

Research Article

Existence and Nonexistence of Positive Solutions for Singular p -Laplacian Equation in \mathbb{R}^N

Caisheng Chen, Zhenqi Wang, and Fengping Wang

Department of Mathematics, Hohai University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210098, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Caisheng Chen, cshengchen@hhu.edu.cn

Received 15 August 2010; Accepted 10 December 2010

Academic Editor: Zhitao Zhang

Copyright © 2010 Caisheng Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the existence and nonexistence of solutions for the singular quasilinear problem $-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = h(x)f(u) + \lambda H(x)g(u)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $u(x) > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$, where $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$ and $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ behave like u^m and u^n with $0 < m \leq p-1 < n$ at the origin. We obtain the existence by the upper and lower solution method and the nonexistence by the test function method.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study through the upper and lower solution method and the test function method the existence and nonexistence of solution to the singular quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) &= h(x)f(u) + \lambda H(x)g(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

with $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$, $\lambda \geq 0$. $h(x), H(x) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are the locally Hölder continuous functions, not identically zero and $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ are locally Lipschitz continuous functions.

The study of this type of equation in (1.1) is motivated by its various applications, for instance, in fluid mechanics, in Newtonian fluids, in flow through porous media, and in glaciology; see [1]. The equation in (1.1) involves singularities not only in the nonlinearities but also in the differential operator.

Many authors studied this kind of problem for the case $a = 0$; see [2–7]. In these works, the nonlinearities have sublinear and superlinear growth at infinity, and they behave like a function u^k ($k < p - 1$, or $k \geq p - 1$) at the origin. Roughly speaking, in this case we say that the nonlinearities are concave and convex or “slow diffusion and fast diffusion”; see [8].

When $a = 0$, $f(u) = u^m$, and $g(u) = u^n$, $m < p - 1 < n$, by using the lower and upper solution method, Santos in [5] finds a real number $\lambda_0 > 0$, such that the problem (1.1) has at least one solution if $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0$.

For $a, \lambda \neq 0$, the existence and multiplicity of solution of singular elliptic equation like (1.1) in a bounded domain Ω with the zero Dirichlet data have been widely studied by many authors, for example, the authors [9–13] and references therein. Assunção et al. in [14] studied the multiplicity of solution for the singular equations in (1.1) with $h(x) = \alpha|x|^{-bm}$, $H(x) = \beta|x|^{-dq}$, $f(u) = |u|^{m-2}u$, and $g(u) = |u|^{q-2}u$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Similar consideration can be found in [15–20] and references therein. We note that the variation method is widely used in the above references.

Recently, Chen et al. in [21, 22], by using a variational approach, got some existence of solution for (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$ and $f(u) = u^q$, $q > p - 1$. For the case $q < p - 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, the problem for the existence of solution for (1.1) is still open. It seems difficult to consider the case $q < p - 1$ by variational method.

The main aim of this work is to study the existence and nonexistence of solution for (1.1), where $f(u)$ is sublinear and $g(u)$ is superlinear. We will use the upper and lower solution method. To the best of our knowledge, there is little information on upper and lower solution method for the problem (1.1). So it is necessary to establish this technique in unbounded domain. To obtain the existence, the assumption $M_\infty < \infty$ (see (2.17) below) is essential. By this, an upper solution for (1.1) is obtained.

We also obtain a sufficient condition on $h(x)$, $H(x)$ to guarantee the nonexistence of nontrivial solution for the problem (2.21). (see Theorem 2.5 below). It must be particularly pointed out that our primary interest is in the mixed case in which $0 < m \leq p - 1 < n$ with $H(x)$ satisfying

$$H_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \overline{H}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds < \infty, \quad \overline{H}(t) = \max_{|x|=t} H(x), \quad (1.2)$$

while $h(x)$ satisfies

$$h_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \overline{h}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds = \infty, \quad \overline{h}(t) = \max_{|x|=t} h(x). \quad (1.3)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the main results and present some preliminaries which will be used in what follows. We also introduce the precise hypotheses under which our problem is studied. In Section 3, we give the proof of some lemmas and the existence. The proof of nonexistence is given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and Main Results

Let us now introduce some weighted Sobolev spaces and their norms. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $p \geq 1$, we define $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-r})$ as being the subspace of $L^p(\Omega)$ of the Lebesgue measurable function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$, satisfying

$$\|u\|_{p,r} := \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-r})} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-r} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

If $1 < p < N$ and $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, we define $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ (resp., $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$) as being the closure of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ (resp., $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$) with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.2)$$

For the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$, we have the following compact imbedding theorem which is an extension of the classical Rellich-Kondrachov compact theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ((compact imbedding theorem) [13]). *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$. Then, the imbedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, |x|^{-r})$ is compact if $1 \leq q < Np/(N-p)$, $r < (1+a)q + N(1-q/p)$.*

We now consider the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.1). Our main tool will be the upper and lower solution method. This method, in the bounded domain situation, has been used by many authors, for instance, [10, 12, 13]. But for the unbounded domain, we need to establish this method and then to construct an upper solution and a lower solution for (1.1). We now give the definitions of upper and lower solutions.

Definition 2.2 (see [10, 12]). A function $\underline{u} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is said to be a weak lower solution of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) = F(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.3)$$

if

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u}\right) \leq F(x, \underline{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.4)$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla \phi dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, \underline{u}) \phi dx, \quad (2.5)$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\phi \geq 0$.

Similarly, a function $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is said to be a weak upper solution of (2.3) if

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla\bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla\bar{u}\right) \geq F(x, \bar{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.6)$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla\bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla\bar{u}\nabla\phi dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, \bar{u})\phi dx, \quad (2.7)$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\phi \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

A function $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is said to be a weak solution of (2.3) if and only if u is a weak lower solution and weak upper solution of (2.3).

A function $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is said to be less than or equal to $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ on $\partial\Omega$ if $\max\{0, v - w\} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$.

If $1 < p < N$ and $-\infty < a < (N - p)/p$, we define the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$ as being the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.8)$$

The following lemma will be basic in our approach.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F(x, u)$ be Lipschitz continuous and nondecreasing in u and locally Hölder continuous in x . Moreover, assume that there exist the functions $\underline{u}, \bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla\underline{u}|^{p-2}\nabla\underline{u}\right) &\leq F(x, \underline{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla\bar{u}|^{p-2}\nabla\bar{u}\right) &\geq F(x, \bar{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \underline{u}(x) &\leq \bar{u}(x), \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Then, there exist a minimal weak solution $V_0(x)$ and a maximal weak solution $U_0(x)$ of (2.3) satisfying

$$\underline{u}(x) \leq V_0(x) \leq U_0(x) \leq \bar{u}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.10)$$

and $V_0(x), U_0(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Denote $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| < k\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let \bar{u}, \underline{u} be a pair of upper and lower solutions of (2.3) with $\underline{u}(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) &= F(x, u), \quad x \in B_k, \\ u(x) &= \bar{u}(x), \quad x \in \partial B_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

By Theorem 1.1 in [10], one concludes that there exists $u_k(x) \in W^{1,p}(B_k, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(B_k)$ which is a weak solution of (2.11) with $\underline{u}(x) \leq u_k(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ a.e. in B_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

We define its extension by

$$U_k(x) = \begin{cases} u_k(x), & x \in \bar{B}_k, \\ u_k(x) = \bar{u}(x), & x \in B_k^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{B}_k. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Similarly, let $v_k(x)$ be a weak solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla v_k|^{p-2}\nabla v_k\right) &= F(x, v_k), \quad x \in B_k, \\ v_k(x) &= \underline{u}(x), \quad x \in \partial B_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and its extension is defined by

$$V_k(x) = \begin{cases} v_k(x), & x \in \bar{B}_k, \\ v_k(x) = \underline{u}(x), & x \in B_k^c. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

Since $\underline{u}, \bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $V_k(x), U_k(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Theorem 2.4 in [12], we have

$$\underline{u}(x) \leq V_k(x) \leq V_{k+1}(x) \leq U_{k+1}(x) \leq U_k(x) \leq \bar{u}(x), \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.15)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In view of (2.15), the pointwise limits

$$V_0(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_k(x), \quad U_0(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_k(x) \quad (2.16)$$

exist and $\underline{u}(x) \leq V_0(x) \leq U_0(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

Similar to the proof Theorem 1.1 in [10] and the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [23], it is not difficult to get from Theorem 2.1 that $U_0(x)$ is the maximal weak solution and $V_0(x)$ the minimal solution of (2.3), which satisfies (2.10) and $V_0, U_0 \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This ends the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Our main results read as follows.

Theorem 2.4 (existence). *Let $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq a < (N - p)/p$. Assume the following.*

(A₁) *The nonnegative functions $f(u)$, $g(u)$ are Lipschitz continuous and nondecreasing, $f(0) = g(0) = 0$. Additionally, $\sup_{t \geq 0} t^{-m} f(t) < \infty$ and $\sup_{t \geq 0} t^{-n} g(t) < \infty$ with $0 < m < p - 1 < n$.*

(A₂) *The nonnegative functions $h(x)$, $H(x)$ are locally Hölder continuous. Let $\overline{M}(r) = \max_{|x|=r} \{h(x), H(x)\}$. If*

$$M_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \overline{M}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds < \infty, \quad (2.17)$$

then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$, such that $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$, and the problem (1.1) admits a weak solution $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 2.5 (nonexistence). *Let $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq a < (N - p)/p$. Assume that*

(A₃) $0 < m \leq p - 1 < n$;

(A₄) *there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ such that*

$$q = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > p - 1, \quad \frac{\alpha_1}{n} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} = 1; \quad (2.18)$$

(A₅) *the functions $h(x), H(x) > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N satisfy*

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} (B_1(R))^{-\alpha_1(p-1)/nq} (b_1(R))^{-\alpha_2(p-1)/mq} R^{\sigma_1} < \infty, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\sigma_1 = N - p(1 + a) - N(p - 1)/q$ and

$$B_1(R) = \inf_{\Omega_R} H(x), \quad b_1(R) = \inf_{\Omega_R} h(x), \quad \Omega_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid R \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{2}R\}, \quad R \geq 1. \quad (2.20)$$

Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= h(x)u^m + H(x)u^n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) &\geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

has no nontrivial solution $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$.

Remark 2.6. If assumption (2.19) holds, then

$$A_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} (B_1(t))^{\lambda_1} (b_1(t))^{\lambda_2} dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds = \infty, \quad (2.22)$$

with $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1/n$, $\lambda_2 = \alpha_2/m$.

In fact, for this case, there exist $t_0 \geq 1$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$(B_1(t))^{\lambda_1} (b_1(t))^{\lambda_2} \geq C_0 t^{\alpha_1 q/(p-1)} = C_0 t^{-N+(q/(p-1))(N-p(1+a))} \quad (2.23)$$

for $t \geq t_0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty &\geq \int_{t_0}^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} (B_1(t))^{\lambda_1} (b_1(t))^{\lambda_2} dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds \\ &\geq C_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty s^{(1-N+ap+q(N-p(1+a))/(p-1))/(p-1)} ds = \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

So, condition (2.19) implies (2.22).

3. Proof of Existence

Before proofing the existence, we present some preliminary lemmas which will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\rho(x) \geq 0$, $\neq 0$ is local Hölder continuous and satisfies*

$$\rho_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= \rho(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) &> 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

has a weak solution $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\bar{\rho}(t) = \max_{|x|=t} \rho(x)$.

Proof. Let $\underline{\rho}(t) = \min_{|x|=t} \rho(x)$. Then $\underline{\rho}(t) \leq \bar{\rho}(t)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} V(|x|) = V(r) &= \int_r^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \underline{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds, \quad r = |x| \geq 0, \\ U(|x|) = U(r) &= \int_r^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds, \quad r = |x| \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Obviously, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(|x|) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} U(|x|) = 0$ and $V(|x|) \leq U(|x|)$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla V|^{p-2} \nabla V) &= \underline{\rho}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla U|^{p-2} \nabla U) &= \bar{\rho}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

This shows that $V(|x|)$ (resp., $U(|x|)$) is a lower (resp., upper) solution of (3.2). Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists a weak solution $u(x)$ for problem (3.2) satisfying $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$V(|x|) \leq u(x) \leq U(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.5)$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N \geq 3$. If*

(1)

$$\int_1^\infty \left(t^{1+ap} \bar{\rho}(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} dt < \infty, \quad \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \quad N > 2 + ap, \quad (3.6)$$

(2)

$$\int_0^\infty t^{(N(p-2)+1+ap)/(p-1)} \bar{\rho}(t) dt < \infty, \quad \text{if } p > 2, \quad N > p(1+a), \quad (3.7)$$

one has $\rho_\infty < \infty$.

Proof. (1) Since $1 < p \leq 2$, $1/(p-1) \geq 1$. By the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_\infty &= \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^\infty s^{(1-N+ap)/(p-1)} \left[\left(\int_0^s (t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t))^{1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} \left(\int_0^s dt \right)^{2-p} \right]^{1/(p-1)} ds \\
 &= \int_0^\infty s^{(3-N+ap-p)/(p-1)} \int_0^s (t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t))^{1/(p-1)} dt ds \\
 &= \int_0^\infty t^{(N-1)/(p-1)} (\bar{\rho}(t))^{1/(p-1)} \int_t^\infty s^{(3-N+ap-p)/(p-1)} ds dt \\
 &= \frac{p-1}{N-2-ap} \int_0^\infty (t^{1+ap} \bar{\rho}(t))^{1/(p-1)} dt < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

(2) If $p > 2$ and $N > p(a+1)$, we take $p' = N - (N - p(a+1))/(p-1)$ and then $p' \in (p(1+a), N)$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} < \infty, \\
 \int_1^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds &\leq \int_1^\infty s^{(ap+1-p')/(p-1)} \left(\int_0^s t^{p'-1} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{(p-2)(N-p(a+1))} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{(N(p-2)+1+ap)/(p-1)} \bar{\rho}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\
 &< \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

This implies $\rho_\infty < \infty$ and ends the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $\bar{\rho}(t) = \max_{|x|=t} \rho(x)$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2, then the problem (3.2) admits a solution $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $f(t) \geq 0$ is nondecreasing and $\sup_{t \geq 0} t^{-m} f(t) < \infty$ with $m < p-1$. Additionally, let the function $h(x) \geq 0$ be locally Hölder continuous and satisfy*

$$h_\infty = \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1-N+ap} \int_0^s t^{N-1} \bar{h}(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} ds < \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\bar{h}(t) = \max_{|x|=t} h(x)$. Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) &= h(x)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) &> 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

has a weak solution $u(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. We first consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) &= h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) &> 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 3.1, there is a solution $w_h(x)$ for (3.12) satisfying $w_h(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In order to get the existence of solution for (3.11), we chose a pair of upper-lower solution of the equation in (3.11) by means of $w_h(x)$.

Let $t > 0$. It is easy to verify that $u_h = tw_h$ is an upper solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = h(x)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3.13)$$

if and only if

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u_h|^{p-2}\nabla u_h\right) \geq h(x)f(u_h), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3.14)$$

or

$$t^{p-1} \geq f(tw_h), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.15)$$

By the assumption on $f(u)$, we know that there exists $c_0 > 0$, such that $f(t) \leq c_0 t^m$. So, $c_0 t^m \|w_h\|_\infty^m \geq f(t\|w_h\|_\infty) \geq f(tw_h)$. Then we take $t_0 = (c_0 \|w_h\|_\infty^m)^{1/(p-1-m)}$ so that $u_h = tw_h$ ($t > t_0$) is an upper solution of (3.13).

We now construct a lower solution of (3.13). Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\right) &= h(x)f(v), \quad x \in B_k, \\ v &> 0, \quad x \in B_k, \quad v = 0, \quad x \in \partial B_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

By Theorem 3.1 in [12], there exists a solution $v_k \in W^{1,p}(B_k, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(B_k)$ for (3.16). We define an extension by $v_k(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq k$. Then, by Theorem 2.4 in [12] and Díaz-Saá's inequality in [24], we get

$$v_1(x) \leq v_2(x) \leq \dots \leq v_k(x) \leq v_{k+1}(x) \leq \dots \leq u_h(x), \quad x \in B_k. \quad (3.17)$$

Setting $v(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k(x)$ and performing some standard computations, we see that $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\right) &= h(x)f(v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v(x) &> 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and $v(x) \leq u_h(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Then, our result follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

We now give the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $u_M \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) &= M(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &> 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $M(x) = \max\{h(x), H(x)\}$. We see that $w = tu_M$ ($t > 0$) is an upper solution of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w\right) = M(x)(f(u) + \lambda g(u)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3.20)$$

if and only if

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w\right) \geq M(x)(f(w) + \lambda g(w)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3.21)$$

or

$$t^{p-1} \geq f(tu_M) + \lambda g(tu_M), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.22)$$

Since

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} t^{-m} f(t) < \infty, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} t^{-n} g(t) < \infty, \quad (3.23)$$

we have a constant $c_0 > 0$, such that

$$f(t) \leq c_0 t^m, \quad g(t) \leq c_0 t^n, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Denote

$$\phi(t) = \frac{t^{p-1} - c_0 t^m \|u_M\|_\infty^m}{c_0 t^n \|u_M\|_\infty^n}. \quad (3.25)$$

Since $m < p - 1 < n$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t) = -\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 0$ and there exist $t_0 > 0$, such that $\phi'(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_0$ and $\phi'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$. Then $\phi(t_0) = \max_{t > 0} \phi(t)$. A simple computation shows that

$$t_0 = \left(\frac{c_0(n-m)}{n-p+1} \|u_M\|_\infty^m \right)^{1/(p-1-m)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Thus

$$\lambda_0 = \phi(t_0) = c_0^{(m-n)/(p-1-m)} \|u_M\|_\infty^{(m-n)(p-1)/(p-1-m)} \left[\frac{p-1-m}{n-p+1} \right] \left[\frac{n-m}{n-p+1} \right]^{(m-n)/(p-1-m)} > 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, there exists a unique $t_\lambda > 0$, such that $\lambda = \phi(t_\lambda)$. That is

$$t_\lambda^{p-1} = c_0 t_\lambda^m \|u_M\|_\infty^m + c_0 \lambda t_\lambda^n \|u_M\|_\infty^n \geq f(t_\lambda u_M) + \lambda g(t_\lambda u_M). \quad (3.28)$$

Now defining $w = t_\lambda u_M$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) &= t_\lambda^{p-1} \left(-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u_M|^{p-2} \nabla u_M) \right) \\ &= M(x) t_\lambda^{p-1} \\ &= M(x) (t_\lambda^m \|u_M\|_\infty^m + \lambda t_\lambda^n \|u_M\|_\infty^n) \\ &\geq M(x) (f(w) + \lambda g(w)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

This shows that w is an upper solution of (3.20). Noting that

$$M(x) (f(w) + \lambda g(w)) \geq h(x) f(w) + \lambda H(x) g(w), \quad (3.30)$$

we know that w is an upper solution of (1.1). Let v be a solution of (3.11). Obviously, v is a lower solution of (1.1). We now show that $v(x) \leq w(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

Since $\phi'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$ and $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, then for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, there exist $t_\lambda > 0$, such that $\lambda = \phi(t_\lambda)$. Without loss of generality, let $t_\lambda > t_0$.

From the proof of Lemma 3.4 and the definition of $u_M(x)$, we have $u_h(x) = t w_h(x) \leq t u_M(x)$ for $t > t_0$. Further, by (3.17), we get $v_k(x) \leq t_\lambda u_M(x) = w(x)$. Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $v(x) \leq w(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

By Lemma 2.3, there exists a solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for the problem (1.1). We then complete the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Remark 3.5. The nonlinear term $F(x, u) = h(x)f(u) + \lambda H(x)g(u)$ can be regarded as a perturbation of the nonlinear term $h(x)f(u)$.

4. Proof of Nonexistence

In order to prove the nonexistence of nontrivial solution of the problem (2.21), we use the test function method, which has been used in [25] and references therein. Some modification has been made in our proof. The proof is based on argument by contradiction which involves a priori estimate for a nonnegative solution of (2.21) by carefully choosing the special test function and scaling argument.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\phi_0(s) \in C_0^1[0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\phi_0(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq s < 1, \\ (l-k)^{-1} (l(2-s)^k - k(2-s)^l), & 1 \leq s \leq 2, \\ 0, & s > 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and put $\phi(x) = \phi_0(R^{-2}|x|^2)$, by which the parameters $l > k > 2$ will be determined later. It is not difficult to verify that $0 \leq \phi_0(s) \leq 1$ and $|\phi_0'(s)| \leq \beta_0 \phi_0^{1-1/k}(s)$, where $\beta_0 = k(l/(l-k))^{1/k}$.

Suppose that $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (2.21). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u(x) > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N (otherwise, we consider $u_\epsilon = u + \epsilon$ and let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$). Let $\alpha < 0$ be a parameter (α will also be chosen below).

By the Young inequality, we get

$$h(x)u^m + H(x)u^n \geq H^{\alpha_1/n}(x)h^{\alpha_2/m}(x)u^q \equiv H^{\lambda_1}(x)h^{\lambda_2}(x)u^q, \quad (4.2)$$

where α_1, α_2 , and q satisfy (2.18) and $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1/n, \lambda_2 = \alpha_2/m$.

Multiplying the equation in (2.21) by $u^\alpha \phi$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^{q+\alpha} \phi dx \leq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} u^{\alpha-1} |\nabla u|^p \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} u^\alpha |\nabla u|^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| dx. \quad (4.3)$$

Then applying the Young inequality with parameter $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^{q+\alpha} \phi dx + \beta_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p u^{\alpha-1} \phi dx \leq C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} u^{p+\alpha-1} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} dx, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\beta_\epsilon = |\alpha| - \epsilon > 0$.

Similarly, let us multiply the equation in (2.21) by ϕ and integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p u^{\alpha-1} \phi dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} u^{(1-\alpha)(p-1)} dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By (4.4),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p u^{\alpha-1} \phi dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} u^{p+\alpha-1} dx. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we apply the Hölder inequality to the integral on the right-hand side of (4.6):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} u^{p+\alpha-1} dx \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap\lambda'} |\nabla \phi|^{p\lambda'} \phi^{1-p\lambda'} (H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2})^{1-\lambda'} dx \right)^{1/\lambda'} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

with $\lambda = q/(p + \alpha - 1) > 1$, $\lambda' = q/(q - p - \alpha + 1)$ and $\Omega_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid R \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{2}R\}$.

Since $q > p - 1$, we chose $\alpha < 0$ so small that $q > (p - 1)(1 - \alpha)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} u^{(1-\alpha)(p-1)} dx \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \right)^{1/\mu} \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap\mu'} |\nabla \phi|^{p\mu'} \phi^{1-p\mu'} (H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2})^{1-\mu'} dx \right)^{1/\mu'} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

with $\mu = q/(1 - \alpha)(p - 1) > 1$, $\mu' = q/(q - (1 - \alpha)(p - 1))$.

Since $\phi(x) = \phi_0(R^{-2}|x|^2)$, $|\nabla \phi(x)| \leq C_0 R^{-1} \phi_0^{1-1/k}(|\xi|) = C_0 R^{-1} \phi_0^{1-1/k}$ with $x = R\xi$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap\lambda'} |\nabla \phi|^{p\lambda'} \phi^{1-p\lambda'} (H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2})^{1-\lambda'} dx \\ & \leq CR^{N-(1+a)p\lambda'} (B_1(R))^{\lambda_1(1-\lambda')} (b_1(R))^{\lambda_2(1-\lambda')} \int_{\Omega_1} \phi_0^{(1-1/k)p\lambda'}(|\xi|) \phi_0^{1-p\lambda'}(|\xi|) d\xi, \\ & \int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap\mu'} |\nabla_x \phi|^{p\mu'} \phi^{1-p\mu'} H^{1-\mu'} dx \\ & \leq CR^{N-(1+a)p\mu'} (B_1(R))^{\lambda_1(1-\mu')} (b_1(R))^{\lambda_2(1-\mu')} \int_{\Omega_1} \phi_0^{(1-1/k)p\mu'}(|\xi|) \phi_0^{1-p\mu'}(|\xi|) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $B_1(R) = \inf_{\Omega_R} H(x)$ and $b_1(R) = \inf_{\Omega_R} h(x)$.

Let $k > \max\{p\lambda', p\mu'\}$. Then,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \phi_0^{(1-1/k)p\lambda'}(|\xi|) \phi_0^{1-p\lambda'}(|\xi|) d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega_1} \phi_0(|\xi|) d\xi \leq |\Omega_1|. \quad (4.10)$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \phi_0^{(1-1/k)p\mu'}(|\xi|)\phi_0^{1-p\mu'}(|\xi|)d\xi \leq |\Omega_1|. \quad (4.11)$$

Then it follows from (4.5)–(4.11) that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \right)^{1-s} \leq CR^{\sigma_1} (B_1(R))^{\sigma_2} (b_1(R))^{\sigma_3} \quad (4.12)$$

with $s = (p-1)/p\lambda + 1/p\mu = (p-1)/q < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \frac{p-1}{p\lambda'}(N - (1+a)p\lambda') + \frac{1}{p\mu'}(N - (1+a)p\mu') = N - p(1+a) - \frac{N(p-1)}{q}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{\lambda_1(p-1)}{p\lambda'}(1-\lambda') + \frac{\lambda_1}{p\mu'}(1-\mu') = -\frac{\lambda_1(p-1)}{q}, \\ \sigma_3 &= \frac{\lambda_2(p-1)}{p\lambda'}(1-\lambda') + \frac{\lambda_2}{p\mu'}(1-\mu') = -\frac{\lambda_2(p-1)}{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

If $\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\sigma_1} (B_1(R))^{\sigma_2} (b_1(R))^{\sigma_3} = 0$, it follows from (4.12) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q dx = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

This implies that $u(x) = 0$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . That is, u is a trivial solution for (2.21).

If $\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\sigma_1} (B_1(R))^{\sigma_2} (b_1(R))^{\sigma_3} = C_1 < \infty$, then (4.12) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q dx &< \infty, \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q dx &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

By (4.5), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q dx &\leq \int_{B_{2R}} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p u^{\alpha-1} \phi dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega_R} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla \phi|^p \phi^{1-p} u^{(1-\alpha)(p-1)} dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Reasoning as in the first part of the proof, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q dx &\leq CR^{\sigma_1} (B_1(R))^{\sigma_2} (b_1(R))^{\sigma_3} \left(\int_{\Omega_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \right)^{(p-1)/q} \\ &\leq CC_1 \left(\int_{\Omega_R} H^{\lambda_1} h^{\lambda_2} u^q \phi dx \right)^{(p-1)/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.17), we obtain (4.14). Thus, $u = 0$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Then the proof of Theorem 2.5 is completed. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the referees for useful comments and suggestions. The work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant no. 2010B17914) and Science Funds of Hohai University (Grants no. 2008430211 and 2008408306).

References

- [1] F. Cirstea, D. Motreanu, and V. Rădulescu, "Weak solutions of quasilinear problems with nonlinear boundary condition," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 623–636, 2001.
- [2] J. V. Gonçalves and C. A. Santos, "Existence and asymptotic behavior of non-radially symmetric ground states of semilinear singular elliptic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 719–727, 2006.
- [3] A. Mohammed, "Ground state solutions for singular semi-linear elliptic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 3-4, pp. 1276–1280, 2009.
- [4] E. S. Noussair, C. A. Swanson, and J. F. Yang, "Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 285–301, 1993.
- [5] C. A. Santos, "Non-existence and existence of entire solutions for a quasi-linear problem with singular and super-linear terms," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 9-10, pp. 3813–3819, 2010.
- [6] C. A. Santos, "On ground state solutions for singular and semi-linear problems including super-linear terms at infinity," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 12, pp. 6038–6043, 2010.
- [7] C. A. Santos, "Entire solutions for a quasilinear problem in the presence of sublinear and super-linear terms," *Boundary Value Problems*, Article ID 845946, 16 pages, 2009.
- [8] A. Cañada, P. Drábek, and J. L. Gámez, "Existence of positive solutions for some problems with nonlinear diffusion," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 349, no. 10, pp. 4231–4249, 1997.
- [9] R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião, and O. H. Miyagaki, "Subcritical perturbations of a singular quasilinear elliptic equation involving the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 1351–1364, 2007.
- [10] F. Brock, L. Iturriaga, J. Sánchez, and P. Ubilla, "Existence of positive solutions for p -Laplacian problems with weights," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 941–952, 2006.
- [11] A. Kristály and C. Varga, "Multiple solutions for a degenerate elliptic equation involving sublinear terms at infinity," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 352, no. 1, pp. 139–148, 2009.
- [12] O. H. Miyagaki and R. S. Rodrigues, "On positive solutions for a class of singular quasilinear elliptic systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 334, no. 2, pp. 818–833, 2007.

- [13] B. Xuan, "The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 703–725, 2005.
- [14] R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião, and O. H. Miyagaki, "Multiplicity of solutions for critical singular problems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 741–746, 2006.
- [15] E. Calzolari, R. Filippucci, and P. Pucci, "Existence of radial solutions for the p -Laplacian elliptic equations with weights," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 447–479, 2006.
- [16] P. Clément, R. Manásevich, and E. Mitidieri, "Some existence and non-existence results for a homogeneous quasilinear problem," *Asymptotic Analysis*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 13–29, 1998.
- [17] M. García-Huidobro, R. Manásevich, and C. S. Yarur, "On the structure of positive radial solutions to an equation containing a p -Laplacian with weight," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 223, no. 1, pp. 51–95, 2006.
- [18] P. Pucci, M. García-Huidobro, R. Manásevich, and J. Serrin, "Qualitative properties of ground states for singular elliptic equations with weights," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 185, no. 4, pp. 205–243, 2006.
- [19] P. Pucci and R. Servadei, "Existence, non-existence and regularity of radial ground states for p -Laplacian equations with singular weights," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 505–537, 2008.
- [20] Z. Yang, "Existence of positive entire solutions for singular and non-singular quasi-linear elliptic equation," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 355–364, 2006.
- [21] C. Chen and H. Wang, "Ground state solutions for singular p -Laplacian equation in R^N ," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 351, no. 2, pp. 773–780, 2009.
- [22] H. Wu and C. Chen, "Decaying solution for singular p -Laplacian equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 204, no. 2, pp. 833–838, 2008.
- [23] C. V. Pao, *Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*, Plenum, New York, NY, USA, 1992.
- [24] J. I. Díaz and J. E. Saa, "Existence et unicité de solutions positives pour certaines équations elliptiques quasilineaires," *Comptes Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 305, no. 12, pp. 521–524, 1987.
- [25] È. Mitidieri and S. I. Pokhozhaev, "A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities," *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni V. A. Steklova*, vol. 234, pp. 1–384, 2001 (Russian), translation in *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 234, no. 3, 1–362, 2001.