

RESEARCH

Open Access

# A nonlinear boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations

Yuanping Song\*

\*Correspondence:  
ypsong2014@163.com  
School of Science, Shandong  
University of Technology, Zibo,  
255049, P.R. China

## Abstract

By using an infinitely many critical points theorem, we study the existence of infinitely many solutions for a fourth-order nonlinear boundary value problem, depending on two real parameters. No symmetric condition on the nonlinear term is assumed. Some recent results are improved and extended.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions of the type:

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)} = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu h(x, u), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, \quad u'''(1) = g(u(1)), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda, \mu$  are two positive parameters,  $f, h$  are two  $L^1$ -Carathéodory functions, and  $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$  is real function. This kind of problem arises in the study of deflections of elastic beams on nonlinear elastic foundations. The problem has the following physical description: a thin flexible elastic beam of length 1 is clamped at its left end  $x = 0$  and resting on an elastic device at its right end  $x = 1$ , which is given by  $g$ . Then the problem models the static equilibrium of the beam under a load, along its length, characterized by  $f$  and  $h$ . The derivation of the model can be found in [1, 2].

Fourth-order boundary value problems modeling bending equilibria of elastic beams have been considered in several papers. Most of them are concerned with nonlinear equations with null boundary conditions; see [3–6]. When the boundary conditions are nonzero or nonlinear, fourth-order equations can model beams resting on elastic bearings located in their extremities; see for instance [1, 2, 7–11] and the references therein. More precisely, in [10], using variant fountain theorems, the author obtains the existence of infinitely many solutions for problem (1.1) with  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = 0$  under the symmetric condition and some other suitable assumptions of the nonlinear term  $f$ .

Motivated by the above works, in the present paper we establish some multiplicity results for problem (1.1) under rather different assumptions on the functions  $f, h$  and  $g$ . It is worth noticing that in our results neither the symmetric nor the monotonic condition on the nonlinear term is assumed. We require that  $f$  has a suitable oscillating behavior either at infinity or at zero. In the first case, we obtain an unbounded sequence of solutions

(Theorem 3.1); in the second case, we obtain a sequence of nonzero solutions strongly converging at zero (Theorem 3.4), which improve and extend the results in [10].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are presented. In Section 3, we give the proofs of our main results.

## 2 Variational setting and preliminaries

We prove our results applying the following smooth version of Theorem 2.1 of Bonanno and Bisci [12], which is a more precise version of Ricceri's variational principle [13, Lemma 2.5].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $E$  be a reflexive real Banach space, let  $\Phi, \Psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that  $\Phi$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, strongly continuous and coercive, and  $\Psi$  is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous. For every  $r > \inf_E \Phi$ , let*

$$\varphi(r) := \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} \frac{(\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} \Psi(v)) - \Psi(u)}{r - \Phi(u)},$$

$$\gamma := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \liminf_{r \rightarrow (\inf_E \Phi)^+} \varphi(r).$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (a) For every  $r > \inf_E \Phi$  and every  $\lambda \in (0, 1/\varphi(r))$ ; the restriction of the functional

$$I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda \Psi$$

to  $\Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$  admits a global minimum, which is a critical point (local minimum) of  $I_\lambda$  in  $E$ .

- (b) If  $\gamma < +\infty$ ; then for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1/\gamma)$ , the following alternative holds: either  
 (b1)  $I_\lambda$  possesses a global minimum, or  
 (b2) there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points (local minima) of  $I_\lambda$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(u_n) = +\infty.$$

- (c) If  $\delta < +\infty$ ; then for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1/\delta)$ , the following alternative holds: either  
 (c1) there is a global minimum of  $\Phi$  which is a local minimum of  $I_\lambda$ , or  
 (c2) there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of pairwise distinct critical points (local minima) of  $I_\lambda$  that converges weakly to a global minimum of  $\Phi$ .

Let  $E$  be the Hilbert space

$$E = \{u \in H^2(0, 1); u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}$$

with the inner product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx, \quad \|u\| = \|u''\|_2, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $H^2(0, 1)$  is the Sobolev space of all functions  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u$  and its distributional derivative  $u'$  are absolutely continuous and  $u''$  belongs to  $L^2([0, 1])$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the standard  $L^p$  norm. In addition,  $E$  is compactly embedded in the spaces  $L^2([0, 1])$  and  $C([0, 1])$ , and therefore, there exist immersion constants  $S_2, \bar{S} > 0$ , such that

$$\|u\|_2 \leq S_2 \|u\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq \bar{S} \|u\|. \tag{2.2}$$

We recall that  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function if

- (a) the mapping  $x \mapsto f(x, u)$  is measurable for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (b) the mapping  $u \mapsto f(x, u)$  is continuous for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (c) for every  $\rho > 0$  there exists a function  $l_\rho \in L^1([0, 1])$  such that

$$\sup_{|u| \leq \rho} |f(x, u)| \leq l_\rho(x),$$

for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Define the functions  $F, H : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad H(x, u) = \int_0^u h(x, s) ds,$$

for all  $(x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , and  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(x) dx$ . Thus we define the functional  $I_{\lambda, \mu} \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  by

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_0^1 F(x, u) dx - \mu \int_0^1 H(x, u) dx + G(u(1)), \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

**Definition 2.1** We say that a function  $u \in E$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx - \lambda \int_0^1 f(x, u)v dx - \mu \int_0^1 h(x, u)v dx + g(u(1))v(1) = 0$$

holds for any  $v \in E$ .

### 3 Main results

In this section we establish the main abstract results of this paper. Let

$$A := \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi} F(x, u) dx}{\xi^2},$$

$$B := \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|u| \leq \xi} F(x, u) dx}{\xi^2},$$

$$\Lambda_c := \frac{\alpha}{c} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma + 1} c^{\sigma-1}$$

and

$$\lambda_1 := \frac{\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx}{2B}, \quad \lambda_2 := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}A},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are given by (A1),  $c$  is a positive constant, and  $d(x), e(x)$  are given by (A3).

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function and  $0 < a < b < 1$ . Assume that*

(A1) *there exist constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$|g(u)| \leq \alpha + \beta|u|^\sigma, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R};$$

(A2)  *$F(x, u) \geq 0$  for all  $(x, u) \in ([0, a] \cup [b, 1]) \times \mathbb{R}$ ;*

(A3) *there exist two functions  $d \in C^2([0, a])$  and  $e \in C^2([b, 1])$  satisfying*

$$d(0) = d'(0) = 0, \quad d(a) = e(b) = 1, \quad d'(a) = e'(b) = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \neq 0,$$

such that

$$\bar{S}A \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \right] < B. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, for every  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  and for any  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function  $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , whose potential  $H(x, u) = \int_0^u h(x, s) ds$  for all  $(x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , is a nonnegative function satisfying the condition

$$H_\infty := \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi} H(x, u) dx}{\xi^2} < +\infty, \tag{3.2}$$

if we put

$$\mu_{H,\lambda} := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_\infty} (1 - 2\bar{S}\lambda A),$$

where  $\mu_{H,\lambda} = +\infty$  when  $H_\infty = 0$ , for every  $\mu \in [0, \mu_{H,\lambda})$  problem (1.1) has an unbounded sequence of weak solutions in  $E$ .

*Proof* Obviously, it follows from (A3) that  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ . Fix  $\bar{\lambda} \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . Since  $\bar{\lambda} < \lambda_2$ , we have

$$\mu_{H,\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_\infty} (1 - 2\bar{S}\bar{\lambda} A) > 0.$$

Now fix  $\bar{\mu} \in (0, \mu_{H,\bar{\lambda}})$  and set

$$J(x, u) := F(x, u) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H(x, u), \quad \text{for all } (x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let the functionals  $\Phi, \Psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2, \\ \Psi(u) &= \int_0^1 J(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} G(u(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(x) dx$ . Put

$$I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(u) := \Phi(u) - \bar{\lambda}\Psi(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

Using the property of  $f, h$  and the continuity of  $g$ , we obtain  $\Phi, \Psi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  and for any  $v \in E$ , we have

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx$$

and

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} \int_0^1 h(x, u(x))v(x) dx - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}g(u(1))v(1).$$

So, with standard arguments, we deduce that the critical points of the functional  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  are the weak solutions of problem (1.1) and so they are classical. We first observe that the functionals  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  satisfy the regularity assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

First of all, we show that  $\bar{\lambda} < \frac{1}{\gamma}$ . Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \xi_n = +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} F(x, u) dx}{\xi_n^2} = A.$$

Set  $r_n := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}}\xi_n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for all  $v \in E$  with  $\Phi(v) < r_n$ , taking (2.2) into account, one has  $\|v\|_\infty < \xi_n$ . Note that  $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_n) &= \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \frac{(\sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \Psi(v)) - \Psi(u)}{r_n - \Phi(u)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \Psi(u)}{r_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} J(x, u) dx + \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}(\alpha \xi_n + \frac{\beta}{\sigma+1} \xi_n^{\sigma+1})}{\frac{1}{2\bar{S}}\xi_n^2} \\ &\leq 2\bar{S} \left[ \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} F(x, u) dx}{\xi_n^2} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} H(x, u) dx}{\xi_n^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \Lambda_{\xi_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{\xi_n} = 0$ , from the assumption (A3) and the condition (3.2), we have

$$\gamma < \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(r_n) \leq 2\bar{S} \left( A + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H_\infty \right) < +\infty,$$

and combining the assumption  $\bar{\mu} \in (0, \mu_{G, \bar{\lambda}})$ , we obtain

$$\gamma < \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(r_n) \leq 2\bar{S} \left( A + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H_\infty \right) < 2\bar{S}A + \frac{1 - 2\bar{S}\bar{\lambda}A}{\bar{\lambda}}.$$

This implies that

$$\bar{\lambda} < \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Let  $\bar{\lambda}$  be fixed. We claim that the functional  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  is unbounded from below. Since

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} < \frac{2B}{\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx},$$

there exist a sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  of positive numbers and  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_n = +\infty$  and

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} < \tau < \frac{2 \int_a^b F(x, \eta_n) dx}{\eta_n^2 [\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx]},$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $v_n$  by

$$v_n(x) := \begin{cases} d(x)\eta_n, & x \in [0, a], \\ \eta_n, & x \in (a, b], \\ e(x)\eta_n, & x \in (b, 1]. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

From the condition (A3), it is easy to verify that  $v_n \in E$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has

$$\Phi(v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 = \frac{\eta_n^2}{2} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, by (A2) and since  $H$  is nonnegative, from the definition of  $\Psi$ , we infer

$$\Psi(v_n) \geq \int_a^b F(x, \eta_n) dx - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \eta_n^2 \Lambda_{(\bar{\sigma}\eta_n)}.$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(v_n) &\leq \frac{\eta_n^2}{2} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \right] - \bar{\lambda} \int_a^b F(x, \eta_n) dx + \eta_n^2 \Lambda_{(\bar{\sigma}\eta_n)} \\ &< \frac{\eta_n^2}{2} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \right] (1 - \bar{\lambda}\tau) + \eta_n^2 \Lambda_{(\bar{\sigma}\eta_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough. Since  $\sigma < 1$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}\tau > 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_n = +\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(v_n) = -\infty.$$

Then the functional  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  is unbounded from below, and it follows that  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  has no global minimum. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1(b), there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points of  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\| = +\infty,$$

and the conclusion is achieved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1** Indeed, it is not difficult to find such functions  $d(x)$  and  $e(x)$  satisfying the condition (A3). For example, let  $a = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $b = \frac{2}{3}$ . We can choose

$$d(x) = -54x^2 \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad x \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{3} \right]$$

and

$$e(x) = -3x \left( \frac{3}{4}x - 1 \right), \quad x \in \left[ \frac{2}{3}, 1 \right].$$

**Remark 3.2** Under the conditions  $A = 0$  and  $B = +\infty$ , from Theorem 3.1 we see that for every  $\lambda > 0$  and for each  $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_\infty})$ , problem (1.1) admits a sequence of classical solutions which is unbounded in  $E$ . Moreover, if  $H_\infty = 0$ , the result holds for every  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ .

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function and  $0 < a < b < 1$ . Suppose that hypotheses (A1)-(A2) hold. Moreover, the condition (A3) is satisfied if (3.1) is replaced by

$$\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx < 2B, \quad 2\bar{S}A < 1.$$

Then, for any  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function  $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , whose potential  $H(x, u) := \int_0^u h(x, s) ds$  for all  $(x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , is a nonnegative function satisfying the condition (3.2), if we put

$$\mu_H := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_\infty} (1 - 2\bar{S}A),$$

where  $\mu_H = +\infty$  when  $H_\infty = 0$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)} = f(x, u) + \mu h(x, u), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, & u'''(1) = g(u(1)), \end{cases}$$

has an unbounded sequence of weak solutions for every  $\mu \in [0, \mu_H)$  in  $E$ .

**Corollary 3.3** Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.2, for any nonnegative continuous function  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)} = f(x, u) + h(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, & u'''(1) = g(u(1)), \end{cases}$$

has infinitely many distinct weak solutions in  $E$ .

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &:= \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi} F(x, u) dx}{\xi^2}, \\ \bar{B} &:= \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|u| \leq \xi} F(x, u) dx}{\xi^2}, \\ \Theta_c &:= \min_{|u| \leq c} \int_0^{u(1)} g(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } c > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 := \frac{\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx}{2\bar{B}}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_2 := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}\bar{A}}.$$

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function and  $0 < a < b < 1$ . Moreover, assume that (A2) and*

(A1)'  $g(u) \leq 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^u g(s) ds}{u^2} = 0$ ;

(A3)' there exist two functions  $d \in C^2([0, a])$  and  $e \in C^2([b, 1])$  satisfying

$$d(0) = d'(0) = 0, \quad d(a) = e(b) = 1, \quad d'(a) = e'(b) = 0, \quad e(1) > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \neq 0,$$

such that

$$\bar{S}\bar{A} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 dx \right] < \bar{B},$$

are satisfied. Then, for every  $\lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2)$  and for any  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function  $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , whose potential  $H(x, u) := \int_0^u h(x, s) ds$  for all  $(x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , is a nonnegative function satisfying the condition

$$H_0 := \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi} H(x, u) dx}{\xi^2} < +\infty,$$

if we put

$$\bar{\mu}_{H,\lambda} := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_0} (1 - 2\bar{S}\lambda\bar{A}),$$

where  $\bar{\mu}_{H,\lambda} = +\infty$  when  $H_0 = 0$ , for every  $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu}_{H,\lambda})$  problem (1.1) has a sequence of weak solutions, which strongly converges to zero in  $E$ .

*Proof* It follows from (A3)' that  $\bar{\lambda}_1 < \bar{\lambda}_2$ . Fix  $\bar{\lambda} \in (\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ . Since  $\bar{\lambda} < \bar{\lambda}_2$ , we have

$$\mu_{H,\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2\bar{S}H_0} (1 - 2\bar{S}\bar{\lambda}\bar{A}) > 0.$$

Now fix  $\bar{\mu} \in (0, \bar{\mu}_{H,\bar{\lambda}})$  and set

$$J(x, u) := F(x, u) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H(x, u), \quad \text{for all } (x, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We take  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$ , and  $I_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\mu}}$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Now, as has been pointed out before, the functionals  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  satisfy the regularity assumptions required in Theorem 2.1. As

first step, we will prove that  $\bar{\lambda} < 1/\delta$ . Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \xi_n = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} F(x, u) \, dx}{\xi_n^2} = \bar{A}.$$

By the fact that  $\inf_{u \in E} \Phi(u) = 0$  and the definition of  $\delta$ , we have  $\delta = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r)$ . Set  $r_n := \frac{1}{2\bar{S}} \xi_n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for all  $v \in E$  with  $\Phi(v) < r_n$ , taking (2.2) into account, one has  $\|v\|_\infty < \xi_n$ . Note that  $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_n) &= \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \frac{(\sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \Psi(v)) - \Psi(u)}{r_n - \Phi(u)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_n)} \Psi(u)}{r_n} \leq \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} J(x, u) \, dx - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \Theta_{\xi_n}}{\frac{1}{2\bar{S}} \xi_n^2} \\ &\leq 2\bar{S} \left[ \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} F(x, u) \, dx}{\xi_n^2} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|u| \leq \xi_n} H(x, u) \, dx}{\xi_n^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\Theta_{\xi_n}}{\xi_n^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (A1)' that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Theta_{\xi_n}}{\xi_n^2} = 0$ . Then we have

$$\delta < \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(r_n) \leq 2\bar{S} \left( \bar{A} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H_0 \right) < +\infty.$$

From  $\bar{\mu} \in (0, \mu_{G, \bar{\lambda}})$ , we obtain

$$\delta \leq 2\bar{S} \left( \bar{A} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}} H_0 \right) < 2\bar{S}\bar{A} + \frac{1 - 2\bar{S}\bar{\lambda}\bar{A}}{\bar{\lambda}},$$

which implies that

$$\bar{\lambda} < \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Let  $\bar{\lambda}$  be fixed. We claim that the functional  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  does not have a local minimum at zero. Since

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} < \frac{2\bar{B}}{\int_0^a |d''|^2 \, dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 \, dx},$$

there exist a sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  of positive numbers and  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_n = 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} < \tau < \frac{2 \int_a^b F(x, \eta_n) \, dx}{\eta_n^2 [\int_0^a |d''|^2 \, dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 \, dx]},$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $v_n$  be defined by (3.3) with the above  $\eta_n$ . Note that  $\bar{\lambda}\tau > 1$ . Then, since  $g(u) \leq 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e(1) > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(v_n) &\leq \frac{\eta_n^2}{2} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 \, dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 \, dx \right] - \bar{\lambda} \int_a^b F(x, \eta_n) \, dx + \int_0^{v_n(1)} g(x) \, dx \\ &< \frac{\eta_n^2}{2} \left[ \int_0^a |d''|^2 \, dx + \int_b^1 |e''|^2 \, dx \right] (1 - \bar{\lambda}\tau) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough. Thus, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(v_n) = I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}(0) = 0,$$

we see that zero is not a local minimum of  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$ . This, together with the fact that zero is the only global minimum of  $\Phi$ , we deduce that the energy functional  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$  does not have a local minimum at the unique global minimum of  $\Phi$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1(c), there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points of  $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}}$ , which converges weakly to zero. In view of the fact that the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow C([0, 1])$  is compact, we know that the critical points converge strongly to zero, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3** Applications similar to Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 can also be made to Theorem 3.4. Now we give an example illustrating Theorem 3.4. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)} = \lambda f(x, u), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \\ u''(1) = 0, & u'''(1) = g(u(1)), \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $f(x, u) = |u|$ . Obviously,  $\bar{A} = \bar{B} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $a = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $b = \frac{2}{3}$ , and choose

$$d(x) = -\frac{3x^2}{2\sqrt{5}} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$$

and

$$e(x) = -\frac{x}{12\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{3}{4}x - 1\right), \quad x \in \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right].$$

By calculating, we have  $\int_0^{\frac{1}{3}} |d''|^2 dx + \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^1 |e''|^2 dx = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4 \times 36^2}\right)$ . Thus,  $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4 \times 36^2}\right)$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_2 = \frac{1}{5}$ . Furthermore, the conditions (A2) and (A3)' are satisfied. Let  $g(u) = -u^2$ . Then (A1)' holds. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4, we find that problem (3.5) has a sequence of weak solutions which strongly converges to zero in  $E$  for all  $\lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ .

**Competing interests**

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 25 February 2014 Accepted: 25 July 2014 Published online: 23 September 2014

**References**

1. Alves, E, Ma, TF, Pelicer, ML: Monotone positive solutions for a fourth order equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 3834-3841 (2009)
2. Ma, TF: Positive solutions for a beam equation on a nonlinear elastic foundation. *Math. Comput. Model.* **39**, 1195-1201 (2004)
3. Bonanno, G, Di Bella, B: A boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**, 1166-1176 (2008)
4. Bonanno, G, Di Bella, B: Infinitely many solutions for a fourth-order elastic beam equation. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **18**, 357-368 (2011)
5. Han, G, Xu, Z: Multiple solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**, 3646-3656 (2008)
6. Liu, X, Li, W: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order boundary values problems with parameters. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**, 362-375 (2007)
7. Cabada, A, Tersian, S: Multiplicity of solutions of a two point boundary value problem for a fourth-order equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**, 5261-5267 (2013)

8. Infante, G, Pietramala, P: A cantilever equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2009**, 15 (2009) (Special edition I)
9. Ma, TF: Existence results and numerical solutions for a beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **47**, 189-196 (2003)
10. Wang, X: Infinitely many solutions for a fourth-order differential equation on a nonlinear elastic foundation. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, 258 (2013)
11. Yang, L, Chen, H, Yang, X: Multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order equation generated by boundary condition. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1599-1603 (2011)
12. Bonanno, G, Bisci, GM: Infinitely many solutions for a boundary value problem with discontinuous nonlinearities. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2009**, Article ID 670675 (2009)
13. Ricceri, B: A general variational principle and some of its applications. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **113**, 401-410 (2000)

doi:10.1186/s13661-014-0191-6

**Cite this article as:** Song: A nonlinear boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations. *Boundary Value Problems* 2014 **2014**:191.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---