

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Existence of positive ground state solutions of Schrödinger–Poisson system involving negative nonlocal term and critical exponent on bounded domain

Wenxuan Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenbin Gan<sup>2\*</sup> and Shibo Liu<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

ganwbxm@163.com

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence of positive ground state solutions of the Schrödinger–Poisson system involving a negative nonlocal term and critical exponent on a bounded domain. The main tools are the mountain pass theorem and the concentration compactness principle.

**Keywords:** Negative nonlocal; Critical exponent; Mountain pass theorem; Concentration compactness principle

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Schrödinger–Poisson system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \phi u = \lambda u^{q-1} + u^5 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter,  $2 < q < 6$ , and  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

System (1.1) is related to the stationary analogue of the nonlinear parabolic Schrödinger–Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \psi + \phi(x)\psi - |\psi|^{p-2}\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta \phi = |\psi|^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi = \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The first equation in (1.2) is called the Schrödinger–Poisson equation, which describes quantum particles interacting with the electromagnetic field generated by a motion. Similar problems have been widely investigated, and it is well known that they have a strong physical meaning because they appear in quantum mechanics models (see e.g. [3]) and in semiconductor theory [10, 12]. Variational methods and critical point theory are always powerful tools in studying nonlinear differential equations. For more details as regards

the physical relevance of the Schrödinger–Poisson system, we refer to [1, 13] and some related results [14, 16–18, 20].

The Schrödinger–Poisson system on whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has attracted a lot of attention. Few works concern the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger–Poisson system on a bounded domain, particularly, critical nonlinearity except [2, 7, 8]. Up to now, Schrödinger–Poisson system (1.1) has never been studied by variational methods. Lei and Suo [8] studied the following Schrödinger–Poisson system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \kappa \phi u = \kappa |u|^{p-2}u + |u|^4u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi = u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  is a real parameter, and  $1 < p < 2$ . There exists  $\kappa^* > 0$  such that there at least two positive solutions, and one of them is a positive ground state solution for  $\kappa \in (0, \kappa^*)$ . Zhang [19] considered the negative nonlocal Schrödinger–Poisson system on a bounded domain and obtained that there are at least two solutions involving a singularity term by using the Nehari method. Li and Tang [9] obtained at least two positive solutions  $(u, \phi_u) \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  involving a negative nonlocal term in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Our paper is motivated by all the results mentioned [2, 7–9, 19]. Up to now, there was no information about system (1.1) on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ ; this is what we are interested in. To deal with our system (1.1), we should estimate the critical value as regards the difficulty caused by the critical exponent.

Now our main results can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $2 < q \leq 4$ . Then there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that system (1.1) has at least one positive ground state solution for all  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $4 < q < 6$ . Then system (1.1) has at least one positive ground state solution for all  $\lambda > 0$ .*

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be the usual Sobolev space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with the inner product  $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx$  and norm  $\|u\| = \sqrt{(u, u)}$ ;  $|u|_s$  denotes the norm of the space  $L^s(\Omega)$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq 6$ . For any  $r > 0$  and  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $B_r(x)$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ .  $C$  and  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) denote various positive constants, which may vary from line to line.

It is well known that system (1.1) can be reduced to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonlocal term. Indeed, the Lax–Milgram theorem implies that for all  $u \in X$ , there exists a unique  $\phi_u \in X$  such that

$$-\Delta \phi_u = u^2.$$

It is standard to see that system (1.1) is variational and its solutions are the critical points of the functional defined in  $X$  by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \phi_u u^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 \, dx.$$

For simplicity, in many cases, we just say that  $u \in X$ , instead of  $(u, \phi_u) \in X \times X$ , is a weak solution of system (1.1). It is easy to see that  $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  (see [8, 9]) and

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \phi_u u \varphi \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u^5 \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall u, \varphi \in X.$$

Let  $S$  be the best Sobolev constant, namely

$$S := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^6 \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}. \tag{2.1}$$

As it is well known, the function

$$U(x) = \frac{(3\epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\epsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.2}$$

is an extremal function for the minimum problem (2.1), that is, it is a positive solution of the equation

$$-\Delta u = u^5, \quad \forall x \in x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|U\|^2 = |U|_6^6 = S^{\frac{3}{2}}; \tag{2.4}$$

see [11].

Before proving our Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [6]) *For every  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique solution  $\phi_u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  of*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

- (1)  $\phi_u \geq 0$ ; moreover,  $\phi_u > 0$  when  $u \neq 0$ ;
- (2) for each  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\phi_{tu} = t^2 \phi_u$ ;
- (3)  $\int_{\Omega} \phi_u u^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_u|^2 \, dx \leq S^{-1} |u|_{\frac{12}{5}}^4 \leq C \|u\|^4$ ;
- (4) if  $F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi_u u^2 \, dx$ , then  $F : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$  is  $C^1$ , and

$$\langle F'(u), v \rangle = 4 \int_{\Omega} \phi_u u v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

### 3 The Palais–Smale condition

First, we prove the following mountain-pass geometry of the functional  $I$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $2 < q < 6$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the functional  $I$  satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) *There exist two constants  $\alpha, \rho > 0$  such that*

$$I(u) \geq \alpha > 0 \quad \text{with } \|u\| = \rho.$$

(ii) *There exists  $e \in X$  with  $\|e\| > \rho$  such that  $I(e) < 0$ .*

*Proof* (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \phi_u u^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}C\|u\|^4 - C_1\|u\|^q - \frac{1}{6S^3}\|u\|^6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since  $q > 2$ , there exist  $\alpha, \rho > 0$  such that  $I(u) \geq \alpha > 0$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ .

(ii). For  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$I(tu) \leq \frac{1}{2}t^2\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{6}t^6 \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 \, dx \rightarrow -\infty$$

as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then we can find  $e \in X$  such that  $\|e\| > \rho$  and  $I(e) < 0$ . This completes the proof. □

Therefore by using the mountain pass theorem without  $(PS)_c$  condition (see [15]) it follows that there exists a  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $2 < q < 6$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence of  $I$  with  $0 < c < \frac{1}{3}S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  be a  $(PS)_c$  for  $I$ , that is,

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

We claim that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $X$ . In the case  $2 < q \leq 4$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + c + o(\|u_n\|) &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{q}\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \int_{\Omega} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 \, dx + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 \, dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\|u_n\|^2; \end{aligned}$$

in the case  $4 < q < 6$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + c + o(\|u_n\|) &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $X$ . Going if necessary to a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ , we can assume that for  $n$  large enough,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } X; \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^p(\Omega), p \in [1, 6); \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

By the concentration compactness principle (see [5, 11]) there exists at most countable set  $J$ , points  $\{x_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \Omega$ , and values  $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in J}, \{\mu_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u|^2 + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$|u_n|^6 \rightharpoonup \nu = |u|^6 + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\delta_{x_j}$  is the Dirac mass at  $x_j$ . Moreover, we have

$$\mu_j, \nu_j \geq 0, \quad \mu_j \geq S \nu_j^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{3.5}$$

We claim that  $J = \emptyset$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $J \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there exists  $j_0 \in J$  such that  $\mu_{j_0} \neq 0$ .

On the one hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, assume that  $\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is such that  $\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) = 1, \quad \text{in } B\left(x_j, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right); \quad \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x) = 0, \quad \text{in } X \setminus B(x_j, \varepsilon); \quad |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon, j}(x)| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}.$$

Since  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  is bounded and  $\{\psi_{\varepsilon, j} u_n\}$  is also bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle I'(u_n), \psi_{\varepsilon, j} u_n \rangle \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} u_n \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon, j} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_{\varepsilon, j} dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 \psi_{\varepsilon, j} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q \psi_{\varepsilon, j} dx - \int_{\Omega} u_n^6 \psi_{\varepsilon, j} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and by the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} u_n \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx \right| \\
 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 |u_n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_2 \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_2 \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,j}|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |u|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_3 \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_j)} |u|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.2)–(3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx \\
 & = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + v_j \\
 & = v_j,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and by Lemma 2.1 and (3.2) we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \psi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + \mu_j = \mu_j. \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.6)–(3.11) we obtain

$$v_j \geq \mu_j,$$

which, combined with  $\mu_{j_0} \neq 0$  and (3.5), gives

$$v_{j_0} \geq S^3. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.3)–(3.5) and (3.12) in the case  $2 < q \leq 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ I(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right\} \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u_n\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \int_{\Omega} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 dx \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\|u\|^2 + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx + \sum_{j \in J} v_j\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \mu_{j_0} + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6}\right) v_{j_0} \geq \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and in the case  $4 < q < 6$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ I(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 dx \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\|u\|^2 + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j\right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx + \sum_{j \in J} v_j\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \mu_{j_0} + \frac{1}{12} v_{j_0} \geq \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use  $v_j \geq \mu_j$  and  $v_j \geq S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Therefore by  $c < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$  it is a contradiction. This implies that  $J$  is empty, which means that  $\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^6 dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx$ . We can also get  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  (see Lemma 2.2 in [8]). So Lemma 3.2 holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $2 < q \leq 4$ , then there exist  $\lambda^* > 0$  and  $\bar{v}_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} I(s\bar{v}_0) < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > \lambda^*.$$

*If  $4 < q < 6$ , then there exists  $\bar{v}_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} I(s\bar{v}_1) < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

*Proof* We choose a function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ ,  $|\nabla \eta| \leq C$  in  $\Omega$ .  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| < 2r_0$ , and  $\eta(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > 3r_0$ . Define

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \eta(x)U(x).$$

It is known (see [15]) that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\epsilon|_6^6 &= S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon^3), \\ \|u_\epsilon\|^2 &= S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon), \\ \|u_\epsilon\|^4 &\leq S^3 + O(\epsilon), \\ C_4 \epsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} &\leq \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^p dx \leq C_5 \epsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 1 \leq p < 3, \\ C_6 \epsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} |\ln \epsilon| &\leq \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^p dx \leq C_7 \epsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} |\ln \epsilon|, \quad p = 3, \\ C_8 \epsilon^{\frac{6-p}{2}} &\leq \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon^p dx \leq C_9 \epsilon^{\frac{6-p}{2}}, \quad 3 < p < 6. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Set

$$h(su_\epsilon) = \frac{s^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{s^q \lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^q dx - \frac{s^6}{6} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^6 dx.$$

We can also prove that  $\max_{s \geq 0} h(su_\epsilon)$  is attained at  $s_0$  for  $0 < s_1 < s_0 < s_2$ , that is,

$$\max_{s \geq 0} h(su_\epsilon) = h(s_0 u_\epsilon). \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.14),  $4 < q < 6$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(su_\epsilon) &= \frac{s^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{s^4}{4} \int_\Omega \phi_{u_\epsilon} u_\epsilon^2 dx - \frac{s^q \lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^q dx - \frac{s^6}{6} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^6 dx \\ &\leq \frac{s^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{s^q \lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^q dx - \frac{s^6}{6} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^6 dx \\ &\leq \frac{s_0^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{s_0^q \lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^q dx - \frac{s_0^6}{6} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^6 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} s_0^2 (S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon)) - C_{10} \epsilon^{\frac{6-q}{2}} - \frac{s_0^6}{6} (S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon^3)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} s_0^2 S^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{s_0^6}{6} S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon) - O(\epsilon^3) - C_{10} \epsilon^{\frac{6-q}{2}} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{k^2}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{k^6}{6} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\} + O(\epsilon) - C_{10} \epsilon^{\frac{6-q}{2}} < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, in the case  $2 < q \leq 4$ , by (3.13) and (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(su_\epsilon) &\leq \frac{s_0^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{s_0^q \lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^q dx - \frac{s_0^6}{6} \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^6 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} s_0^2 (S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon)) - C_{11} \lambda \epsilon^{\frac{6-q}{2}} - \frac{s_0^6}{6} (S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon^3)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon) - C_{11} \lambda \epsilon^{\frac{6-q}{2}} < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

provided that  $\lambda$  is large enough. Thus there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that  $I(su_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 4 Proof of theorems

*Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2* Due to Lemma 3.1,  $I(u)$  satisfies the mountain pass geometry. From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we obtain the  $(PS)_c$  condition with  $0 < c < \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Therefore system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution  $u_0$ , and  $I(u_0) = c > 0$ , which is a mountain pass solution. Since  $I(|u|) = I(u)$ , by a result due to Brézis and Nirenberg (Theorem 10 in [4]) we conclude that  $u_0 \geq 0$ . By the strong maximum principle we have  $u_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Therefore  $u_0$  is a positive solution of system (1.1) with  $I(u_0) > 0$ .

Next, we show that system (1.1) has a positive ground state solution in  $X$  when  $2 < p \leq 4$  or  $4 < p < 6$ .



Define

$$m := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} I(v), \mathcal{M} = \{v \in X \setminus \{0\} \mid I'(v) = 0\}.$$

There exists  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  such that  $u_n \neq 0$ . Since  $u_0$  is a solution of system (1.1), by the definition of  $m$  we have

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow m, m < \frac{1}{3}S^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Obviously, from Lemma 3.2 we can easily deduce that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $X$ . Then there exist a nonnegative subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  (still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ ) and  $u_1 \in X$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_1$  in  $X$ . We can obtain that  $u_n \rightarrow u_1$  in  $X$  and  $I(u_1) = m$  with  $u_1 > 0$  by the last section in [8], that is,  $u_1$  is a positive ground state solution to system (1.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees and the editor for their valuable comments and suggestions.

#### Funding

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant 11271305 and 11531010.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors wrote, read, and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Mechanical and Electronic Engineering, Tarim University, Alar, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 20 June 2019 Accepted: 15 November 2019 Published online: 06 December 2019

#### References

- Ambrosetti, A., Ruiz, D.: Multiple bound states for the Schrödinger–Poisson problem. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10**, 391–404 (2008)
- Batkam, C.J.: High energy sign changing solutions to Schrödinger–Poisson type systems (2015) [arXiv:1501.05942](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.05942)
- Benguria, R., Brezis, H., Lieb, E.H.: The Thomas–Fermi–von Weizsäcker theory of atoms and molecules. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79**, 167–180 (1981)
- Berestycki, H., Capuzzo-Dolcetta, I., Nirenberg, L.: Variational methods for indefinite superlinear homogeneous elliptic problems. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2**, 553–572 (1995)
- Chabrowski, J.: Concentration compactness principle at infinity and semilinear elliptic equations involving critical and subcritical Sobolev exponent. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **3**, 493–512 (1995)
- d'Aprile, T., Mugnai, D.: Non-existence results for the coupled Klein–Gordon Maxwell equations. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **4**, 307–322 (2004)
- Lei, C.Y., Liao, J.F.: Multiple positive solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson system involving singularity and critical exponent. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**, 2417–2430 (2019)
- Lei, C.Y., Suo, H.M.: Positive solutions for a Schrödinger–Poisson system involving concave-convex nonlinearities. *Commun. Math. Appl.* **74**, 1516–1524 (2017)
- Li, M.M., Tang, C.L.: Multiple positive solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson system in  $\Omega$  involving concave-convex nonlinearities with critical exponent. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **16**(5), 1587–1602 (2017)
- Lions, P.L.: Solutions of Hartree–Fock equations for Coulomb systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109**, 33–97 (1984)
- Lions, P.L.: The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, parts 1, 2. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1**(2,3), 145–201 (1985) 45–121
- Markowich, P., Ringhofer, C., Schmeiser, C.: *Semiconductor Equations*. Springer, New York (1990)

13. Ruiz, D.: The Schrödinger–Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term. *J. Funct. Anal.* **237**, 655–674 (2006)
14. Wang, L., Radulescu, V.D., Zhang, L.B.: Infinitely many solutions for fractional Kirchhoff–Schrödinger–Poisson systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **60**(1), 011506, 18 pp. (2019)
15. Willem, M.: *Minimax Theorems*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1996)
16. Xiang, M.Q., Radulescu, V.D., Zhang, L.B.: Combined effects for fractional Schrödinger–Kirchhoff systems with critical nonlinearities. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **24**(3), 1249–1273 (2018)
17. Xiang, M.Q., Radulescu, V.D., Zhang, L.B.: Nonlocal Kirchhoff diffusion problems: local existence and blow-up of solutions. *Nonlinearity* **31**(7), 3228–3250 (2018)
18. Xiang, M.Q., Radulescu, V.D., Zhang, L.B.: A critical fractional Choquard–Kirchhoff problem with magnetic field. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **21**(4), 1850004, 36 pp. (2019)
19. Zhang, Q.: Existence, uniqueness and multiplicity of positive solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson system with singularity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **437**, 160–180 (2016)
20. Zhang, W., Zhang, J., Xie, X.L.: On ground states for the Schrödinger–Poisson system with periodic potentials. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **47**(3), 449–470 (2016)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)

---