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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of periodic solutions for a class of ordinary $p$-Laplacian systems. Our technique is based on the generalized mountain pass theorem of Rabinowitz.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

We consider the existence of periodic solutions for the following ordinary $p$-Laplacian system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0  \tag{1}\\
u(0)-u(T)=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>1, T>0$, and $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $T$-periodic in $t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and satisfies the following assumption:
(A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t), \quad|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, where $\nabla F(t, x)$ denotes the gradient of $F(t, x)$ in $x$.
As we all know, for $p=2$, system (1) reduces to the following second-order Hamiltonian system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0  \tag{2}\\
u(0)-u(T)=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In 1978, Rabinowitz [1] published his pioneer paper for the existence of periodic solutions for problem (2) under the following Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superquadratic condi-
tion: there exist $\mu>2$ and $L^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu F(t, x) \leq(\nabla F(t, x), x) \quad \text { for all }|x| \geq L^{*} \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From then on, various conditions have been applied to study the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for Hamiltonian systems by using the critical point theory; see [2-17] and references therein.

Over the last few decades, many researchers tried to replace the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superquadratic condition (3) by other superquadratic conditions. Some new superquadratic conditions are discovered. Especially, by using linking methods Schechter [13] obtained the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 ([13], Theorem 1.1) Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies (A) and the following conditions:
$\left(V_{0}\right) F(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
$\left(V_{1}\right)$ There are constants $m>0$ and $\alpha \leq \frac{6 m^{2}}{T^{2}}$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \leq \alpha \quad \text { for all }|x|<m, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T] ;
$$

$\left(V_{2}\right)$ There are constants $\beta>\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \geq \beta|x|^{2} \quad \text { for all }|x|>C, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T] ;
$$

$\left(V_{3}\right)$ There exist a constant $\xi>2$ and a function $W(t) \in L^{1}(0, T ; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\xi F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leq W(t)|x|^{2} \quad \text { for all }|x|>C, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{2}} \leq 0
$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Then system (1) possesses a nonconstant T-periodic solution.
Theorem 1.2 ([13], Theorem 1.2) Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $(A),\left(V_{0}\right),\left(V_{2}\right),\left(V_{3}\right)$, and the following condition:
$\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ There is a constant $q>2$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \leq C\left(|x|^{q}+1\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \text { and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and there are constants $m>0$ and $\alpha<\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \leq \alpha|x|^{2} \quad \text { for all }|x| \leq m, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \text { and a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Then system (1) possesses a nonconstant T-periodic solution.

Moreover, Schechter [14] proved the existence of a periodic solution for system (2) if condition $\left(V_{2}\right)$ is replaced by the following local superquadratic condition: there is a subset $E \subset[0, T]$ with meas $(E)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}}>0 \quad \text { uniformly for a.e. } t \in E \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wang, Zhang, and Zhang [17] established the existence of a nonconstant $T$-periodic solution of system (2) under condition (4). They obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 ([17], Theorem 1.1) Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies (A), $\left(V_{0}\right),\left(V_{1}\right),(4)$, and the following conditions:
$\left(V_{4}\right)$ There exist constants $\xi>2,1 \leq \gamma<2, L>0$ and the function $d(t) \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\xi F(t, x) \leq(\nabla F(t, x), x)+d(t)|x|^{\gamma}
$$

for all $|x| \geq L, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T] ;$
$\left(V_{5}\right)$ There exists a constant $M^{*}>0$ such that $d(t) \leq M^{*}$ for a.e. $t \in E$.
Then system (1) possesses a nonconstant T-periodic solution.

Recently, there are many results concerning the existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions for system (1); see [18-25] and references therein. Manasevich and Mawhin [21] generalized the Hartman-Knobloch results to perturbations of a vector $p$-Laplacian ordinary operator. Xu and Tang [23] proved the existence of periodic solutions for problem (1) by using the saddle point theorem. With the aid of the generalized mountain pass theorem, Ma and Zhang [20] extended the results of [16] to systems (1).

In this paper, motivated by the works [13, 14, 17], we consider the existence of periodic solutions for ordinary $p$-Laplacian systems (1). The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(H_{0}\right) F(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} ;$
$\left(H_{1}\right) \lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}=0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$;
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist constants $\mu>p$ and $L_{0}>0$ and $W(t) \in L^{1}(0, T ; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leq W(t)|x|^{p}
$$

for all $|x| \geq L_{0}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{p}} \leq 0
$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$;
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exists $\Omega \subset[0, T]$ with meas $(\Omega)>0$ such that

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}>0
$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in \Omega$.
Then system (1) possesses a nonconstant T-periodic solution.
Remark 1.5 For $p=2$, it is easy to see that the conclusion in Theorem 1.4 is the same if condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(V_{1}\right)$ or $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 1.4 generalizes Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [13] and Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [14]. Furthermore, Theorem 1.4 extends Theorem 1.1 in [17]. There are functions $F$ satisfying our Theorem 1.4 but not satisfying the results mentioned before. For example, let

$$
F(t, x)=\frac{\psi(t)}{T^{2}}\left(|x|^{4}+|x|^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all }(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

where

$$
\psi(t)= \begin{cases}\sin (2 \pi t / T), & t \in[0, T / 2] \\ 0, & t \in[T / 2, T]\end{cases}
$$

Taking $\Omega=[T / 6, T / 4]$, a straightforward computation implies that $F$ does not satisfy the results in [13, 14, 17].

## 2 Proof of the main results

Let us consider the functional $\varphi$ on $W_{T}^{1, p}$ given by

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u) d t
$$

for each $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{T}^{1, p}= & \left\{u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid u \text { is absolutely continuous, } u(0)=u(T)\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a reflexive Banach space with norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{T}^{1, p}
$$

For $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, let

$$
\bar{u}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t, \quad \tilde{u}=u(t)-\bar{u}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}=\left\{u \in W_{T}^{1, p} \mid \bar{u}=0\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
W_{T}^{1, p}=\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|u\|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{0}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}} \quad \text { (Wirtinger's inequality), } \\
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}} \quad \text { (Sobolev inequality) }
\end{array}
$$

for all $u \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$, where $C_{0}$ is a positive constant.
It follows from assumption (A) that the functional $\varphi$ is continuously differentiable on $W_{T}^{1, p}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla F(t, u), v) d t
$$

for all $u, v \in W_{T}^{1, p}$. It is well known that the problem of finding a $T$-periodic solution of problem (1) is equal to that of finding the critical points of $\varphi$.
Now, we can state the proof of our result.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Firstly, we will show that $\varphi$ satisfies (P.-S.) condition, i.e., for every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{T}^{1, p},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\} \text { is bounded and } \quad \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to a standard argument, we only need to show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{T}^{1, p}$. Otherwise, we can assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, so that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$. If necessary, taking a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$, we suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{n} \rightharpoonup w_{0} & \text { weakly in } W_{T}^{1, p}, \\
w_{n} \rightarrow w_{0} & \text { strongly in } C\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{w}_{0} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5) there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\mu}{p}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} & =\mu \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\mu F\left(t, u_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)\right) d t \\
& \leq M_{1}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\mu F\left(t, u_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mu}{p}-1\right)\left\|w_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \leq \frac{M_{1}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}+\frac{\int_{0}^{T}\left(\mu F\left(t, u_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)\right) d t}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $(\mathrm{A})$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$, let $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ with $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|=0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t) \quad \text { and } \quad|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $t \in[0, T] \backslash \Omega_{0}$ and

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{p}} \leq 0
$$

uniformly for $t \in[0, T] \backslash \Omega_{0}$.
In fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F\left(t, u_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \leq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0, T] \backslash \Omega_{0}$. Otherwise, there exist $t_{0} \in[0, T] \backslash \Omega_{0}$ and a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}>0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, then there exists a positive constant $M_{2}$ such that $\left|u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq M_{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (8) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left(\mu+M_{2}\right) \max _{0 \leq s \leq M_{2}} a(s) b(t)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (10). So, there is a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}$, such that $\left|u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From $\left(H_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \\
& \quad=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\left|u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p}}\left|w_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& \quad=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla F\left(t_{0}, u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\left|u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|w_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& \quad \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (10). Thus, (9) holds. From (7) and (9) we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\mu}{p}-1\right)\left\|w_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \leq 0
$$

Since $\mu>p$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (6), this yields

$$
w_{n} \rightarrow \bar{w}_{0} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

which means that

$$
w_{0}=\bar{w}_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad T\left|\bar{w}_{0}\right|=\left\|\bar{w}_{0}\right\|=1 .
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. We deduce from $\left(H_{0}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$, and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d t & \geq \int_{0}^{T} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left[\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{0}\right|^{p}\right] d t \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left[\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}\left|w_{0}\right|^{p}\right] d t \\
& >0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}=\frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}-\frac{\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{n}\right) d t}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}
$$

and $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded, we obtain from (11) that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} d t=0
$$

which contradicts (12). Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{T}^{1, p}$, and we conclude that $\varphi$ satisfies (P.-S.) condition.

Now, by the generalized mountain pass theorem [12], Theorem 5.3, we only need to show that
$\left(G_{1}\right) \inf _{u \in S} \varphi(u)>0$,
$\left(G_{2}\right) \sup _{u \in Q} \varphi(u)<+\infty, \sup _{u \in \partial Q} \varphi(u) \leq 0$,
where $S=\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \cap \partial B_{\rho}, Q=\left\{x+s e \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \cap B_{r_{1}}, s \in\left[0, r_{2}\right]\right\}, r_{1}>0, \rho<r_{2}, e \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$, and $B_{r}=$ $\left\{u \in W_{T}^{1, p}:\|u\| \leq r\right\}$.
By $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exist constants $M_{3}>L_{0}$ and $\eta>0$ and a subset of $\Omega$, still denoted by $\Omega$, with $|\Omega|>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x)>\frac{2 \eta}{\mu-p}|x|^{p} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leq \eta|x|^{p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|x| \geq M_{3}$ and $t \in \Omega$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $t \in[0, T]$, let

$$
f(s)=F(t, s x) \quad \text { for all } s \geq \frac{M_{3}}{|x|} .
$$

We deduce from (14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(s) & =\frac{1}{s}(\nabla F(t, s x), s x) \\
& \geq \frac{\mu}{s} F(t, s x)-\eta s^{p-1}|x|^{p} \\
& =\frac{\mu}{s} f(s)-\eta s^{p-1}|x|^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
g(s)=f^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\mu}{s} f(s)+\eta s^{p-1}|x|^{p} \geq 0
$$

for all $s \geq \frac{M_{3}}{|x|}$. From the above expression we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=\left(\int_{\frac{M_{3}}{|x|}}^{s} \frac{g(r)-\eta r^{p-1}|x|^{p}}{r^{\mu}} d r+M_{4}\right) s^{\mu} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq \frac{M_{3}}{|x|}$, where

$$
M_{4}=\left(\frac{|x|}{M_{3}}\right)^{\mu} f\left(\frac{M_{3}}{|x|}\right) .
$$

It follows from (15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s) & =\left(\int_{\frac{M_{3}}{|x|}}^{s} \frac{g(r)-\eta r^{p-1}|x|^{p}}{r^{\mu}} d r+M_{4}\right) s^{\mu} \\
& =\left(\int_{\frac{M_{3}}{|x|}}^{s} \frac{g(r)}{r^{\mu}} d r-\eta|x|^{p} \int_{\frac{M_{3}}{|x|}}^{s} r^{p-1-\mu} d r+M_{4}\right) s^{\mu} \\
& \geq M_{4} s^{\mu}+\left(\frac{\eta|x|^{p}}{\mu-p} s^{p-\mu}-\frac{\eta|x|^{\mu}}{(\mu-p) M_{3}^{\mu-p}}\right) s^{\mu} \\
& \geq\left(M_{3}^{-\mu} F\left(t, M_{3}|x|^{-1} x\right)-\frac{\eta}{(\mu-p) M_{3}^{\mu-p}}\right)|x|^{\mu} s^{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (13) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t, x) & =f(1) \\
& \geq\left(M_{3}^{-\mu} F\left(t, M_{3}|x|^{-1} x\right)-\frac{\eta}{(\mu-p) M_{3}^{\mu-p}}\right)|x|^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq\left(\frac{2 \eta M_{3}^{p-\mu}}{\mu-p}-\frac{\eta M_{3}^{p-\mu}}{\mu-p}\right)|x|^{\mu} \\
& \geq \frac{\eta M_{3}^{p-\mu}}{\mu-p}|x|^{\mu} \\
& =M_{5}|x|^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $|x| \geq M_{3}$ and $t \in \Omega$, where $M_{5}=\eta M_{3}^{p-\mu} /(\mu-p)$. So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq M_{5}|x|^{\mu}-M_{5} M_{3}^{\mu} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $t \in \Omega$.
Choose

$$
z(t)=(\sin (\omega t), 0, \ldots, 0) \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}
$$

where $\omega=2 \pi / T$. Let

$$
\bar{W}_{T}^{1, p}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \oplus \operatorname{span}\{z(t)\}
$$

and

$$
Q=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}| | x \mid \leq r_{1}\right\} \oplus\left\{s z \mid 0 \leq s \leq r_{2}\right\}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{W}_{T}^{1, p}\right)<\infty$, all the norms are equivalent. For any $u \in \bar{W}_{T}^{1, p}$, there exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \geq K\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq M_{6}|x|^{p}-M_{7} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $t \in \Omega$, where

$$
M_{6}=\frac{2 \omega^{p} T}{p K^{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|z(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{-p / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{7}=M_{5} M_{3}^{\mu}+M_{6}\left(\frac{M_{6}}{M_{5}}\right)^{p /(\mu-p)}
$$

Now, it follows from (17) and (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x+s z) & =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|s z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x+s z) d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|s z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\int_{\Omega} F(t, x+s z) d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\cos \omega t|^{p} d t-M_{6} \int_{\Omega}|x+s z|^{p} d t+M_{7}|\Omega| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} \int_{\Omega}|x+s z|^{p} d t+M_{7}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} K^{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|x+s z|^{2} d t\right)^{p / 2}+M_{7}|\Omega| \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} K^{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|x|^{2}+|s z|^{2}\right) d t\right)^{p / 2}+M_{7}|\Omega| \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} K^{p}\left(|\Omega||x|^{2}+s^{2} \int_{\Omega}|z|^{2} d t\right)^{p / 2}+M_{7}|\Omega| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x+s z) & \leq \frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} K^{p}\left(s^{2} \int_{\Omega}|z|^{2} d t\right)^{p / 2}+M_{7}|\Omega| \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T+M_{7}|\Omega| \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x+s z) \leq \frac{1}{p} \omega^{p}|s|^{p} T-M_{6} K^{p}|\Omega|^{p / 2}|x|^{p}+M_{7}|\Omega| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
r_{1}=\left(\frac{p M_{7}|\Omega|}{\omega^{p} T}\right)^{1 / p} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{2}=\left(\frac{2 M_{7}|\Omega|^{1-p / 2}}{M_{6} K^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

For $x+r_{1} z \in \partial Q$, we get from (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x+r_{1} z\right) \leq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $x+s z \in \partial Q$ with $0 \leq s \leq r_{1},|x|=r_{2}$, we obtain from (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x+s z) \leq 0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s=0$, then by $\left(H_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) d t \leq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. By (21), (22), and (23) condition $\left(G_{2}\right)$ holds.
On the other hand, it follows from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ that there exist two positive constants $\varepsilon<1 /\left(p C_{0}\right)$ and $\delta<C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leq \varepsilon|x|^{p} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|x| \leq \delta$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
For $u \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$ with $\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{C_{0}} \delta$, we have $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$. We obtain from (24) and Wirtinger's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}|u|^{p} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon C_{0}\right)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon C_{0}\right)\left(1+C_{0}\right)^{-1}\|u\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\rho \in\left(0, \delta / C_{0}\right)$ to obtain

$$
\inf _{u \in S} \varphi(u)>0,
$$

where $S=\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \cap \partial B_{\rho}$. So, condition $\left(G_{1}\right)$ holds.
Hence, there is a nonconstant $T$-periodic solution of system (1).
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