

## Research Article

# Positive Solutions to Nonlinear First-Order Nonlocal BVPs with Parameter on Time Scales

Chenghua Gao<sup>1</sup> and Hua Luo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Quantitative Economics, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics, Dalian 116025, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Chenghua Gao, gaokuguo@163.com

Received 4 May 2010; Accepted 3 June 2010

Academic Editor: Daniel Franco

Copyright © 2011 C. Gao and H. Luo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We discuss the existence of solutions for the first-order multipoint BVPs on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ :  $u^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(\sigma(t)) = \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t)))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $u(0) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u(\xi_i) = 0$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter,  $T > 0$  is a fixed number,  $0, T \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $f : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $p$  is regressive and rd-continuous,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $0 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-1} < \xi_m = \sigma(T)$ , and  $1 - \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i / e_p(\xi_i, 0)) > 0$ . For suitable  $\lambda > 0$ , some existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence criteria of positive solutions are established by using well-known results from the fixed-point index.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale (a nonempty closed subset of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ). We discuss the existence of positive solutions to the first-order multipoint BVPs on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u(0) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u(\xi_i) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $T > 0$  is a fixed number,  $0, T \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $f : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $p$  is regressive and rd-continuous,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $0 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-1} < \xi_m = \sigma(T)$ , and  $1 - \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i / e_p(\xi_i, 0)) > 0$ ,  $e_p(t, s)$  is defined in its standard form; see [1, page 59] for details.

The multipoint boundary value problems arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. For example, the vibrations of a guy wire of a uniform cross-section and composed of  $N$  parts of different densities can be set up as a multipoint boundary value problem [2]; also many problems in the theory of elastic stability can be handled by a multipoint problem [3]. So, the existence of solutions to multipoint boundary value problems have been studied by many authors; see [4–13] and the reference therein. Especially, in recent years the existence of positive solutions to multipoint boundary value problems on time scales has caught considerable attention; see [10–14]. For other background on dynamic equations on time scales, one can see [1, 15–18].

Our ideas arise from [13, 16]. In [13], Tian and Ge discussed the existence of positive solutions to nonlinear first-order three-point boundary value problems on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(\sigma(t)) &= f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u(0) - \alpha u(\eta) &= \beta u(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $f : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $p$  is regressive and rd-continuous,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha/e_p(\xi, 0) + \beta/e_p(\sigma(T), 0) < 1$ . The existence results are based on Krasnoselskii's fixed-point theorem in cones and Leggett-Williams's theorem.

As we can see, if we take  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\xi_1 = \eta$ ,  $\xi_m = \sigma(T)$ , and  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, m-1$ , then (1.1) is reduced to (1.2). Because of the influence of the parameter  $\lambda$ , it will be more difficult to solve (1.1) than to solve (1.2).

In 2009, by using the fixed-point index theory, Sun and Li [16] discussed the existence of positive solutions to the first-order PBVPs on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda f(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u(0) &= u(\sigma(T)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

For suitable  $\lambda > 0$ , they gave some existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence criteria of positive solutions.

Motivated by the above results, by using the well-known fixed-point index theory [16, 19], we attempt to obtain some existence, multiplicity and nonexistence criteria of positive solutions to (1.1) for suitable  $\lambda > 0$ .

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. Some preliminary results including Green's function are given in Section 2. In Section 3, we obtain some useful lemmas for the proof of the main result. In Section 4, some existence and multiplicity results are established. At last, some nonexistence results are given in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the rest of this paper, we make the following assumptions:

- (H1)  $f : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and  $f(\cdot, u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ ,
- (H2)  $p : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is rd-continuous, which implies that  $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$  (where  $\mathcal{R}^+$  is defined in [16, 18, 20]).

Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, & f_\infty &= \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \\ f^0 &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, & f^\infty &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Our main tool is the well-known results from the fixed-point index, which we state here for the convenience of the reader.

**Theorem 2.1** (see [19]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $P$  be a cone in  $X$ . For  $r > 0$ , we define  $P_r = \{x \in P \mid \|x\| < r\}$ . Assume that  $\Phi : \overline{P}_r \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous such  $\Phi x \neq x$  for  $x \in \partial P_r = \{x \in P \mid \|x\| = r\}$ .*

(i) *If  $\|\Phi x\| \geq \|x\|$  for  $x \in \partial P_r$ , then*

$$i(\Phi, P_r, P) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) *If  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in \partial P_r$ , then*

$$i(\Phi, P_r, P) = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $E := \{x \mid x : [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}\}$  be equipped with the norm  $\|x\| = \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} |x(t)|$ . It is easy to see that  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space.

For  $h \in \mathbb{E}$ , we consider the following linear BVP:

$$u^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(\sigma(t)) = \lambda h(\sigma(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$u(0) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u(\xi_i) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

For  $\xi_i, s \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , define

$$H_{\xi_i}(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)}, & s \leq \xi_i, \\ 0, & s > \xi_i. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $h \in \mathbb{E}$ , the linear BVP (2.4)-(2.5) has a solution  $u$  if and only if  $u$  satisfies

$$u(t) = \frac{\lambda}{e_p(t,0)} \left\{ \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i,0)} \int_0^{\xi_i} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i / e_p(\xi_i,0))}. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* By (2.4), we have

$$[u(t)e_p(t,0)]^\Delta = \lambda e_p(t,0)h(\sigma(t)). \quad (2.9)$$

So,

$$u(t)e_p(t,0) = u(0) + \lambda \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s. \quad (2.10)$$

And so,

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{e_p(t,0)} \left[ u(0) + \lambda \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

Combining this with (2.5), we get

$$u(t) = \frac{\lambda}{e_p(t,0)} \left\{ \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i,0)} \int_0^{\xi_i} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

□

**Lemma 2.3.** If the function  $u$  is defined in (2.7), then  $u$  may be expressed by

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^T G(t,s)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(t, 0)} \begin{cases} \Gamma \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j, 0)} e_p(s, 0) + e_p(s, 0), & \xi_i \leq s, \sigma(s) \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq \xi_{i+1}, \\ & i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \Gamma \left( \sum_{j=1}^i H_{\xi_j}(s) + \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j, 0)} \right) \\ \times e_p(s, 0) + e_p(s, 0), & \sigma(s) \leq \xi_i \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq \xi_{i+1}, \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \\ \Gamma \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j, 0)} e_p(s, 0), & \xi_i \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq s, \sigma(s) \leq \xi_{i+1}, \\ & i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \Gamma \sum_{j=i+2}^m H_{\xi_j}(s) e_p(s, 0), & \xi_i \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq \xi_{i+1} \leq s, \\ & i = 0, 1, \dots, m-2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* When  $\sigma(t) \leq \xi_1$ ,

$$u(t) = \frac{\lambda}{e_p(t, 0)} \left\{ \Gamma \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)} \int_0^t e_p(s, 0) h(\sigma(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)} \int_t^{\xi_i} e_p(s, 0) h(\sigma(s)) \Delta s \right] \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_i} e_p(s, 0) h(\sigma(s)) \Delta s + \int_0^t e_p(s, 0) h(\sigma(s)) \Delta s \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

(1) For  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(t, 0)} \left[ \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)} e_p(s, 0) + e_p(s, 0) \right]. \quad (2.16)$$

(2) For  $\sigma(t) \leq s, \sigma(s) \leq \xi_1$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(t, 0)} \left[ \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{e_p(\xi_i, 0)} e_p(s, 0) \right]. \quad (2.17)$$

(3) For  $\sigma(t) \leq \xi_1 \leq s$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(t, 0)} \left[ \Gamma \sum_{i=2}^m H_{\xi_i}(s) e_p(s, 0) \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

When  $\xi_i \leq t$ ,  $\sigma(t) \leq \xi_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$ ,

$$u(t) = \frac{\lambda}{e_p(t,0)} \left\{ \Gamma \left[ \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} \int_0^{\xi_j} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} \int_0^{\xi_i} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right] \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma \left[ \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} \int_{\xi_i}^{\xi_j} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right] + \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

(1) For  $\sigma(s) \leq \xi_i$ ,

$$G(t,s) = \frac{e_p(s,0)}{e_p(t,0)} \left[ \Gamma \left( \sum_{j=1}^i H_{\xi_j}(s) + \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} \right) + 1 \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

(2) For  $\xi_i \leq s$ ,  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ ,

$$G(t,s) = \frac{e_p(s,0)}{e_p(t,0)} \left[ \Gamma \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} + 1 \right]. \quad (2.21)$$

(3) For  $\sigma(t) \leq s$ ,  $\sigma(s) \leq \xi_{i+1}$ ,

$$G(t,s) = \frac{e_p(s,0)}{e_p(t,0)} \Gamma \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)}. \quad (2.22)$$

(4) For  $\xi_{i+1} \leq s$ ,

$$G(t,s) = \frac{e_p(s,0)}{e_p(t,0)} \Gamma \sum_{j=i+2}^m H_{\xi_j}(s). \quad (2.23)$$

When  $t \geq \xi_{m-1}$ ,

$$u(t) = \frac{\lambda}{e_p(t,0)} \left\{ \Gamma \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha_j}{e_p(\xi_j,0)} \int_0^{\xi_j} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m,0)} \int_0^{\xi_{m-1}} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right] \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m,0)} \int_{\xi_{m-1}}^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m,0)} \int_t^{\xi_m} e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s + \int_0^t e_p(s,0)h(\sigma(s))\Delta s \right\}. \quad (2.24)$$

(1) For  $\sigma(s) \leq \xi_{m-1}$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{e_p(s, 0)}{e_p(t, 0)} \left[ \Gamma \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} H_{\xi_i}(s) + \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m, 0)} \right) + 1 \right]. \quad (2.25)$$

(2) For  $\xi_{m-1} \leq s$ ,  $\sigma(s) \leq t$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{e_p(s, 0)}{e_p(t, 0)} \left[ \Gamma \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m, 0)} + 1 \right]. \quad (2.26)$$

(3) For  $\sigma(t) \leq s$ ,

$$G(t, s) = \frac{e_p(s, 0)}{e_p(t, 0)} \Gamma \frac{\alpha_m}{e_p(\xi_m, 0)}. \quad (2.27)$$

□

**Lemma 2.4.** *Green's function  $G(t, s)$  has the following properties.*

- (i)  $G(t, s) \geq 0$ ,  $(t, s) \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $m \leq G(t, s) \leq M$ , where  $m = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i / (e_p(\sigma(T), 0))^2$ ;  $M = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + e_p(\sigma(T), 0)$ ;
- (iii)  $G(t, s) \geq (m/M) \sup_{(t,s) \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} G(t, s)$ ,  $(t, s) \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

*Proof.* This proof is similar to [13, Lemma 2.3], so we omit it.

Now, we define a cone  $P$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  as follows:

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{E} \mid x(t) \geq 0, x(t) \geq \delta \|x\| \text{ on } [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}\}, \quad (2.28)$$

where  $\delta = m/M$ . For  $r > 0$ , let  $P_r = \{u \in P \mid \|u\| < r\}$  and  $\partial P_r = \{u \in P \mid \|u\| = r\}$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$ , define an operator  $\Phi_\lambda : P \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ :

$$(\Phi_\lambda u)(t) = \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (2.29)$$

Similar to the proof of [13, Lemma 2.4], we can see that  $\Phi_\lambda : P \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous. By the above discussions, its not difficult to see that  $u$  being a solution of BVP (1.1) equals the solution that  $u$  is a fixed point of the operator  $\Phi_\lambda$ . □

### 3. Some Lemmas

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\eta > 0$ . If  $u \in P$  and  $f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \geq \eta u(\sigma(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , then*

$$\|\Phi_\lambda x\| \geq \lambda \eta \delta m \Gamma \|u\|. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Since  $u \in P$  and  $f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \geq \eta u(\sigma(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\lambda} u(t) = \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \geq \lambda \eta \int_0^T G(t, s) u(\sigma(s)) \Delta s \geq \lambda \eta \delta m T \|u\|. \quad (3.2)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $u \in P$  and  $f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \leq \varepsilon u(\sigma(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , then

$$\|\Phi_{\lambda} u\| \leq \lambda \varepsilon M T \|u\|. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* Since  $u \in P$  and  $f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \leq \varepsilon u(\sigma(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\lambda} u(t) = \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \leq \lambda \varepsilon \int_0^T G(t, s) u(\sigma(s)) \Delta s \leq \lambda \varepsilon M T \|u\|. \quad (3.4)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $r > 0$ . If  $u \in \partial P_r$ , then

$$\lambda m(r) m T \leq \|\Phi_{\lambda} x\| \leq \lambda M(r) M T, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $m(r) = \min_{(t, u) \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [\delta r, r]} f(t, u)$ ;  $M(r) = \max_{(t, u) \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [\delta r, r]} f(t, u)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u \in \partial P_r$ , we have  $\delta r \leq u(\sigma(t)) \leq r$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda} u(t) &= \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \geq \lambda m(r) \int_0^T G(t, s) \Delta s \geq \lambda m(r) m T, \\ \Phi_{\lambda} u(t) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \leq \lambda M(r) \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) \Delta s \leq \lambda M(r) M T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

#### 4. Some Existence and Multiplicity Results

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold and that  $f^0 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f^{\infty} \in (0, \infty)$ . Then the BVP (1.1) has at least two positive solutions for

$$\frac{1}{m(1)mT} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2MT \max\{f^0, f^{\infty}\}}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $r_1 = 1$ . Then it follows from (4.1) and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\Phi_{\lambda} u\| \geq \lambda m(1) m T > 1 = \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_1}. \quad (4.2)$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$i(\Phi_{\lambda}, P_{r_1}, P) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$



Now, combined with the definition of  $f^0$ , we may choose  $0 < r_2 < r_1$  such that  $f(t, u) \leq (f^0 + \varepsilon)u$  for  $u \in [0, r_2]$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$  uniformly, where  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfies

$$\lambda \varepsilon MT < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.4)$$

So,

$$f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \leq (f^0 + \varepsilon)u(\sigma(t)), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_2}, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.5)$$

In view of (4.1), (4.4), (4.5), and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \leq \lambda (f^0 + \varepsilon) MT \|u\| < \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_2}. \quad (4.6)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_2}, P) = 1. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.3) and (4.7), we get

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_1} \setminus \overline{P_{r_2}}, P) = -1. \quad (4.8)$$

This shows that  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a fixed point in  $P_{r_1} \setminus \overline{P_{r_2}}$ , which is a positive solution of the BVP (1.1).

Now, by the definition of  $f^\infty$ , there exists an  $\widehat{H} > 0$  such that  $f(t, u) \leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon)u$  for  $u \in [\widehat{H}, \infty)$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen so that

$$\lambda \varepsilon MT < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let  $r_3 = \max\{2r_1, \widehat{H}/\delta\}$ . Then for  $u \in \partial P_{r_3}$ ,  $u(t) \geq \delta \|u\| = \delta r_3 \geq \widehat{H}$ ,  $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . So,

$$f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon)u(\sigma(t)), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_3}, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.10)$$

In view of (4.1), (4.9), and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \leq \lambda (f^\infty + \varepsilon) MT \|u\| < \|u\|, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial P_{r_3}. \quad (4.11)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_3}, P) = 1. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.3) and (4.12), we get

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_3} \setminus \overline{P}_{r_1}, P) = 1. \quad (4.13)$$

This shows that  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a fixed point in  $P_{r_3} \setminus \overline{P}_{r_1}$ , which is another positive solution of the BVP (1.1).  $\square$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the following results.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold and*

$$\lambda > \frac{1}{m(1)mT}. \quad (4.14)$$

Then,

- (i) equation (1.1) has at least one positive solution if  $f^0 = 0$ ,
- (ii) equation (1.1) has at least one positive solution if  $f^\infty = 0$ ,
- (iii) equation (1.1) has at least two positive solutions if  $f^0 = f^\infty = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $f_0 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty \in (0, \infty)$ , then the BVP (1.1) has at least two positive solutions for*

$$\frac{2}{\delta m T \min\{f_0, f_\infty\}} < \lambda < \frac{1}{M(1)MT}. \quad (4.15)$$

*Proof.* Let  $r_1 = 1$ . Then it follows from (4.15) and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \leq \lambda M(1)MT < 1 = \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_1}. \quad (4.16)$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_1}, P) = 1. \quad (4.17)$$

Since  $f_0 > 0$ , we may choose  $0 < r_2 < r_1$  such that  $f(t, u) \geq (f_0 - \eta_1)u$  for  $u \in [0, r_2]$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $0 < \eta_1 < f_0$  satisfies  $\lambda \eta_1 \delta m \sigma(T) < 1$ . So,

$$f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \geq (f_0 - \eta_1)u(\sigma(t)), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_2, t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.18)$$

In view of (4.15), (4.18), and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \geq \lambda(f_0 - \eta_1)\delta m T \|u\| > \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_2. \quad (4.19)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_2}, P) = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

By (4.17) and (4.20), we get

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_1} \setminus \overline{P_{r_2}}, P) = 1. \quad (4.21)$$

This shows that  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a fixed point in  $P_{r_1} \setminus \overline{P_{r_2}}$ , which is a positive solution of the BVP (1.1).

Now, by the definition of  $f_\infty$ , there exists an  $\widehat{H} > 0$  such that  $f(t, u) \geq (f_\infty - \eta_2)u$  for  $u \in [\widehat{H}, \infty)$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $0 < \eta_2 < f_\infty$  satisfies

$$\lambda \eta_2 \delta m T < 1. \quad (4.22)$$

Let  $r_3 = \max\{2r_1, \widehat{H}/\delta\}$ . Then for  $u \in \partial P_{r_3}$ ,  $u(t) \geq \delta \|u\| = \delta r_3 \geq \widehat{H}$ ,  $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . So,

$$f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \geq (f_\infty - \eta_2)u(\sigma(t)), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_3}, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.23)$$

Combined with (4.22) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \geq \lambda (f_\infty - \eta_2) \delta m T \|u\| > \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_3}. \quad (4.24)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_3}, P) = 0, \quad (4.25)$$

By (4.17) and (4.25), we get

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_3} \setminus \overline{P_{r_1}}, P) = -1, \quad (4.26)$$

This shows that  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a fixed point in  $P_{r_3} \setminus \overline{P_{r_1}}$ , which is another positive solution of the BVP (1.1).  $\square$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have the following results.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold and that*

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{M(1)MT}. \quad (4.27)$$

Then,

- (i) equation (1.1) has at least one positive solution if  $f_0 = \infty$ ,
- (ii) equation (1.1) has at least one positive solution if  $f_\infty = \infty$ ,
- (iii) equation (1.1) has at least two positive solutions if  $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $f^0 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_0 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f^\infty \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty \in (0, \infty)$ , then the BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution for

$$\frac{1}{\delta m T \min\{f_\infty, f_0\}} < \lambda < \frac{1}{MT \max\{f^0, f^\infty\}}. \quad (4.28)$$

*Proof.* We only deal with the case that  $f_\infty \leq f_0$ ,  $f^0 \geq f^\infty$ . The other three cases can be discussed similarly.

Let  $\lambda$  satisfy (4.28) and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$f_\infty - \varepsilon > 0, \quad \frac{1}{\delta m T (f_\infty - \varepsilon)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{MT (f^0 + \varepsilon)}. \quad (4.29)$$

From the definition of  $f^0$ , we know that there exists a constant  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $f(t, u) \leq (f^0 + \varepsilon)u$  for  $u \in [0, r_1]$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . So,

$$f(t, u(\sigma(t))) \leq (f^0 + \varepsilon)u(\sigma(t)), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_1}, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.30)$$

This combines with (4.29) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \leq \lambda (f^0 + \varepsilon) MT \|u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_1}. \quad (4.31)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_1}, P) = 1. \quad (4.32)$$

On the other hand, from the definition of  $f_\infty$ , there exists an  $\widehat{H} > 0$  such that  $f(t, u) \geq (f_\infty - \varepsilon)u$  for  $u \in [\widehat{H}, \infty)$  and  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $r_2 = \max\{2r_1, \widehat{H}/\delta\}$ . Then for  $u \in \partial P_{r_2}$ ,  $u(\sigma(t)) \geq \delta \|u\| = \delta r_2 \geq \widehat{H}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . So,

$$f(t, u(t)) \geq (f_\infty - \varepsilon)u(t), \quad \text{for } u \in \partial P_{r_2}, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (4.33)$$

Combined with (4.29) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|\Phi_\lambda u\| \geq \lambda (f_\infty - \varepsilon) \delta m T \|u\| \geq \|u\|. \quad (4.34)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_2}, P) = 0. \quad (4.35)$$

By (4.32) and (4.35), we get

$$i(\Phi_\lambda, P_{r_2} \setminus \overline{P_{r_1}}, P) = -1, \quad (4.36)$$

which implies that the BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution in  $P_{r_2} \setminus \overline{P_{r_1}}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* By making some minor modifications to the proof of Theorem 4.5, we can obtain the existence of at least one positive solution, if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $f^0 = 0$ ,  $f_\infty \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\lambda > 1/\delta m T f_\infty$ .
- (ii)  $f^0 = 0$ ,  $f_\infty = \infty$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ .
- (iii)  $f^\infty = 0$ ,  $f_0 \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\lambda > 1/\delta m T f_0$ .
- (iv)  $f^\infty = 0$ ,  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Remark 4.7.* From Conditions (ii) and (iv) of Remark 4.6, we know that the conclusion in Theorem 4.5 holds for  $\lambda = 1$  in these two cases. By  $f^0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$ , there exist two positive constants  $0 < r < R < \infty$  such that, for  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,

$$f(t, u) \leq \frac{u}{MT} \quad \text{for } u \in [0, r], \quad f(t, u) \geq \frac{u}{\delta m T} \quad \text{for } u \in [R, \infty). \quad (4.37)$$

This is the condition of Theorem 3.2 of [13]. By  $f^\infty = 0$  and  $f_0 = \infty$ , there exist two positive constants  $0 < r < R < \infty$  such that for  $t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,

$$f(t, u) \leq \frac{u}{MT} \quad \text{for } u \in [R, \infty); \quad f(t, u) \geq \frac{u}{\delta m T} \quad \text{for } u \in [0, r]. \quad (4.38)$$

This is the condition of Theorem 3.3 of [13]. So, our conclusions extend and improve the results of [13].

## 5. Some Nonexistence Results

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $f^0 \in [0, \infty)$  and  $f^\infty \in [0, \infty)$ , then the BVP (1.1) has no positive solutions for sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the definition of  $f^0, f^\infty$ , there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, r_1$  and  $r_2$  satisfying  $r_1 < r_2$  and

$$f(t, u) \leq (f^0 + \varepsilon_1)u, \quad u \in [0, r_1], \quad f(t, u) \leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon_2)u, \quad u \in [r_2, \infty). \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$c_1 = \max \left\{ f^0 + \varepsilon_1, f^\infty + \varepsilon_2, \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in [r_1, r_2]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then  $c_1 > 0$  and we have

$$f(t, u) \leq c_1 u, \quad u \in [0, \infty), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (5.3)$$

We assert that the BVP (1.1) has no positive solutions for  $0 < \lambda < 1/c_1 MT$ .

Suppose on the contrary that the BVP (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1/c_1 MT$ . Then from (5.3) and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\|u\| = \|\Phi_\lambda u\| \leq \lambda c_1 MT \|u\| < \|u\|, \quad (5.4)$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $f_0 \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f_\infty \in (0, \infty)$ , then the BVP (1.1) has no positive solutions for sufficiently large  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $f_0, f_\infty$ , there exist positive constants  $\eta_1, \eta_2, r_1$ , and  $r_2$  satisfying  $f_0 > \eta_1, f_\infty > \eta_2, r_1 < r_2$ , and

$$f(t, u) \geq (f_0 - \eta_1)u, \quad u \in [0, r_1], \quad f(t, u) \geq (f_\infty - \eta_2)u, \quad u \in [r_2, \infty). \quad (5.5)$$

Let

$$c_2 = \min \left\{ f_0 - \eta_1, f_\infty - \eta_2, \min_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in [r_1, r_2]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Then  $c_2 > 0$  and we have

$$f(t, u) \geq c_2 u, \quad u \in [0, \infty), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (5.7)$$

We assert that the BVP (1.1) has no positive solutions for  $\lambda > 1/c_2 \delta m T$ .

Suppose on the contrary that the BVP (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  for  $\lambda > 1/c_2 \delta m T$ . Then from (5.7) and Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\|u\| = \|\Phi_\lambda u\| \geq \lambda c_2 \delta m T \|u\| > \|u\|, \quad (5.8)$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$ , then the BVP (1.1) has no positive solutions for sufficiently large  $\lambda > 0$ .*

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSFC Young Item (no. 70901016), HSSF of Ministry of Education of China (no. 09YJA790028), Program for Innovative Research Team of Liaoning Educational Committee (no. 2008T054), the NSF of Liaoning Province (no. L09DJY065), and NWNLU-LKQN-09-3

## References

- [1] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence of solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 883–888, 2007.
- [2] M. Moshinsky, "Sobre los problemas de condiciones a la frontera en una dimension de características discontinuas," *Boletín Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 7, pp. 1–25, 1950.
- [3] S. P. Timoshenko, *Theory of Elastic Stability*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1961.
- [4] J. Rodríguez and P. Taylor, "Scalar discrete nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 330, no. 2, pp. 876–890, 2007.
- [5] R. Ma, "Positive solutions for a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 34, pp. 1–8, 1999.
- [6] R. Ma, "Multiplicity of positive solutions for second-order three-point boundary value problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 40, no. 2-3, pp. 193–204, 2000.
- [7] R. Ma, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions to first-order three-point boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 211–216, 2002.
- [8] R. Ma, "Positive solutions for nonhomogeneous  $m$ -point boundary value problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 4-5, pp. 689–698, 2004.
- [9] B. Liu, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions to first-order multipoint boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1307–1316, 2004.
- [10] D. R. Anderson and P. J. Y. Wong, "Positive solutions for second-order semipositone problems on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 281–291, 2009.
- [11] H. Luo, "Positive solutions to singular multi-point dynamic eigenvalue problems with mixed derivatives," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 1679–1691, 2009.
- [12] H. Luo and Q. Ma, "Positive solutions to a generalized second-order three-point boundary-value problem on time scales," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2005, no. 17, 14 pages, 2005.
- [13] Y. Tian and W. Ge, "Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear first-order three-point boundary value problems on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 2833–2842, 2008.
- [14] J.-P. Sun, "Existence of positive solution to second-order three-point BVPs on time scales," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 685040, 6 pages, 2009.
- [15] A. Cabada and D. R. Vivero, "Existence of solutions of first-order dynamic equations with nonlinear functional boundary value conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 63, no. 5–7, pp. e697–e706, 2005.
- [16] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Positive solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs with parameter on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1133–1145, 2009.
- [17] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 861–871, 2007.
- [18] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [19] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [20] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.