{\varepsilon}: H_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x
$$

is well defined. Moreover, $I_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1}\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with the following derivative:

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u v-|u|^{2^{*}-2} u v-f(x, u) v\right) d x .
$$

Hence, the weak solutions of (1.4) are exactly the critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$.
Let us recall some known facts about the limiting problem, namely the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(a) u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+f(a, u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ acts as a parameter instead of an independent variable. Solutions of (2.2) will be sought in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as critical points of the functional

$$
J_{a}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(a)|u|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(a, u) d x .
$$

The least positive critical value $G(a)$ can be characterized as

$$
G(a):=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{a}} J_{a}(u),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{a}:=\left\{v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An associated critical point $w$ actually solves equation (2.2) and is called a ground state solution or the least energy solution, i.e., $w$ satisfies

$$
J_{a}(w)=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{a}} J_{a}(u) .
$$

Moreover, there exist $C>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x) \leq e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad \nabla w(x) \leq e^{-\delta|x|} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more details, please see [22,23].
Set

$$
c_{0}:=\inf _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} G(a), \quad \Sigma:=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: G(a)=c_{0}\right\} .
$$

For any $\delta>0$, we denote $\Sigma_{\delta}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \operatorname{dist}(x, \Sigma) \leq \delta\right\}$. We need to estimate the super bound of $c_{0}$. In order to do this, we estimate $G(a)$. We shall use a family of radial function defined by

$$
U_{\varepsilon}(x)=(N(N-2))^{\frac{N-2}{4}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}
$$

It is known [20] that

$$
-\Delta U_{\varepsilon}=U_{\varepsilon}^{(N+2) /(N-2)} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=S^{N / 2}
$$

Set $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\phi(x) U_{\varepsilon}(x)$, where $\phi \in C^{1}$ is a cut-off function satisfying $\phi(x) \equiv 1$ if $|x| \leq \delta / 2$, $\phi(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| \geq \delta$ and $0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1$. After a detailed calculation, we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(\phi U_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x=S^{N / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=S^{N / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x=\alpha(\varepsilon):= \begin{cases}C \varepsilon^{2}|\log \varepsilon|+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) & \text { if } N=4 \\
C \varepsilon^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right) & \text { if } N \geq 5\end{cases} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F(t) \geq 0$, from (2.5)-(2.7), we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
G(a) & \leq \max _{t>0} J_{a}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \leq \max _{t>0}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{t^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

then the maximum value of the right-hand side is achieved at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{t>0} J_{a}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}}\right)^{N / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{S^{N / 2}+V(a) \alpha(\varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)}{S^{(N-2) / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)}\right)^{N / 2} \\
& <\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}<\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the Nehari manifold of $I_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{u \in H_{\varepsilon, A} \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

## 3 Compactness result

Proposition 3.1 Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ satisfies $\varepsilon_{n}^{-N} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then uniformly in $a \in \Sigma$, there exist a subsequence of $v_{n}(y):=u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)($ still denoted by $v_{n}$ ), and $t_{n}>0$ such that $w_{n}:=t_{n} v_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$. Furthermore, $w_{n}$ converges strongly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to $w$, the positive ground state solution of equation (2.2).

Proof Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ be such that $\varepsilon_{n}^{-N} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{0}$. Then, by a change of variable $x=\varepsilon_{n} y+a$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0}+1 & \geq \varepsilon_{n}^{-N}\left(I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \geq \varepsilon_{n}^{-N}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right|^{2}+V\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\left|u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right|^{2}\right) d y . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0}+o(1)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left.\left|\nabla u_{n}+V\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d y \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left.\left|\nabla u_{n}+V\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $c_{0}>0$. Now we prove that there exists a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and constants $R, \gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d y \geq \gamma>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if this is not true, then the boundedness of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and a lemma due to Lions [24, Lemma I.1] imply that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for all $2<s<2^{*}$. Given $\delta>0$, we can use ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ), $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ and $u_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ to get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} d y \leq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d y+C_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d y .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left.\left|\nabla u_{n}+V\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y>0, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently (3.2) yields

$$
c_{0}=\frac{m}{2}-\frac{m}{2^{*}}=\frac{m}{N},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=N c_{0}<S^{N / 2} \quad(\text { see }(2.11)) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, recall the definition of $S$ in (2.1),

$$
m=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left.\left|\nabla u_{n}+V\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y \geq S \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}=S m^{2 / 2^{*}}
$$

equivalent to $m \geq S^{N / 2}$, contradicting (3.6). Thus, (3.4) holds. Using the idea of [21, 25], along a subsequence as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we may assume that

$$
v_{n}(y):=u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right) \rightharpoonup v \neq 0 \quad \text { weakly in } H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

We now consider $t_{n}>0$ such that $w_{n}:=t_{n} v_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ (see (2.3)). By a change of variable $x=\varepsilon_{n} y+a$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0} & \leq J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right)=J_{a}\left(t_{n} v_{n}\right) \leq \sup _{t>0} J_{a}\left(t v_{n}\right) \leq \sup _{t>0} \varepsilon_{n}^{-N} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(t u_{n}\right)+o(1)=\varepsilon_{n}^{-N} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)+o(1) \\
& =c_{0}+o(1) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{0}$, from which it follows that $w_{n} \nrightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Since $\left(v_{n}\right)$ and $\left(w_{n}\right)$ are bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is bounded. Thus, up to a subsequence, $t_{n} \rightarrow t_{0} \geq 0$. If $t_{0}=0$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$, which does not occur. Hence $t_{0}>0$, and therefore the sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{0}, w_{n} \rightharpoonup w:=t_{0} \nu \neq 0 \quad \text { weakly in } H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, define

$$
b(w)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla w \nabla v d y \quad \text { for all } w \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$
|b(w)| \leq|\nabla w|_{2}|\nabla v|_{2} \leq\|w\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|v\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
$$

Hence $b \in H^{-1}$, the dual space of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Consequently, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ implies $b\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow b(w)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla w_{n} \nabla v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla w \nabla v d x+o(1) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{n}$ converges weakly to $w$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $w_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus $\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} w_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. It then follows that there is a subsequence of $\left(w_{n}\right)$, still denoted by
$\left(w_{n}\right)$, such that $\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} w_{n}$ converges weakly to some $\tilde{w}$ in $L^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Next we will show $\tilde{w}=|w|^{2^{*}-2} w$. Choose a sequence $\left(K_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ of open relatively compact subsets, with regular boundaries, of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ covering $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e., $\mathbb{R}^{N}=\bigcup_{m \geq 1} K_{m}$. It is easy to see that, by compact embedding, $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{q}\left(K_{m}\right)$ for any $q<2^{*}$. Hence $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $K_{m}$. Hence $\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} w_{n} \rightarrow|w|^{2^{*}-2} w$ a.e. on $K_{m}$. By the Brezis and Lieb lemma [26], we conclude that $\left.\left|w_{n}\right|\right|^{2^{*}-2} w_{n} \rightarrow|w|^{2^{*}-2} w$ strongly in $L^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(K_{m}\right)$. Thus $\tilde{w}=|w|^{2^{*}-2} w$ a.e. on each $K_{m}$, and then the diagonal rule implies a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} w_{n} v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2^{*}-2} w v d x+o(1) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(a) w_{n} v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(a) w v d x+o(1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{By}\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|f\left(x, w_{n}\right) v\right| d x \leq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right||v| d x+C_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q-1}|v| d x \leq \delta\left|w_{n}\right|_{2}|v|_{2}+C_{\delta}\left|w_{n}\right|_{q}^{q-1}|v|_{q} .
$$

Hence when $R$ is large enough, we get

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{c}\left(z_{n}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|f\left(a, w_{n}\right) v\right| d x=o(1) .
$$

Noting that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{q}\left(B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)\right), 2 \leq q<2^{*}$. Therefore we have

$$
\int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) v d x=\int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{N}} f(a, w) v d x+o(1) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(a, w) v d x+o(1) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9)-(3.12), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta w+V(a) w=|w|^{2^{*}-2} w+f(a, w) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $J_{a}^{\prime}(w)=0$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us consider the measure sequence $\mu_{n}$ defined by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}(d y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}\right) d y .
$$

We assume

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}(d y) \rightarrow l
$$

By the concentration-compactness lemma [24], there exists a subsequence of ( $\mu_{n}$ ) (denoted in the same way) satisfying one of the three following possibilities.
Compactness: There exists a sequence $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that for any $\delta>0$ there is a radius $R>0$ with the property that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)} \mu_{n}(d y) \geq l-\delta
$$

Vanishing: For all $R>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{R}(z)} \mu_{n}(d y)\right)=0
$$

Dichotomy: There exists a number $\tilde{a}, 0<\tilde{a}<l$, such that for any $\delta>0$ there is a number $R>0$ and a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ with the following property: Given $R^{\prime}>R$ there are non-negative measures $\mu_{n}^{1}, \mu_{n}^{2}$ such that
(i) $0 \leq \mu_{n}^{1}+\mu_{n}^{2} \leq \mu_{n}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{n}^{1}\right) \subset B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right), \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{n}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R^{\prime}}\left(z_{n}\right)$,
(iii) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|\tilde{a}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}^{1}(d y)\right|+\left|(l-\tilde{a})-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}^{2}(d y)\right|\right) \leq \delta$.

We are going to rule out the last two possibilities so that compactness holds. Our first goal is to show that vanishing cannot occur. Otherwise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d y+C_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} d y \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $J\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, contradicting $c_{0}>0$.
Now for the harder part. Let $\eta$ be a smooth nonincreasing cut-off function, defined in $[0, \infty)$, such that $\eta=1$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1 ; \eta=0$ if $t \geq 2 ; 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\left|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2$. Also, let $\eta_{r}(\cdot)=$ $\eta(\dot{\dot{r}})$. We define

$$
\xi(t)=1-\eta(t)
$$

a nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Denote by $\xi_{r}(\cdot)=\xi(\dot{\dot{r}})$. We show now that dichotomy does not occur. Otherwise there exists $\tilde{a} \in(0, l)$ such that for some $R^{\prime}>R \rightarrow \infty$ and $z_{n} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ the function $\mu_{n}$ splits into $\mu_{n}^{1}$ and $\mu_{n}^{2}$ with the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}^{1}(d y) \rightarrow \tilde{a}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}^{2}(d y) \rightarrow l-\tilde{a} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
w_{n}^{1}=\eta_{R}\left(x-z_{n}\right) w_{n}(x), \quad w_{n}^{2}=\xi_{R^{\prime}}\left(x-z_{n}\right) w_{n}(x),
$$

(3.14) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}+\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{q}\right) d y \rightarrow \tilde{a},  \tag{3.15}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2^{*}}+\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{q}\right) d y \rightarrow l-\tilde{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $\Omega^{\prime}:=B_{R^{\prime}}\left(z_{n}\right) \backslash B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)$, then

$$
0=\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \chi_{\Omega^{\prime}} w_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d y .
$$

Using Dichotomy (iii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \mu_{n}(d y) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}(d y)-\int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)} \mu_{n}(d y)-\int_{B_{R^{\prime}}^{c}\left(z_{n}\right)} \mu_{n}(d y) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{n}(d y)-\int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)} \mu_{n}^{1}(d y)-\int_{B_{R^{\prime}}^{c}\left(z_{n}\right)} \mu_{n}^{2}(d y) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d y \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} d y \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y & =\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\delta \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d y+C_{\delta} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} d y \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we observe that supp $w_{n}^{1} \cap \operatorname{supp} w_{n}^{2}=\emptyset$, therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}\right) d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N^{N}}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}\right) d y+o(1),  \tag{3.16}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+o(1),  \tag{3.17}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(a, w_{n}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(a, w_{n}^{1}\right) d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(a, w_{n}^{2}\right) d y+o(1), \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}^{2}\right) w_{n}^{2} d y+o(1), \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Recall that $\left(w_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{a}$ (see (2.3)), which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d y \\
& =o(1) . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then using $w_{n}^{1}$ and $w_{n}^{2}$ in place of $w_{n}$, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}^{1}\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1} d y \\
& =o(1), \\
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}^{2}\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}^{2}\right) w_{n}^{2} d y  \tag{3.21}\\
& =o(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

There exists $t_{n}^{1}>0$ such that $t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t_{n}^{1}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}\right) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right) t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1} d y=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right), f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} \leq \delta\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}+C_{\delta}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}$, we see $t_{n}^{1}$ cannot go zero, that is, $t_{n}^{1} \geq t_{0}^{1}>0$. If $t_{n}^{1} \rightarrow \infty$, by (3.21), (3.22) and ( $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1} d y & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+V(a)\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}\right) d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}+f\left(x, t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right) t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}}{\left(t_{n}^{1}\right)^{2}} d y \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left(f_{5}\right)$. By (3.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1} d y \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2} d y+C_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{q} d y \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}+\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{q}\right) d y \leq C(\tilde{a}+o(1)), \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction. Thus $0<t_{0}^{1} \leq t_{n}^{1} \leq C$. Assume that $t_{n}^{1} \rightarrow t^{1}$, we will show $t^{1}=1$. By (3.21) and (3.22), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(1) & =\left(t_{n}^{1}\right)^{2^{*}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f\left(x, t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1}}{t_{n}^{1}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, w_{n}^{1}\right) w_{n}^{1} d y \\
& =\left(\left(t_{n}^{1}\right)^{2^{*}-2}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{f\left(x, t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right)}{t_{n}^{1}}-f\left(x, w_{n}^{1}\right)\right) w_{n}^{1} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$
\left(\left(t^{1}\right)^{2^{*}-2}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{f\left(x, t^{1} w^{1}\right)}{t^{1}}-f\left(x, w^{1}\right)\right) w^{1} d y=0
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$, we have $t^{1}=1$. Similarly, $t_{n}^{2} \rightarrow t^{2}=1$. Using this together with (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
c_{0}+o(1)=J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right)=J_{a}\left(w_{n}^{1}\right)+J_{a}\left(w_{n}^{2}\right)+o(1)=J_{a}\left(t_{n}^{1} w_{n}^{1}\right)+J_{a}\left(t_{n}^{2} w_{n}^{2}\right)+o(1) \geq 2 c_{0}+o(1) .
$$

Contradiction! Thus dichotomy does not occur.
With vanishing and dichotomy ruled out, we obtain the compactness of a sequence $\mu_{n}$, i.e., there exist $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for each $\delta>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}^{c}\left(z_{n}\right)}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}\right) d y \leq \delta . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(z_{n}\right)$ must be bounded, for otherwise (3.25) would imply, in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|w|^{2^{*}}+|w|^{2}+|w|^{q}\right) d y \leq C_{1} \delta \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{1}$, independent of $\delta$, which implies $w \equiv 0$, contrary to (3.8).
From the foregoing, it follows that there exist bounded nonnegative measures $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{v}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} \rightharpoonup \tilde{\mu}$ weakly and $\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$ tightly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma I. 1 in [27] declares that there exist sequences $\left(x_{j}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},\left(\tilde{\mu}_{j}\right),\left(\tilde{v}_{j}\right) \subset(0, \infty)$ such that
(1) $\tilde{\mu} \geq|\nabla w|^{2}+\sum_{j \in \tilde{J}} \tilde{\mu}_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}$,
(2) $\tilde{v}=|w|^{2^{*}}+\sum_{j \in \tilde{J}} \tilde{v}_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}$,
(3) $\quad \tilde{\mu}_{j} \geq S \tilde{v}_{j}^{2 / 2^{*}}$,
where $\delta_{x_{j}}$ denotes a Dirac measure, $j \in \tilde{J}$. Take $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ in the support of the singular part of $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{v}$. We consider $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=1 \quad \text { on } B\left(x_{j}, \varepsilon\right), \quad \phi=0 \quad \text { on } B\left(x_{j}, 2 \varepsilon\right)^{c}, \quad|\nabla \phi| \leq 2 / \varepsilon . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing the test function $\phi w_{n}$, from $\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \phi w_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi \tilde{\mu}(d x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi V(a)|w|^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi \tilde{v}(d x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi f(x, w) w d x \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla w_{n} w_{n} \nabla \phi d x \leq C_{1} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j} ; 2 \varepsilon\right)}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

This reduces to

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{j}=\tilde{v}_{j},
$$

hence (3.27)(3) states

$$
S \tilde{v}_{j}^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \tilde{v}_{j}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\tilde{v}_{j}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \tilde{v}_{j} \geq S^{N / 2}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\tilde{v} \geq|w|^{2^{*}}+S^{N / 2} \sum_{j \in J} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \tilde{v}(d x) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2^{*}} d x+S^{N / 2} \operatorname{Card} J \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the set $J$ is at most finite. Here Card $J$ is the cardinal numbers of set $J$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n}-F\left(a, w_{n}\right)\right) d y \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
f(x, t) t \geq \theta F(t) \geq F(t)>0 .
$$

When $n$ is large enough, recall $c_{0}<\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}$ (see (2.11)), together with (3.30) and (3.31), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}>c_{0} \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}|w|^{2^{*}} d x+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in J} v_{j} \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}
$$

a contradiction. Therefore $J$ is empty, that is, $\left|w_{n}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \rightarrow|w|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Brezis and Lieb lemma [26] again, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{n}-w\right|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.25) and compact embedding theorem imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, w_{n}\right) w_{n} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(a, w) w d x+o(1) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (3.13), (3.20) and (3.32) allows us to deduce easily

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\|w\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+o(1) .
$$

Since $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}-w\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{a}\left(w_{n}\right)=J_{a}(w) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $w$ is the ground state solution of (2.2) in view of (3.13). The proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 4.1 Suppose $f$ satisfies $\left(f_{2}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then $I_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition for all $c<\varepsilon^{N} S^{N / 2} / N$, that is, every sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $H_{\varepsilon}$ such that $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, possesses a convergent subsequence.

Proof Suppose that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $H_{\varepsilon}$ such that $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<\varepsilon^{N} S^{N / 2} / N, I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using $\left(f_{4}\right)$, by a change of variable $x=\varepsilon y$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
c+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \geq & I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\left(\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H^{1}$. Therefore we may assume $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. Let $u_{n}=v_{n}+u$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N^{\prime}}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(\varepsilon y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+V(\varepsilon y)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(\varepsilon y)|u|^{2}\right) d y+o(1), \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) u d y+o(1), \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, v_{n}\right) d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d y+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the Brezis-Lieb lemma [26],

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d y+o(1)
$$

For convenience, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(\varepsilon y)|u|^{2}\right) d y-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d y \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla u \nabla v+V(\varepsilon y) u v-|u|^{2^{*}-2} u v-f(x, u) v\right) d y . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\varepsilon^{N} I(u), \quad\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\varepsilon^{N}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$. Hence we have

$$
o(1)=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+o(1)=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle+o(1),
$$

and thus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y=: \ell
$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d y \rightarrow 0$ by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$. If $\ell=0$, then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$, hence $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=$ $\varepsilon^{N}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we can obtain the desired conclusion. Hence it remains to show that $\ell=0$. By a change of variable, from

$$
I\left(v_{n}\right)=I\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d y \geq 0
$$

and

$$
c=I\left(u_{n}\right)+o(1)=I\left(v_{n}\right)+I(u)+o(1) \geq I\left(v_{n}\right)+o(1),
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\varepsilon^{N} \ell}{N} \leq c<\frac{\varepsilon^{N} S^{N / 2}}{N}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell<S^{N / 2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Sobolev inequalities,

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq S^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+V(y)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d y
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\ell^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq S^{-1} \ell$, so either $\ell \geq S^{N / 2}$ which contradicts (4.5) or $\ell=0$.

Let $\iota>0$ be fixed. Let $\eta$ be a smooth nonincreasing cut-off function, defined in $[0, \infty)$, such that $\eta=1$ if $0 \leq t \leq \iota ; \eta=0$ if $t \geq 2 \iota ; 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\left|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C$ for some $C>0$. For any $a \in \Sigma$, let

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)=\eta(|x-a|) w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

where $w$ is the positive ground state of (2.2). We may assume that $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ is the unique positive number such that

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}\left(t \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right)
$$

Let $h(\varepsilon)$ be any positive function tending to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we define the sublevel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}: I_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c_{0}+h(\varepsilon)\right)\right\} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2 below, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ is not empty for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. By noticing that $t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, we can define $\phi_{\varepsilon}: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ as

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}:=t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)
$$

Lemma 4.2 Uniformly in $a \in \Sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-N} I_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}(a)\right)=c_{0} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $a \in \Sigma$. Computing directly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|\psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \eta(|x-a|)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \eta(|x-a|) \nabla w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+V(x)\left|\eta(|x-a|) w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\eta^{2}(|x-a|)\left(\left|\nabla w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right) d x \\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}|\nabla \eta(|x-a|)|^{2}\left|w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+2 \varepsilon \eta(|x-a|) w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \eta(|x-a|) \nabla w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x \\
&= I_{1}+I_{2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By a change of variable $y=\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \eta^{2}\left(\frac{\varepsilon y}{\rho}\right)\left(|\nabla w(y)|^{2}+V(\varepsilon y+a)|w(y)|^{2}\right) d y \\
& =\varepsilon^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+V(a) w^{2}\right) d y+o(1)\right) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$.

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}= & \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \eta\left(\frac{|\varepsilon y|}{\rho}\right)\right|^{2} \omega^{2}(y)+2 \varepsilon \eta\left(\frac{|\varepsilon y|}{\rho}\right) \omega(y) \nabla \eta\left(\frac{|\varepsilon y|}{\rho}\right) \nabla \omega(y)\right) d y \\
\leq & \varepsilon^{N+2} \int_{\{y: \rho / \varepsilon \leq|y| \leq 2 \rho / \varepsilon\}} C|\omega(y)|^{2} d y \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{N+1} \int_{\{y: \rho / \varepsilon \leq|y| \leq 2 \rho / \varepsilon\}} C_{1}|\omega(y)||\nabla \omega(y)| d y . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

By the exponential decay of $\omega$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\varepsilon^{N}(o(1)) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$. Therefore, in the limit that $\varepsilon$ is very small, thanks to (4.8) (4.9) and (4.11), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\varepsilon^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+V(a) w^{2}\right) d y+o(1)\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, following the idea of $[21,25]$, from $\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right), t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right\rangle=0$, by the change of variables $y:=(x-\xi) / \varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right) t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x) d x \\
= & \varepsilon^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{\varepsilon} \eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y)\right|^{2^{*}} d y\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, t_{\varepsilon} \eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y)\right) t_{\varepsilon} \eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y) d y\right),  \tag{4.13}\\
\varepsilon^{-N}\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)(x)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}= & \left|t_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y)\right|^{2^{*}} d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f\left(x, t_{\varepsilon} \eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y)\right)}{t_{\varepsilon}} \eta_{\rho}(\varepsilon y) w(y) d y \\
\rightarrow & \text { as } t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts (4.12). Thus, up to a subsequence, $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow t_{0} \geq 0$.
Since $f$ has subcritical growth and $t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, it follows that $t_{0}>0$. Thus, we can take the limit in (4.13) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(t_{0} w\right)\right|^{2}+\left|t_{0} w\right|^{2} d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{0} w\right|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(a, t_{0} w\right) t_{0} w d y, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that $t_{0} w \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$. Since $w$ also belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{a}$, we conclude that $t_{0}=1$. This and Lebesgue's theorem imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right|^{2^{*}} d x=\varepsilon^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2^{*}} d y+o(1)\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(a)\right) d x=\varepsilon^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(a, w) d y+o(1)\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$. Noting $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$, from (4.12), (4.16) and (4.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{-N} I_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+V(a) w^{2}\right) d y+\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2^{*}} d y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(a, w) d y+o(1) \\
& =c_{0}+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (4.7) is proved.

Let $\beta(u)$ be the center of mass of $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ in terms of the $L^{2}$ norm:

$$
\beta(u):=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x|u|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} d x}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Lemma 4.3 Let $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $u_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$,

$$
\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow a, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$.

Proof By change of variable $x=\varepsilon_{n} y+a$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(u_{n}\right) & =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+a\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d y}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d y}=a+\varepsilon_{n} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} y\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d y}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d y} \\
& =a+\varepsilon_{n} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} y\left|t_{n} v_{n}\right|^{2} d y}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{n} v_{n}\right|^{2} d y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 3.1, $w_{n}=t_{n} v_{n}$ converges strongly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to $w$, which is a positive ground state solution of equation (2.2). Thanks to the exponential decay of $w$ (see (2.4)), we obtain $\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow a \in \Sigma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Proposition 4.1, $I_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition for all $c<$ $\varepsilon^{N} S^{N / 2} / N$. Now let us choose a function $h(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and such that $\varepsilon^{N}\left(c_{0}+h(\varepsilon)\right)<\varepsilon^{N} S^{N / 2} / N$ is not a critical level for $I_{\varepsilon}$. For such $h(\varepsilon)$, let us introduce the set $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ as in (4.6). Then the standard Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory implies that $I_{\varepsilon}$ has at least $\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ critical points on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ (also see [21]).

By Lemma 4.3, we can assume that for any $\delta>0$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\delta}>0$ such that $\beta\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right) \subset \Sigma_{\delta}$ for any $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{\delta}$. For such $\varepsilon$, by Lemma 4.2, we have $I_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}(a)\right) \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c_{0}+h(\varepsilon)\right)$ uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$, thus $\phi_{\varepsilon}(\Sigma) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$. Recall $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$, calculating directly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}(a)\right) & =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x\left|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon}(a)(x)\right|^{2} d x} \\
& =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x\left|\eta(|x-a|) w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\eta(|x-a|) w\left(\frac{x-a}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\varepsilon y+a)|\eta(|\varepsilon y|) w(y)|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\eta(|\varepsilon y|) w(y)|^{2} d x}+o(1) \\
& =a+\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varepsilon y|\eta(|\varepsilon y|) w(y)|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\eta(|\varepsilon y|) w(y)|^{2} d x}=a+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $a \in \Sigma$. Hence the map $\beta \circ \phi_{\varepsilon}$ is homotopical equivalence to the inclusion $i: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\delta}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}^{+}=\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} \cap\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}: u \geq 0\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}$. It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ and $\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}^{-}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ (cf. [19, Lemma 2.2]). Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\operatorname{cat}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}^{-}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 2 \operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right) .
$$

Next we show that if $u$ is a critical point of $I_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying $I_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c_{0}+h(\varepsilon)\right)$, then $u$ cannot change sign. Indeed, if $u=u^{+}+u^{-}$with $u^{+} \neq 0$ and $u^{-} \neq 0$, then from $\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u^{+}\right), u^{+}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u^{-}\right), u^{-}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

By change of variable $x=\varepsilon_{n} y+a$, we get

$$
\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(u^{+}\right), u^{+}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle J_{a}^{\prime}\left(u^{-}\right), u^{-}\right\rangle=0, \quad \text { as } \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0,
$$

i.e.,

$$
u^{+}, u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{a} .
$$

Also, noting

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\varepsilon^{N} J_{a}(u), \quad \text { as } \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence

$$
c_{0}+h(\varepsilon) \geq J_{a}(u)=J_{a}\left(u^{+}\right)+J_{a}\left(u^{-}\right) \geq 2 G(a) \geq 2 c_{0},
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore there exist at least $\operatorname{cat}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ nonzero critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$ and thus cat $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma_{\delta}\right)$ solutions of equation (1.4).
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