RESEARCH

Open Access

Existence of periodic solutions of a p-Laplacian-Neumann problem

Raad Awad Hameed[1,2], Jiebao Sun[1] and Boying Wu[1*]

*Correspondence:
mathwby@hit.edu.cn
[1] Department of Mathematics,
Harbin Institute of Technology,
Harbin, 150001, P.R. China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we study a periodic p-Laplacian equation with nonlocal terms and Neumann boundary conditions. We establish the existence of time periodic solutions of the p-Laplacian-Neumann problem by the theory of Leray-Schauder degree.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the periodic boundary problem for a p-Laplacian equation of the following form:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = \left(m - \Phi[u]\right)u, \quad (x,t) \in Q_T, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = u(x,T), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where $p > 2$, Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$, $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$. This problem is motivated by models which have been proposed for some problems in mathematical biology. The function u represents the spatial densities of the species at (x,t); the diffusion term $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ represents the effect of dispersion in the habitat, which models a tendency to avoid crowding, and the speed of the diffusion is slow since $p > 2$; the term $(m - \Phi[u])u$ models the contribution of the population supply due to births and deaths; the Neumann boundary conditions model the trend of the biology population to survive on the boundary. Assumptions of m, $\Phi[u]$ will be introduced in the next section.

The model as (1.1) was first studied by Allegretto and Nistri. In [1] they studied the existence of nontrivial nonnegative periodic solutions and optimal control for the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f\left(x,t,m,\Phi[u],u\right),$$

with Dirichlet boundary value conditions. Later, many mathematical researchers studied extended forms of this kind of equation. For example, in [2–7], the authors considered some nonlinear diffusion equations with nonlocal terms such as the porous equation with $m > 1$, the p-Laplacian equation with $p > 2$ and the doubly degenerate parabolic equation.

All these problems are the Dirichlet boundary value conditions, and these boundary conditions describe that the boundary we consider in this model is lethal to the species. Moreover, the methods in these papers are all based on the theory of Leray-Schauder degree. However, there are few results on degenerate periodic parabolic equations with nonlocal terms and Neumann boundary conditions. Recently, in [8], Wang and Yin considered the following periodic Neumann boundary value problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u^m = \big(a - \Phi[u]\big)u, \quad (x,t) \in Q_T,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0,T),$$

$$u(x,0) = u(x,T), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $m > 1$. By the parabolic regularized method and the theory of Leray-Schauder degree, they established the existence of nontrivial nonnegative periodic solutions. Also, there are many works about reaction diffusion equations without nonlocal term; one can see [9–13] and the references therein, and the boundary value condition and research method are different from our work.

In this paper, we consider the periodic solution of p-Laplacian Neumann problem (1.1)-(1.3). In [14], the authors studied equation (1.1) with the Dirichlet boundary value condition. Compared with the Dirichlet boundary value condition in [14], the Neumann boundary value condition causes an additional difficulty in establishing some *a priori* estimates. On the other hand, different from that in the case of the Dirichlet boundary value condition, the standard regularized problem of problem (1.1)-(1.3) is not well posed, and thus a modified regularized problem for (1.1)-(1.3) is considered. In addition, we will make use of the Moser iterative method to establish the *a priori* upper bound of the solution of the regularized problem. By the theory of Leray-Schauder degree, we prove that this modified problem admits nontrivial nonnegative periodic solutions. Then, by passing to a limit process, we obtain the existence of nontrivial nonnegative periodic solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.3). In the process of proving the main results, the nonlocal term, which reflects the reality of the model (1.1), will cause a difficulty when we establish a lower bound estimate of the maximum modulus of the solution of the regularized problem. Otherwise, we can use the method of upper and lower solution to prove the existence of periodic solutions. At last, the existence theorem shows that the spatial densities of the species are periodic under the case of nonlinear diffusion.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show some necessary preliminaries including the modified regularized problem. In Section 3, we establish some necessary *a priori* estimations of the solution of the modified regularized problem. Then we obtain the main results of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we assume that

(A1) $\Phi[\cdot] : L_+^2(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a bounded continuous functional satisfying

$$0 \le \Phi[u] \le K\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

where $K > 0$ are constants independent of u, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$,

$L^2_+(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) | u \geq 0, \text{a.e. in } \Omega\}$;

(A2) $m(x,t) \in C_T(\overline{Q}_T)$ and satisfies that $\{x \in \Omega : \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T m(x,t) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$, where $C_T(\overline{Q}_T)$ denotes the set of functions which are continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ and of T-periodic with respect to t.

From (A2), we can see that there exist $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\delta > 0$, $m_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T m(x,t)\,dt \geq m_0 \quad \text{for all } x \in B(x_0, \delta).$$

Since equation (1.1) is degenerate at points where $u = 0$, problem (1.1)-(1.3) has no classical solutions in general, so we focus on the discussion of weak solutions in the sense of the following.

Definition 1 A function u is said to be a weak solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) if $u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap C_T(\overline{Q}_T)$ and satisfies

$$\iint_{Q_T} \left(-u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - (m - \Phi[u]) u \varphi \right) dx\,dt = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{Q}_T)$ with $\varphi(x,0) = \varphi(x,T)$.

Due to the degeneracy of equation (1.1), we consider the following regularized problem:

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \text{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) + \varepsilon u_\varepsilon = (m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]) u_\varepsilon^+, \quad (x,t) \in Q_T, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \tag{2.3}$$

$$u_\varepsilon(x,0) = u_\varepsilon(x,T), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.4}$$

where $s^+ = \max\{0, s\}$ and ε is a sufficiently small positive constant. The desired solution will be obtained as the limit point of the solutions of problem (2.2)-(2.4). In the following, we introduce a map by the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \text{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) + \varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f, \quad (x,t) \in Q_T, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \tag{2.6}$$

$$u_\varepsilon(x,0) = u_\varepsilon(x,T), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{2.7}$$

Then we can define a map $u_\varepsilon = Gf$ with $G : C_T(\overline{Q}_T) \to C_T(\overline{Q}_T)$. Applying classical estimates (see [15]), we can know that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$ is bounded by $\|f\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$ and u_ε is Hölder continuous in Q_T. Then by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, the map G is compact. So, the map is a compact continuous map. Let $f(u) = (m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]) u_\varepsilon^+$, where $u_\varepsilon^+ = \max\{u_\varepsilon, 0\}$, we can see that the nonnegative solution of problem (2.2)-(2.4) is also a nonnegative solution solving $u_\varepsilon = G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]) u_\varepsilon^+)$. So, we will study the existence of nonnegative fixed points of the map $u_\varepsilon = G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]) u_\varepsilon^+)$ instead of the nonnegative solutions of problem (2.2)-(2.4).

3 Proof of the main results

First, by the same method as in [14], we can obtain the nonnegativity of the solution of problem (2.2)-(2.4).

Lemma 1 *If a nontrivial function $u_\varepsilon \in C_T(\overline{Q}_T)$ solves $u_\varepsilon = G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+)$, then*

$$u_\varepsilon(x,t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \overline{Q}_T.$$

In the following, by the Moser iterative technique, we will show the *a priori* estimate for the upper bound of nonnegative periodic solutions of problem (2.5)-(2.7). Here and below we denote by $\| \cdot \|_p$ ($1 \le p \le \infty$) the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm.

Lemma 2 *Let $\lambda \in [0,1]$, u_ε be a nonnegative periodic solution solving $u_\varepsilon = G(\lambda(m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+)$, then there exists a constant $R > 0$ independent of λ, ε such that*

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_\infty < R, \tag{3.1}$$

where $u_\varepsilon(t) = u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)$.

Proof Multiplying Eq. (2.5) by u_ε^{m+1} ($m \ge 0$) and integrating over Ω, we have

$$\frac{1}{m+2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{m+2}^{m+2} + \frac{(m+1)p^p}{(m+p)^p} \left\| \nabla\left(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{m}{p}+1}(t) \right) \right\|_p^p$$

$$\le \left\| m(x,t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T))} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{m+2}^{m+2},$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{m+2}^{m+2} + \frac{C_1}{(m+1)^{p-2}} \left\| \nabla\left(\left| u_\varepsilon(t) \right|^{\frac{m}{p}} u_\varepsilon(t) \right) \right\|_p^p$$

$$\le C_2(m+1) \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{m+2}^{m+2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where C_i ($i = 1, 2$) are positive constants independent of u_ε and m.

Assume that $\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \ne 0$ and set

$$u_k(t) = \left| u_\varepsilon(t) \right|^{\frac{m_k}{p}} u_\varepsilon(t), \qquad \alpha_k = \frac{p(m_k+2)}{m_k+p}, \qquad m_k = \frac{p^k - p}{p-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

then $\alpha_k < p$, $m_k = p^{k-1} + m_{k-1}$. For convenience, we denote by C a positive constant independent of k and m, which may take different values. From (3.2) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_k(t) \right\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k} + \frac{C}{(m+1)^{p-2}} \left\| \nabla u_k(t) \right\|_p^p \le C(m+1) \left\| u_k(t) \right\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k}. \tag{3.3}$$

By using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\left\| u_k(t) \right\|_{\alpha_k} \le C \left\| \nabla u_k(t) \right\|_p^{\theta_k} \left\| u_k(t) \right\|_1^{1-\theta_k}, \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$\theta_k = \frac{(p-1)m_k + p}{m_k + 2} \cdot \frac{N}{(p-1)N + p} \in (0,1).$$

By inequalities (3.3), (3.4) and the fact that $\|u_k(t)\|_1 = \|u_{k-1}(t)\|_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha_{k-1}}$, we obtain the following differential inequality:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k} \le -\frac{C}{(m_k+1)^{p-2}}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k}}\|u_k(t)\|_1^{\frac{p(\theta_k-1)}{\theta_k}} + C(m_k+1)\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k}$$

$$\le -C\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k}}\|u_{k-1}(t)\|_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p} + C(m_k+1)\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k}.$$

Let

$$\lambda_k = \max\left\{1, \sup_t \|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}\right\},$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k} \le (m_k+1)^{-(p-2)}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}}\left\{-C\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k}-\frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p}\right.$$

$$\left. + C(m_k+1)^{p-1}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k}{m_k+2}}\right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

For Young's inequality

$$ab \le \varepsilon a^{p'} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{q'}{p'}}b^{q'},$$

where $p' > 1$, $q' > 1$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, we set

$$a = \|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k}{m_k+2}}, \qquad b = (m_k+1)^{p-1}, \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p},$$

$$p' = l_k = \frac{p(m+2)}{\theta_k \alpha_k} - m_k - 1 = \frac{(m_k+2)(m_k+p)(p-1)N+p)}{N((p-1)m_k+p)} - m_k - 1,$$

then we have

$$(m_k+1)^{p-1}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k}{m_k+2}} \le \frac{1}{2}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k}-\frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p}$$

$$+ C(m_k+1)^{p-1\frac{l_k}{l_k-1}}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{1-\theta_k}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p\frac{1}{l_k-1}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Here we have used the fact that $p' = l_k > r > 1$ for some r independent of k. In fact, it is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} l_k = +\infty.$$

Denoting

$$a_k = \frac{(p-1)l_k}{l_k - 1}, \qquad b_k = \frac{1-\theta_k}{\theta_k}\frac{p\alpha_{k-1}}{l_k - 1},$$

and combining (3.6), (3.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k} \leq (m_k+1)^{-(p-2)} \|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}} \left\{ \frac{-C}{2} \|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k} - \frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p} \right.$$

$$\left. + C(m_k+1)^{a_k}\lambda_{k-1}^{b_k} \right\}, \tag{3.7}$$

that is,

$$(m_k+1)^{p-2}(m_k+1)\frac{d}{dt}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{\alpha_k}{m_k+2}} \leq \frac{-C}{2}\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}^{\frac{p}{\theta_k} - \frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{\theta_k-1}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p}$$

$$+ C(m_k+1)^{a_k}\lambda_{k-1}^{b_k}. \tag{3.8}$$

From the periodicity of $u_k(t)$, we know that there exists t_0 at which $\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k}$ reaches its maximum and thus the left-hand side of (3.8) vanishes. Then we obtain

$$\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq \left\{ C\left[(m_k+1)^{p-1} + (m_k+1)^{a_k}\lambda_{k-1}^{b_k}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{1-\theta_k}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p}\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}},$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \frac{p}{\theta_k} - \frac{\alpha_k(m_k+1)}{m_k+2} = \frac{l_k\alpha_k}{m_k+2}.$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq \left\{ C(m_k+1)^{a_k}\lambda_{k-1}^{b_k+\frac{1-\theta_k}{\theta_k}\alpha_{k-1}p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{C_k}} = \left\{ C(m_k+1)^{a_k}\right\}^{\frac{m_k+2}{l_k\alpha_k}}\lambda^{\frac{(1-\theta_k)(m_{k+2})\alpha_{k-1}p}{(l_k-1)\theta_k\alpha_k}}.$$

Since $\frac{m_k+2}{(l_k-1)\theta_k} = \frac{\alpha_k}{p-\theta_k\alpha_k}$ and $\frac{m_k+2}{l_k\alpha_k}$ and a_k are bounded, we have

$$\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq Cp^{ka'}\lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{(1-\theta_k)\alpha_{k-1}p}{p-\theta_k\alpha_k}},$$

where a' is a positive constant independent of k. As $\alpha_k = \frac{p(m_k+2)}{m_k+p} < p$ implies that $\frac{(1-\theta_k)\alpha_{k-1}p}{p-\theta_k\alpha_k} \leq \frac{(1-\theta_k)\alpha_{k-1}p}{p-p\theta_k} \leq p$ and $\lambda_{k-1} \geq 1$, we get

$$\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq CA^k\lambda_{k-1}^p,$$

or

$$\ln\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq \ln\lambda_k \leq \ln C + k\ln A + p\ln\lambda_{k-1},$$

where $A = p^{a'} > 1$. Thus

$$\ln\|u_k(t)\|_{\alpha_k} \leq \ln C \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} p^i + p^{k-1}\ln l_1 + \ln A\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}(k-j)p^j\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{p^{k-1}-1}{p-1}\ln C + p^{k-1}\ln l_1 + f(k)\ln A,$$

or

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{m_k+2} \le \left\{ C^{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{p-1}} l_1^{p^{k-1}} A f(k) \right\}^{\frac{p}{m_k+p}},$$

where

$$f(k) = \frac{k - (k+1)p - p^{k-1} + 2p^k}{(p-1)^2}.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_\infty \le C l_1^{p-1} \le C \left(\max \left\{ 1, \sup_t \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2 \right\} \right)^{p-1}. \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.2) with $m = 0$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^2 + C_1 \left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_p^p \le C_2 \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^2. \tag{3.10}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's theorem, we have

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2 \le |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_p \le C |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_p. \tag{3.11}$$

Combined with (3.10), it yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^2 + C_1 \left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^p \le C_2 \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^2.$$

By Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^2 + C_1 \left\| \nabla u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2^p \le C_2, \tag{3.12}$$

where C_i ($i = 1, 2$) are constants independent of u. Taking the periodicity of u into account, we infer from (3.12) that

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_2 \le C,$$

which together with (3.9) implies (3.1). The proof is completed. □

Corollary 1 *There exists a positive constant R independent of ε such that*

$$\deg\left(I - G\left((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]) u_\varepsilon^+ \right), B_R, 0 \right) = 1,$$

where B_R is a ball centered at the origin with radius R in $L^\infty(Q_T)$.

Proof It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a positive constant R independent of ε such that

$$u_\varepsilon \ne G\left(\lambda \left(m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon] \right) u_\varepsilon^+ \right), \quad \forall u_\varepsilon \in \partial B_R, \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

Hence the degree is well defined on B_R. From the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree, we can see that

$$\deg\big(I - G\big((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+\big), B_R, 0\big) = \deg\big(I - G(0), B_R, 0\big). \tag{3.13}$$

From the existence and uniqueness of the solution of $u_\varepsilon = G(0)$, we have $\deg(I - G(0), B_R, 0) = 1$. That is, $\deg(I - G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+), B_R, 0) = 1$. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 3 *There exist constants $r_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $r < r_0$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+)$ admits no nontrivial solution u_ε satisfying*

$$0 < \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \le r,$$

where r is a positive constant independent of ε.

Proof By contradiction, let u_ε be a nontrivial solution of $u_\varepsilon = G((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+)$ satisfying $0 < \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \le r$. For any given $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, multiplying (2.5) by $\frac{\phi^2}{u_\varepsilon}$ and integrating over $Q_T^* = B_\delta(x_0) \times (0, T)$, we obtain

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \frac{\phi^2}{u_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\, dt\, dx + \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla \left(\frac{\phi^2}{u_\varepsilon} \right) \right) dt\, dx$$
$$= \iint_{Q_T^*} \phi^2 \big(m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]\big)\, dt\, dx. \tag{3.14}$$

By the periodicity of u_ε, the first term on the left-hand side in (3.14) is zero. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [14], the second term on the left-hand side in (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla \left(\frac{\phi^2}{u_\varepsilon} \right) \right) dt\, dx$$
$$= \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dt\, dx$$
$$\quad - \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_\varepsilon^2 \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u_\varepsilon} \right) \right|^2 \right) dt\, dx,$$

and thus

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla \left(\frac{\phi^2}{u_\varepsilon} \right) \right) dt\, dx$$
$$\le \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dt\, dx. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.15) with (3.14), we obtain

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \phi^2 \big(m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]\big)\, dt\, dx \le \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dt\, dx. \tag{3.16}$$

Let μ_1 be the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian equation on Ω with zero boundary conditions and $\phi_1(x)$ be the corresponding eigenfunction. We have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_1|^p\, dx = \mu_1 \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p\, dx.$$

And also we know that ϕ_1 can be strictly positive in the subfield $B_\delta(x_0) \subset \Omega$. Taking $\phi = \phi_1$, we have

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\phi^2 (m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])\right) dt\, dx$$

$$\leq \iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\left(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla \phi_1|^2\right) dx\, dt$$

$$\leq \left(\iint_{Q_T^*} \left(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\, dt\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\iint_{Q_T^*} |\nabla \phi_1|^p\, dx\, dt\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\iint_{Q_T^*} 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p + \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) dx\, dt\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(T\mu_1 \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |\phi_1|^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Multiplying (2.5) by u_ε and integrating over Q_T^*, from the assumption $0 < \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq r$, we have

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\, dx\, dt \leq \iint_{Q_T^*} u_\varepsilon^2 \left(m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]\right) dx\, dt \leq M T r^2 |\Omega|, \tag{3.18}$$

where $M = \max_{(x,t) \in Q_T^*} m(x, t)$ and $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the domain Ω. Combining (3.18) with (3.17), we obtain

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \left(\phi^2 (m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])\right) dt\, dx$$

$$\leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} T \mu_1^{\frac{2}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(Mr^2 + \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}}\right) \left(\int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |\phi_1|^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \tag{3.19}$$

In addition, the assumptions (A1), (A2) give

$$\iint_{Q_T^*} \phi_1^2 \left(m - \varepsilon - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]\right) dx\, dt \geq \iint_{Q_T^*} \phi_1^2 \left(m - \varepsilon - K\|u\|_{L^2}^2\right) dx\, dt$$

$$\geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \phi_1^2 \int_0^T \left(m - \varepsilon - K\|u\|_{L^2}^2\right) dt\, dx$$

$$\geq T\left(m_0 - \varepsilon - Kr^2|\Omega|\right) \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \phi_1^2\, dx. \tag{3.20}$$

The above two inequalities imply that

$$m_0 - \varepsilon - Kr^2|\Omega| \leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \mu_1^{\frac{2}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(Mr^2 + \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}}\right) \frac{\left(\int_{B_\delta(x_0)} |\phi_1|^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \phi_1^2\, dx}. \tag{3.21}$$

Obviously, we can choose suitably small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $r \leq r_0$, the inequality (3.21) does not hold. It is a contradiction. The proof is completed. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 2 *There exists a small positive constant r which is independent of ε and satisfies $r < R$ such that*

$$\deg\big(I - G\big((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+\big), B_r, 0\big) = 0,$$

where B_r is a ball centered at the origin with radius r in $L^\infty(Q_T)$.

Proof Similar to Lemma 3, we can see that there exists a positive constant $0 < r < R$ independent of ε such that

$$u_\varepsilon \neq G\big(\lambda\big(m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon]\big)u_\varepsilon^+ + 1 - \lambda\big), \quad \forall u_\varepsilon \in \partial B_r, \lambda \in [0,1].$$

Hence the degree is well defined on B_r. From the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree, we can see that

$$\deg\big(I - G\big((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+\big), B_r, 0\big) = \deg\big(I - G(1), B_r, 0\big). \tag{3.22}$$

Lemma 3 shows that $u_\varepsilon = G(1)$ admits no nontrivial solution in B_r and it is also easy to see that $u_\varepsilon = 0$ is not a solution of $u_\varepsilon = G(1)$. So, we have $\deg(I - G(1), Br, 0) = 0$, that is,

$$\deg\big(I - G\big((m - \Phi[u_\varepsilon])u_\varepsilon^+\big), B_r, 0\big) = 0.$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 1 *If assumptions* (A1) *and* (A2) *hold, then problem* (1.1)-(1.3) *admits a nontrivial nonnegative periodic solution u.*

Proof Using Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, we know that

$$\deg\big(I - G\big(f(\cdot)\big), \Sigma, 0\big) = 1,$$

where $\Sigma = B_R \setminus B_r$, B_ξ is a ball centered at the origin with radius ξ in $L^\infty(Q_T)$, R and r are positive constants and $R > r$. By the theory of the Leray-Schauder degree and Lemma 1, we can conclude that problem (2.2)-(2.4) admits a nontrivial nonnegative periodic solution u_ε. By Lemma 3 and a similar method to that in [14], we can obtain

$$\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq C, \qquad \left\|\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq C.$$

Combining with the regularity results [16] a similar argument to that in [17], we can prove that the limit function of u_ε is a nonnegative nontrivial periodic solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3). □

Authors' contributions

RH, JS and BW carried out the proof of the main part of this article, BW corrected the manuscript and participated in its design and coordination. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, P.R. China. ²Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq.

References

1. Allegretto, W, Nistri, P: Existence and optimal control for periodic parabolic equations with nonlocal term. IMA J. Math. Control Inf. **16**(1), 43-58 (1999)
2. Huang, R, Wang, Y, Ke, Y: Existence of the non-trivial nonnegative periodic solutions for a class of degenerate parabolic equations with nonlocal terms. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **5**(4), 1005-1014 (2005)
3. Ke, Y, Huang, R, Sun, J: Periodic solutions for a degenerate parabolic equation. Appl. Math. Lett. **22**, 910-915 (2009)
4. Lieberman, GM: Time-periodic solutions of quasilinear parabolic differential equations I. Dirichlet boundary conditions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **264**(2), 617-638 (2001)
5. Li, J, Sun, J, Wu, B: Periodic doubly degenerate parabolic equation with nonlocal terms. Comput. Math. Appl. **60**, 490-500 (2010)
6. Liu, Z: Periodic solutions for double degenerate quasilinear parabolic equations. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **51**(7), 1245-1257 (2002)
7. Zhou, J, Mu, C: Time periodic solutions of porous medium equation. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. (2010). doi:10.1002/mma.1307
8. Wang, YF, Yin, JX: Periodic solutions for a class of degenerate parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **12**, 2069-2076 (2011)
9. Sun, JB, Yin, JX, Wang, YF: Asymptotic bounds of solutions for a periodic doubly degenerate parabolic equation. Nonlinear Anal. **74**(6), 2415-2424 (2011)
10. Pang, PYH, Wang, YF, Yin, JX: Periodic solutions for a class of reaction-diffusion equations with p-Laplacian. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **11**(1), 323-331 (2010)
11. Wang, YF, Wu, ZQ, Yin, JX: Periodic solutions of evolution p-Laplacian equations with weakly nonlinear sources. Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebr. **10**(1), 67-77 (2000)
12. Georgiev, S: Periodic solutions to the nonlinear parabolic equation. Int. J. Evol. Equ. **5**(1), 53-66 (2010)
13. Klimov, VS: Periodic solutions of parabolic inclusions and the averaging method. Differ. Uravn. (Minsk) **46**(12), 1722-1730 (2010)
14. Zhou, Q, Ke, YY, Wang, YF, Yin, JX: Periodic p-Laplacian with nonlocal terms. Nonlinear Anal. **66**, 442-453 (2007)
15. Ladyzhenskaja, OA, Solonnikov, VA, Ural'ceva, NN: Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type. Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 23. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1968)
16. Dibenedetto, E: Degenerate Parabolic Equations. Springer, New York (1993)
17. Wu, ZQ, Zhao, JN, Yin, JX, Li, HL: Nonlinear Diffusion Equation. World Scientific, Singapore (2001)