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#### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of two nontrivial solutions and the existence of infinitely many solutions for a class of fourth-order elliptic equations with subcritical polynomial growth and subcritical exponential growth by using a suitable version of the mountain pass theorem and the symmetric mountain pass theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following Navier boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u(x)+c \Delta u=f(x, u), & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\ u=\Delta u=0, & \text { in } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\triangle^{2}$ is the biharmonic operator and $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 4)$. In problem (1), let $f(x, u)=b\left[(u+1)^{+}-1\right]$, then we get the following Dirichlet problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u(x)+c \Delta u=b\left[(u+1)^{+}-1\right], & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2}\\ u=\Delta u=0, & \text { in } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We let $\lambda_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ denote the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Thus, fourth-order problems with $N>4$ have been studied by many authors. In [1], Lazer and McKenna pointed out that this type of nonlinearity furnishes a model to study traveling waves in suspension bridges. Since then, more general nonlinear fourth-order elliptic boundary value problems have been studied. For problem (2), Lazer and McKenna [2] proved the existence of $2 k-1$ solutions when $N=1$, and $b>\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)$ by the global bifurcation method. In [3], Tarantello found a negative solution when $b \geq \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$ by a degree argument. For problem (1) when $f(x, u)=b g(x, u)$, Micheletti and Pistoia [4] proved that there exist two or three solutions for a more general nonlinearity $g$ by the variational

[^0]method. Xu and Zhang [5] discussed the problem when $f$ satisfies the local superlinearity and sublinearity. Zhang [6] proved the existence of solutions for a more general nonlinearity $f(x, u)$ under some weaker assumptions. Zhang and Li [7] proved the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions by means of Morse theory and local linking. An and Liu [8] and Liu and Wang [9] also obtained the existence result for nontrivial solutions when $f$ is asymptotically linear at positive infinity.
We noticed that almost all of works (see [4-9]) mentioned above involve the nonlinear term $f(x, u)$ of a subcritical (polynomial) growth, say,
(SCP): there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ and $q_{0} \in\left(1, p^{*}-1\right)$ such that
$$
|f(x, t)| \leq c_{1}+c_{2}|t|^{q_{0}} \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } x \in \Omega
$$
where $p^{*}=2 N /(N-4)$ denotes the critical Sobolev exponent. One of the main reasons to assume this condition (SCP) is that they can use the Sobolev compact embedding $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)\left(1 \leq q<p^{*}\right)$. At that time, it is easy to see that seeking a weak solution of problem (1) is equivalent to finding a nonzero critical points of the following functional on $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x, \quad \text { where } F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, t) d t . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In this paper, stimulated by Lam and Lu [10], our first main results will be to study problem (1) in the improved subcritical polynomial growth

$$
\text { (SCPI): } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{p^{*}-1}}=0
$$

which is much weaker than (SCP). Note that in this case, we do not have the Sobolev compact embedding anymore. Our work is to study problem (1) when nonlinearity $f$ does not satisfy the (AR) condition, i.e., for some $\theta>2$ and $\gamma>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\theta F(x, t) \leq f(x, t) t \quad \text { for all }|t| \geq \gamma \text { and } x \in \Omega \tag{AR}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, this condition was studied by Liu and Wang in [11] in the case of Laplacian by the Nehari manifold approach. However, we will use a suitable version of the mountain pass theorem to get the nontrivial solution to problem (1) in the general case $N>4$. We will also use the symmetric mountain pass theorem to get infinitely many solutions for problem (1) in the general case $N>4$ when nonlinearity $f$ is odd.
Let us now state our results. In this paper, we always assume that $f(x, t) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$. The conditions imposed on $f(x, t)$ are as follows:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f(x, t) t \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \lim _{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{t}=f_{0}$ uniformly for $x \in \Omega$, where $f_{0}$ is a constant;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{t}=+\infty$ uniformly for $x \in \Omega$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|}$ is nondecreasing in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $x \in \Omega$.
Let $0<\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{k}<\cdots$ be the eigenvalues of ( $\left.\triangle^{2}-c \triangle, H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}(x)>0$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu_{1}$. Let $E_{\mu_{k}}$ denote the eigenspace associated to $\mu_{k}$. In fact, $\mu_{k}=\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)$. Throughout this paper, we denote by $|\cdot|_{p}$ the $L^{p}(\Omega)$
norm, $c<\lambda_{1}$ in $\triangle^{2}-c \Delta$ and the norm of $u$ in $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ will be defined by

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We also define $E=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 1.1 Let $N>4$ and assume thatf has the improved subcritical polynomial growth on $\Omega$ (condition (SCPI)) and satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. If $f_{0}<\mu_{1}$, then problem (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Theorem 1.2 Let $N>4$ and assume thatf has the improved subcritical polynomial growth on $\Omega$ (condition (SCPI)), is odd in t and satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. If $(x, 0)=0$, then problem (1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

In the case of $N=4$, we have $p^{*}=+\infty$. So it is necessary to introduce the definition of the subcritical (exponential) growth in this case. By the improved Adams inequality (see [12]) for the fourth-order derivative, namely,

$$
\sup _{u \in E,\|u\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{32 \pi^{2} u^{2}} d x \leq C|\Omega| .
$$

So, we now define the subcritical (exponential) growth in this case as follows:
(SCE): $f$ has subcritical (exponential) growth on $\Omega$, i.e., $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x, t)|}{\exp \left(\alpha t^{2}\right)}=0$ uniformly on $x \in \Omega$ for all $\alpha>0$.
When $N=4$ and $f$ has the subcritical (exponential) growth (SCE), our work is still to study problem (1) without the (AR) condition. Our results are as follows.

Theorem 1.3 Let $N=4$ and assume that $f$ has the subcritical exponential growth on $\Omega$ (condition (SCE)) and satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. If $f_{0}<\mu_{1}$, then problem (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Theorem 1.4 Let $N=4$ and assume that $f$ has the subcritical exponential growth on $\Omega$ (condition (SCE)), is odd in t and satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$.Iff $(x, 0)=0$, then problem (1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

## 2 Preliminaries and auxiliary lemmas

Definition 2.1 Let $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ be a real Banach space with its dual space $\left(E^{*},\|\cdot\|_{E^{*}}\right)$ and $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. For $c^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c^{*}}$ condition if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ with

$$
I\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c^{*}, \quad D I\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } E^{*},
$$

there is a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converges strongly in $E$. Also, we say that $I$ satisfies the $(C)_{c^{*}}$ condition if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ with

$$
I\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c^{*}, \quad\left\|D I\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}}\left(1+\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

there is a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converges strongly in $E$.
We have the following version of the mountain pass theorem (see [13]).

Proposition 2.1 Let $E$ be a real Banach space and suppose that $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\max \left\{I(0), I\left(u_{1}\right)\right\} \leq \alpha<\beta \leq \inf _{\|u\|=\rho} I(u)
$$

for some $\alpha<\beta, \rho>0$ and $u_{1} \in E$ with $\left\|u_{1}\right\|>\rho$. Let $c^{*} \geq \beta$ be characterized by

$$
c^{*}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} I(\gamma(t)),
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E), \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\}$ is the set of continuous paths joining 0 and $u_{1}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c^{*} \geq \beta \quad \text { and } \quad\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Consider the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=f_{+}(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\left.\Delta u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f_{+}(x, t)= \begin{cases}f(x, t), & t>0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Define a functional $I_{+}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
I_{+}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F_{+}(x, u) d x,
$$

where $F_{+}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{+}(x, s) d s$, then $I_{+} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$.
Lemma 2.1 Let $N>4$ and $\varphi_{1}>0$ be a $\mu_{1}$-eigenfunction with $\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|=1$ and assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and (SCPI) hold. Iff $f_{0}<\mu_{1}$, then:
(i) There exist $\rho, \alpha>0$ such that $I_{+}(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$.
(ii) $I_{+}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof By (SCPI), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $A_{1}=A_{1}(\varepsilon), B_{1}=B_{1}(\varepsilon)$ and $l>2 \mu_{1}$ such that for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}(x, s) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) s^{2}+A_{1} s^{p^{*}}  \tag{4}\\
& F_{+}(x, s) \geq \frac{1}{2} l s^{2}-B_{1} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right)<\mu_{1}$. By (4), the Poincaré inequality and the Sobolev inequality $|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \leq K\|u\|^{p^{*}}$, we get

$$
I_{+}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{f_{0}+\varepsilon}{2}|u|_{2}^{2}-A_{1}|u|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{f_{0}+\varepsilon}{\mu_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-A_{1} K\|u\|^{p^{*}} .
$$

So, part (i) is proved if we choose $\|u\|=\rho>0$ small enough.

On the other hand, from (5) we have

$$
I_{+}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{l}{\mu_{1}}\right) t^{2}+B_{1}|\Omega| \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow-\infty .
$$

Thus part (ii) is proved.

Lemma 2.2 (see [12]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be a bounded domain. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in E,\|u\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{32 \pi^{2} u^{2}} d x \leq C|\Omega|,
$$

and this inequality is sharp.

Lemma 2.3 Let $N=4$ and $\varphi_{1}>0$ be a $\mu_{1}$-eigenfunction with $\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|=1$ and assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and $(\mathrm{SCE})$ hold. If $f_{0}<\mu_{1}$, then:
(i) There exist $\rho, \alpha>0$ such that $I_{+}(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$.
(ii) $I_{+}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof By (SCE), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $A_{1}=A_{1}(\varepsilon), B_{1}=B_{1}(\varepsilon), \kappa>0, q>2$ and $l>2 \mu_{1}$ such that for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}(x, s) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) s^{2}+A_{1} \exp \left(\kappa|s|^{2}\right) s^{q},  \tag{6}\\
& F_{+}(x, s) \geq \frac{1}{2} l s^{2}-B_{1} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right)<\mu_{1}$. By (6), the Holder inequality and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{+}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{f_{0}+\varepsilon}{2}|u|_{2}^{2}-A_{1} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\kappa|u|^{2}\right)|u|^{q} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{f_{0}+\varepsilon}{\mu_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-A_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\kappa r\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|}\right)^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{r^{\prime} q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{f_{0}+\varepsilon}{\mu_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-C\|u\|^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r>1$ is sufficiently close to $1,\|u\| \leq \sigma$ and $\kappa r \sigma^{2}<32 \pi^{2}$. So, part (i) is proved if we choose $\|u\|=\rho>0$ small enough.
On the other hand, from (7) we have

$$
I_{+}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{l}{\mu_{1}}\right)|t|^{2}+B_{1}|\Omega| \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow-\infty .
$$

Thus part (ii) is proved.

Lemma 2.4 For the functional I defined by (3), if condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ holds, and for any $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in E$ with

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

then there is a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
I\left(t u_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1+t^{2}}{2 n}+I\left(u_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } n \in N
$$

Proof This lemma is essentially due to [14]. We omit it here.

## 3 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{+}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F_{+}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x=c^{*}+o(1),  \tag{8}\\
& \left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I_{+}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, (9) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{+}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} f_{+}\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n} d x=o(1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete our proof, we first need to verify that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Assume $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=\frac{2 \sqrt{c^{*}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}, \quad w_{n}=s_{n} u_{n}=\frac{2 \sqrt{c^{*}} u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$, it is possible to extract a subsequence (denoted also by $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{n} \rightharpoonup w_{0} \quad \text { in } E, \\
& w_{n}^{+} \rightarrow w_{0}^{+} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega), \\
& w_{n}^{+}(x) \rightarrow w_{0}^{+}(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\
& \left|w_{n}^{+}(x)\right| \leq h(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{n}^{+}=\max \left\{w_{n}, 0\right\}, w_{0} \in E$ and $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.
We claim that if $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, then $w^{+}(x) \equiv 0$. In fact, we set $\Omega_{1}=\{x \in \Omega$ : $\left.w^{+}=0\right\}, \Omega_{2}=\left\{x \in \Omega: w^{+}>0\right\}$. Obviously, by (11), $u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow+\infty$ a.e. in $\Omega_{2}$, noticing condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, then for any given $K>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}^{+}\right)}{u_{n}^{+}}\left(w_{n}^{+}(x)\right)^{2} \geq K w^{+}(x)^{2} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10), (11) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 c^{*} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}^{+}\right)}{u_{n}^{+}}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega_{2}} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}^{+}\right)}{u_{n}^{+}}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} d x \geq K \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(w^{+}\right)^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that $w^{+}>0$ in $\Omega_{2}$ and $K>0$ can be chosen large enough, so $\left|\Omega_{2}\right|=0$ and $w^{+} \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$. However, if $w^{+} \equiv 0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{n}^{+}\right) d x=0$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{+}\left(w_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1)=2 c^{*}+o(1) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and in view of (11), we observe that $s_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.4 and (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{+}\left(w_{n}\right)=I_{+}\left(s_{n} u_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1+s_{n}^{2}}{2 n}+I_{+}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c^{*}>0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, (13) and (14) are contradictory. So $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Next, we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergence subsequence. In fact, we can suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } E, \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{q}(\Omega), \forall 1 \leq q<p^{*}, \\
& u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $f$ has the improved subcritical growth on $\Omega$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, we can find a constant $C(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
f_{+}(x, s) \leq C(\varepsilon)+\varepsilon|s|^{p^{*}-1}, \quad \forall(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} f_{+}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}-1} d x \\
& \quad \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x+\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-1}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}} \\
& \quad \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x+\varepsilon C(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, since $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E, \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \rightarrow 0$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{+}\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f_{+}(x, u)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{+}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{+}^{\prime}(u),\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\left|\Delta\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2}\right] d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

So we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $E$ which means that $I_{+}$satisfies $(C)_{c^{*}}$. Thus, from the strong maximum principle, we obtain that the functional $I_{+}$has a positive critical point $u_{1}$, i.e., $u_{1}$
is a positive solution of problem (1). Similarly, we also obtain a negative solution $u_{2}$ for problem (1).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 It follows from the assumptions that $I$ is even. Obviously, $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and $I(0)=0$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, we easily prove that $I(u)$ satisfies condition $(C)_{c^{*}}$ $\left(c^{*}>0\right)$. Now, we can prove the theorem by using the symmetric mountain pass theorem in [15-17].

Step 1. We claim that condition (i) holds in Theorem 9.12 (see [16]). Let $V_{1}=E_{\mu_{1}} \oplus E_{\mu_{2}} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus E_{\mu_{k}}, V_{2}=E \backslash V_{1}$. For all $u \in V_{2}$, by (SCPI), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x-c_{3} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-c_{4} \\
& \geq\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-c_{5} \lambda_{k+1}^{-(1-a) p^{*} / 2}\|u\|^{p^{*}-2}\right)-c_{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a \in(0,1)$ is defined by

$$
\frac{1}{p^{*}}=a\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{N}\right)+(1-a) \frac{1}{2}
$$

Choose $\rho=\rho(k)=\|u\|$ so that the coefficient of $\rho^{2}$ in the above formula is $\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{4} \rho^{2}-c_{6} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in \partial B_{\rho} \cap V_{2}$. Since $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, \rho(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Choose $k$ so that $\frac{1}{4} \rho^{2}>$ $2 c_{6}$. Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{8} \rho^{2} \equiv \alpha . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, our claim holds.
Step 2. We claim that condition (ii) holds in Theorem 9.12 (see [16]). By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, there exists large enough $M$ such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq M t^{2}-c_{7}, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

So, for any $u \in E \backslash\{0\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t u) & =\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, t u) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2}\|u\|^{2}-M t^{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+c_{7}|\Omega| \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for every finite dimension subspace $\tilde{E} \subset E$, there exists $R=R(\tilde{E})$ such that

$$
I(u) \leq 0, \quad u \in \tilde{E} \backslash B_{R}(\tilde{E})
$$

and our claim holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By Lemma 2.3, the geometry conditions of the mountain pass theorem (see Proposition 2.1) for the functional $I_{+}$hold. So, we only need to verify condition $(C)_{c^{*}}$. Similar to the previous part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 , we easily know that $(C)_{c^{*}}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Next, we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergence subsequence. Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \beta \\
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } E, \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{q}(\Omega), \forall q \geq 1, \\
& u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $f_{+}$has the subcritical exponential growth (SCE) on $\Omega$, we can find a constant $C_{\beta}>0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{+}(x, t)\right| \leq C_{\beta} \exp \left(\frac{32 \pi^{2}}{2 \beta^{2}}|t|^{2}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus, by the Adams-type inequality (see Lemma 2.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} f_{+}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\frac{32 \pi^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\frac{32 \pi^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\left|\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the last proof of Theorem 1.1, we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $E$, which means that $I_{+}$satisfies $(C)_{c^{*}}$. Thus, from the strong maximum principle, we obtain that the functional $I_{+}$has a positive critical point $u_{1}$, i.e., $u_{1}$ is a positive solution of problem (1). Similarly, we also obtain a negative solution $u_{2}$ for problem (1).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Combining the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we easily prove it.
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