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#### Abstract

By energy estimates and establishing a local (PS) condition, existence of solutions for the $p(x)$-Laplacian problem involving critical growth in a bounded domain is obtained via the variational method under the presence of symmetry. MSC: 35J20; 35J62 Keywords: $p(x)$-Laplacian problem; critical Sobolev exponents concentration-compactness principle


## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the study of problems in differential equations involving variable exponents has been a topic of interest. This is due to their applications in image restoration, mathematical biology, dielectric breakdown, electrical resistivity, polycrystal plasticity, the growth of heterogeneous sand piles and fluid dynamics, etc. We refer readers to [1-7] for more information. Furthermore, new applications are continuing to appear, see, for example, [8] and the references therein.

With the variational techniques, the $p(x)$-Laplacian problems with subcritical nonlinearities have been investigated, see [9-13] etc. However, the existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian problems with critical growth is relatively new. In 2010, Bonder and Silva [14] extended the concentration-compactness principle of Lions to the variable exponent spaces, and a similar result can be found in [15]. After that, there have been many publications for this case, see [16-19] etc.
In this paper, we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p(x)} u=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u+f(x, u), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta_{p(x)} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\lambda>0$ is a real parameter, $p(x), q(x)$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p^{-}:=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<N, \quad 1 \leq q(x) \leq p^{*}(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
p^{*}(x)=\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega}, q(x)=p^{*}(x)\right\} \neq \emptyset . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Related to $f$, we assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying $\sup \{|f(x, s)| ; x \in \Omega,|s| \leq M\}<\infty$ for every $M>0$, and the subcritical growth condition:
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right) f(x, s) \leq C_{1}\left(1+|s|^{\beta(x)-1}\right)$ for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\beta(x)$ is a continuous function in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying $\beta(x)<p^{*}(x), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

For $F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(x, t) d t$, we suppose that $f$ satisfies the following:
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ there are constants $\sigma \in\left[0, p^{-}\right)$and $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ such that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a.e. in $\Omega$,

$$
\frac{1}{p^{+}} f(x, s) s-F(x, s) \geq-a_{1}-a_{2}|s|^{\sigma}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ there are constants $b_{1}, b_{2}>0$ and a continuous function $r(x)<p^{*}(x), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, with $r^{+}>$ $p^{-}$, such that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a.e. in $\Omega$,

$$
F(x, s) \leq b_{1}|s|^{r(x)}+b_{2} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ there are $c_{1}>0, h_{1} \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ with $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|>0$ such that

$$
F(x, s) \geq-h_{1}(x)|s|^{p(x)}-c_{1} \quad \text { for every } s \in \mathbb{R} \text {, a.e. in } \Omega,
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, s)}{|s|^{p^{+}}}=\infty \quad \text { uniformly a.e. in } \Omega_{0}
$$

Now we state our result.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that (1.2), (1.3) and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied with $p^{+}<q^{-}, f(x, s)$ is odd in s. Then, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_{k} \in(0, \infty]$ such that problem (1.1) possesses at least $k$ pairs of nontrivial solutions for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k}\right)$.

Our paper is motivated by [17]. In [17], the authors considered the multiple solutions to problem (1.1) under the conditions that $f$ has the form $f(x, t)=a(x)|t|^{p(x)-2} t+g(x, t)$ with $a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $g$ satisfies the following:
( $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ ) there is $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}-a(x)|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x \geq \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x ;
$$

$\left(g_{2}\right) g \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, odd with respect to $t$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g(x, t)=o\left(|t|^{p(x)-1}\right), & |t| \rightarrow 0 \text { uniformly in } x \\
g(x, t)=o\left(|t|^{q(x)-1}\right), & |t| \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly in } x
\end{array}
$$

( $\mathrm{g}_{3}$ ) $G(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{p^{+}} g(x, t) t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. in $\Omega$, where $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s$.
Moreover, they assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=p^{+}, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma=\{x \in \Omega: a(x)>0\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 Assume that (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and $\left(g_{1}\right)-\left(g_{3}\right)$ are satisfied with $p^{+}<q^{-}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ with $\lambda_{k}>\lambda_{k+1}$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$, problem (1.1) has at least $k$ pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Note that $\left(f_{2}\right)$ is a weaker version of $\left(g_{3}\right)$. This condition combined with $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and the concentration-compactness principle in [14] will allow us to verify that the associated functional satisfies the (PS) condition [20] below a fixed level for $\lambda>0$ sufficiently small. Conditions ( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ ) provide the geometry required by the symmetric mountain pass theorem [20]. Compared with ( $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ ), there is no condition imposed on $f$ near zero in Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, we should mention that our Theorem 1.1 improves the main result found in [21]. In that paper, the authors considered only the case where $p(x)$ is constant, while in our present paper, we have showed that the main result found in [21] is still true for a large class of $p(x)$ functions.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary preliminary knowledge. Section 3 contains the proof of our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions and basic properties of the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. And $C$ will denote generic positive constants which may vary from line to line.

Set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}): p(x)>1, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\} .
$$

For any $p(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in M(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $M(\Omega)$ is the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$.

Define the space

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)} .
$$

By $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we denote the subspace of $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}$. Further, we have

Lemma 2.1 $[22,23]$ There is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$
|u|_{p(x)} \leq C|\nabla u|_{p(x)} .
$$

So, $|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ and $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}$ are equivalent norms in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Hence we will use the norm $\|u\|=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma $2.2[22,23] \operatorname{Set} \rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x$. For $u, u_{n} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have:
(1) $|u|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$.
(2) If $|u|_{p(x)}>1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$.
(3) If $|u|_{p(x)}<1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$.
(4) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(u_{n}-u\right)=0$.
(5) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}=\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(u_{n}\right)=\infty$.

Lemma 2.3 [23] If $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p_{1}(x) \leq p_{2}(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$, then there exists the continuous embedding $L^{p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.4 [22] If $q(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for any $x \in \Omega$, the embedding $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact.

Lemma 2.5 [23] The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u v| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} .
$$

The energy functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is defined on $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I_{\lambda} \in C^{1}\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\forall u, \phi \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u \phi d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \phi d x .
$$

We say that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) in the weak sense if for any $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u \phi d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \phi d x=0 .
$$

So, the weak solution of problem (1.1) coincides with the critical point of $I_{\lambda}$. Next, we need only to consider the existence of critical points of $I_{\lambda}(u)$.
We say that $I_{\lambda}(u)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subseteq W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, such that $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, possesses a convergent subsequence. In this article, we shall be using the following version of the symmetric mountain pass theorem [20].

Lemma 2.6 [20] Let $E=V \oplus X$, where $E$ is a real Banach space and $V$ is finite dimensional. Suppose that $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional satisfying $I(0)=0$ and
(i) there is a constant $\rho>0$ such that $I_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap X} \geq 0$;
(ii) there is a subspace $W$ of $E$ with $\operatorname{dim} V<\operatorname{dim} W<\infty$ and there is $M>0$ such that $\max _{u \in W} I(u)<M$;
(iii) considering $M>0$ given by (ii), I satisfies (PS) ${ }_{c}$ for $0 \leq c \leq M$.

Then I possesses at least $\operatorname{dim} W-\operatorname{dim} V$ pairs of nontrivial critical points.

Next we would use the concentration-compactness principle for variable exponent spaces. This will be the keystone that enables us to verify that $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition.

Lemma 2.7 [14] Let $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ be two continuous functions such that

$$
1<\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq \sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<N \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \leq q(x) \leq p^{*}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
$$

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a weakly convergent sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ with weak limit $u$ such that:

- $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \rightharpoonup \mu$ weakly in the sense of measures;
- $\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in the sense of measures.

Also assume that $\mathcal{A}=\left\{x \in \Omega: q(x)=p^{*}(x)\right\}$ is nonempty. Then, for some countable index set $K$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v=|u|^{q(x)}+\sum_{i \in K} v_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}, \quad v_{i} \geq 0,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \mu \geq|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\sum_{i \in K} \mu_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}, \quad \mu_{i} \geq 0,  \tag{2.3}\\
& S v_{i}^{1 / p^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)} \leq \mu_{i}^{1 / p\left(x_{i}\right)} \quad \forall i \in K, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in K} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $S$ is the best constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality for variable exponents, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{q}(\Omega):=\inf _{\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\phi\|}{|\phi|_{q(x)}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Proof of main results

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ with $p^{+}<q^{-}$. Then, given $M>0$, there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for all $c<M$, provided $0<\lambda<\lambda_{*}$.

Proof (1) The boundedness of the $(P S)_{c}$ sequence.
Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence, i.e., $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfies $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$, and $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq 1$, we have done. So we only need to consider the case that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>1$ with $\left|u_{n}\right|_{q(x)}>1$. We know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| & \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-a_{1}|\Omega|-a_{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\sigma} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $q^{-} \leq q(x), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{q^{-}}(\Omega)$, so $|u|_{q^{-}} \leq C_{1}|u|_{q(x)} \leq C\|u\|$. Let $\alpha=\left(q^{-}-\sigma\right) / q^{-}$, then $0<\alpha<1$, and from the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\sigma} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{-}} d x\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{q^{-}}}|\Omega|^{\frac{q^{-}-\sigma}{q^{-}}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\sigma^{-}} d x\right)^{(1-\alpha)}|\Omega|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq|\Omega|^{\alpha} C^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, from Lemma 2.2(2), we can also obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\sigma} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q^{-}} d x\right)^{(1-\alpha)}|\Omega|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq|\Omega|^{\alpha}\left(C_{1}\left|u_{n}\right|_{q(x)}\right)^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}} \\
& \leq|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x\right)^{(1-\alpha)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-a_{1}|\Omega|-a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x\right)^{(1-\alpha)} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| & \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-a_{1}|\Omega|-C\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq C+C\left\|u_{n}\right\|+C\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1), (3.3) and ( $f_{1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}} & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leq C+C \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq C+C\left\|u_{n}\right\|+C\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $(1-\alpha) q^{-}=\sigma<p^{-}$, we have that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
(2) Up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 2.7, we can assume that there exist two measures $\mu, v$ and a function $u \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \\
& \left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text { weakly in the sense of measures, } \\
& \left|u_{n}\right|^{\mid(x)} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { weakly in the sense of measures, } \\
& v=|u|^{q(x)}+\sum_{j \in K} v_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \\
& \mu \geq|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+\sum_{j \in K} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \\
& S v_{j}^{1 / p^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)} \leq \mu_{j}^{1 / p\left(x_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a function $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1, \varphi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(0,1)$ and $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B(0,2)$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \varepsilon>0$ and $j \in K$, let $\varphi_{j, \varepsilon}(x)=\varphi\left(\frac{x-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}\right)$. It is clear that $\left\{\varphi_{j, \varepsilon} u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. From $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we can obtain $\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} u_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot u_{n} \nabla \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x \\
-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

From $\left(f_{1}\right)$, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot u_{n} \nabla \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x \\
& \quad=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d v-\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d \mu+\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality, it is easy to check that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot u_{n} \nabla \varphi_{j, \varepsilon} d x=0
$$

From (3.5), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\lambda v_{j}=\mu_{j}$. From Lemma 2.7, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{j}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad v_{j} \geq S^{N} \max \left\{\lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{+}}}, \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{-}}}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $M>0$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{*}= & \min \left\{S^{p^{+}}, S^{p^{-}},\left(\frac{S^{N}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\left(M+a_{1}|\Omega|+a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}-\frac{1}{\alpha}}},\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{S^{N}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\left(M+a_{1}|\Omega|+a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{-}-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S$ is given by (2.5). Considering $0<\lambda<\lambda_{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<S^{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{+}}}, \quad 1<S^{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{-}}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{M+a_{1}|\Omega|+a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}}{\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}<S^{N} \min \left\{\lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{+}}}, \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{+}}}\right\} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\int_{\Omega} d v<S^{N} \min \left\{\lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{+}}}, \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p^{-}}}\right\}$. Indeed, if $\int_{\Omega} d v \leq 1$, this follows by (3.7). Otherwise, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} d v & \leq a_{1}|\Omega|+a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega} d v\right)^{1-\alpha}+c \\
& \leq\left(M+a_{1}|\Omega|+a_{2}|\Omega|^{\alpha} C_{1}^{(1-\alpha) q^{-}}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} d v\right)^{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (3.8), the claim is proved. As a consequence of this fact, we conclude that $v_{j}=0$ for all $j \in K$. Therefore, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Then, with the similar step in [17], we can get that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Next we prove Theorem 1.1 by verifying that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.6. First, we recall that each basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ for a real Banach space $E$ is a Schauder
basis for $E$, i.e., given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the functional $e_{n}^{*}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
e_{n}^{*}(v)=\alpha_{n}, \quad v=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} e_{i} \in E
$$

is a bounded linear functional [24, 25]. Now, fixing a Schauder basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{j}=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega): e_{i}^{*}(u)=0, i>j\right\},  \tag{3.9}\\
& X_{j}=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega): e_{i}^{*}(u)=0, i \leq j\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

then $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=V_{j} \oplus X_{j}$.

Lemma 3.2 Given $1 \leq r(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\delta>0$, there is $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $u \in X_{j},|u|_{r(x)} \leq \delta\|u\|$.

Proof We prove the lemma by contradiction. Suppose that there exist $\delta>0$ and $u_{j} \in X_{j}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|u_{j}\right|_{r(x)} \geq \delta\left\|u_{j}\right\|$. Taking $v_{j}=\frac{u_{j}}{\left|u_{j}\right|_{r(x)}}$, we have $\left|v_{j}\right|_{r(x)}=1$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left\|v_{j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$. Hence $\left\{v_{j}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a bounded sequence, and we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $v_{j} \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, $e_{n}^{*}(v)=0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since $e_{n}^{*}\left(v_{j}\right)=0$ for all $j \geq n$. This shows that $v=0$. On the other hand, by the compactness of the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $|\nu|_{r(x)}=1$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3.3 Suppose that $f$ satisfies $\left(f_{3}\right)$, then there exist $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho, \alpha, \tilde{\lambda}>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap X_{j}} \geq \alpha$ for all $0<\lambda<\tilde{\lambda}$.

Proof Now suppose that $\|u\|>1$, with $|u|_{r(x)}>1,|u|_{q(x)}>1$. From $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x-b_{1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{r(x)} d x-b_{2}|\Omega| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, considering $\delta>0$ to be chosen posteriorly by Lemma 3.2, we have, for all $u \in X_{j}$ and $j$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}-\frac{\lambda C}{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{+}}-b_{1} \delta^{r^{+}}\|u\|^{r^{+}}-b_{2}|\Omega| \\
& \geq\|u\|^{p^{-}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-b_{1} \delta^{r^{+}}\|u\|^{r^{+}-p^{-}}\right)-b_{2}|\Omega|-\frac{C \lambda}{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now taking $1<\|u\|=\rho(\delta)$ such that $b_{1} \delta^{r^{+}} \rho^{r^{+}-p^{-}}=\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}$and noting that $r^{+}>p^{-}$, so $\rho(\delta) \rightarrow$ $+\infty$, if $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We can choose $\delta>0$ such that $\frac{\rho^{p^{-}}}{2 p^{+}}-b_{1}|\Omega|>\frac{\rho^{p^{-}}}{4 p^{+}}$. Next, we take $\tilde{\lambda}>0$ such
that for $0<\lambda<\tilde{\lambda}$,

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{\rho^{p^{-}}}{4 p^{+}}-\frac{C \lambda}{q^{-}} q^{q^{+}}>0
$$

for every $u \in X_{j},\|u\|=\rho$, the proof is complete.
Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $f$ satisfies $\left(f_{4}\right)$, then, given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a subspace $W$ of $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and a constant $M_{m}>0$ such that $\operatorname{dim} W=m$ and $\max _{u \in W} I(u)<M_{m}$.

Proof Let $x_{0} \in \Omega_{0}$ and $r_{0}>0$ be such that $\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \subset \Omega$, and $0<\left|\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \cap \Omega_{0}\right|<\frac{\left|\Omega_{0}\right|}{2}$. First, we take $v_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{1}\right)=\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}$. Considering $\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{0} \backslash\left[\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \cap\right.$ $\left.\Omega_{0}\right] \subset \widehat{\Omega}_{0}=\overline{\Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}$, we have $\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \geq \frac{\left|\Omega_{0}\right|}{2}>0$. Let $x_{1} \in \Omega_{1}$ and $r_{1}>0$ such that $\overline{B\left(x_{1}, r_{1}\right)} \subset \widehat{\Omega}_{0}$, and $0<\left|\overline{B\left(x_{1}, r_{1}\right)} \cap \Omega_{1}\right|<\frac{\left|\Omega_{1}\right|}{2}$. Next, we take $v_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{2}\right)=$ $\overline{B\left(x_{1}, r_{1}\right)}$. After a finite number of steps, we get $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(v_{j}\right)=\emptyset$, $i \neq j$, and $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{j}\right) \cap \Omega_{0}\right|>0$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. Let $W=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$, by construction, $\operatorname{dim} W=m$, and for every $v \in W \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nu|^{p^{+}} d x>0 .
$$

Since

$$
\max _{u \in W \backslash\{0\}} I_{0}(u)=\max _{t>0, v \in W \cap \partial B_{1}(0)}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(t|\nabla v|)^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x\right),
$$

consider the case that $t>1$, then $I_{0}(t v) \leq \frac{t p^{+}}{p^{-}}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x=t t^{p^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}-\frac{1}{t p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x\right)$. Now it suffices to verify that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{p^{+}}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x>\frac{1}{p^{-}} .
$$

From condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$, given $L>0$, there is $C>0$ such that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a.e. $x$ in $\Omega_{0}$,

$$
F(x, s) \geq L|s|^{p^{+}}-C .
$$

Consequently, for $v \in \partial B_{1}(0) \cap W$ and $t>1$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x \geq L t^{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|v|^{p^{+}} d x-C t^{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}} h_{1}(x)|v|^{p(x)} d x-C_{2}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, t v) d x}{t^{p^{+}}} \geq\left. L \int_{\Omega_{0}}|v|\right|^{p^{+}} d x-C \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}} h_{1}(x)|v|^{p(x)} d x \geq L r-C R,
$$

where $r=\min \left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}|v|^{p^{+}} d x, v \in \partial B_{1}(0) \cap W\right\}$ and $R=\max \left\{\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}} h_{1}(x)|v|^{p(x)} d x, v \in \partial B_{1}(0) \cap\right.$ $W\}$. Observing that $W$ is finite dimensional, we have $R<+\infty, r>0$, and the inequality is obtained by taking $L>\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+C R\right)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 First, we recall that $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=V_{j} \oplus X_{j}$, where $V_{j}$ and $X_{j}$ are defined in (3.9). Invoking Lemma 3.3, we find $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies (i) with $X=X_{j}$. Now, by Lemma 3.4, there is a subspace $W$ of $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{dim} W=k+j=k+\operatorname{dim} V_{j}$ such that $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies (ii). By Lemma 3.1, $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies (iii). Since $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$ and $I_{\lambda}$ is even, we may apply Lemma 2.6 to conclude that $I_{\lambda}$ possesses at least $k$ pairs of nontrivial critical points. The proof is complete.
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