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#### Abstract

We establish the existence of positive solution for the following class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic problem $$
\text { (P) }\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\mathcal{L} u_{a p}+V(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u=f(u) \quad \text { in } R^{N}, \\ u>0 \quad \text { in } R^{N} ; \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right), \end{array}\right.
$$ where $-\mathcal{L} u_{a p}=-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), 1<p<N,-\infty<a<\frac{N-p}{p}, a \leq e \leq a+1$, $d=1+a-e$, and $p^{*}:=p^{*}(a, e)=\frac{N p}{N-d p}$ denote the Hardy-Sobolev's critical exponent, $V$ is a bounded nonnegative vanishing potential and $f$ has a subcritical growth at infinity. The technique used here is a truncation argument together with the variational approach. MSC: 35B09; 35J10; 35J20; 35J70


## 1 Introduction

Consider the following degenerate quasilinear elliptic problem in $R^{N}$ :

$$
\text { (P) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\mathcal{L} u_{a p}+V(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u=f(u) \quad \text { in } R^{N}, \\
u>0 \quad \text { in } R^{N} ; \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $-\mathcal{L} u_{a p}=-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), 1<p<N,-\infty<a<\frac{N-p}{p}, a \leq e \leq a+1, d=1+a-e$, and $p^{*}:=p^{*}(a, e)=\frac{N p}{N-d p}$ denote the Hardy-Sobolev's critical exponent, $V: R^{N} \rightarrow R$ is a bounded, nonnegative and vanishing potential and $f: R \rightarrow R$ a continuous function with a subcritical growth at infinity. Here, $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right)$ is the completion of the $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{N}\right)$ with the norm $|u|=\left(\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We impose the following hypotheses on $f$ and $V$ :
$f: R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function verifying
$\left(f_{1}\right) \limsup _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{s f(s)}{|x|^{-a p^{*} s p^{*}}}<\infty$, uniformly in $x$.
$\left(f_{2}\right)$ There exists $\alpha \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$ such that $\lim _{\sup _{s \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{s f(s)}{|x|^{-a p^{*} s^{\alpha}}}<\infty$, uniformly in $x$.
$\left(f_{3}\right)$ There exists $\theta>p$ such that $\theta F(s) \leq s f(s)$, for all $s>0$.
$V: R^{N} \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function verifying
$\left(V_{1}\right) \quad V(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in R^{N}$.
( $V_{2}$ ) There are $\Lambda>0$ and $\bar{r}>1$ such that $\inf _{|x|>\bar{r}} V(x)|x|^{\frac{p^{2}[N-p(a+1)]}{(p-1)(N-p)}} \geq \Lambda$.

Remark 1.1 The conditions $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$ imply the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s f(s)| \leq C_{0}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|s|^{\alpha} \quad \text { with } \alpha \in\left(p, p^{*}\right), \text { for all } s \in R . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.2 An example for the function $f$ is given by

$$
f(t)= \begin{cases}|x|^{-a p^{*}} t^{\lambda-1}, & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ |x|^{-a p^{*}} t^{\alpha-1}, & \text { if } t \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

with $\lambda>p^{*}$ and $\alpha$ given by $\left(f_{2}\right)$.
By vanishing potential we mean a potential that vanish on some bounded domain or become very close to zero at infinity. An important example for a such potential is given by

$$
V(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }|x| \leq \bar{r}-1, \\ \Lambda \bar{r}^{-\frac{p^{2}[N-p(a+1)]}{(p-1)(N-p)}}(|x|-\bar{r}+1), & \text { if } \bar{r}-1<|x| \leq \bar{r}, \\ \Lambda|x|^{-\frac{p^{2}[N-p(a+1)]}{(p-1)(N-p)}}, & \text { if }|x| \geq \bar{r}\end{cases}
$$

with $\Lambda>0$.

Consider first the case $a=0$, that is $-\mathcal{L} u_{a p}$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator, and the potential is bounded from below by a positive constant $V_{0}>0$.
Equations involving the $p$-Laplacian operator appear in many problems of nonlinear diffusion. Just to mention, in nonlinear optics, plasma physics, condensed matter physics and in modeling problems in non-Newtonian fluids. For more information on the physical background, we refer to [1].
For the case $p=2$, we cite [2-12], and references therein. In [13], in addition to the above assumptions, the authors consider a local condition, namely,

$$
\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} V<\min _{x \in \partial \Omega} V,
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ is a open bounded set, instead of the global condition imposed by Rabinowitz in [12]. For $p \neq 2$, see [14-17].
Now, consider that $V$ is the zero mass case, that is $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x)=0$. When $p=2$, we cite [18-20] and the recent paper [21] by Alves and Souto.

Let us now consider the case $a \neq 0$ and the potential bounded from below by a positive constant $V_{0}>0$.
In this case, the equations arise in problems of existence of stationary waves for anisotropic Schrödinger equation (see [22]) and others problems (for example, see [6, 23]). We cite [22] for $p=2$; and [24,25] for $p \neq 2$. For the case $V \equiv 0$, we cite [26], for $p=2$ and $a \neq 0$; and [27], for $p \neq 2$ and $a=0$.

The result presented here for $1<p<N$ and $a \neq 0$ extends that one in [21] for $p=2$ and $a=0$. In [21], the presence of Hilbertian structure and some compact embeddings
provide the convergence of the gradient. In the case studied here, with the absence of this structure, we do not obtain the convergence so directly. To overcome this problem, we use a result found in [28,29], whose ideas come from [30,31], when the domain is a smooth and bounded. In addition to this difficulty, there are others. For instance, in the present situation, our space is no longer Hilbert, which forces us to obtain new estimates. Since the problem involves singular terms, the estimates are more refined and for which the principal ingredient is the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality (see [32]). Now we state the main result of this work.

Theorem 1.3 Suppose that $V$ and $f$ satisfy, respectively, $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ to $\left(f_{3}\right)$. Then there is a constant $\Lambda^{*}=\Lambda^{*}\left(V_{\infty}, \theta, p, c_{0}\right)>0$ such that the problem $(P)$ has a positive solution, for all $\Lambda \geq \Lambda^{*}$, being $V_{\infty}$ the maximum of the $f$ in the ball of $R^{N}$ centered in the origin with radius 1.

In order to prove this theorem, we first build an auxiliary problem $(A P)$, and then we solve the problem $(A P)$ using variational methods. To finish, we show that the solution of $(A P)$ is also a solution of $(P)$. These steps are the content of the next three sections.
Hereafter, $C$ is a positive constant which can change value in a sequence of inequalities. We denote $B_{R}=B_{R}(0)$ the ball in $R^{N}$ centered in the origin with radius $R$. The weak $(\rightharpoonup)$ and strong $(\rightarrow)$ convergences are always taken as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\int_{A} f$ means $\int_{A} f(x) d x$. The weighted $L^{p}$ spaces are denoted by $L_{\alpha}^{p}(A)=\left\{u: R^{N} \rightarrow R: \int_{A}|x|^{-\alpha}|u|^{p}<\infty\right\}$. When $\alpha=0$, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(A)}$ the usual norm in $L^{p}(A)$, with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $A=R^{N}$, we use $\|\cdot\|_{p}$.

## 2 The auxiliary problem

As usual, since we are looking for positive solutions of problem $(P)$, we set $f(t)=0$, for all $t \leq 0$. The hypothesis ( $V_{1}$ ) allows us to consider the space

$$
E=\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right): \int_{R^{N}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}<\infty\right\},
$$

with norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Associated to the problem $(P)$, we define on $E$, the Euler-Lagrange functional

$$
I(u)=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{R^{N}} F(u),
$$

being $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$. From the assumptions on $f$, it follows that $I$ is $C^{1}$ with Gâteaux derivative

$$
I^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u v-\int_{R^{N}} f(u) v, \quad v \in E .
$$

To obtain solutions of problem $(P)$, we introduce some truncation of the function $f$. Consider $k=\frac{p \theta}{\theta-p}>p, \bar{r}>1$ and define

$$
g(x, t)= \begin{cases}f(t), & |x| \leq \bar{r},  \tag{2}\\ f(t), & |x|>\bar{r} \text { and } f(t) \leq \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p-2} t, \\ \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p-2} t, & |x|>\bar{r} \text { and } f(t)>\frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p-2} t .\end{cases}
$$

Now we define the auxiliary problem:
(AP) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\mathcal{L} u_{a p}+V(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u=g(x, u) \quad \text { in } R^{N}, \\ u>0 \quad \text { in } R^{N} ; \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right) .\end{array}\right.$
Associated to the problem $(A P)$, we define, on $E$, the Euler-Lagrange functional

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{R^{N}} G(x, u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\int_{R^{N}} G(x, u),
$$

being $G(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(x, t) d t$. From the assumptions on $f$, it follows that $J$ is $C^{1}$ with Gâteaux derivative

$$
J^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u v-\int_{R^{N}} g(x, u) v, \quad v \in E .
$$

## 3 Solving the problem (AP)

In this section, we show that the problem $(A P)$ has a least energy solution, but first we define some minimax levels. To begin with we set in the space $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$, the norm $\|u\| \|=\left(\int_{B_{1}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V_{\infty}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and we define the functional $I_{0}$ given by $I_{0}(u)=$ $\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{1}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V_{\infty}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{B_{1}} F(u)$. Here, $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$ is the completion of the $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)$ with the norm $|u|=\left(\int_{B_{1}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Lemma 3.1 The functional $I_{0}$ has the mountain pass geometry, namely,

1. $\exists r_{0}, \rho_{0}>0$ such that $I_{0}(u) \geq \rho_{0}$ for $\left\|\|u\|=r_{0}\right.$.
2. $\exists e_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $\left\|e_{0}\right\| \| \geq r_{0}$ and $J\left(e_{0}\right) \leq 0$.

Proof By using the growth of $f$ given in Remark 1.1 and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality (see [32]), we get $\int_{B_{1}} F(u) \leq \int_{B_{1}} c_{0}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}} \leq c_{0}\|u\| \|^{p^{*}}$, and hence $I_{0}(u) \geq$ $\frac{1}{p}\|u\|\left\|^{p}-c_{0}\right\|\|u\| \|^{p^{*}}$. Since $p^{*}>p$, there exists $r_{0}$ such that $\rho_{0}:=\frac{1}{p} r_{0}^{p}-c r_{0}^{p^{*}}>0$. Thus, we have $I_{0}(u) \geq \rho_{0}$ for $\|u\| \|=r_{0}$. $\operatorname{By}\left(f_{3}\right)$, it follows that there exist $\theta>p$ and $C>0$ such that $F(s) \geq C|s|^{\theta}$. Now $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$ implies $I_{0}\left(t u_{0}\right) \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\| \|^{p}-t^{\theta} C \int_{B_{1}}\left|u_{0}\right|^{\theta}$. Since $\theta>p$, there exists a $t_{0}$ large enough such that, taking $e_{0}=t_{0} u_{0}$, we have $I_{0}\left(e_{0}\right)<0$ and $\left\|e_{0}\right\| \| \geq r_{0}$.

Lemma 3.2 The functional $J$ has the mountain pass geometry, namely,

1. $\exists r_{1}, \rho_{1}>0$ such that $J(u) \geq \rho_{1}$ for $\|u\|=r_{1}$.
2. $\exists e_{1} \in E$ such that $\left\|e_{1}\right\| \geq r_{1}$ and $J\left(e_{1}\right) \leq 0$.

Proof From the definition of $G$, we have $\int_{R^{N}} G(x, u) \leq \int_{R^{N}} F(u)$. Thus, like the previous lemma, we have $J(u) \geq \rho_{1}:=\frac{1}{p} r_{1}^{p}-c r_{1}^{p^{*}}>0$ for $\|u\|=r_{1}$. Take the same $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$ of the
proof of the previous lemma. Thus, $u_{0} \in E$ and $G(x, u)=F(u)$. With the same argument, we have $J\left(t u_{0}\right) \leq \frac{t p}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}-t^{\theta} C \int_{R^{N}}\left|u_{0}\right|^{\theta}$. Since $\theta>p$, there exists a $t_{1}$ large enough such that, taking $e_{1}=t_{1} u_{0}$, we have $J\left(e_{1}\right)<0$ and $\left\|e_{1}\right\| \geq r_{1}$.

Next, we are going to define two minimax levels, which will play an important role in our arguments. Note that is possible to take $t$ such that $e=t u_{0}$ satisfies two previous lemmas. This allows to define the minimax levels $c$ and $\bar{c}$ by

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t)) \quad \text { with } \Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } \gamma(1)=e\}
$$

and

$$
\bar{c}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{0}(\gamma(t)) \quad \text { with } \Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)\right): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } \gamma(1)=e\right\}
$$

respectively. Since $J\left(u_{0}\right) \leq I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$, we have $c \leq \bar{c}$, by their definitions. Now using the above lemma together with the mountain pass theorem [33, Theorem 2.2], we conclude that there exists a Palais-Smale sequence ((PS) sequence for short) $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset E$ for $J$, i.e., $\left(u_{n}\right)$ satisfies $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 3.3 Suppose $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ to $\left(f_{3}\right)$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset E$ be a $(P S)$ sequence for the functional J. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $E$.

Proof Define the set $A=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|x| \leq R\right.$ or $\left.f(u(x)) \leq \frac{V(x)}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)\right\}$. In $A$, we have $G(x, u)=F(u)$. By using $\left(f_{3}\right)$, we conclude that there is $\theta>p$ such that $-G(x, u)+$ $\frac{1}{\theta} u g(x, u) \geq 0$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{A} G(x, u) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{A} u g(x, u)\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p} \geq \frac{(p-1)}{p k} \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the set $B=A^{c}=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|x|>R\right.$ and $\left.f(u(x))>\frac{V(x)}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)\right\}$. In $B$, we have $\int_{B} u g(x, u)>0$ and $G(x, u)=\frac{V}{p k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{B} G(x, u) \\
&-\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\int_{B}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{B} u g(x, u)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k} \int_{B}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}-\int_{B} \frac{V}{p k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{(p-1)}{p k} \int_{B}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get $J(u)-\frac{1}{\theta} J^{\prime}(u) u \geq \frac{(p-1)}{p k}\|u\|^{p}$. In particular, the above equation holds for the $(P S)$ sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ for $J$, and we have $J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta} J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \geq \frac{(p-1)}{p k}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$. On the other hand,
we have $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \theta>p>1$ and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$, since $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we get $J\left(u_{n}\right)-$ $\frac{1}{\theta} J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq M+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M+\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, for some constant $M>0$. Then we have $\frac{(p-1)}{p k}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} \leq$ $M+\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left((p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-1}-p k\right) \leq p k M \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, equation (4) implies that $(p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-1}-p k \rightarrow 0$. So $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $\left(\frac{p k}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded in $E$.

Lemma 3.4 Suppose $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ to $\left(f_{3}\right)$. Then the functional $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, i.e., every (PS) sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proof Observe that, given the (PS) sequence ( $u_{n}$ ), by Lemma 3.3, there exists $u \in E$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, because $E$ is reflexive space. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Thus, it is enough to show that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|u\|$. We divide this task in the four claims below.

1. Claim $1 \int_{R^{N}} u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}} u g(x, u)$.
2. Claim $2 \int_{R^{N}} u g\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}} u g(x, u)$.
3. Claim $3 \int_{R^{N}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}$.
4. Claim $4 \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}$.

Assuming Claims 1 to 4 for now, we proceed with the proof of lemma.
Since $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-\int_{R^{N}} u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1)$, and by Claim 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}=\int_{R^{N}} u g(x, u) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u \rightarrow 0$, we get $\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u-\int_{R^{N}} u g\left(x, u_{n}\right)=$ $o_{n}(1)$. Passing the limit in the above equation and using Claims 2,3 and 4 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{p}=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}=\int_{R^{N}} u g(x, u) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (5) and (6), we have $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|u\|$.

In order to prove the claims, for a given $\epsilon>0$, we choose $r$ satisfying, the following two conditions:

1. $\max \left\{\int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}, \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}\right\} \leq \epsilon$.
2. $\quad \eta=\eta_{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{r}^{c}\right)$ is such that $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_{2 r}^{c}$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1,|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{r^{d}}$, for all $x \in R^{N}$.

Observe that condition 1 follows by integrability of $|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}$ and $V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}$. Note that, when one of these conditions holds for some $r_{0}$, it also verifies for every $r \geq r_{0}$. Thus, we can choose an $r$ that satisfies both conditions.

Remark 3.5 From now on, we consider the function $g$ defined in (2) with $\bar{r}=r$ satisfying conditions 1 and 2 above. From the growth of $g$ and the choice of $r$, we conclude:

1. $g(x, t)=f(t), G(x, t)=F(t)$ in $B_{r}$;
2. $g(x, t) \leq \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p-2} t, G(x, t) \leq \frac{V}{p k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p}$ in $B_{r}^{c}$;
3. $\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|u g(x, u)| \leq \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|u|^{V} \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}<\epsilon$.

## Verification of claims

In all proofs, except for Claim 4, we consider separately integration in $B_{2 r}$ and $B_{2 r}^{c}$.
Claim 1: In $B_{2 r}$, by combining the dominated convergence theorem with the compact embedding of $E$ in $L_{\alpha}^{r}\left(B_{2 r}\right), 1 \leq r<p^{*}$ and $\alpha<(a+1) r+N\left(1-\frac{r}{p}\right)$ (see [34, Theorem 2.1]), we obtain $\int_{B_{2 r}} u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \int_{B_{2 r}} u g(x, u)$. On the other hand, when integrating in $B_{2 r}^{c}$ we do not have the compact embedding of $E$ in $L_{\alpha}^{r}\left(B_{2 r}^{c}\right)$. In this case, we first estimate $\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}$, by using the cut-off function $\eta$ defined before. As $\left(\eta u_{n}\right)$ is also bounded we have $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(\eta u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(\eta u_{n}\right)+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \eta u_{n}=o_{n}(1)+\int_{R^{N}} \eta g\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta \equiv 0$ in $B_{r}$, equation (7) holds in $B_{r}^{c}$. Adding to this, the fact that $g(x, t) \leq$ $\frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|t|^{p-2} t$ in $B_{r}^{c}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta\left(|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)+|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}(\nabla \eta) u_{n} \\
& =\int_{B_{r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(\eta u_{n}\right)+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \eta u_{n} \\
& =o_{n}(1)+\int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta g\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq o_{n}(1)+\int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}^{c}} & \eta\left(|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \\
\leq & o_{n}(1)+\int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}+\int_{B_{r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}|\nabla \eta| \\
\leq & o_{n}(1)+\int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}+\int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}|\nabla \eta| \\
& +\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}|\nabla \eta| \\
\leq & o_{n}(1)+\frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta\left(|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)+\frac{2}{r^{d}} \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta\left(|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \quad \leq o_{n}(1)+\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} \frac{2}{r^{d}} \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the boundedness of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $E$, i.e., $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq C$, strong convergence of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $L_{a p}^{p}\left(B_{2 r} \backslash\right.$ $B_{r}$ ) and Hölder's inequality we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} & \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}|u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq C \omega_{N}^{d}(2 r)^{d}\left(\int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}}, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{N}$ is the volume of the unit sphere in $R^{N}$. Therefore, given $\epsilon>0$, by the choice of $r$ and by equations (9) and (8), we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r}^{c}} \eta\left(|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[o_{n}(1)+\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} \frac{2}{r^{d}} \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\right] \\
& \leq C \omega_{N}^{\frac{d}{N}}\left(\int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}} \leq \epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this fact, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using Remark 3.5, we have $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}\left|u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u g(x, u)\right|=0$.
Therefore, $\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} u_{n} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} u g(x, u)$ and the proof of Claim 1 is completed.
Claim 2: The proof of this fact is made as in the proof of Claim 1.
Claim 3: In $B_{2 r}$ the proof proceeds like in Claim 1. For integration in $B_{2 r}^{c}$, we estimate the value of $\int_{B_{2 r}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u$ by using the Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u & \leq\left(\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equations (10) and (11), we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left(\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}=0 .
$$

From this fact, we get $\int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} u \rightarrow \int_{B_{2 r}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p}$ and the proof of this claim is completed.
Claim 4: Let $p^{\prime}$ be dual of $p$. Since $u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right)=\left\{u: R^{N} \rightarrow R^{N}:|x|^{-a} u \in L^{p^{*}}\left(R^{N}\right)\right.$ and $\left.|x|^{-a} \nabla u \in L^{p}\left(R^{N}\right)\right\}$, b we see that $h=|x|^{-a}|\nabla u| \in L^{p}\left(R^{N}\right)$ and $w_{n}=|x|^{-a(p-1)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{\mid R-2} \nabla u_{n} \in$
$L^{p^{\prime}}\left(R^{N}\right)$. As $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded, we conclude that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(R^{N}\right)}$ is also bounded. Moreover, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.6, below. So that we have $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. $x \in R^{N}$, which give us $w_{n} \rightarrow w=|x|^{-a(p-1)}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ a.e. $x \in R^{N}$. Using a theorem [35, Theorem 13.44], we conclude that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(R^{N}\right)$. Thus, we have $\int_{R^{N}} w_{n} h \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}} w h$, and hence $\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u \rightarrow \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}$.

Lemma 3.6 Let $E$ and J be respectively the space and functional defined in Section 2. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset E$ a bounded sequence such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E$ and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$, a.e. $x \in R^{N}$.

The proof of this lemma follows using the same ideas made in [30] and [31], for a bounded domain. It can be found in [28, Claim 1] and [29, Lemma 1].

Using Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and the mountain pass theorem, in [36, Theorem 2.4], we conclude that there exists $u \in E$ which is a critical point for the functional $J$, in the minimax level $c$. Moreover, $u$ is the least energy solution to the problem ( $A P$ ).

## 4 The solution of $(A P)$ is solution of $(P)$

Now, our aim is to show that the solution found in the previous section is also a solution of the problem $(P)$. It is sufficient to verify $f(u) \leq \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u$, for all $x \in B_{r}^{c}$.

Lemma 4.1 Any least energy solution $u$ of (AP) satisfies the estimate $\|u\|^{p} \leq \frac{p k \bar{c}}{p-1}$.
Proof Since $u$ is a critical point in the minimax level $c \leq \bar{c}$, by Lemma 3.3, we have $\frac{(p-1)}{p k}\|u\|^{p} \leq J(u)-\frac{1}{\theta} J^{\prime}(u) u=c \leq \bar{c}$. So that $\|u\|^{p} \leq \frac{p k \bar{c}}{p-1}$.

Remark 4.2 The constant $\frac{p k \bar{c}}{p-1}$ depends only on $V_{\infty}, \theta$ and $f$.
Lemma 4.3 Let $h$ be such that $|x|^{-a p^{*}}|h|^{q}$ is integrable, with $p q>N$. Consider $H: R^{N} \times$ $R \rightarrow R$, and $b: R^{N} \rightarrow R$ nonnegative and continuous functions such that $|H(x, s)| \leq$ $h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|s|^{p-1}$, for all $s>0$. Let $v \in E$ be a weak solution of (AP2):

$$
-\mathcal{L} \nu_{a p}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p-2} v=H(x, v) \quad \text { in } R^{N}
$$

Then there exists a constant $M=M\left(q,\|h\|_{L_{a p^{*}}^{q}\left(R^{N}\right)}\right)>0$ such that $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq M\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}}$.
Proof Given $m \in N$ and $\beta>1$, set $A_{m}=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|v|^{\beta-1} \leq m\right\}, B_{m}=R^{N} \backslash A_{m}$ and

$$
v_{m}= \begin{cases}v|v|^{p(\beta-1)} & \text { in } A_{m} \\ m^{p} v & \text { in } B_{m}\end{cases}
$$

Thus

$$
\nabla v_{m}= \begin{cases}(p \beta-p+1)|\nu|^{p(\beta-1)} \nabla v & \text { in } A_{m} \\ m^{p} \nabla v & \text { in } B_{m}\end{cases}
$$

Then $v_{m} \in E$ and using it as the test function in (AP2). we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla v_{m}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p-2} v v_{m}=\int_{R^{N}} H(x, v) v_{m} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of $v_{m}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla v_{m} \\
& \quad=(p \beta-p+1) \int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|v|^{p(\beta-1)}|\nabla v|^{p}+m^{p} \int_{B_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p-2} v v_{m}=\int_{A_{m}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p \beta}+m^{p} \int_{B_{m}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p}>0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (13) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|v|^{p(\beta-1)}|\nabla v|^{p} \\
& \quad \leq(p \beta-p+1)^{-1} \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla v_{m}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p-2} \nu v_{m} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting

$$
w_{m}= \begin{cases}v|v|^{\beta-1} & \text { in } A_{m} \\ m v & \text { in } B_{m}\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\nabla w_{m}= \begin{cases}\beta|v|^{\beta-1} \nabla v & \text { in } A_{m} \\ m \nabla v & \text { in } B_{m}\end{cases}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla w_{m}\right|^{p}=\beta^{p} \int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|v|^{p(\beta-1)}|\nabla v|^{p}+m^{p} \int_{B_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, taking into account (14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R^{N}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|w_{m}\right|^{p} & =\int_{A_{m}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p \beta}+m^{p} \int_{B_{m}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p} \\
& =\int_{R^{N}} b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p-2} v v_{m} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (17), (13) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla w_{m}\right|^{p}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|w_{m}\right|^{p}-\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla v_{m}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p-2} v v_{m} \\
& \quad=\left(\beta^{p}-p \beta+p-1\right) \int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p}|v|^{p(\beta-1)}|\nabla v|^{p} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (18), (15) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}\left|\nabla w_{m}\right|^{p}+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|w_{m}\right|^{p} \leq \beta^{p} \int_{R^{N}} H(x, v) v_{m} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S$ be the best constant for inequality $\left(\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq S \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}$, for all $u \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right)$. (See [32].) By the inequality (19), the boundedness of $H$ and the definitions of $v_{m}$ and $S$, we get $\left(\int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}\left|w_{m}\right|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq S \beta^{p} \int_{R^{N}} h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p \beta}$. Since $\left|w_{m}\right|=|\nu|^{\beta}$ in $A_{m}$, we have

$$
\left(\int_{A_{m}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p^{*} \beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq S \beta^{p} \int_{R^{N}} h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|v|^{p \beta}
$$

Making $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$
\left(\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p^{*} \beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq S \beta^{p} \int_{R^{N}} h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{p \beta}
$$

Since $p q>N, \sigma=\frac{N}{q_{1}(N-p)}>1$. Thus, we can consider $\beta=\sigma^{j}$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots$ and, using the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j}}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma^{j}}^{p \sigma^{j}} & \leq S \sigma^{j p} \int_{R^{N}} h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|\nu|^{\mid \sigma^{j}} \\
& \leq S \sigma^{j p}\left[\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|h(x)|^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\left[\left.\left.\int_{R^{N}}| | x\right|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j-1}}}|v|\right|^{p \sigma^{j} q_{1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{q_{1}}} \\
& \leq M_{0} \sigma^{j p}\left[\left.\int_{R^{N}}| | x\right|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma j-1}}|v|^{p \sigma^{j} q_{1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{q_{1}}} \leq M_{0} \sigma^{j p}\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j-1}}} v\right\|_{p \sigma^{j} q_{1}}^{p \sigma_{1}^{j}},
\end{aligned}
$$

being $M_{0}=S\left[\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|h(x)|^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$. Note that $M_{0}$ is independent of $j$. Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j}}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma^{j}} \leq M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p \sigma^{j}}} \sigma \frac{j}{\sigma^{j}}\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j-1}}} v\right\|_{p \sigma^{j} q_{1}} \quad \text { for all } j=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j=1$ and $j=2$, we have $p \sigma q_{1}=p^{*}$ and $p \sigma^{2} q_{1}=p^{*} \sigma$. Applying this in (20), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma} \leq M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p \sigma}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}} \quad \text { and } \\
& \left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{2}}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma^{2}} \leq M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p \sigma^{2}}} \sigma^{\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}}\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By iterating, we have

$$
\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{2}}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma^{2}} \leq \sigma^{\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}} M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\right)}\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\left\||x|^{-\frac{a}{\sigma^{j}}} v\right\|_{p^{*} \sigma^{j}} \leq \sigma^{\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{j}{\sigma^{j}}} M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\sigma^{j}}\right)}\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}} \quad \text { for all } j=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Then we can say that, for all $t \geq p^{*}$, we have

$$
\|v\|_{t} \leq \sigma^{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)^{2}}} M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p(\sigma-1)}}\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}}
$$

Putting $M:=\sigma^{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)^{2}}} M_{0}^{\frac{1}{p(\sigma-1)}}$, we get

$$
\|v\|_{\infty}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|v\|_{t} \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} M\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}}=M\left\||x|^{-a} v\right\|_{p^{*}}
$$

As $\sigma$ depends on $q$, we have, by definition of $M$, that $M=M\left(q,\|h\|_{L_{a p^{*}}^{q}\left(R^{N}\right)}\right)>0$.
Remark 4.4 In the previous lemma, the constant $M$ does not depend on the potential $b$ of the problem (AP2).

Lemma 4.5 There exists a constant $M_{1}>0$ such that $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1}$, for all u positive solution of $(A P)$.

Proof Take $r$ from the definition of $g$ and define $A=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|x| \leq r\right.$ or $f(u(x)) \leq$ $\left.\frac{V(x)}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)\right\}$ and $B=R^{N} \backslash A=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|x|>r\right.$ and $f(u(x))>\frac{V(x)}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}} \times$ $\left.|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)\right\}$. Now define $H$ and $b$ by

$$
H(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f(t) & \text { in } A, \\
0 & \text { in } B
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad b(x)= \begin{cases}V(x) & \text { in } A \\
\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right) V(x) & \text { in } B .\end{cases}\right.
$$

We will show that, if $u$ is solution of $(A P)$, then $u$ is weak solution of (AP2).
In particular, we recall that $H(x, u)=f(u)=g(x, u)$, in $A$, and $g(x, u)=\frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u$, in $B$. Using the fact that $R^{N}$ is the disjoint union of $A$ and $B$ and the definitions above, for a given $\phi \in E$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u \phi-\int_{R^{N}} H(x, u) \phi \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi+V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u \phi-\int_{R^{N}} g(x, u) \phi=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $u$ verifies $\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi+b|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u \phi=\int_{R^{N}} H(x, u) \phi$, for all $\phi \in E$. From $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we obtain $|f(s)| \leq c_{0}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|s|^{\alpha-1}$, for all $s>0$, with $\alpha \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$. From the definition of $H$, it follows that $|H(x, u)| \leq|f(u)| \leq h(x)|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-1}$, with $h(x)=c_{0}|u|^{\alpha-p}$. Taking $q=\frac{p^{*}}{\alpha-p}$, we have $|x|^{-a p^{*}}|h|^{q}=|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}$ that is integrable. Then $u$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq M\left\||x|^{-a} u\right\|_{p^{*}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of the constant $S$ and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\||x|^{-a} u\right\|_{p^{*}} & =\left(\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}} \leq\left(S \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(S\|u\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(S \frac{p k \bar{c}}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining equations (21) and (22), we obtain $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq M\left(S \frac{p k \bar{c}}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}:=M_{1}$.

Lemma 4.6 Let $r$ be as in the definition of $g$ and consider $R_{0} \geq r$. Let $u$ a be any positive solution of $(A P)$. Then we have

$$
u(x) \leq\|u\|_{\infty} R_{0}^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}|x|^{-\frac{N-p(a+1)}{p-1}} \leq M_{1} R_{0}^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}|x|^{-\frac{N-p(a+1)}{p-1}} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{R_{0}}^{c} .
$$

Proof Consider $v(x)=M_{1} R_{0}^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}|x|^{-\frac{N-p(a+1)}{p-1}}$. By Lemma 4.5, we have $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1}$. So $u \leq v$, for $|x|=R_{0}$. It follows that $(u-v)^{+}=0$, in $|x|=R_{0}$, and the function given by

$$
w= \begin{cases}0, & |x|<R_{0} \\ (u-v)^{+}, & |x| \geq R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

is so that $w \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right)$. Moreover, $w \in E$, because $u, v \in E$. Let us show now that $(u-v)^{+}=$ 0 , in $|x| \geq R_{0}$. Taking $w$ as the test function, using the hypotheses on $g$ and $V$ and the fact that $u$ is positive solution of $(A P)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w & =\int_{R^{N}} g(x, u) w-\int_{R^{N}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u w \\
& =\int_{B_{R_{0}}^{c}} g(x, u) w-\int_{B_{R_{0}}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u w \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{c}} V|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u w \leq 0 . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we considered that $k>1$. Using the radially symmetric form of the operator $-\mathcal{L} v_{a p}$ (see $[37,38]$ ), we have that $-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)=0$ in $B_{R_{0}}^{c}$. In the weak form, it is

$$
\int_{B_{R_{0}}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla \nu \nabla \phi=0 \quad \text { for all } \phi \in E
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla \nu \nabla w=\int_{B_{R_{0}}^{c}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla \nu \nabla w=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $A=\left\{x \in R^{N}:|x| \geq R_{0}\right.$ and $\left.u(x)>v(x)\right\}$ and $B=R^{N} \backslash A$, we have

$$
w= \begin{cases}u-v & \text { in } A \\ 0 & \text { in } B\end{cases}
$$

By (23) and (24), we obtain, for all $1<p<N$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-|\nabla v|^{\mid p-2} \nabla v\right][\nabla u-\nabla v] \\
& \quad=\int_{A}\left[|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right] \nabla w \\
& \quad=\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w-\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla \nu \nabla w \leq 0 . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $2 \leq p<N$. Using the Tolksdorf's inequality (see [39, Lemma 2.1] or [31, Lemma 4.1]) and equation (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla w|^{p} & =\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla u-\nabla v|^{p} \\
& \leq c \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right][\nabla u-\nabla v] \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $1<p<2$, in addition to the above arguments used, we also use the Hölder's inequality. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla w|^{p} \\
& \quad=\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla w|^{p}=\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}\left(|\nabla u-\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq c \int_{A}|x|^{-a p}\left(\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right][\nabla u-\nabla v]\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}(|\nabla u|+|\nabla v|)^{\frac{(2-p) p}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left\{\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right][\nabla u-\nabla v]\right\}^{\frac{p}{2}}\left\{\int_{A}|x|^{-a p}[|\nabla u|+|\nabla v|]^{p}\right\}^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\int_{R^{N}}|x|^{-a p}|\nabla w|^{p} \leq 0$ for all $1<p<N$. Thus, we have $w=0$, in $R^{N}$, which implies that $(u-v)^{+}=0$, in $|x| \geq R_{0}$. From this, we conclude that $u \leq v$ in $R^{N}$, and lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We will show that $f(u) \leq \frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u$ in $B_{r}^{c}$, for all solution $u$ of $(A P)$. By Remark 1 we have $|s f(s)| \leq C_{0}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|s|^{p^{*}}$, which gives us $\frac{f(u)}{\left.|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|\right|^{p-2} u} \leq C_{0}|u|^{\frac{p^{2}}{N-p}}$. Now, note that the hypothesis $\left(V_{3}\right)$ holds for all $R_{0}>R$. Hence, for $R_{0}=r>R$, we can use $\left(V_{3}\right)$ and Lemma 4.6. Thus, for each $x$ in $B_{r}^{c}$, and $\Lambda^{*}=k C_{0} M_{1}^{\frac{p^{2}}{N-p}} R_{0}^{\frac{p^{2}}{p-1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(u)}{|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u} & \leq C_{0}|u|^{\frac{p^{2}}{N-p}} \leq\left.\left. C_{0}\left|M_{1} R_{0}^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right| x\right|^{\frac{N-p(a+1)}{p-1}}\right|^{\frac{p^{2}}{N-p}} \\
& =C_{0} M_{1}^{\frac{p^{2}}{N-p}} R_{0}^{\frac{p^{2}}{p-1}} \frac{V}{V|x|^{\frac{p^{2}[N-p(a+1)]}{(p-1)(N-p)}}}=\frac{\Lambda^{*}}{k} \frac{V}{V|x|^{\frac{p^{2}[N-p(a+1)]}{(p-1)(N-p)}}} \leq \frac{\Lambda^{*}}{\Lambda} \frac{V}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, taking $\Lambda^{*} \leq \Lambda$ it follows that $\frac{f(u)}{|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u} \leq \frac{V}{k}$, for every $x$ in $B_{r}^{c}$, which give us $f(u) \leq$ $\frac{V}{k}|x|^{-a p^{*}}|u|^{p-2} u$, in $B_{r}^{c}$.
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## Endnotes

a The proof of this fact follows by using the same ideas made in the usual Sobolev spaces; see [40].
b The proof of this fact follows by using the same ideas made in the case $\mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(R^{N}\right)$; see [41].

## Received: 28 November 2012 Accepted: 3 April 2013 Published: 17 April 2013

## References

1. Diaz, J: Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries. Elliptic Equations. Pitman, Boston (1986)
2. Alves, CO, Morais Filho, DC, Souto, MA: Radially symmetric solutions for a class of critical exponent elliptic problems in $R^{N}$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 7, 1-12 (1996)
3. Alves, CO, Carriäo, PC, Miyagaki, OH : Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem with critical growth. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 260, 133-146 (2001)
4. Alves, CO, Soares, SHM: Existence and concentration of positive solutions for a class of gradient systems. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 12, 437-457 (2005)
5. Bartsch, T, Wang, Z-Q: Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on $R^{N}$. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 20, 1725-1741 (1995)
6. Berestycki, H, Lions, PL: Nonlinear scalar fields equation, I: existence of a ground state. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82, 313-346 (1983)
7. Costa, DG: On a class of elliptic systems in $R^{N}$. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 1994, 7 (1994)
8. Coti-Zelati, V, Rabinowitz, PH: Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on $R^{N}$. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 45(10), 1217-1269 (1992)
9. Kryszewski, W, Szulkin, A: Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 3, 441-472 (1998)
10. Pankov, AA, Pflüger, K: On a semilinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential. Nonlinear Anal. 33, 593-609 (1998)
11. Pankov, AA: Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals. Milan J. Math. 73, 259-287 (2005)
12. Rabinowitz, PH: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43, 270-291 (1992)
13. del Pino, M, Felmer, P: Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains. Calc. Var. 4, 121-137 (1996)
14. Alves, CO, do Ó, JM, Miyagaki, OH: On perturbations of a class of a periodic $m$-Laplacian equation with critical growth. Nonlinear Anal. 45, 849-863 (2001)
15. Alves, CO, Soares, SHM: Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 15(11-12), 1083-1 102 (2010)
16. Zhu, XP, Yang, J: On the existence of nontrivial solution of a quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem for unbounded domains. Acta Math. Sci. 7, 341-359 (1987)
17. Zhu, XP, Yang, J: The quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent. J. Partial Differ. Equ. 2, 53-64 (1989)
18. Ambrosetti, A, Felli, V, Malchiodi, A: Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 7, 117-144 (2005)
19. Ambrosetti, A, Wang, Z-Q: Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with vanishing and decaying potentials. Differ. Integral Equ. 18, 1321-1332 (2005)
20. Benci, $V$, Grisant, CR, Micheletti, AM: Existence of solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with $V(\infty)=0$. Prog. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 66, 53-65 (2005)
21. Alves, CO, Souto, MAS: Existence of solutions for a class of elliptic equations in $R^{N}$ with vanishing potentials. J. Differ. Equ. 252, 5555-5568 (2012)
22. Wang, Z-Q, Willem, M: Singular minimization problems. J. Differ. Equ. 2(161), 307-320 (2000)
23. DiBenedetto, $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{C}^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. J. Partial Differ. Equ. 8(7), 827-850 (1983)
24. Assunção, RB, Carrião, PC, Miyagaki, OH: Multiplicity results for equations with subcritical Hardy-Sobolev exponents and singularities on a half-space. Mat. Contemp. 32, 1-13 (2007)
25. Miyagaki, OH, Rodrigues, RS: On positive solutions for a class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic positone-semipositone systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 8(334), 818-833 (2007)
26. Catrina, F, Wang, Z-Q: On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence) and symmetry of extremal functions. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 54(2), 229-258 (2001)
27. Noussair, ES, Swanson, CA, Yang, J: Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents. Nonlinear Anal. 20, 285-301 (1993)
28. Assunção, RB, Carrião, PC, Miyagaki, OH: Critical singular problems via concentration-compactness lemma. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326, 137-154 (2007)
29. El Hamidi, A, Rakotoson, JM: Compactness and quasilinear elliptics problems with critical exponents. Differ. Integral Equ. 18, 1201-1220 (2005). Dedicated to professor R. Temam for his 65th anniversary
30. Boccardo, L, Murat, F: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations. Nonlinear Anal. 6(19), 581-597 (1992)
31. Ghoussoub, N, Yuan, C: Multiple solutions for quasi-linear partial differential equations involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 12(352), 5703-5743 (2000)
32. Caffarelli, L, Kohn, R, Nirenberg, L: First order interpolations inequalities with weights. Compos. Math. 53(3), 259-275 (1984)
33. Brezis, H, Nirenberg, L: Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 36(4), 437-477 (1983)
34. Xuan, B: The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights. Nonlinear Anal. 62, 703-725 (2005)
35. Hewitt, E, Stromberg, K: Real and Abstract Analysis. Springer, New York (1975)
36. Ambrosetti, A, Rabinowitz, PH: Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. J. Funct. Anal. 14, 349-381 (1973)
37. Calzolari, E, Filippucci, R, Pucci, P: Dead cores and bursts for p-Laplacian elliptic equations with weights. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 2007, suppl. 1-10 (2007)
38. Lindqvist, P: On the definition and properties of a $p$-superharmonic functions. J. Reine Angew. Math. 365, 67-70 (1986)
39. Simon, J: Régularité de la solution d'une équation non linéaire dans $R^{N}$. In: Journées d'Analyse Non Linéaire (Proc Conf., Besançon, 1977). Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 665, pp. 205-227. Springer, Berlin (1978)
40. Brezis, H: Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer, New York (2010)
41. Ben-Naoum, AK, Troestlers, C, Willem, M: Extrema problems with critical Sobolev exponents on unbounded domains. Nonlinear Anal. 26(4), 823-833 (1996)
[^0]
## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen ${ }^{\ominus}$ journal and benefit from:

Convenient online submission

- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance

Open access: articles freely available online
High visibility within the field
Retaining the copyright to your article

```
Submit your next manuscript at $ springeropen.com
```


[^0]:    doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-92
    Cite this article as: Bastos et al.: Existence of solutions for a class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic equation in $R^{N}$ with vanishing potentials. Boundary Value Problems 2013 2013:92.

