

RESEARCH

Open Access

Multiplicity and uniqueness results for the singular nonlocal boundary value problem involving nonlinear integral conditions

Baoqiang Yan¹, Donal O'Regan^{2,3} and Ravi P Agarwal^{3,4*}

*Correspondence:
agarwal@tamuk.edu

³Department of Mathematics,
Nonlinear Analysis and Applied
Mathematics (NAAM), King
Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi
Arabia

⁴Department of Mathematics, Texas
A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville,
TX 78363, USA

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, using fixed point index and the mixed monotone technique, we present some multiplicity and uniqueness results for the singular nonlocal boundary value problems involving nonlinear integral conditions. Our nonlinearity may be singular in its dependent variable and it is allowed to change sign.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear nonlocal boundary value problem (BVP) of the form

$$-y'' = q(t)f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (1.1)$$

with integral boundary conditions

$$y(0) = \alpha[y] = \int_0^1 (y(s))^a dA(s), \quad y(1) = \beta[y] = \int_0^1 (y(s))^b dB(s) \quad (1.2)$$

involving Stieltjes integrals, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$.

In [1], using the Leray-Schauder alternative, Z. Yang considered the problem

$$-y'' = f(y(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (1.3)$$

with integral boundary conditions

$$y(0) = \alpha[y] = \int_0^1 y(s) dA(s), \quad y(1) = \beta[y] = \int_0^1 y(s) dB(s) \quad (1.4)$$

and discussed the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for BVP (1.3)-(1.4) with a sign-changing nonlinearity f . C.S. Goodrich discussed (1.1) with nonlinear integral conditions

$$y(0) = H_1\left(\int_0^1 y(s) dA(s)\right) + \int_E H_2(s, y(s)) ds, \quad y(1) = 0,$$

where $E \subseteq (0, 1)$ is some measurable set (see [2]). Moreover, there are some interesting results when the measures are signed (see [3–5]). Using the mixed monotone technique, L. Kong considered

$$-y'' = \lambda f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1) \tag{1.5}$$

with (1.4) and discussed the uniqueness of positive solutions (see [4]). J.R.L. Webb discussed the multiplicity of positive solutions for BVP (1.5)-(1.4) when $f(t, y)$ is positive and continuous on $(0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)$; note that f has no singularities at $y = 0$ (see [5]). Using the fixed point index, G. Infante discussed (1.1) with nonlinear integral boundary conditions (see [3]),

$$y(0) + H_1 \left(\int_0^1 y(s) dA(s) \right) = 0, \quad y(1) + u(\eta) = H_2 \left(\int_0^1 y(s) dB(s) \right).$$

Inspired by the above works and [6–16], we consider the BVP (1.1)-(1.2) when f is singular at $y = 0$ and f may be sign changing. Using the fixed point index and the mixed monotone technique we establish some new existence results for the BVP (1.1)-(1.2).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some lemmas and preliminaries. Section 3 discusses the existence of multiple positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2) when f is positive. In Section 4, we discuss the multiplicity of positive solutions for the semipositone BVP (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 5, using the mixed monotone technique, we discuss the uniqueness of a positive solution of BVP (1.1)-(1.2).

2 Preliminaries

Let $C[0, 1] = \{y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid y(t) \text{ is continuous on } [0, 1]\}$ with norm $\|y\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |y(t)|$. It is easy to see that $C[0, 1]$ is a Banach space. Define

$$P = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid y \text{ is concave on } [0, 1] \text{ with } y(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]\}. \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to prove P is a cone of $C[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [17]) *Let Ω be a bounded open set in a real Banach space E , P be a cone of E , $\theta \in \Omega$ and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \rightarrow P$ be continuous and compact. Suppose $\lambda Ax \neq x, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap P, \lambda \in (0, 1]$. Then*

$$i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [17]) *Let Ω be a bounded open set in a real Banach space E , P be a cone of E , $\theta \in \Omega$ and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \rightarrow P$ be continuous and compact. Suppose $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap P$. Then*

$$i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [18]) *Let $y \in P$ (defined in (2.1)). Then*

$$y(t) \geq t(1 - t)\|y\| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.4}$$

Now we present the following conditions for convenience:

(C₁) A and B are of bounded variation with positive measures, $0 < \int_0^1 dA(s)$, $a > 0$,
 $0 < \int_0^1 dB(s)$, $b > 0$,

(C₂)

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a function } \psi_1 \\ \text{continuous on } [0, 1] \text{ and positive on } (0, 1) \text{ such that} \\ f(t, y) \geq \psi_1(t) \text{ on } (0, 1) \times (0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

(C₃)

$$q \in C(0, 1), \quad q > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1) \text{ and } \int_0^1 t(1-t)q(t) dt < \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

(C₄)

$$f : [0, 1] \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ is continuous,} \quad (2.7)$$

(C₅) there exists a continuous function $g : [0, 1] \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $g(t, x, y)$ nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y and for $x > 0$ we have $f(t, x) = g(t, x, x)$. Moreover, there is a constant θ with $0 \leq \theta < 1$ such that

$$g\left(t, \lambda x, \frac{1}{\lambda} y\right) \geq \lambda^\theta g(t, x, y), \quad \forall x > 0, y > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

3 Multiplicity of positive solutions for singular boundary value problems with positive nonlinearities

In this section, we consider the existence of multiple positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2). To show that BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution, for $y \in P$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\epsilon y)(t) &= (1-t)\alpha[y] + t\beta[y] \\ &+ \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)f\left(s, \max\{\epsilon, y(s)\}\right) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], 1 \geq \epsilon > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$k(t, s) = \begin{cases} (1-t)s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose (C₁)-(C₄) hold. Then $T_\epsilon : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and completely continuous for all $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$.*

Proof It is easy to prove that T_ϵ is well defined and $(T_\epsilon y)(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in P$. For $y \in P$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (T_\epsilon y)''(t) \leq 0 & \text{on } (0, 1), \\ (T_\epsilon y)(0) = \alpha[y], & (T_\epsilon y)(1) = \beta[y], \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

so

$$(T_\epsilon y)(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1]. \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently, $T_\epsilon : P \rightarrow P$. A standard argument shows that $T_\epsilon : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and completely continuous (see [4, 19, 20]). \square

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that $\int_0^1 dA(s) > 0$ and $\int_0^1 dB(s) > 0$. Then*

$$\int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > 0, \quad \int_0^1 s^b(1-s)^b dB(s) > 0.$$

The proof is trivial and we omit it.

Define

$$H = \{x \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1((0, 1), \mathbb{R}) \cap C((0, 1), (0, +\infty)) \cap C^2((0, 1), \mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ satisfies} \\ x''(t) + q(t)f(t, \max\{\epsilon, x(t)\}) = 0, 0 < t < 1, x(0) = \alpha[x], x(1) = \beta[x], \forall 1 \geq \epsilon > 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 *If $H \neq \emptyset$ and (C_2) hold, there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$x(t) \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], x \in H.$$

Proof Suppose $x \in H$. There are two cases to consider:

(1) $\|x\| > 1$. Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$x(t) \geq t(1-t)\|x\| \geq t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.4}$$

(2) $0 < \|x\| \leq 1$. Condition (C_2) guarantees that

$$x(t) = (1-t)\alpha[x] + t\beta[x] + \int_0^1 k(t,s)q(s)f(s, \max\{\epsilon, x(s)\}) ds \\ \geq \int_0^1 k(t,s)q(s)\psi_1(s) ds = \gamma_0(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Since $\gamma_0''(t) \geq 0$ and $\gamma_0(0) = 0$ and $\gamma_0(1) = 0$, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$\gamma_0(t) \geq t(1-t)\|\gamma_0\|, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\delta_1 = \min\{1, \|\gamma_0\|\}$. From (3.4) and (3.5), one has

$$x(t) \geq \delta_1 t(1-t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$x(0) = \alpha[x] = \int_0^1 x^a(s) dA(s) \geq \delta_1^a \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > 0$$

and

$$x(1) = \beta[x] = \int_0^1 x^b(s) dB(s) \geq \delta_1^b \int_0^1 s^b(1-s)^b dB(s) > 0.$$

Set

$$\delta_0 = \min \left\{ \delta_1^a \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s), \delta_1^b \int_0^1 s^b(1-s)^b dB(s) \right\}.$$

Since $x(t)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$x(t) \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], x \in H.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that there exists an $\bar{a} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, y)}{y} = +\infty \tag{3.6}$$

uniformly on $[\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$. Then there exists an $R' > 1$ such that for all $R \geq R'$

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

Proof From (3.6), there exists a $R_1 > 1$ such that

$$f(t, y) \geq N^*y, \quad \forall y \geq R_1, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$N^* > \frac{2}{\bar{a}^2 \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s) ds}.$$

Let $R' = \frac{R_1}{\bar{a}^2}$ and

$$\Omega_R = \{x \in C[0, 1] \mid \|x\| < R\}, \quad \forall R \geq R'.$$

Now we show

$$T_\epsilon y \not\leq y \quad \text{for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_R, \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Suppose that there exists a $y_0 \in P \cap \partial\Omega_R$ with $T_\epsilon y_0 \leq y_0$. Then $\|y_0\| = R$. Also since $y_0(t)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$ (since $y_0 \in P$) we have from Lemma 2.3 that $y_0(t) \geq t(1-t)\|y_0\| \geq t(1-t)R$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. For $t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$, one has

$$y_0(t) \geq \bar{a}^2 R \geq \bar{a}^2 R' = R_1, \quad \forall t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}],$$

which together with (3.7) yields the result that

$$f(t, y_0(t)) \geq N^*y_0(t) \geq N^*\bar{a}^2 R, \quad \forall t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]. \tag{3.9}$$

Then we have, using (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_0(\bar{a}) &\geq T_\epsilon y_0(\bar{a}) = (1 - \bar{a})\alpha[y_0] + \bar{a}\beta[y_0] + \int_0^1 k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)f(s, \max\{\epsilon, y_0(s)\}) ds \\
 &\geq \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)f(s, \max\{\epsilon, y_0(s)\}) ds \\
 &= \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)f(s, y_0(s)) ds \\
 &\geq N^* R \bar{a}^2 \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s) ds \\
 &> R = \|y_0\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (3.8) is true. Lemma 2.2 guarantees that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose that $\max\{a, b\} > 1$. Then there exists an $R' > 1$ such that for all $R \geq R'$*

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

Proof Since $\max\{a, b\} > 1$, without loss of generality, we suppose that $a > 1$. Let $R' > 1$ with $R'^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > 1$. Set

$$\Omega_R = \{x \in C[0, 1] \mid \|x\| < R\}, \quad R \geq R'.$$

Now we show

$$T_\epsilon x \not\leq x, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_R \cap P, \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

In fact, suppose that $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_R \cap P$ and satisfies

$$T_\epsilon x_0 \leq x_0.$$

Lemma 2.3 guarantees that

$$x_0(t) \geq \|x_0\|t(1-t) \geq Rt(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &\geq x_0(0) = \int_0^1 x_0^a(s) dA(s) \geq \int_0^1 \|x_0\|^a s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) \\
 &= RR^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) \geq RR'^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > R.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Lemma 2.2 guarantees that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall R \geq R', \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose (C_1) , (C_2) , (C_3) , and (C_4) hold and the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq f(t, y) \leq g(y) + h(y) \text{ on } [0, 1] \times (0, \infty) \text{ with} \\ g > 0 \text{ continuous and nonincreasing on } (0, \infty), \\ h \geq 0 \text{ continuous on } [0, \infty), \text{ and } \frac{h}{g} \\ \text{nondecreasing on } (0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\sup_{r \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(y)} > b_0 \quad (3.11)$$

hold; here

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \max \left\{ \int_0^1 dA(s), \int_0^1 dB(s) \right\}, \\ b_0 &= \max \left\{ 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t(1-t)q(t) dt, 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t(1-t)q(t) dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > 0$ with $\epsilon < \min\{1, c_0\{r^a, r^b\}\}$ and

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(y)} > b_0. \quad (3.13)$$

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\},$$

and T_ϵ is defined in (3.1). Lemma 3.1 guarantees that $T_\epsilon : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and completely continuous.

Now we show that

$$y \neq \lambda T_\epsilon y, \quad \forall y \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap P, \lambda \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.14)$$

Suppose that there is a $y_0 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap P$ and $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$ with $y_0 = \lambda_0 T_\epsilon y_0$, i.e., y_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y_0'' + \lambda_0 q(t)f(t, \max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\}) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ y_0(0) = \lambda_0 \alpha [y_0], & y_0(1) = \lambda_0 \beta [y_0]. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Then $y_0''(t) \leq 0$ on $(0, 1)$ and $y_0(0) = \lambda_0 \alpha[y_0] \leq r^a \int_0^1 dA(s) \leq c_0 r^a < r = \|y_0\|$, $y_0(1) = \lambda_0 \beta[y_0] \leq r^b \int_0^1 dB(s) \leq c_0 r^b < r = \|y_0\|$, which guarantees that there exists a $t_0 \in (0, 1)$, $r = \|y_0\| = y_0(t_0)$ with $y'(t_0) = 0$ and $y_0'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in (0, t_0)$ and $y_0'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in (t_0, 1)$. For $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -y_0''(t) &\leq g(\max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(\max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\})}{g(\max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\})} \right\} q(t) \\
 &\leq g(\max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} q(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

Integrate from t ($t < t_0$) to t_0 to obtain

$$y_0'(t) \leq g(\max\{\epsilon, y_0(t)\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_t^{t_0} q(s) ds \leq g(y_0(t)) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_t^{t_0} q(s) ds$$

and then integrate from 0 to t_0 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\alpha[y_0]}^{y_0(t_0)} \frac{dy}{g(y)} &\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_0^{t_0} \int_s^{t_0} q(\tau) d\tau ds \\
 &= \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_0^{t_0} sq(s) ds \\
 &\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{1-t_0} \int_0^{t_0} s(1-s)q(s) ds \quad \left(\text{note } 1 \leq \frac{1-s}{1-t_0}, \forall s \in [t_0, 1) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\alpha[y_0] \leq c_0 r^a \leq c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}$ yields the result that

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(y)} \leq \int_{\alpha[y_0]}^r \frac{dy}{g(y)} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{1-t_0} \int_0^{t_0} s(1-s)q(s) ds.
 \tag{3.17}$$

Similarly if we integrate (3.16) from t_0 to t ($t \geq t_0$) and then from t_0 to 1 we obtain

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{du}{g(u)} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{t_0} \int_{t_0}^1 s(1-s)q(s) ds.
 \tag{3.18}$$

Now (3.17) and (3.18) imply

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{du}{g(u)} \leq b_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\},$$

which contradicts (3.13). Therefore, (3.14) is true. Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1,
 \tag{3.19}$$

which yields the result that there exists a $y_{1,\epsilon} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ such that

$$T_\epsilon y_{1,\epsilon} = y_{1,\epsilon},$$

i.e., $H \neq \emptyset$ in Lemma 3.3. Moreover, Lemma 3.3 is true, which guarantees that there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$x(t) \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], x \in H. \tag{3.20}$$

Now let $\epsilon_0 = \frac{\delta_0}{2}$. From the above proof, there exists a $x_{1,\epsilon_0} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ such that

$$T_{\epsilon_0} x_{1,\epsilon_0} = x_{1,\epsilon_0}.$$

Since $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq 1$, (3.20) implies that

$$x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) \geq \delta_0 > \epsilon_0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover, since $x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} x''_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) + q(t)f(t, \max\{\epsilon_0, x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)\}) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x_{1,\epsilon_0}(0) = \alpha[x_{1,\epsilon_0}], & x_{1,\epsilon_0}(1) = \beta[x_{1,\epsilon_0}], \end{cases}$$

(3.21) guarantees that

$$\begin{cases} x''_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) + q(t)f(t, x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x_{1,\epsilon_0}(0) = \alpha[x_{1,\epsilon_0}], & x_{1,\epsilon_0}(1) = \beta[x_{1,\epsilon_0}]. \end{cases}$$

Thus, BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold and there exists an $\bar{a} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, y)}{y} = +\infty$$

uniformly on $[\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof Choose $r > 0$ as in (3.13), $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $\epsilon_0 < \min\{\delta_0, 1, c_0\{r^a, r^b\}\}$, where δ_0 is defined in (3.20), and $R > \max\{r, R'\}$ in Lemma 3.4. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < R\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1$$

and

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_2 \cap P, P) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P, P) = -1.$$

Thus, there exist $x_{1,\epsilon_0} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0} \in (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P$ such that

$$T_{\epsilon_0} x_{1,\epsilon_0} = x_{1,\epsilon_0}, \quad T_{\epsilon_0} x_{2,\epsilon_0} = x_{2,\epsilon_0}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, $x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0}(t)$ are two positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold and $\max\{a, b\} > 1$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions.*

Proof Choose $r > 0$ as in (3.13), $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $\epsilon_0 < \min\{\delta_0, 1, c_0\{r^a, r^b\}\}$, where δ_0 is defined in (3.20), and $R > \max\{r, R'\}$ in Lemma 3.5. Set

$$\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < R\}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1$$

and

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_2 \cap P, P) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P, P) = -1.$$

Thus, there exist $x_{1,\epsilon_0} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0} \in (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P$ such that

$$T_{\epsilon_0} x_{1,\epsilon_0} = x_{1,\epsilon_0}, \quad T_{\epsilon_0} x_{2,\epsilon_0} = x_{2,\epsilon_0}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, $x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0}(t)$ are two positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Example 3.1 Consider

$$y''(t) + \mu(y^{-\delta_1}(t) + y^{\delta_2}(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{3.22}$$

with

$$y(0) = \int_0^1 y^{\frac{1}{3}}(s) dA(s), \quad y(1) = \int_0^1 y^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) dB(s), \quad dA(s) = \frac{1}{2} ds, dB(s) = \frac{1}{8} de^s, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\delta_1 > 0, \delta_2 > 1$.

Let $q(t) = \mu$, $f(t, y) = y^{-\delta_1} + y^{\delta_2}$, $g(y) = y^{-\delta_1}$, $h(y) = y^{\delta_2}$, $c_0 = \max\{\int_0^1 dA(s), \int_0^1 dB(s)\} = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_0 = \frac{1}{6}\mu$. It is easy to see that (C_1) - (C_4) and (3.10) hold. Since

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(1)}{g(1)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\}}^1 \frac{1}{g(y)} dy = \frac{1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{\delta_1+1}}{2(1 + \delta_1)},$$

letting $\mu_0 < 3 \frac{1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{\delta_1+1}}{2(1 + \delta_1)}$, we have

$$\sup_{r \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{\frac{1}{3}, r^{\frac{1}{2}}\}}^r \frac{1}{g(y)} dy > b_0$$

for all $\mu \leq \mu_0$, which guarantees that (3.11) is true. Moreover, since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, y)}{y} = +\infty$$

uniformly on $[0, 1]$, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold, which implies that (3.22)-(3.23) has at least two positive solutions (for $\mu \leq \mu_0$).

Example 3.2 Consider

$$y''(t) + \mu(y^{-\delta_1}(t) + y^{\delta_2}(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{3.24}$$

with

$$y(0) = \int_0^1 y^3(s) dA(s), \quad y(1) = \int_0^1 y^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) dB(s), \quad dA(s) = \frac{1}{2} ds, dB(s) = \frac{1}{8} de^s, \tag{3.25}$$

where $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 < 1$.

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold, which implies that (3.24)-(3.25) has at least two positive solutions.

4 Multiplicity of positive solutions for the singular semipositone boundary value problem

In this section, we consider the case

$$f(t, y) = F(t, y) - \gamma(t), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

where the conditions (C_1) , (C_3) , (C_4) for $F(t, y)$ instead of $f(t, y)$ hold and $\gamma \in C((0, 1), (0, +\infty))$ with

$$w(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)\gamma(s) ds < +\infty, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad c_1 = \int_0^1 q(t)\gamma(t) dt < +\infty.$$

For $y \in P$, define

$$(T_\epsilon y)(t) = (1 - t)\alpha[y - w]^* + t\beta[y - w]^* + \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)F(s, \max\{\epsilon, [y(s) - w(s)]^*\}) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], 0 < \epsilon \leq 1, \tag{4.1}$$

where $k(t, s)$ is defined in (3.1) and

$$[y(t) - w(t)]^* = \begin{cases} y(t) - w(t), & \text{if } y(t) - w(t) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y(t) - w(t) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we present the following condition for convenience:

(C₂)'

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a function } \psi_{4c_1} \\ \text{continuous on } [0, 1] \text{ and positive on } (0, 1) \text{ such that} \\ F(t, y) \geq \psi_{4c_1}(t) \text{ on } (0, 1) \times (0, 4c_1] \text{ with} \\ \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 k(t, s) q(s) \psi_{4c_1}(s) ds > 2c_1. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} H = \{x \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \cap C((0, 1), (0, +\infty)) \cap C^2((0, 1), \mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ satisfies} \\ x''(t) + q(t)F(t, \max\{\epsilon, [x(t) - w(t)]^*\}) = 0, 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = \alpha[x - w]^*, x(1) = \beta[x - w]^*, \forall 1 \geq \epsilon > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 *If $H \neq \emptyset$ and (C₂)' hold, then there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], x \in H.$$

Proof Suppose that $x \in H$. There are two cases to consider:

(1) $\|x\| \geq 4c_1$. Since

$$w(t) \leq t(1-t) \int_0^1 q(s) \gamma(s) ds = c_1 t(1-t), \tag{4.2}$$

we have

$$w(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} 4c_1 t(1-t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|x\| t(1-t).$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$x(t) \geq \|x\| t(1-t) \geq 4c_1 t(1-t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - w(t) &\geq \|x\| t(1-t) - \frac{1}{4} \|x\| t(1-t) = \frac{3}{4} \|x\| t(1-t) \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} 4c_1 t(1-t) = 3c_1 t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq 3c_1 t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and so

$$x(0) = \alpha [x - w]^* \geq \int_0^1 (3c_1s(1-s))^a dA(s), x(1) = \beta [x - w]^* \geq \int_0^1 (3c_1s(1-s))^b dB(s).$$

The concavity of $x(t)$ implies that

$$x(t) \geq \min \left\{ \int_0^1 (3c_1s(1-s))^a dA(s), \int_0^1 (3c_1s(1-s))^b dB(s) \right\} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \delta_1.$$

Then

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \frac{3}{4}x(t) \geq \frac{3}{4}\delta_1, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.3}$$

(2) $0 < \|x\| \leq 4c_1$. Condition $(C_2)'$ guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)F(s, \max\{\epsilon, [x(s) - w(s)]^*\}) ds \\ &\geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)\psi_{4c_1}(s) ds > 2c_1, \end{aligned}$$

which together with $x \in P$ implies that

$$x(t) \geq t(1-t)\|x\| \geq 2c_1t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.2) and (4.4), we have

$$w(t) \leq c_1t(1-t) = \frac{1}{2}2c_1t(1-t) \leq \frac{1}{2}x(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and so

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \frac{1}{2}x(t) \geq c_1t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= (1-t)\alpha [x - w]^* + t\beta [x - w]^* + \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)F(s, \max\{\epsilon, [x(s) - w(s)]^*\}) ds \\ &\geq (1-t) \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^a dA(s) + t \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^b dB(s) \\ &\geq \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \left[(1-t) \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^a dA(s) + t \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^b dB(s) \right], \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} [x(t) - w(t)]^* &\geq \frac{1}{2}x(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \left[(1-t) \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^a dA(s) + t \int_0^1 (c_1s(1-s))^b dB(s) \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \delta_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Let

$$\delta_0 = \min \left\{ \delta_2, \frac{3}{4} \delta_1 \right\}.$$

Now (4.3) and (4.5) guarantee that

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \delta_0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose there exists an $\bar{a} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t, y)}{y} = +\infty \tag{4.6}$$

uniformly on $[\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$. Then there exists an $R' > 0$ such that for all $R \geq R'$

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

Proof From (4.6), there exists a $R_1 > \max\{1, 2c_1\}$ such that

$$F(t, y) \geq N^* y, \quad \forall y \geq R_1, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$N^* > \frac{2}{\bar{a}^2 \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s) q(s) ds}.$$

Let $R' = \frac{2}{\bar{a}^2} R_1$ and

$$\Omega_R = \{x \in C[0, 1] \mid \|x\| < R\}, \quad R \geq R'.$$

Now we show

$$T_\epsilon y \not\leq y \quad \text{for } y \in P \cap \partial\Omega_R, 0 < \epsilon \leq 1. \tag{4.8}$$

Suppose that there exists a $y_0 \in P \cap \partial\Omega_R$ with $T_\epsilon y_0 \leq y_0$. Then $\|y_0\| = R$. Also since $y_0(t)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$ (since $y_0 \in P$) we have from Lemma 2.3 that $y_0(t) \geq t(1-t)\|y_0\| \geq t(1-t)R$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. For $t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$, we have (notice $\|y_0\| = R \geq 2c_1$)

$$[y_0(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \frac{1}{2} y_0(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} R \bar{a}^2 \geq R_1, \quad \forall t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}],$$

which together with (4.7) yields the result that

$$F(t, \max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\}) \geq N^* [y_0(t) - w(t)]^* \geq N^* \frac{1}{2} R \bar{a}^2, \quad \forall t \in [\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]. \tag{4.9}$$

Then we have, using (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_0(\bar{a}) &\geq T_\epsilon y_0(\bar{a}) \\
 &= (1 - \bar{a})\alpha [y_0 - w]^* + \bar{a}\beta [y_0 - w]^* \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)F(s, \max\{\epsilon, [y_0(s) - w(s)]^*\}) ds \\
 &\geq \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)F(s, \max\{\epsilon, [y_0(s) - w(s)]^*\}) ds \\
 &= \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s)F(s, [y_0(s) - w(s)]^*) ds \\
 &\geq N^* \frac{1}{2} R \bar{a}^2 \int_{\bar{a}}^{1-\bar{a}} k(\bar{a}, s)q(s) ds > R = \|y_0\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (4.8) is true. Thus Lemma 2.2 guarantees that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose that $\max\{a, b\} > 1$. Then there exists an $R' > 0$ such that for all $R \geq R'$*

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

Proof Since $\max\{a, b\} > 1$, without loss of generality, we suppose that $a > 1$. Let $R' > \max\{1, 2c_1\}$ with $\frac{1}{2^a} R'^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > 1$. Set

$$\Omega_R = \{x \in C[0, 1] \mid \|x\| < R\}.$$

Now we show that

$$T_\epsilon x \not\leq x, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_R \cap P, \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

In fact, suppose that $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_R \cap P$ and satisfies

$$T_\epsilon x \leq x.$$

Then $\|y_0\| = R$. Also since $y_0(t)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$ (since $y_0 \in P$) we have from Lemma 2.3 that $y_0(t) \geq t(1-t)\|y_0\| \geq t(1-t)R$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$[y_0(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \frac{1}{2} y_0(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} R t(1-t).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 R \geq y_0(0) &= \int_0^1 ([y_0(s) - w(s)]^*)^a dA(s) \geq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \|y_0\| s(1-s)\right)^a dA(s) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^a} R R^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) \geq \frac{1}{2^a} R R^{a-1} \int_0^1 s^a(1-s)^a dA(s) > R.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Lemma 2.3 guarantees that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose (C_1) , $(C_2)'$, (C_3) , and (C_4) hold and the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq F(t, y) \leq g(y) + h(y) \text{ on } [0, 1] \times (0, \infty) \text{ with} \\ g > 0 \text{ continuous and nonincreasing on } (0, \infty), \\ h \geq 0 \text{ continuous on } [0, \infty), \text{ and } \frac{h}{g} \\ \text{nondecreasing on } (0, \infty), \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\sup_{r \in (2c_1, +\infty)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} > b_0 \tag{4.11}$$

holds; here

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \max \left\{ \int_0^1 dA(s), \int_0^1 dB(s) \right\}, \\ b_0 &= \max \left\{ 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t(1-t)q(t) dt, 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t(1-t)q(t) dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof From (4.11), choose $r > 2c_1$, $\epsilon > 0$ with $\epsilon < \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}\}$ with

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} > b_0. \tag{4.13}$$

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\}.$$

Let T_ϵ be defined as in (4.1). Lemma 3.1 guarantees that $T_\epsilon : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and completely continuous.

Now we show that

$$y \neq \lambda T_\epsilon y, \quad \forall y \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap P, \lambda \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.14}$$

Suppose that there is a $y_0 \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap P$ and $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$ with $y_0 = \lambda_0 T_\epsilon y_0$. Since $y_0(t) \geq t(1-t)\|y_0\| \geq t(1-t)2c_1$ and $w(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)\gamma(s) ds \leq t(1-t) \int_0^1 q(s)\gamma(s) ds = c_1 t(1-t) = \frac{c_1}{\|y_0\|} t(1-t)\|y_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}y_0(t)$, we have

$$y_0(t) - w(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}y_0(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Since y_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y_0'' + \lambda_0 q(t)F(t, \max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\}) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ y_0(0) = \lambda_0 \alpha [[y_0 - w]^*], & y_0(1) = \lambda_0 \beta [[y_0 - w]^*], \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

$y_0(0) = \lambda_0 \alpha [[y_0 - w]^*] \leq r^a \int_0^1 dA(s) \leq c_0 r^a < r = \|y_0\|$ and $y_0(1) = \lambda_0 \beta [[y_0 - w]^*] \leq r^b \int_0^1 dB(s) \leq c_0 r^b < r = \|y_0\|$, there exists a $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $y_0'(t_0) = 0$ and $y_0'(t) \geq 0$ on $(0, t_0)$, $y_0'(t) \leq 0$ on $(t_0, 1)$. For $t \in (0, 1)$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} -y_0''(t) &\leq g(\max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(\max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\})}{g(\max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\})} \right\} q(t) \\ &\leq g(\max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} q(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Integrate from t to t_0 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_0'(t) &\leq g(\max\{\epsilon, [y_0(t) - w(t)]^*\}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_t^{t_0} q(s) ds \\ &\leq g\left(\max\left\{\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}y_0(t)\right\}\right) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_t^{t_0} q(s) ds \\ &\leq g\left(\frac{1}{2}y_0(t)\right) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_t^{t_0} q(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and then integrate from 0 to t_0 to obtain

$$\int_{\alpha [[y_0 - w]^*]}^{y_0(t_0)} \frac{dy}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \int_0^{t_0} sq(s) ds \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{1 - t_0} \int_0^{t_0} s(1 - s) ds,$$

which together with $\alpha [[y_0 - w]^*] \leq \alpha [y_0] \leq c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}$ yields

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{dy}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} \leq \int_{\alpha [[y_0 - w]^*]}^r \frac{dy}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{1 - t_0} \int_0^{t_0} s(1 - s) ds. \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly if we integrate (4.16) from t_0 to t ($t \geq t_0$) and then from t_0 to 1 we obtain

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{du}{g(\frac{1}{2}u)} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \frac{1}{t_0} \int_{t_0}^1 s(1 - s)q(s) ds. \quad (4.18)$$

Now (4.17) and (4.18) imply

$$\int_{c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}}^r \frac{du}{g(\frac{1}{2}u)} \leq b_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\},$$

which contradicts (4.13). Then (4.14) is true. Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$i(T_\epsilon, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1. \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, there exists an $x_\epsilon \in P \cap \Omega_1$ such that $T_\epsilon x_\epsilon = x_\epsilon$, which yields the result that $H \neq \emptyset$ in Lemma 4.1 and there is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall x \in H. \tag{4.20}$$

Let $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$ and $T_{\epsilon_0} x_{\epsilon_0} = x_{\epsilon_0}$. Obviously $x_{\epsilon_0} \in H$ and $[x_{\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)]^* \geq \delta_0$. From

$$x_{\epsilon_0}(t) = (1-t)\alpha[[x_{\epsilon_0} - w]^*] + t\beta[[x_{\epsilon_0} - w]^*] + \int_0^1 k(t,s)q(s)F(s, [[x_{\epsilon_0}(s) - w(s)]^*]) ds,$$

we have

$$x_{\epsilon_0}(t) = (1-t)\alpha[x_{\epsilon_0} - w] + t\beta[x_{\epsilon_0} - w] + \int_0^1 k(t,s)q(s)F(s, x_{\epsilon_0}(s) - w(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Let $y(t) = x_{\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold and there exists an $\bar{a} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t,y)}{y} = +\infty$$

uniformly on $[\bar{a}, 1 - \bar{a}]$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof Choose r as in (4.13), $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $\epsilon_0 < \min\{\delta_0, 1, \frac{1}{2}c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}\}$, where δ_0 is defined in (4.20), and $R > \max\{1, r\}$ in Lemma 4.2. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < R\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1$$

and

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_2 \cap P, P) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P, P) = -1.$$

Thus, there exist $x_{1,\epsilon_0} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0} \in (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P$ such that

$$T_{\epsilon_0} x_{1,\epsilon_0} = x_{1,\epsilon_0}, \quad T_{\epsilon_0} x_{2,\epsilon_0} = x_{2,\epsilon_0}.$$

Let $y_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) = x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)$ and $y_{2,\epsilon_0}(t) = x_{2,\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $y_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)$ and $y_{2,\epsilon_0}(t)$ are two positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold and $\max\{a, b\} > 1$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions.*

Proof Choose r as in (4.13), $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $\epsilon_0 < \min\{\delta_0, 1, \frac{1}{2}c_0 \max\{r^a, r^b\}\}$, where δ_0 is defined in (4.20), and $R > \max\{1, r\}$ in Lemma 4.3. Set

$$\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < r\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < R\}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1$$

and

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, \Omega_2 \cap P, P) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$i(T_{\epsilon_0}, (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P, P) = -1.$$

Thus, there exist $x_{1,\epsilon_0} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ and $x_{2,\epsilon_0} \in (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P$ such that

$$T_{\epsilon_0} x_{1,\epsilon_0} = x_{1,\epsilon_0}, \quad T_{\epsilon_0} x_{2,\epsilon_0} = x_{2,\epsilon_0}.$$

Let $y_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) = x_{1,\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)$ and $y_{2,\epsilon_0}(t) = x_{2,\epsilon_0}(t) - w(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $y_{1,\epsilon_0}(t)$ and $y_{2,\epsilon_0}(t)$ are two positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Example 4.1 Consider

$$y''(t) + \frac{7}{64} \left(y^{-2}(t) + y^{\delta_1}(t) - \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{4.21}$$

$$y(0) = \int_0^1 y^{\frac{1}{3}}(s) dA(s), \tag{4.22}$$

$$y(1) = \int_0^1 (y(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} dB(s), \quad dA(s) = \frac{1}{2} ds, \quad dB(s) = \frac{1}{2} d \sin s,$$

where $\delta_1 > 1$.

Let $q(t) = \frac{7}{64}$, $F(t, y) = y^{-2} + y^{\delta_1}$, $g(y) = y^{-2}$, $h(y) = y^{\delta_1}$, $c_0 = \max\{\int_0^1 dA(s), \int_0^1 dB(s)\} = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_0 = \frac{7}{384}$, $\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, $c_1 = \int_0^1 q(s)\gamma(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{500}$. It is easy to see that (C₁), (C₃)-(C₄)

and (4.10) hold, and since $F(t, y) \geq \frac{1}{(4c_1)^2} \geq (125)^2$ for $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times (0, 4c_1]$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 k(t,s)q(s)(125)^2 ds = \frac{7}{64} 125^2 \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 k(t,s) ds > 2c_1,$$

we find that $(C_2)'$ is true.

Since

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(1)}{g(1)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{1^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1^{\frac{1}{2}}\}}^1 \frac{1}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} dy = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8}}{24} = \frac{7}{192},$$

we have

$$\sup_{r \in (2c_1, +\infty)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}} \int_{c_0 \max\{r^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1^{\frac{1}{2}}\}}^r \frac{1}{g(\frac{1}{2}y)} dy > b_0,$$

which guarantees that (4.11) is true. Moreover, since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t, y)}{y} = +\infty$$

uniformly on $[0, 1]$, all the conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Then (4.21)-(4.22) has at least two positive solutions.

Example 4.2 Consider

$$y''(t) + \frac{7}{64} \left(y^{-2}(t) + y^{\delta_1}(t) - \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{4.23}$$

$$y(0) = \int_0^1 y^3(s) dA(s), \tag{4.24}$$

$$y(1) = \int_0^1 (y(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} dB(s), \quad dA(s) = \frac{1}{2} ds, dB(s) = \frac{1}{2} d \sin s,$$

where $0 < \delta_1 \leq 1$.

Since $a = 3 > 1$, using Theorem 4.3, we see that (4.23)-(4.24) has at least two positive solutions.

5 Uniqueness of positive solutions for the singular boundary value problem

In this section, we consider the uniqueness of positive solution for BVP (1.1)-(1.2).

Lemma 5.1 (see [20]) *Suppose that E is a Banach space with a normal and solid cone $P \subseteq E$ and $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \times \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$ is a mixed monotone operator. Moreover, suppose there is a constant θ with $0 \leq \theta < 1$ such that*

$$A\left(tx, \frac{1}{t}y\right) \geq t^\theta A(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \overset{\circ}{P}, 0 < t < 1.$$

Then A has a unique fixed point in $\overset{\circ}{P}$.

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that (C_1) , (C_3) , (C_4) , (C_5) hold and $1 > \max\{a, b\} > 0$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique positive solution.*

Proof It is easy to see that P defined by (2.1) is a normal and solid cone. For $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, define

$$A(x, y)(t) = (1 - t)\alpha[x] + t\beta[y] + \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)g(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where g is given in (C_5) . Since $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, we have $\min_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) > 0$ and $\min_{t \in [0, 1]} y(t) > 0$. Then (C_1) guarantees that

$$\int_0^1 x^a(s) dA(s) > 0, \quad \int_0^1 y^b(s) dB(s) > 0,$$

which implies that

$$\min_{t \in [0, 1]} [(1 - t)\alpha[x] + t\beta[y]] > 0.$$

Therefore, $A(x, y) \in \overset{\circ}{P}$.

Let $\theta_1 = \max\{\theta, \max\{a, b\}\}$. For $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}, y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, from (C_5) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\lambda x, \frac{1}{\lambda} y\right)(t) &= (1 - t)\alpha[\lambda x] + t\beta[\lambda y] + \int_0^1 k(t, s)g\left(s, \lambda x(s), \frac{1}{\lambda} y(s)\right) ds \\ &\geq (1 - t)\lambda^a \alpha[x] + t\lambda^b \beta[y] + \lambda^\theta \int_0^1 k(t, s)g(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \\ &\geq (1 - t)\lambda^{\theta_1} \alpha[x] + t\lambda^{\theta_1} \beta[y] + \lambda^{\theta_1} \int_0^1 k(t, s)g(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \\ &= \lambda^{\theta_1} A(x, y)(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.1, A has a unique fixed point x^* in $\overset{\circ}{P}$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) &= (1 - t)\alpha[x^*] + t\beta[x^*] + \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)g(s, x^*(s), x^*(s)) ds \\ &= (1 - t)\alpha[x^*] + t\beta[x^*] + \int_0^1 k(t, s)q(s)f(s, x^*(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $x^*(t)$ is the unique positive solution of BVP (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

Example 5.1 Consider

$$y''(t) + \frac{7}{64}(y^{-\delta_1}(t) + y^{\delta_2}(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$y(0) = \int_0^1 y^{\delta_3}(s) dA(s), \tag{5.2}$$

$$y(1) = \int_0^1 (y(s))^{\delta_4} dA(s), \quad dA(s) = d \sin s^2, dB(s) = de^s,$$

where $0 < \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4\} < 1$.

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 5.1 hold, which guarantees that (5.1)-(5.2) has a unique positive solution.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, 250014, P.R. China. ²School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland. ³Department of Mathematics, Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics (NAAM), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. ⁴Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville, TX 78363, USA.

Received: 9 March 2014 Accepted: 29 May 2014 Published online: 25 September 2014

References

1. Yang, Z: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for an integral boundary value problem. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **69**(11), 3910-3918 (2006)
2. Goodrich, CS: On a nonlocal BVP with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Results Math.* **63**, 1351-1364 (2013)
3. Infante, G: Nonlocal boundary value problems with two nonlinear boundary conditions. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **12**, 279-288 (2008)
4. Kong, L: Second order singular boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **72**(5), 2628-2638 (2010)
5. Webb, JRL: Positive solutions of a boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2011**, 1-10 (2011)
6. Agarwal, RP, O'Regan, D: Existence theory for single and multiple solutions to singular positive boundary value problems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **175**, 393-414 (2001)
7. Jiang, J, Liu, L, Wu, Y: Positive solutions for second-order singular semipositone differential equations involving Stieltjes integral conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 696283 (2012)
8. O'Regan, D: *Existence Theory for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1997)
9. Liu, L, Hao, X, Wu, Y: Positive solutions for singular second order differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Math. Comput. Model.* **57**, 836-847 (2013)
10. Taliaferro, S: A nonlinear singular boundary value problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **3**, 897-904 (1979)
11. Webb, JRL, Infante, G: Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **74**, 673-693 (2006)
12. Webb, JRL, Infante, G: Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **15**, 45-67 (2008)
13. Baxley, JV: A singular nonlinear boundary value problem: membrane response of a spherical cap. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **48**, 497-505 (1988)
14. Bobisud, LE, Calvert, JE, Royalty, WD: Some existence results for singular boundary value problems. *Differ. Integral Equ.* **6**, 553-571 (1993)
15. Boucherif, A: Second-order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **70**, 364-371 (2009)
16. Ding, Y: Positive solutions for integral boundary value problem with φ -Laplacian operator. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2011**, Article ID 827510 (1988)
17. Guo, D, Lakshmikantham, V: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, Boston (1988)
18. Agarwal, RP, O'Regan, D: A survey of recent results for initial and boundary value problems singular in the dependent variable. In: *Original Research Article Handbook of Differential Equations: Ordinary Differential Equations*, vol. 1, pp. 1-68 (2000)
19. Deimling, K: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer, New York (1985)
20. Guo, D: Fixed point of mixed monotone operators and applications. *Appl. Anal.* **31**, 215-224 (1988)

doi:10.1186/s13661-014-0148-9

Cite this article as: Yan et al.: Multiplicity and uniqueness results for the singular nonlocal boundary value problem involving nonlinear integral conditions. *Boundary Value Problems* 2014 **2014**:148.