

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Long-time behavior of a semilinear wave equation with memory

Baowei Feng<sup>1</sup>, Maurício L Pelicer<sup>2\*</sup> and Doherty Andrade<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
pelicer@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sciences, Regional  
Campus of Goioerê, State University  
of Maringá, Goioerê, Paraná  
87360-000, Brazil  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper we study the long-time dynamics of the semilinear viscoelastic equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta u(t-s) ds + f(u) = h,$$

defined in a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with Dirichlet boundary condition. The functions  $f = f(u)$  and  $h = h(x)$  represent forcing terms and the kernel function  $\mu \geq 0$  is assumed to decay exponentially. Then, by exploring only the dissipation given by the memory term, we establish the existence of a global attractor to the corresponding dynamical system.

**MSC:** 35L71; 35B41; 74D99

**Keywords:** wave equation; global attractor; memory; viscoelasticity

## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the long-time behavior of a class of wave equations with memory of the form

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta u(t-s) ds + f(u) = h \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.2)$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & u_t(x, 0) &= v_0(x), & \forall x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, -s) &= \varphi(x, s), & \forall (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ , and  $\varphi$  is a prescribed past history.

This problem is related to a model of extensional vibrations of thin rods

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0,$$

described in Love [1], Chapter 20, which is a conservative system. Here, we have added a nonlinear forcing  $f(u)$  and a dissipation of memory type.

We observe that such a system was extensively studied in the more general form

$$|u_t|^\rho u_{tt} - \Delta u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta u(t-s) ds + f(u) = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

with  $0 \leq \rho < 2$ . Most of results are concerned with the exponential stability of the system under additional damping  $-\Delta u_t$  or  $u_t$ . We refer the reader to, e.g., [2–5]. The existence of global attractors to (1.4) was first proved in Araújo *et al.* [6], with the assumption  $\rho > 1$  and with the additional damping  $-\Delta u_t$ . The assumption  $\rho > 1$  was technical and related to the uniqueness of the problem. Later, it was shown in [7] that the strong damping  $-\Delta u_t$  could be replaced by the weak damping  $u_t$ , but yet with  $\rho > 1$ . On the other hand, in [8], the existence of a global attractor for the problem with  $\rho = \mu = 0$  was studied with a strong damping.

More recently, it was proved by Conti *et al.* [9] that existence and uniqueness for the mixed problem (1.4) holds for  $\rho \geq 0$  and without additional damping terms, that is, keeping only the dissipation given by the memory. This means that the restriction  $\rho > 1$  can be dropped.

Motivated by results in [6] and [9], we propose to study the existence of global attractors of (1.4) with  $\rho = 0$  and exploring only the dissipation given by the memory term. That is, we consider the problem (1.1)-(1.3). Then our result extends or complements the ones in [6–9]. See Theorem 3.1.

Of course, if the rotational inertia  $\Delta u_{tt}$  is dropped, then equation (1.1) becomes the well-known viscoelastic wave equation of memory type. On this matter, we refer the reader to some relevant results in [10–14], among others.

## 2 History setting

We denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the standard inner product and norm on  $L^2(\Omega)$ . It is well known that the operator  $A$  with domain  $D(A)$  defined by

$$A = -\Delta, \quad D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega),$$

is self-adjoint and strictly positive. See, e.g., [15]. We adopt the notation

$$H_0 = L^2(\Omega), \quad H_1 = H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Next we establish the history setting of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) in order to deal with the non-autonomous character of the memory term in (1.1). We follow the arguments of [9, 12, 14], based on [16]. Let  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a summable function. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the  $L^2$ -weighted space defined by

$$L_\mu^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_1) = \{ \eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow H_1 : \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}} < \infty \},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $(\eta, \xi)_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_0^\infty \mu(\tau) (\eta(\tau), \xi(\tau))_1 d\tau$ . Similarly we define the space  $\mathcal{M}_0$  as

$$L_\mu^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0) = \{ \eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow H_0 : \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}_0} < \infty \},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{M}_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $(\eta, \xi)_{\mathcal{M}_0} = \int_0^\infty \mu(\tau)(\eta(\tau), \xi(\tau))_0 d\tau$ . From classical theory, the spaces  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_0$  are separable Hilbert spaces.

Let  $T$  be the infinitesimal generator of the right-translation semigroup on  $\mathcal{M}$ , that is,

$$T\eta = -\eta',$$

for all  $\eta \in D(T) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{M} : \eta' \in \mathcal{M}, \eta(0) = 0\}$ , where  $\eta'(t) = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$  in the sense of distributions and  $\eta(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \eta(s)$ . It is well known that

$$(T\eta, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in D(T).$$

We also introduce the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = H_1 \times H_1 \times \mathcal{M},$$

endowed with the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}} = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  where

$$((u_1, v_1, \eta_1), (u_2, v_2, \eta_2))_{\mathcal{H}} = (u_1, u_2)_1 + (v_1, v_2)_1 + (\eta_1, \eta_2)_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Then, as in [12, 14], we define

$$\eta = \eta^t(x, s) = u(x, t) - u(x, t - s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Using this new variable  $\eta$  we can reformulate the system (1.1)-(1.3) to become

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + Au_{tt} + Au - \int_0^\infty \mu(s)A\eta(s) ds + f(u) = h, \\ \eta_t = T\eta + u_t, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u_t(0) = v_0, \quad \eta^0(s) = \eta_0, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\eta_0(s) = u_0 - \varphi(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

The system (2.1)-(2.2) is a particular case of the system considered in [9]. There, the authors established the well-posedness for a class of problems with  $|u_t|^\rho u_{tt}$  instead of  $u_{tt}$  as in (2.1). They proved, among other results, that the system (2.1)-(2.2) with initial data  $z = (u_0, v_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{H}$  admits a unique weak solution

$$(u, \eta) \in W^{2,\infty}(0, \tau; H_1) \times C([0, \tau]; \mathcal{M}),$$

satisfying the identity

$$(u_{tt}, \phi) + (u_{tt}, \phi)_1 + (u, \phi)_1 + \int_0^\infty \mu(s)(\eta(s), \phi)_1 ds + (f(u), \phi) = (h, \phi), \tag{2.3}$$

for every  $\phi \in H_1$  and for a.e.  $t > 0$ . Here,  $\eta$  is a mild solution to the non-homogeneous linear equation in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta = T\eta + u_t,$$

where  $\tau$  is a positive real number arbitrarily fixed. In addition, it was shown in Estimate (4.4) of [9],

$$\|u_{tt}\|_1 \leq C, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \tau], \tag{2.4}$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on the initial data.

Now, due to the continuous dependence on initial data, the weak solution  $(u, \eta)$  of the system (2.1)-(2.2), with initial data  $(u(0), u_t(0), \eta^0) = z$ , can be rewritten in the form

$$S(t)z = (u(t), u_t(t), \eta^t), \tag{2.5}$$

generating a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We end this section by recalling that a global attractor for a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a compact subset  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$  which is strictly invariant, that is,  $S(t)\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}, \forall t \geq 0$ , and uniformly attracting, that is,

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(S(t)B, \mathbf{A}) = \sup_{x \in S(t)B} \inf_{y \in \mathbf{A}} \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ .

### 3 Global attractors

In this section we establish our main result. The assumptions we make in this paper are as follows.

- (H<sub>1</sub>) Assume  $\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and satisfying the following conditions:
  - (i)  $\mu(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;
  - (ii) there exists a positive constant  $k_1$  such that  $\mu'(s) \leq -k_1\mu(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>) The nonlinearity  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and verifies the following conditions:
  - (i)  $|f(r) - f(s)| \leq C(1 + |r|^p + |s|^p)|r - s|$  for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $0 \leq p < 4$ ;
  - (ii) there exists a positive constant  $\rho$  such that  $f(s)s - \hat{f}(s) \geq -\rho$ , where  $\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$ .
- (H<sub>3</sub>) The forcing  $h$  belongs to the dual space of  $H_1$ .

To simplify the notation we write  $\|\mu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} = k_0$  in our estimates. We also observe that the energy associated with the problem (2.1)-(2.2) is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) dx - \int_{\Omega} hu dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that the conditions (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) are verified. Then the dynamical system  $(S(t), \mathcal{H})$  generated by the problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a global attractor.*

The proof of this theorem will be completed at the end of this section.

### 3.1 Abstract theory

Let us present a small collection of well-known results of from the theory of attractors. This can be found in, e.g., [17–21]. A dynamical system  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  is called dissipative if the semigroup  $S(t)$  has an absorbing set, that is, a bounded set  $B_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$S(t)B \subset B_0, \quad \forall t \geq t_B,$$

for all bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ . A semigroup  $S(t)$  is asymptotically smooth in  $\mathcal{H}$  if for any bounded positive invariant set  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ , that is,  $S(t)B \subseteq B$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset \overline{B}$ , such that

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(S(t)B, K) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then a classical result asserts that a dissipative  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$  defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  has a compact global attractor in  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if it is asymptotically smooth in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now, it is well known from Proposition 2.10 in [18] that a  $S(t)$  is asymptotically smooth in  $\mathcal{H}$  if for any positively invariant set  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ , and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T = T(\varepsilon, B)$  such that

$$\|S(T)x - S(T)y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon + \phi_T(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in B, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\phi_T : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi_T(z_n, z_m) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for any sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $B$ .

### 3.2 Dissipativeness

In this section we shall construct a bounded absorbing set to our system  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  where  $S(t)$  is the solution operator defined in (2.5). Let  $(u, u_t, \eta)$  be a weak solution of the system (2.1)-(2.2). Since  $H_1$  is dense in  $H_2$  and  $u \in L^\infty(0, \tau; H_1)$  we can assume that  $u$  is more regular and obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \leq K_\Omega \|u\|_1^{p+2} \|u\|,$$

where  $K_\Omega$  is an embedding constant. To simplify the notation denote all the embedding constants by  $C_\Omega$ . Replacing  $\phi$  by  $u_t$  in (2.3) and adding the identity  $(\eta_t, \eta) = (T\eta, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + (u_t, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}}$  we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = (T\eta, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu'(s) \|\eta(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq 0.$$

We are going to apply the perturbed energy method. We consider the maps

$$\Psi(t) = (u_t(t), u(t)) + (u_t(t), u(t))_1, \quad \Phi(t) = (u_t(t), \eta^t)_{\mathcal{M}} + (u_t(t), \eta^t)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$F_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}E(t) + \varepsilon\Psi(t) - \Phi(t), \tag{3.4}$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ . Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\Psi$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $F_\varepsilon$  be the maps defined in (3.3) and (3.4). Then*

(a) *there exist constants  $\beta = \beta(k_0, \lambda_1) \geq 1$  and  $C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega} \geq 0$  such that*

$$|\varepsilon\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)| \leq \beta(E(t) + C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega});$$

(b) *for every  $0 < \varepsilon < \beta^{-1}$ , the positive constants  $\beta_1 = \varepsilon^{-1} - \beta$  and  $\beta_2 = \varepsilon^{-1} + \beta$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\beta_1 E(t) - \frac{1}{2} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega} \leq F_\varepsilon(t) \leq \beta_2 E(t) + \frac{1}{2} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}.$$

*Proof* By using Sobolev embeddings and condition (H<sub>2</sub>) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| -\int_{\Omega} (\hat{f}(u) - hu) \, dx \right| &= \left| -\int_{\Omega} (\hat{f}(u) - f(u)u + f(u)u - hu) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\hat{f}(u) - f(u)u) \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u)u - hu) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \rho|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} |(f(u)u - hu)| \, dx \\ &\leq \rho|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u|^q)|u|^2 \, dx + 6\lambda_1^{-1}\|h\|^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2 \\ &\leq \rho|\Omega| + \|u\|^2 + \|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} + 6\lambda_1^{-1}\|h\|^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2 \\ &\leq \rho|\Omega| + K_{\Omega}\|u\|_1 + K_{\Omega}\|u\|_1 + 6\lambda_1^{-1}\|h\|^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2 \\ &\leq \rho|\Omega| + 12(K_{\Omega})^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2 \\ &\quad + 6\lambda_1^{-1}\|h\|^2 + \frac{1}{12}\|u\|_1^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$ . Therefore, there is a positive constant  $C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\hat{f}(u) - hu) \, dx + C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega} \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Applying the inequality (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)| &\leq \varepsilon|(u_t, u) + (u_t, u)_1| + |(u_t, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + (u_t, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0}| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\left(2\lambda_1^{-1}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|u\|_1^2\right) + \varepsilon\left(2\|u_t\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|u\|_1^2\right) \\ &\quad + \left(k_0\|u_t\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2\right) + \left(k_0\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (k_0 + 2\lambda_1^{-1}\varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 + (k_0 + 2\varepsilon)\|u_t\|_1^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \\
 &\leq (k_0 + 2\lambda_1^{-1}\varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 + (k_0 + 2\varepsilon)\|u_t\|_1^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\hat{f}(u) - hu) dx + C_{\rho,\lambda_1,h,\Omega}\right) \\
 &\leq \beta(E(t) + C_{\rho,\lambda_1,h,\Omega}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \{1, \varepsilon, 2(k_0 + 2\varepsilon), 2(k_0 + 2\lambda_1^{-1}\varepsilon)\}$ , which ends the proof of (a). Now, let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\beta}$ . By item (a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F_\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon^{-1}E(t)| &= |\varepsilon\Psi(t) - \Phi(t)| \\
 &\leq \beta(E(t) + C_{\rho,\lambda_1,h,\Omega}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which provides

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \beta\right)E(t) - \frac{1}{2}C_{\rho,\lambda_1,h,\Omega} \leq F_\varepsilon(t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \beta\right)E(t) + \frac{1}{2}C_{\rho,\lambda_1,h,\Omega}.$$

This proves (b). □

**Lemma 3.3** *There exists  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that*

$$F'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + C_\varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1).$$

*Proof* By (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi'(t) &= (u_{tt}, u) + (u_t, u_t) + (u_{tt}, u)_1 + (u_t, u_t)_1 \\
 &= \|u_t\|^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2 - \|u\|_1^2 - (u, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} - (f(u), u) + (h, u) \\
 &\leq \|u_t\|^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2 - \|u\|_1^2 - (u, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho|\Omega| + \left(-\int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) dx + (h, u)\right) \\
 &= \|u_t\|^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2 - \|u\|_1^2 - (u, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho|\Omega| - E(t) + \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|_1^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \\
 &\leq -E(t) + \frac{3}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\|u_t\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - (u, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho|\Omega| \\
 &\leq -E(t) + (1 + \lambda_1^{-1})\|u_t\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + k_0\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \rho|\Omega| \\
 &\leq -E(t) + (1 + \lambda_1^{-1})\|u_t\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_1^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + k_0\right)\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \rho|\Omega|
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Phi'(t) &= -(u_{tt}, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} - (u_t, \eta_t)_{\mathcal{M}} - (u_{tt}, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - (u_t, \eta_t)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \\
 &= -(u_{tt}, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} - (u_{tt}, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - (T\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}} - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 + (T\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \\
 &= -\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 - (T\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}} - (T\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}_0} + (u, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}} + (f(u), \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - (h, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu(s)\mu(\tau)(\eta(\tau), \eta(s))_1 d\tau ds \\
 = & - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 + \int_0^\infty \mu'(s)(\eta, u_t)_1 ds + \int_0^\infty \mu'(s)(\eta, u_t) ds + (f(u), \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \\
 & - (h, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu(s)\mu(\tau)(\eta(\tau), \eta(s))_1 d\tau ds \\
 \leq & - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 - k_1(\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}} - k_1(\eta, u_t)_{\mathcal{M}_0} + (f(u), \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - (h, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \\
 & - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu(s)\mu(\tau)(\eta(\tau), \eta(s))_1 d\tau ds \\
 \leq & -\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 + k_1^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + k_1^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 + (f(u), \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} - (h, \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0} \\
 & - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu(s)\mu(\tau)(\eta(\tau), \eta(s))_1 d\tau ds \\
 \leq & -\frac{k_0}{2} (1 + \lambda_1^{-1}) \|u_t\|_1 + k_1^2 (1 + \lambda_1^{-1}) \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \frac{\delta}{4} \|u\|_1^2 + C_\delta \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \\
 & + \frac{\lambda_1^{-1} k_0}{2} \|h\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and  $C_\delta$  is a positive constant that verifies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(f(u), \eta)_{\mathcal{M}_0}| & \leq \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \int_\Omega (1 + |u|^p) |u| |\eta| dx ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \int_\Omega |u| |\eta| dx ds + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} |\eta| dx ds \\
 & \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|u\|_1 \|\eta\|_1 ds + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|u\|_{p+2}^{p+1} \|\eta\|_{p+2} ds \\
 & \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|u\|_1 \|\eta\|_1 ds + K_\Omega \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|u\|_1 \|\eta\|_1 ds \\
 & \leq K_\Omega \|u\|_1 \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|\eta\|_1 ds \\
 & \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \|u\|_1^2 + C_\delta \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{k_0}{2}$ , the above inequalities provide

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon^{-1} E'(t) & = \varepsilon \Psi'(t) - \Phi'(t) \\
 & \leq (1 + \lambda_1^{-1}) \left( \varepsilon - \frac{k_0}{2} \right) \|u_t\|_1 - \varepsilon E(t) + \frac{1}{4} (\delta - \varepsilon) \|u\|_1^2 + \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon, \delta} \\
 & \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + \frac{1}{4} (\delta - \varepsilon) \|u\|_1^2 + \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon, \delta},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$  is a positive constant. As  $E'(t) \leq 0$  we can choose  $\delta \leq \varepsilon$  in the previous inequality to obtain

$$F'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + C_\varepsilon,$$

which ends the proof of the lemma. □



**Lemma 3.4** (Absorbing set) *Let  $S(t)$  be the  $C_0$ -semigroup defined in (2.5). Then  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  is a dissipative dynamical system.*

*Proof* We shall prove that  $S(t)$  has a bounded absorbing set. Let  $\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\frac{1}{2\beta}, \varepsilon_1\}$ . By item (b) of Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\beta_1 E(t) - \frac{1}{2} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega} \leq F_\varepsilon(t) \leq \beta_2 E(t) + \frac{1}{2} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\beta_1 = \varepsilon^{-1} - \beta$  and  $\beta_2 = \varepsilon^{-1} + \beta$ . Multiplying the inequality (3.7) for  $\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2}$  we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} F_\varepsilon(t) \leq \varepsilon E(t) + \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \beta_2^{-1} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}$ . Now by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$F'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + C_\varepsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

Adding the inequalities (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$F'_\varepsilon(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} F_\varepsilon(t) \leq \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon, \tag{3.10}$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon + C_\varepsilon$ . By (3.10) we conclude that

$$F_\varepsilon(t) \leq (F_\varepsilon(0) - \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon \beta_2) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon \beta_2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

But by inequality (3.8) we have  $F_\varepsilon(0) \leq \beta_2 E(0) + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon \beta_2$ . Therefore, by inequality (3.11) we get

$$F_\varepsilon(t) \leq (\beta_2 E(0) - \varepsilon^{-1} C_\varepsilon \beta_2) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon \beta_2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\leq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} (E(0) - \varepsilon^{-1} C_\varepsilon) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} C_\varepsilon + \frac{3}{2\beta_1} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} E(0) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} C_\varepsilon + \frac{3}{2\beta_1} C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.6) we have

$$E(t) \geq \sigma \left\| (u(t), u_t(t), \eta^t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \tag{3.14}$$

where  $\sigma = \min\{1, \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\}$ . Combining (3.13) and (3.14) we get

$$\left\| (u(t), u_t(t), \eta^t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1 \sigma} E(0) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1 \sigma} C_\varepsilon + \left( \frac{3}{2\beta_1 \sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}. \tag{3.15}$$

By inequality (3.15) the ball  $B(0, R) \subset \mathcal{H}$ , where

$$R > \sqrt{\frac{2\beta_2}{\beta_1 \sigma} C_\varepsilon + \left( \frac{3}{\beta_1 \sigma} + \frac{2}{\sigma} \right) C_{\rho, \lambda_1, h, \Omega}},$$

is an absorbing set of the semigroup  $S(t)$ . □

### 3.3 Compactness

In this section we shall prove that the system  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  is asymptotically smooth.

**Lemma 3.5** (Stabilization inequality) *Let  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a bounded invariant set and  $z = (u_0, v_0, \eta_0), \tilde{z} = (\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$  two initial data in  $B$ . Then there exists  $\nu > 0$  such that*

$$\|S(t)z - S(t)\tilde{z}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C_B e^{-\nu t} + C_B \int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|w_t(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2) ds, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $(u, \eta), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta})$  are the corresponding weak solutions of (2.1)-(2.2),  $w = u - \tilde{u}$ , and  $C_B$  is a positive constant depending on  $B$  but not on  $t$ .

*Proof* Let us also write  $\xi = \eta - \tilde{\eta}$ . Then  $w$  is a weak solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} - \Delta w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta \xi(s) ds = f(u) - f(\tilde{u}), \\ \xi_t = T\xi + w_t, \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition and initial data

$$w(0) = u_0 - \tilde{u}_0, \quad w_t(0) = v_0 - \tilde{v}_0, \quad \xi^0 = \eta_0 - \tilde{\eta}_0.$$

We define the energy functional

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|w_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w_t(t)\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w(t)\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi^t\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2.$$

In the following,  $C_0$  will denote several positive constants dependent on  $B$  but not on  $t$ .

**Claim 1** *There exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$G'(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu'(s) \|\xi^t(s)\|_1^2 ds + C_0 (\|w(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|w_t(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2). \tag{3.18}$$

To prove the claim, we multiply the first equation in (3.17) by  $w_t$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . Then we obtain

$$G'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu'(s) \|\xi(s)\|_1^2 ds - \int_\Omega (f(u) - f(\tilde{u})) w_t dx.$$

Using  $(H_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega (f(u) - f(\tilde{u})) w_t dx \right| &\leq C_f (1 + \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^p + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{p+2}}^p) \|w\|_{L^{p+2}} \|w_t\|_{L^{p+2}} \\ &\leq C_0 (\|w(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|w_t(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

since  $B$  is bounded and invariant. Then we see that (3.18) holds.

Now, let us define the perturbed functional

$$J(t) = NG(t) + \varepsilon \tilde{\Psi}(t) + \tilde{\Phi}(t),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t) = (w(t), w_t(t)) + (w(t), w_t(t))_1, \quad \tilde{\Phi}(t) = (w(t), \xi^t)_{\mathcal{M}} + (w(t), \xi^t)_{\mathcal{M}_0},$$

and  $N \geq 1, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$  are constants to be determined. Then the following claims can be proved with similar arguments to the above one and to the proof of the absorbing set.

**Claim 2** *There exist constants  $\beta_1, \beta_2, C_\beta > 0$  such that, if  $N > C_\beta$ ,*

$$\beta_1 G(t) \leq J(t) \leq \beta_2 G(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.19}$$

**Claim 3** *There exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}'(t) \leq & -G(t) - \frac{1}{4} \|w(t)\|_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|w_t(t)\|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|w_t(t)\|_1^2 \\ & - C_1 \int_0^\infty \mu'(s) \|\xi^t(s)\|_1^2 ds + C_0 \|w(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

**Claim 4** *Given  $\delta > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\tilde{\Phi}'(t) \leq \delta \|w(t)\|_1^2 - \frac{k_0}{2} \|w_t(t)\|_1^2 - C_\delta \int_0^t \mu'(s) \|\xi^t(s)\|_1^2 ds. \tag{3.21}$$

Now, taking  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and  $N > 0$  sufficiently large, we obtain from (3.18), (3.20), and (3.21),

$$J'(t) \leq -\varepsilon G(t) + C_0 (\|w(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|w_t(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Combining this with (3.19) we have, as in the proof of the absorbing set,

$$G(t) \leq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} G(0) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2} t} + C_0 \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_2}(t-s)} (\|w(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|w_t(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2) ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This implies (3.16) by taking  $\nu = \varepsilon/\beta_2$  and in view of the definition of  $G(t)$ . □

**Lemma 3.6** (Asymptotic smoothness) *Let  $S(t)$  be the  $C_0$ -semigroup defined in (2.5). Then the system  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  is asymptotically smooth.*

*Proof* We apply the compactness criterion presented in Proposition 2.10 of [18]. As recalled in Section 3.1, we must check conditions (3.1) and (3.2).

Given a forward invariant set  $B \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can take  $T > 0$  such that

$$\sqrt{2C_B} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2} T} < \varepsilon.$$

Then from (3.16), using notation

$$S(t)z^n = (u^n(t), u_t^n(t), \eta_n^t),$$

we obtain for any  $z^1, z^2 \in B$ ,

$$\|S(t)z^1 - S(t)z^2\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon + \left(2C_B \int_0^T \|u^1 - u^2\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|u_t^1 - u_t^2\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with  $0 < t < T$ . Then defining

$$\phi_T(z^1, z^2) = \sqrt{2C_B} \left( \int_0^T \|u^1(s) - u^2(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|u_t^1(s) - u_t^2(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we see that condition (3.1) holds.

It remains to show that (3.2) also holds. Given any sequence  $(z^n) \subset B$ , from the positive invariance of  $B$  we see that  $S(t)z^n = (u^n(t), u_t^n(t), \eta_n^t)$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} u^n & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T, H_1), \\ u_t^n & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T, H_1), \end{aligned}$$

and from (2.4),

$$u_{tt}^n \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)).$$

Then from Simon's theorem [22] we have

$$u^n, u_t^n \text{ converge strongly in } C([0, T], L^{p+2}(\Omega)),$$

since  $H_1$  is compactly embedded in  $L^{p+2}(\Omega)$ . Therefore there is a subsequence such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|u^{n_k}(s) - u^{n_l}(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 + \|u_t^{n_k}(s) - u_t^{n_l}(s)\|_{L^{p+2}}^2 ds = 0.$$

This shows that (3.2) also holds. □

*Proof of Theorem 3.1* Since we have proved that  $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$  is dissipative and asymptotically smooth, the existence of a global attractor follows from a classical result, as noticed in Section 3.1. □

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>College of Economic Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, 611130, P.R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sciences, Regional Campus of Goioerê, State University of Maringá, Goioerê, Paraná 87360-000, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, State University of Maringá, Maringá, Paraná 87020-900, Brazil.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with grant number 11526164.

Received: 8 January 2016 Accepted: 31 January 2016 Published online: 08 February 2016

**References**

1. Love, AEH: *Treatise on Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*. Dover, New York (1944)
2. Cavalcanti, MM, Domingos Cavalcanti, VN, Ferreira, J: Existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with strong damping. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **24**, 1043-1053 (2001)
3. Han, X, Wang, M: Global existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with damping. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 3090-3098 (2009)
4. Messaoudi, SA, Tatar, N-E: Exponential decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation. *Math. Nachr.* **282**, 1443-1450 (2009)
5. Wu, S-T: General decay of solutions for a nonlinear system of viscoelastic wave equations with degenerate damping and source terms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **406**, 34-48 (2013)
6. Araújo, RO, Ma, TF, Qin, Y: Long-time behavior of a quasilinear viscoelastic equation with past history. *J. Differ. Equ.* **254**, 4066-4087 (2013)
7. Qin, Y, Feng, B, Zhang, M: Uniform attractors for a non-autonomous viscoelastic equation with a past history. *Nonlinear Anal.* **101**, 1-15 (2014)
8. Chen, C, Wang, H, Zhu, S: Global attractor and decay estimates of solutions to a class of nonlinear evolution equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **34**, 497-508 (2011)
9. Conti, M, Marchini, EM, Pata, V: A well posedness result for nonlinear viscoelastic equations with memory. *Nonlinear Anal.* **94**, 206-216 (2014)
10. Cavalcanti, MM, Fatori, LH, Ma, TF: Attractors for wave equations with degenerate memory. *J. Differ. Equ.* **260**, 56-83 (2016)
11. Gatti, S, Miranville, A, Pata, V, Zelik, S: Attractors for semi-linear equations of viscoelasticity with very low dissipation. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **38**(4), 1117-1138 (2008)
12. Giorgi, C, Rivera, JE, Pata, V: Global attractors for a semilinear hyperbolic equation in viscoelasticity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **260**, 83-99 (2001)
13. Messaoudi, SA: General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341**, 1457-1467 (2008)
14. Pata, V, Zucchi, A: Attractors for a damped hyperbolic equation with linear memory. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* **11**, 505-529 (2001)
15. Lions, JL: *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires*. Dunod, Paris (1969)
16. Dafermos, CM: Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **37**, 297-308 (1970)
17. Babin, AV, Vishik, MI: *Attractors of Evolution Equations*. Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 25. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1992)
18. Chueshov, I, Lasiecka, I: Long-time behavior of second order evolution equations with nonlinear damping. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **195**, 912 (2008)
19. Hale, JK: *Asymptotic Behaviour of Dissipative Systems*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 25. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1988)
20. Ladyzhenskaya, O: *Attractors for Semi-Groups and Evolution Equations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991)
21. Temam, R: *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*. Springer, New York (1997)
22. Simon, J: Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$ . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **146**, 65-96 (1987)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---