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#### Abstract

We consider a class of second order Hamiltonian systems with a $C^{2}$ potential function. The existence of new periodic solutions with a prescribed energy is established by the use of constrained variational methods.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we examine the existence of periodic solutions for second order Hamiltonian systems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{q}+V^{\prime}(q)=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{1}{2}|\dot{q}|^{2}+V(q)=h, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

with a fixed energy. The first major result in this direction we would like to highlight can be derived from the work of Benci [1], Gluck-Ziller [2], and Hayashi [3], which is based on the earlier work of Seifert [4] in 1948 and following the highly influential papers of Rabinowitz [5, 6] in 1978 and 1979. Utilizing the Jacobi metric and a very involved interplay between geodesic methods and algebraic topology, the following general theorem is established.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose $V \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. If the potential well

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: V(x) \leq h\right\}
$$

is bounded and non-empty, then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a periodic solution with energy $h$. Furthermore, if

$$
V^{\prime}(x) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: V(x)=h\right\},
$$

then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a non-constant periodic solution with energy $h$.

For the existence of multiple periodic solutions for (1.1)-(1.2) with compact energy surfaces, we can refer the reader to Groessen [7] and Long [8] and the references therein.

For the weakly attractive potential $V$ defined on an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Ambrosetti and Coti Zelati [9] (Theorem 16.7) proved the following.

## Theorem 1.2 Suppose $V \in C^{2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

(V10) $3\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle+\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(x) x, x\right\rangle \neq 0, \forall x \in \Omega$;
(V11) $\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle>0, \forall x \in \Omega$;
(V12) $\exists \alpha \in(0,2)$, such that $\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle \geq-\alpha V(x), \forall x \in \Omega$;
(V13) $\exists \beta \in(0,2)$ and $r>0$ such that $\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle \leq-\beta V(x), \forall 0<|x|<r$;
(V14) $G_{\infty} \geq 0$; where $G_{\infty}=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf G(x), G(x)=\left[V(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle\right]$.
Then $\forall h<0$, the system (1.1)-(1.2) (referred to as $\left(P_{h}\right)$ ) has at least one non-constant weak periodic solution with the given energy $h$.

Using a simpler constrained variational minimizing method, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3 Suppose $V \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy
$\left(V_{1}\right) \quad V(-q)=V(q)$;
$\left(V_{2}\right)\left\langle V^{\prime}(q), q\right\rangle>0, \forall q \neq 0$;
( $V_{3}$ ) $3\left\langle V^{\prime}(q), q\right\rangle+\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(q) q, q\right\rangle>0, \forall q \neq 0$;
$\left(V_{4}\right) \exists \mu_{1}>0, \mu_{2} \geq 0$, such that $\left\langle V^{\prime}(q), q\right\rangle \geq \mu_{1} V(q)-\mu_{2}$;
$\left(V_{5}\right) \lim _{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left[V(q)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(q), q\right\rangle\right] \leq A$;
$\left(V_{6}\right) \frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}<h<A$.
Then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one non-constant periodic solution with the given energy $h$.

Remark 1.4 Comparing Theorem 16.7 of Ambrosetti and Coti Zelati [9] with our Theorem 1.3, we notice that our condition $\left(V_{2}\right)$ corresponds to their ( $V 11$ ), our condition ( $V_{3}$ ) corresponds to their ( $V 10$ ), our condition $\left(V_{4}\right)$ corresponds to their (V12) and (V13), our conditions ( $V_{5}$ ) and ( $V_{6}$ ) correspond to their ( $V 14$ ). Since the potential in Theorem 16.7 of Ambrosetti and Coti Zelati has a singularity, but the potential in Theorem 1.3 has no singularity, the two theorems are essentially different.

Remark 1.5 Take for $V(x)$ the following $C^{\infty}$ function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(x)=e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}, \quad \forall x \neq 0 ; \\
& V(0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $V(x)$ satisfies $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{5}\right)$ in Theorem 1.3 if we take $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}>0$ and $A=1$, but $\left(V_{6}\right)$ does not hold.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We verify $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{5}\right)$ by calculation:
(1) It is obvious for $\left(V_{1}\right)$.
(2) For $\left(V_{2}\right)$ and $\left(V_{3}\right)$, we notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle=\frac{1}{|x|} e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}>0, \quad \forall x \neq 0 \\
& \left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(x) x, x\right\rangle=e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}\left(\frac{-2}{|x|}+\frac{1}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
& 3\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle+\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(x) x, x\right\rangle=e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\frac{1}{|x|^{2}}\right)>0, \quad \forall x \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) For $\left(V_{4}\right)$, we set

$$
w(x)=\left(\frac{1}{|x|}-\mu_{1}\right) e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}} ; \quad x \neq 0, w(0)=0
$$

We will prove $w(x)>-\mu_{1}$; in fact,

$$
w^{\prime}(x)=\left[\frac{1}{|x|}-\left(1+\mu_{1}\right)\right] \frac{x}{|x|^{3}} e^{\frac{-1}{x \mid}} ; \quad x \neq 0, w^{\prime}(0)=0 .
$$

From $w^{\prime}(x)=0$, we have $x=-\frac{1}{1+\mu_{1}}$ or 0 or $\frac{1}{1+\mu_{1}}$.
It is easy to see that $w(x)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(-\infty,-\frac{1}{1+\mu_{1}}\right]$ and $\left[0, \frac{1}{1+\mu_{1}}\right]$, but strictly decreasing on $\left[\frac{-1}{1+\mu_{1}}, 0\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{1+\mu_{1}},+\infty\right)$. We notice that

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} w(x)=-\mu_{1}
$$

and

$$
w(0)=0 .
$$

So

$$
w(x)>-\mu_{1} .
$$

When we take $\mu_{2}=\mu_{1}>0,\left(V_{4}\right)$ holds.
(4) For $\left(V_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle=e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|x|}\right)<1, \quad \forall x \neq 0 \\
& V(0)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(0), 0\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1.6 Given $a>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $V(x)=a|x|^{2 n}+e^{\frac{-1}{x \mid}}, x \neq 0 ; V(0)=0$. Then, for $h>1$, the system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one non-constant periodic solution with the given energy $h$.

Remark 1.7 The potential $V(x)=e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}, \forall x \neq 0 ; V(0)=0$ in Remark 1.5 is noteworthy since the potential function is non-convex and bounded which satisfies neither of the conditions of Theorems 1.1, Offin's geometrical conditions [10], nor Berg-PasquottoVandervorst's complex topological assumptions [11]. For this potential, the potential well
$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: V(x) \leq h\right\}$ is a bounded set if $h<1$, but for $h \geq 1$ it is $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - an unbounded set. We also notice that the symmetrical condition on the potential simplified our Theorem 1.2 and its proof. It would be interesting to obtain non-constant periodic solutions when the symmetrical condition is deleted.

## 2 A few lemmas

Let

$$
H^{1}=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{per}}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, u(t+1)=u(t), u \in L^{2}[0,1], \dot{u} \in L^{2}[0,1]\right\}
$$

denotes the periodic functional space of period 1 . Then the standard $H^{1}$ norm is

$$
\|u\|=\|u\|_{H^{1}}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}|u|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([12]) For $u \in H^{1}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[V(u)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle\right] d t, \\
& M=\left\{u \in H^{1}: g(u)=h\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u, v \in H^{1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
\phi(s)=g(u+s v) .
$$

Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}(0)=\left\langle g^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left\{3\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle+\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(u) v, u\right\rangle\right\} d t
$$

and

$$
\left\langle g^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left\{3\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}(u) u, u\right\rangle\right\} d t
$$

therefore, if $\left(V_{3}\right)$ holds, then on $M, g^{\prime}(u) \neq 0$, which implies $M$ is a $C^{1}$ manifold with codimension 1 in $H^{1}$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{u} \in M$ such that $f^{\prime}(\widetilde{u})=0$ and $f(\widetilde{u})>0$. Set

$$
\frac{1}{T^{2}}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{u}\right\rangle d t}{\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{\tilde{u}}|^{2} d t}
$$

If $\left(V_{2}\right)$ holds, then $\widetilde{q}(t)=\tilde{u}(t / T)$ is a non-constant $T$-periodic solution for (1.1)-(1.2).

When the potential is even, then by Palais' symmetrical principle [13] and Lemma 2.1 we have the following.

Lemma 2.2 ([12]) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left\{u \in M: u\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right)=-u(t)\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ hold. If $\widetilde{u} \in F$ is such that $f^{\prime}(\widetilde{u})=0$ and $f(\widetilde{u})>0$, then $\widetilde{q}(t)=\widetilde{u}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$ is a non-constant T-periodic solution for (1.1)-(1.2); in addition, we have

$$
\forall u \in F, \quad \int_{0}^{1} u(t) d t=0
$$

Wirtinger's inequality [14] implies

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t \geq(2 \pi)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}|u|^{2}
$$

from which it follows that $\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}$ is an equivalent norm for the space $H^{1}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $X$ be a Banach space and $F \subset X$ a weakly closed subset. Suppose $\Phi$ defined on $F$ is Gateaux-differentiable, weakly lower semi-continuous and bounded from below on $F$. Suppose further that $\Phi$ satisfies the following $(W P S)_{\inf \Phi, F}$ condition:

- If $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset F$ such that $\Phi\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence.
Then $\Phi$ attains its infimum on $F$.

Proof By Ekeland's variational principle [15, 16], we know that there is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset F$ satisfying

$$
\Phi\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \inf \Phi \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\Phi$ satisfies the $(W P S)_{\text {inf } \Phi, F}$ condition, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence which as a weak limit $x$. Because $F \subset X$ is a weakly closed subset, we have $x \in F$. Finally, by the weakly lower semi-continuous assumption on $\Phi$, we conclude that $\Phi$ attains its infimum on $F$.

## 3 The proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove Theorem 1.3 by the following sequence of lemmas. In the following, $f$ and $F$ are defined as in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

Lemma 3.1 If $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{6}\right)$ hold, then, for any given $c>0, f$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c, F}$ condition; that is, if $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset F$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>0 \quad \text { and }\left.\quad f\right|_{F} ^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence.

Proof We first prove that under our assumptions the constrained set $F \neq \emptyset$. For any given $u \in H^{1}$ satisfying $u(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in[0,1]$ and for $a>0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{u}(a)=g(a u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[V(a u)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(a u), a u\right\rangle\right] d t . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption $\left(V_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d a} g_{u}(a)>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $g_{u}$ is strictly increasing. Since $V \in C^{2}$, we know that, for any given $a>0$,

$$
\left[V(a u(t))+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(a u(t)), a u(t)\right\rangle\right]
$$

is uniformly continuous on $[0,1]$.
Hence by $\left(V_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow+\infty} g_{u}(a) \leq \int_{0}^{1} \lim _{a \rightarrow+\infty} \sup \left[V(a u)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}(a u), a u\right\rangle\right] d t \leq A . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(V_{4}\right)$, we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{u}(0)=V(0) \leq \frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}<h<A$, we see that the equation $g_{u}(a)=h$ has a unique solution $a(u)$ with $a(u) u \in M$.

By $f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle d t \rightarrow c \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by $\left(V_{4}\right)$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\int_{0}^{1}\left[V\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right] d t \leq\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle d t+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle d t \geq \frac{h-\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}}{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition ( $V_{6}$ ) provides $h>\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}$. Then (3.6) and (3.8) imply $\int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t$ is bounded and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=\left\|\dot{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded.
We know that $H^{1}$ is a reflexive Banach space, so $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence; furthermore, by the embedding theorem the weakly convergent subsequence also uniformly converges to some $u \in H^{1}$. The standard argument can show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a subsequence which converges under the $H^{1}$ norm. We omit the details of this standard demonstration.

Lemma $3.2 f(u)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $F$.

Proof For any $u_{n} \subset F$ with $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, by Sobolev's embedding theorem we have the uniform convergence

$$
\left|u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $V \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|V\left(u_{n}(t)\right)-V(u(t))\right|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0
$$

By the weakly lower semi-continuity of the norm, we see that

$$
\liminf \left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{u}_{n}\right|^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

and so

$$
\liminf \left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{u}_{n}\right|^{2} d t\right) \geq \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf f\left(u_{n}\right) & =\liminf \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{u}_{n}\right|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle d t=f(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3 $F$ is a weakly closed subset in $H^{1}$.

Proof This follows easily from Sobolev's embedding theorem and $V \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Lemma 3.4 The functional $f(u)$ has a positive lower bound on $F$.
Proof By the definitions of $f(u), F$, and the assumption $\left(V_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
f(u)=\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle V^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle d t \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in F
$$

We claim further that

$$
\inf f(u)>0
$$

otherwise, ( $V_{2}$ ) implies $u(t)=$ const, and by the symmetrical property $u(t+1 / 2)=-u(t)$ we have $u(t)=0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. But assumptions $\left(V_{4}\right)$ and $\left(V_{6}\right)$ imply

$$
V(0) \leq \frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}<h
$$

which contradicts the definition of $F$ since $V(0)=h$ if we have $0 \in F$. Now by Lemmas 3.13.4 and Lemma 2.3, we see that $f(u)$ attains the infimum on $F$ and we know that the minimizer is non-constant.
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