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#### Abstract

Two boundary value problems of the fractional p-Laplacian equation at resonance are considered in this paper. By using the continuation theorem due to Ge , we obtain some existence results for such boundary value problems.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following fractional $p$-Laplacian equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=f\left(t, x(t), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right), \quad t \in[0,1], \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary value conditions either

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x(1), \quad D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x(1), \quad D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(1)=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha, \beta \leq 1, \phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s(p>1), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}$ is a Caputo fractional derivative, and $f$ : $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

In the last two decades, the theory of fractional calculus has gained popularity due to its wide applications in various fields of engineering and the sciences [1-8]. Moreover, the $p$-Laplacian equations often exist in non-Newtonian fluid theory, nonlinear elastic mechanics, and so on

Recently, many important results on the $p$-Laplacian equations or the fractional differential equations have been given. We refer the reader to [9-31]. However, as far as we know, there is little work about boundary value problems (BVPs for short) for the fractional differential equations with $p$-Laplacian operator at resonance.

Note that BVP (1.1)-(1.2) (or BVP (1.1)-(1.3)) happens to be at resonance because its associated homogeneous BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1] \\
x(0)=x(1), \quad D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0 \quad\left(\text { or } x(0)=x(1), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(1)=0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a solution $x(t)=c, \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some definitions, lemmas and notations. In Section 3, some related lemmas are stated and proved which are useful in the proof of our main results. In Section 4 and Section 5, in view of the continuation theorem due to Ge , we establish two theorems about the existence of solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2) (Theorem 4.1) and BVP (1.1)-(1.3) (Theorem 5.1).

## 2 Preliminaries

We give here some definitions and lemmas about the fractional calculus.

Definition 2.1 [32] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha>0$ of a function $x:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} x(s) d s
$$

provided that the right side integral is pointwise defined on $(0,+\infty)$.

Definition 2.2 [32] The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha>0$ of a continuous function $x:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t) & =I_{0^{+}}^{n-\alpha} \frac{d^{n} x(t)}{d t^{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} x^{(n)}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$, provided that the right side integral is pointwise defined on $(0,+\infty)$.

Lemma 2.1 [8] Let $\alpha>0$. Assume that $x, D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x \in L([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Then the following equality holds:

$$
I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)=x(t)+c_{0}+c_{1} t+\cdots+c_{n-1} t^{n-1}
$$

where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and $n$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$.

Lemma 2.2 [33] For any $u, v \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{p}(u+v) \leq \phi_{p}(u)+\phi_{p}(v), \quad \text { if } p<2 \\
& \phi_{p}(u+v) \leq 2^{p-2}\left(\phi_{p}(u)+\phi_{p}(v)\right), \quad \text { if } p \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we introduce an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem $[34,35]$ which allows us to deal with the more general abstract operator equations, such as BVPs of $p$-Laplacian equations.
Let $X$ and $Z$ be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Z}$, respectively.

Definition 2.3 [35] A continuous operator $M$ : $\operatorname{dom} M \cap X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be a quasi-linear operator if
(1) $\operatorname{Im} M=M(\operatorname{dom} M \cap X)$ is a closed subset of $Z$,
(2) $\operatorname{Ker} M=\{x \in \operatorname{dom} M \cap X \mid M x=0\}$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n<\infty$.

Definition 2.4 [35] Let $Z_{1}$ be a subspace of $Z$. An operator $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ is said to be a semi-projector provided that
(1) $Q^{2} z=Q z, \forall z \in Z$,
(2) $Q(\lambda z)=\lambda Q z, \forall z \in Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Set $X_{1}=\operatorname{Ker} M$ and let $X_{2}$ be the complement space of $X_{1}$ in $X$, then $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$. Suppose $Z_{1}$ is a subspace of $Z$ and $Z_{2}$ is the complement space of $Z_{1}$ in $Z$ such that $Z=Z_{1} \oplus Z_{2}$. Let $P: X \rightarrow X_{1}$ be a projector and $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ a semi-projector, and $\Omega \subset X$ an open bounded set with the origin $\theta \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.5 [35] A continuous operator $N_{\lambda}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in[0,1]$ is said to be $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$ if there is a vector subspace $Z_{1}$ of $Z$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z_{1}=\operatorname{dim} X_{1}$, and an operator $R: \bar{\Omega} \times$ $[0,1] \rightarrow X_{2}$ being continuous and compact such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (I-Q) N_{\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \operatorname{Im} M \subset(I-Q) Z,  \tag{2.1}\\
& Q N_{\lambda} x=\theta, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q N x=\theta,  \tag{2.2}\\
& R(\cdot, 0) \text { is the zero operator } \quad \text { and }\left.\quad R(\cdot, \lambda)\right|_{\sum_{\lambda}}=\left.(I-P)\right|_{\sum_{\lambda}},  \tag{2.3}\\
& M(P+R(\cdot, \lambda))=(I-Q) N_{\lambda}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda \in[0,1], N=N_{1}$, and $\sum_{\lambda}=\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega} \mid M x=N_{\lambda} x\right\}$.

Lemma 2.3 [35] Suppose $M: \operatorname{dom} M \cap X \rightarrow Z$ is a quasi-linear operator and $N_{\lambda}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z$, $\lambda \in[0,1]$ is $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$. In addition, if
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \quad M x \neq N_{\lambda} x$ for every $(x, \lambda) \in[(\operatorname{dom} M \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M) \cap \partial \Omega] \times(0,1)$;
$\left(C_{2}\right) Q N x \neq 0$ for every $x \in \operatorname{Ker} M \cap \partial \Omega$;
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M, 0\} \neq 0$,
where $N=N_{1}$ and $J: Z_{1} \rightarrow X_{1}$ is a homeomorphism with $J(\theta)=\theta$, then the abstract equation $M x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\operatorname{dom} M \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

We set $Z=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|z\|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|z(t)|$, and $X=\left\{x \in Z \mid D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x \in Z\right.$, $\left.x(0)=x(1), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0\right\}, X^{1}=\left\{x \in Z \mid D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x \in Z, x(0)=x(1), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(1)=0\right\}$ with the norm $\|x\|_{X}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{0},\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}\right\}$. By using linear functional analysis theory, we can prove $X, X^{1}$ are Banach spaces.

## 3 Related lemmas

We will give some lemmas that are useful in the proof of our main results.
Define the operator $M: \operatorname{dom} M \cap X \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M x=D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{dom} M=\left\{x \in X \mid D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right) \in Z\right\}$. For $\lambda \in[0,1]$, we define $N_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\lambda} x(t)=\lambda f\left(t, x(t), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to the equation

$$
M x=N x, \quad x \in \operatorname{dom} M
$$

where $N=N_{1}$.

Lemma 3.1 The operator $M$, defined by (3.1), is a quasi-linear operator.

Proof The proof will be given in the following two steps.
Step $1 . \operatorname{Ker} M$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$.
From Lemma 2.1, the homogeneous equation $D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=0$ has the following solutions:

$$
x(t)=d_{2}+\frac{\phi_{q}\left(d_{1}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{\alpha}, \quad d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus, by the boundary value condition $D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0$, one has

$$
\operatorname{Ker} M=\{x \in X \mid x(t)=d, \forall t \in[0,1], d \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{Ker} M \simeq \mathbb{R}$.
Step $2 . \operatorname{Im} M$ is a closed subset of $Z$.
Take $x \in \operatorname{dom} M$ and consider the equation $D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=z(t)$. Then we have $z \in Z$ and

$$
\phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=d_{1}+I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z(t), \quad d_{1} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

By the condition $D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0$, one has $d_{1}=0$. Thus we get

$$
x(t)=d_{2}+I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z\right)(t), \quad d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\phi_{q}$ is understood as the operator $\phi_{q}: Z \rightarrow Z$ defined by $\phi_{q}(x)(t)=\phi_{q}(x(t))$. Hence, from the condition $x(0)=x(1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z\right)(1)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $z \in Z$ and satisfies (3.3). Let $x(t)=I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z\right)(t)$, then we have $x \in \operatorname{dom} M$ and

$$
M x(t)=D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left[D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z\right)\right](t)=z(t) .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Im} M=\left\{z \in Z \mid \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=0\right\} .
$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{Im} M \subset Z$ is closed.
Therefore, by Definition 2.3, $M$ is a quasi-linear operator.

Let $X_{1}=\operatorname{Ker} M$ and define the continuous operators $P: X \rightarrow X, Q: Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P x(t)=x(0), \quad \forall t \in[0,1], \\
& Q z(t)=\phi_{p}\left[\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right], \quad \forall t \in[0,1],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{1}{\beta^{q-1}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta(q-1)} d s>0$. It is easy to see that $P$ is a projector and $Q^{2} z=Q z$, $Q(\lambda z)=\lambda Q z, \forall z \in Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $Q$ is a semi-projector. Moreover, $X_{1}=\operatorname{Im} P$ and $\operatorname{Im} M=$ $\operatorname{Ker} Q$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set. Then the operator $N_{\lambda}$, defined by (3.2), is M-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof Choose $X_{2}=\operatorname{Ker} P, Z_{1}=\operatorname{Im} Q$ and define the operator $R: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow X_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(x, \lambda)(t)= & I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x\right](t) \\
= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}\left(\lambda f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right)-Q N_{\lambda} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{dim} Z_{1}=\operatorname{dim} X_{1}=1$. The remainder of the proof will be given in the following two steps.

Step 1. $R: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow X_{2}$ is continuous and compact.
By the definition of $R$, we obtain

$$
D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} R x(t)=\phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x\right](t)
$$

Clearly, the operators $R, D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} R$ are compositions of the continuous operators. So $R, D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} R$ are continuous in $Z$. Hence $R$ is a continuous operator, and $R(\bar{\Omega}), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} R(\bar{\Omega})$ are bounded in $Z$. Furthermore, there exists a constant $T>0$ such that $\left|I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x(t)\right| \leq T, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $t \in[0,1]$. Thus, based on the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we need only to show $R(\bar{\Omega}) \subset X$ is equicontinuous.

For $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq 1, x \in \bar{\Omega}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid R x & \left(t_{2}\right)-R x\left(t_{1}\right) \mid \\
= & \left.\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-s\right)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x(s)\right] d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(t_{1}-s\right)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x(s)\right] d s \mid \\
\leq & \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[\left(t_{1}-s\right)^{\alpha-1}-\left(t_{2}-s\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-s\right)^{\alpha-1} d s\right\} \\
= & \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left[t_{1}^{\alpha}-t_{2}^{\alpha}+2\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\alpha}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $t^{\alpha}$ is uniformly continuous in $[0,1]$, we obtain $R(\bar{\Omega}) \subset Z$ is equicontinuous. A similar proof can show that $I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset Z$ is equicontinuous. This, together with the uniformly continuity of $\phi_{q}(s)$ on $[-T, T]$, shows that $D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} R(\bar{\Omega}) \subset Z$ is equicontinuous. Thus we find $R$ is compact.

Step 2. Equations (2.1)-(2.4) are satisfied.
For $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, it is easy to show that $Q(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x=Q N_{\lambda} x-Q^{2} N_{\lambda} x=0$. So $(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x \in$ $\operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} M$. Moreover, for $z \in \operatorname{Im} M \subset Z$, one has $Q z=0$. Thus $z=z-Q z=(I-Q) z \in$ $(I-Q) Z$. Hence (2.1) holds. Since $Q N_{\lambda} x=\lambda Q N x,(2.2)$ holds too.
For $x \in \sum_{\lambda}$, we have $M x=N_{\lambda} x \in \operatorname{Im} M=\operatorname{Ker} Q$. So $Q N_{\lambda} x=0$. From the condition $D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0$, one has $I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right)=\phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right)$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(x, \lambda)(t) & =I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} N_{\lambda} x\right)(t) \\
& =I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right)\right](t) \\
& =x(t)-x(0) \\
& =(I-P) x(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, when $\lambda=0$, we have $N_{\lambda} x(t) \equiv 0$, which yields $R(x, 0)(t) \equiv 0, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Hence (2.3) holds.

For $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(P x+R(x, \lambda))(t) & =D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left[D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}(P x+R(x, \lambda))\right](t) \\
& =D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left[D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left(I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x\right)\right](t) \\
& =(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that (2.4) holds.
Therefore, by Definition 2.5, $N_{\lambda}$ is $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.

## 4 Solutions of BVP (1.1)-(1.2)

We will give a theorem on the existence of solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2).

Theorem 4.1 Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume that:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ there exist nonnegative functions $a, b, c \in Z$ such that

$$
|f(t, x, y)| \leq a(t)+b(t)|x|^{p-1}+c(t)|y|^{p-1}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1],(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ there exists a constant $A>0$ such that, for $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} M \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M$ satisfying $|x(t)|>A$ for $\forall t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \neq 0
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ there exists a constant $B>0$ such that, for $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|r|>B$, we have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{q}(r) \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau, r, 0) d \tau\right) d s>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{q}(r) \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau, r, 0) d \tau\right) d s<0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution, provided that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}:=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\frac{2^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right]<1, \quad \text { if } p<2  \tag{4.3}\\
& \gamma_{2}:=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\frac{2^{2 p-3}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right]<1, \quad \text { if } p \geq 2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof The proof will be given in the following four steps.
Step 1. $\Omega_{1}=\left\{x \in \operatorname{dom} M \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M \mid M x=N_{\lambda} x, \lambda \in(0,1)\right\}$ is bounded.
For $x \in \Omega_{1}$, one has $N x \in \operatorname{Im} M=\operatorname{Ker} Q$. Thus we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s=0 .
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $\xi \in[0,1]$ such that $|x(\xi)| \leq A$. By Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
x(t)=x(\xi)-I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\xi)+I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t),
$$

which together with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right| & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} t^{\alpha} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0^{\prime}}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $|x(\xi)| \leq A$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{0} \leq A+\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} N x(t)\right|= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\left|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} f\left(s, x(s), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(s)\right) d s\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1}\left(a(s)+b(s)|x(s)|^{p-1}\right. \\
& \left.+c(s)\left|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(s)\right|^{p-1}\right) d s \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\left(\|a\|_{0}+\|b\|_{0}\|x\|_{0}^{p-1}+\|c\|_{0}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} t^{\beta} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\|a\|_{0}+\|c\|_{0}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\|b\|_{0}\left(A+\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \| D_{0^{+} x \|_{0}}^{\alpha}\right)^{p-1}\right], \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By $M x=N_{\lambda} x, D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(0)=0$, and Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
\phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=\lambda I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} N x(t),
$$

which, together with $\left|\phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)\right|=\left|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right|^{p-1}$ and (4.6), implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1} \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\|a\|_{0}+\|c\|_{0}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\|b\|_{0}\left(A+\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}\right)^{p-1}\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p<2$, from (4.7) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{0}^{p-1} \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\|a\|_{0}+A^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{2^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right)\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, based on (4.3), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \leq\left[\frac{\|a\|_{0}+A^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{\left(1-\gamma_{1}\right) \Gamma(\beta+1)}\right]^{q-1}:=K_{1} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{0} \leq A+\frac{2 K_{1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $p \geq 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \leq\left[\frac{\|a\|_{0}+2^{p-2} A^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{\left(1-\gamma_{2}\right) \Gamma(\beta+1)}\right]^{q-1}:=K_{2}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \|x\|_{0} \leq A+\frac{2 K_{2}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, combining (4.8), (4.10) with (4.9), (4.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\|_{X} & =\max \left\{\|x\|_{0},\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, A+\frac{2 K_{1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, A+\frac{2 K_{2}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right\}:=K .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\Omega_{1}$ is bounded.
Step 2. $\Omega_{2}=\{x \in \operatorname{Ker} M \mid Q N x=0\}$ is bounded.
For $x \in \Omega_{2}$, one has $x(t)=d, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau, d, 0) d \tau\right) d s=0
$$

which together with $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ implies $|d| \leq B$. Thus we obtain

$$
\|x\|_{X} \leq \max \{B, 0\}=B
$$

Hence $\Omega_{2}$ is bounded.
Step 3. If (4.1) holds, then

$$
\Omega_{3}=\{x \in \operatorname{Ker} M \mid \lambda I x+(1-\lambda) J Q N x=0, \lambda \in[0,1]\}
$$

is bounded, where $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} M$ is a homeomorphism such that $J(d)=d, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}$. If (4.2) holds, then

$$
\Omega_{3}^{\prime}=\{x \in \operatorname{Ker} M \mid-\lambda I x+(1-\lambda) J Q N x=0, \lambda \in[0,1]\}
$$

is bounded.
For $x \in \Omega_{3}$, we have $x(t)=d, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\lambda d=-(1-\lambda) \phi_{p}\left[\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau, d, 0) d \tau\right) d s\right] .
$$

If $\lambda=1$, then $d=0$. If $\lambda \in[0,1)$, we can show $|d| \leq B$. Otherwise, if $|d|>B$, in view of (4.1), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \lambda d^{2}= & -(1-\lambda) \phi_{p}\left[\frac{\phi_{q}(d)}{\rho} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau, d, 0) d \tau\right) d s\right]<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\Omega_{3}$ is bounded.
Similar to the above argument, we can show $\Omega_{3}^{\prime}$ is also bounded.
Step 4. All conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied.
Define

$$
\Omega=\left\{x \in X \mid\|x\|_{X}<\max \{K, B\}+1\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\left(\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{3}\right) \subset \Omega\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{3}^{\prime}\right) \subset \Omega\right)$. From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, $M$ is a quasi-linear operator and $N_{\lambda}$ is $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, by the above arguments, we see that the following two conditions are satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \quad M x \neq N_{\lambda} x$ for every $(x, \lambda) \in[(\operatorname{dom} M \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M) \cap \partial \Omega] \times(0,1) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) Q N x \neq 0$ for every $x \in \operatorname{Ker} M \cap \partial \Omega$.
Now we verify the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ of Lemma 2.3. Let us define the homotopy

$$
H(x, \lambda)= \pm \lambda I x+(1-\lambda) J Q N x .
$$

According to the above argument, we know

$$
H(x, \lambda) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\{Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M, \theta\} & =\operatorname{deg}\{H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M, \theta\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M, \theta\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{ \pm I, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} M, \theta\} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ of Lemma 2.3 is satisfied.
Therefore, the operator equation $M x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\operatorname{dom} M \cap \bar{\Omega}$. That is, BVP (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution in $X$.

## 5 Solutions of BVP (1.1)-(1.3)

We will give a theorem on the existence of solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.3).
Define the operator $M_{1}: \operatorname{dom} M_{1} \cap X^{1} \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1} x=D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{dom} M_{1}=\left\{x \in X^{1} \mid D_{0^{+}}^{\beta} \phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right) \in Z\right\}$. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.3) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$
M_{1} x=N x, \quad x \in \operatorname{dom} M_{1},
$$

where $N=N_{1}$ and $N_{\lambda}: X^{1} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in[0,1]$ is defined by (3.2).
By similar arguments to Section 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ker} M_{1}= & \left\{x \in X^{1} \mid x(t)=d, \forall t \in[0,1], d \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
\operatorname{Im} M_{1}= & \left\{z \in Z \mid \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.1 The operator $M_{1}$, defined by (5.1), is a quasi-linear operator.

Let $X_{1}^{1}=\operatorname{Ker} M_{1}$, define the projector $P_{1}: X^{1} \rightarrow X^{1}$ and the semi-projector $Q_{1}: Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} x(t)= & x(0), \quad \forall t \in[0,1], \\
Q_{1} z(t)= & \phi_{p}\left[\frac { 1 } { \rho _ { 1 } } \int _ { 0 } ^ { 1 } ( 1 - s ) ^ { \alpha - 1 } \phi _ { q } \left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} z(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right], \quad \forall t \in[0,1],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta q-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-1+s^{\beta}\right) d s<0$. Furthermore, let $\Omega^{1} \subset X^{1}$ be an open bounded set, choose $X_{2}^{1}=\operatorname{Ker} P_{1}, Z_{1}^{1}=\operatorname{Im} Q_{1}$ and define the operator $R_{1}: \overline{\Omega^{1}} \times[0,1] \rightarrow X_{2}^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}(x, \lambda)(t)= & I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \phi_{q}\left[I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}(I-Q) N_{\lambda} x+\tilde{d}\left((I-Q) N_{\lambda} x\right)\right](t) \\
= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\right. \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}\left(\lambda f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right)-Q N_{\lambda} x(\tau)\right) d \tau \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1}\left((I-Q) N_{\lambda} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right] d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{d}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{d}(z) & =-I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} z(1) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\beta-1} z(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.2 The operator $N_{\lambda}: X^{1} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in[0,1]$, defined by (3.2), is $M$-compact in $\overline{\Omega^{1}}$.

Our second result, based on Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, is stated as follows.

Theorem 5.1 Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume that:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ there exists a constant $A_{1}>0$ such that, for $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} M_{1} \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M_{1}$ satisfying $|x(t)|>A_{1}$ for $\forall t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right. \\
\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ there exists a constant $B_{1}>0$ such that, for $\forall r_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\left|r_{1}\right|>B_{1}$, we have either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{q}\left(r_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, r_{1}, 0\right) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, r_{1}, 0\right) d \tau\right) d s>0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{q}\left(r_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, r_{1}, 0\right) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, r_{1}, 0\right) d \tau\right) d s<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ is true. Then BVP (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one solution, provided that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{1}:=\frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\frac{2^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right]<1, \quad \text { if } p<2 ; \\
& \delta_{2}:=\frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\frac{2^{2 p-3}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right]<1, \quad \text { if } p \geq 2 . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof Let

$$
\Omega_{1}^{1}=\left\{x \in \operatorname{dom} M_{1} \backslash \operatorname{Ker} M_{1} \mid M_{1} x=N_{\lambda} x, \lambda \in(0,1)\right\} .
$$

Now we prove $\Omega_{1}^{1}$ is bounded.
For $x \in \Omega_{1}^{1}$, one has $N x \in \operatorname{Im} M_{1}=\operatorname{Ker} Q_{1}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} \phi_{q}\left(-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{\beta-1} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, there exists a constant $\eta \in[0,1]$ such that $|x(\eta)| \leq A_{1}$. Hence, by (4.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{0} \leq A_{1}+\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{1} x=N_{\lambda} x, D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(1)=0$, one has

$$
\phi_{p}\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t)\right)=-\lambda I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} N x(1)+\lambda I_{0^{+}}^{\beta} N x(t),
$$

which together with (4.6) and (5.3) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1} \leq & \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\|a\|_{0}+\|c\|_{0}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\|b\|_{0}\left(A_{1}+\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}\right)^{p-1}\right] . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p<2$, from (5.4) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{0}^{p-1} \leq & \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left[\|a\|_{0}+A_{1}^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{2^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_{0}\right)\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0}^{p-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in view of (5.2), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \leq\left[\frac{2\left(\|a\|_{0}+A_{1}^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}\right)}{\left(1-\delta_{1}\right) \Gamma(\beta+1)}\right]^{q-1}:=T_{1} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $p \geq 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} x\right\|_{0} \leq\left[\frac{2\left(\|a\|_{0}+2^{p-2} A_{1}^{p-1}\|b\|_{0}\right)}{\left(1-\delta_{2}\right) \Gamma(\beta+1)}\right]^{q-1}:=T_{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (5.3), (5.5), and (5.6), we have

$$
\|x\|_{X} \leq \max \left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, A_{1}+\frac{2 T_{1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, A_{1}+\frac{2 T_{2}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right\} .
$$

## That is, $\Omega_{1}^{1}$ is bounded.

The remainder of proof are similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, so we omit the details.
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