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## Abstract

In this paper, we prove that the following $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$-Laplacian elliptic system with a nonsmooth potential has at least three weak solutions:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{1}} u_{1}+b_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{\mid p_{1}-2} u_{1} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{1}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ \cdots & \\ -\Delta_{p_{n}} u_{n}+b_{n}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{n}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{i}=0 & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

The proof is based on a three critical points theorem for nondifferentiable functionals. Some recent results in the literature are generalized and improved.
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## 1 Introduction

As we know many free boundary problems and obstacle problems may be reduced to partial differential equations with nonsmooth potentials. The area of nonsmooth analysis is closely related to the development of critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals, in particular, for locally Lipschitz continuous functionals based on Clarke's generalized gradient [1]. The existence of multiple solutions for Dirichlet boundary value problems with discontinuous nonlinearities has been widely investigated in recent years. In 1981, Chang [2] extended the variational methods to a class of nondifferentiable functionals and directly applied the variational method to prove some existence theorems for PDE with discontinuous nonlinearities. It provides an appropriate mathematical framework to extend the classic critical point theory for $C^{1}$-functionals in a natural way and to meet specific needs in applications, such as in nonsmooth mechanics and engineering. For a comprehensive understanding, we refer to the monographs [3-5] and references [6-11].

The study of quasilinear elliptic systems, which have been used in a great variety of applications, has received considerable attention in recent years. For example, in [12] the authors studied a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the $p$-Laplacian operator and the right-hand sides of systems being closely related to the critical Sobolev exponent.

Then they proved the existence of at least one nontrivial solution under some additional assumptions on the nonlinearities. In [13], Li and Tang considered a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the ( $p, q$ )-Laplacian of the type

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda F_{u}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta_{q} u=\lambda F_{v}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded, nonempty, and open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a $C^{1}$-boundary $\partial \Omega$, $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, $F_{u}$ denotes the partial derivative of $F$ with respect to $u$. By utilizing a three critical points theory, they proved that problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions. In [14], Kristály guaranteed the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty]$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ elliptic system (1.2) has at least two distinct nontrivial solutions by using an abstract critical point result of Ricceri. In [15], Zhang et al. discussed the Nehari manifold for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving a pair of $(p, q)$-Laplacian operators and a parameter, and proved the existence of a nonnegative nonsemitrivial solution for a system by discussing properties of the Nehari manifold, and so global bifurcation results were obtained. More results can be found in [16-22] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above facts, a natural question arises. Is there a similar result to consider (1.1) from a more extensive viewpoint? With this aim in mind the present paper is to improve and generalize the main results of [13] into nonsmooth case. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ be a non-empty bounded open set with $C^{2}$-boundary $\partial \Omega, p_{i}>N$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda>0$. We study the following elliptic system with a nonsmooth potential (hemivariational inequality):

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{1}} u_{1}+b_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} u_{1} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{1}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ \cdots & \\ -\Delta_{p_{n}} u_{n}+b_{n}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{n}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega \\ u_{i}=0 & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}\right)$ is a $p_{i}$-Laplacian operator, $b_{i}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)_{+}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We denote by $\partial u_{i} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$ the partial generalized gradient of $F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ at the point $u_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Employing a nonsmooth version of Ricceri's three critical points theorem, we obtain that problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions in $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \times$ $\cdots \times W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$. By a weak solution of problem (1.1), we mean that, for all $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}(x) \nabla y_{i}(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}(x) y_{i}(x) d x \\
& \quad-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} y_{i}(x)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$ and $\gamma_{i} \in \partial_{u_{i}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

We extend the main results of [13] in two directions. Our contribution can be briefly described as follows:

1. We extend the constant exponent case of $(p, q)$-Laplacian to the general case of $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$-Laplacian. Some estimates will become more difficult by the increase of restrictions. So a careful analysis is necessary in lots of estimates. We not only obtain the multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.2), but also extend the results to other cases(see Corollaries 2.1-2.4). This is a very comprehensive job for a class of elliptic systems.
2. Our study includes the case where the nonlinear term $F_{u_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ has discontinuous terms with respect to $u_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. Due to this fact, we reformulate problem (1.1) into a differential inclusion system. By using Clarke's gradient for locally Lipschitz functionals, we are able to guarantee the existence and multiplicity of solutions for differential inclusion systems.

We notice that our hypothesis does not require continuity on the functions $F_{u_{i}}(i=$ $1, \ldots, n)$ with respect to $u_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. So (1.1) may not have a solution. To avoid this situation, we consider functions $F_{u_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ as a multivalued mapping, which is locally essentially bounded, and fill the discontinuity gaps of $F_{u_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ by an interval $\left[f_{11}, f_{12}\right], \ldots,\left[f_{n 1}, f_{n 2}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}\left(x, s, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \operatorname{essinf}|t|<\delta \\
& f_{12}\left(x, s, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(x, t, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), \\
& \operatorname{esssup} \\
& |t-s|<\delta \\
& \ldots \\
& u_{1}\left(x, t, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), \\
& f_{n 1}\left(x, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, s\right)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \underset{|t-s|<\delta}{\operatorname{essinf}} F_{u_{n}}\left(x, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, s\right), \\
& f_{n 2}\left(x, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, s\right)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \operatorname{esssup}_{|t-s|<\delta} F_{u_{n}}\left(x, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, it is well known that $F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{u_{1}} F_{t}\left(x, t, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) d t=\cdots=\int_{0}^{u_{n}} F_{t}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.u_{n-1}, t\right) d t$ is locally Lipschitz with respect to $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ and $\partial_{u_{1}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\left[f_{11}\left(x, u_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), f_{12}\left(x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right], \ldots, \partial_{u_{n}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\left[f_{n 1}\left(x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), f_{n 2}\left(x, u_{1}, u_{2}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, u_{n}\right)\right]$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of at least three solutions for problem (1.2) with a nonsmooth potential by using a three critical points theorem (see Theorem 1.1) established by Marano and Motreanu in [23], which is a nonsmooth version of Ricceri's three critical points theorem (see [24]). We extend the main results of [13] into general cases, which satisfy more general conditions than those employed in [13] and so on. The paper is organized as two sections. The main results will be introduced in Sect. 2 .

In the following, for convenience, we briefly present some mathematical tools which are used in the analysis of problem (1.2).

Definition 1.1 A function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz if, for every $u \in X$, there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $u$ and $L>0$ such that, for every $\nu, \omega \in U$,

$$
|\varphi(\nu)-\varphi(\omega)| \leq L\|v-\omega\| .
$$

If $\varphi$ is locally Lipschitz on bounded sets, then clearly it is locally Lipschitz.

Definition 1.2 Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz functional, $u, v \in X$. Define the generalized derivative of $\varphi$ in $u$ along the direction $\nu$ :

$$
\varphi^{0}(u ; \nu)=\limsup _{\omega \rightarrow u, \tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi(\omega+\tau \nu)-\varphi(\omega)}{\tau} .
$$

It is easy to see that the function $v \rightarrow \varphi^{0}(u ; v)$ is sublinear, continuous and so is the support function of a nonempty, convex, and $\omega^{*}$-compact set $\partial \varphi(u) \subset X^{*}$ defined by

$$
\partial \varphi(u)=\left\{u^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle u^{*}, v\right\rangle_{X} \leq \varphi^{0}(u ; v) \text { for all } v \in X\right\} .
$$

If $\varphi \in C^{1}(X)$, then

$$
\partial \varphi(u)=\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(u)\right\} .
$$

Clearly, these definitions extend those of the Gâteaux directional derivative and gradient.

A point $u \in X$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ if $0 \in \partial \varphi(u)$. It is easy to see that, if $u \in X$ is a local minimum of $\varphi$, then $0 \in \partial \varphi(u)$. For more details on locally Lipschitz functionals and their subdifferential calculus, we refer the reader to Clarke [1].

Definition 1.3 Let $m^{\varphi}(u)=\inf _{u^{*} \in \partial \varphi(u)}\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{X^{*}}$. If $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz functional, then we say that $\varphi$ satisfies the PS-condition if the following holds:

Every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$, such that

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad m^{\varphi}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

has a strongly convergent subsequence.

The following theorem is the main tool in proving our main results in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (see [23] Theorem 2.1) Let $X$ be a separable and reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two locally Lipschitz functionals. Assume that there exists $u_{0} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\Psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in X$, and there exist $u_{1} \in X$ and $r>0$ such that
(i) $r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$;
(ii) $\sup _{\Phi(u)<r} \Psi(u)<r \frac{\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}$, and further we assume that the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and satisfies the PS-condition;
(iii) $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}(\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u))=+\infty$ for any $\lambda \in[0, \bar{a}]$, where $\bar{a}=\frac{h r}{r \frac{\psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\left(u_{1}\right)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r} \Psi(u)}$, with $h>1$. Then there exist an open interval $\Lambda_{1} \subset[0, \bar{a}]$ and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}$, the function $\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u)$ admits at least three critical points whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

## 2 Main results

In this section, we present our main results. We firstly fix some notations. Let $X$ be the Cartesian product of $n$ Sobolev spaces $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega), \cdots$ and $W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$, i.e., $X=W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \times$
$\cdots \times W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}
$$

$(X,\|\cdot\|)$ denotes a (real) Banach space and $\left(X^{*},\|\cdot\|_{*}\right)$ denotes its topological dual, while $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ (respectively, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ ) in $X$ means that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x$ (respectively, weakly) in $X$. Set

$$
c=\max \left\{\sup _{u_{i} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}}}{\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}} \text {, for } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} .
$$

Since $p_{i}>N$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, one has $c<+\infty$. Furthermore, it is known from [25] (Formula (6b)) that

$$
\sup _{u_{i} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|}{\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}} \leq \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{p_{i}}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(\frac{p_{i}-1}{p_{i}-N}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p_{i}}}[m(\Omega)]^{\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{p_{i}}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\Gamma$ denotes the gamma function and $m(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$, and equality occurs when $\Omega$ is a ball.

Fix $x^{0} \in \Omega$ and choose $\rho>0$ such that $B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right) \subset B\left(x^{0}, \rho\right) \subset \Omega$.
The hypotheses on the nonsmooth potential function $F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ are the following: $H(F)_{1}: F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)$ satisfies $F(x, 0, \ldots, 0)=0$ and also
(i) for all $\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega \ni x \mapsto F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)$ is measurable;
(ii) for almost all $x \in \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n} \ni\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \mapsto F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz;
(iii) $F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \leq a(x)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|^{t_{i}}\right)$ for almost all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and all $\zeta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, t_{i}<p_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, where $a(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)_{+}$;
(iv) $F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \geq 0$ for each $\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right) \times[0, d] \times \cdots \times[0, d]$ with $d>0$;
(v) $m(\Omega) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\left(d^{p_{i}} R_{i}^{p_{i}}+B_{i}^{p_{i}}\right) \max _{\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times V} F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)<$ $\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F(x, d, \ldots, d) d x$, where $B_{i}=\left(c \bar{b}_{i} d^{p_{i}} \omega_{N} \rho^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}, R_{i}=\frac{2}{\rho}\left(\frac{c \rho^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}$ and $V=\left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\zeta_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq \frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}\right.\right\}$ for some $\beta>0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.
We now state our main results.

Theorem 2.1 If there exist positive constants $\beta$, $d$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(d R_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}>\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}$ and hypotheses $H(F)_{1}$ hold, then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty)$ and a constant $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem (1.1) has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Proof Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega} b_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} d x, \\
& \Psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(u)=\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u)
$$

for each $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$. Our method is to apply Theorem 1.1 to $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. From standard results, we can obtain that $\Phi$ is locally Lipschitz and weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous. Since $W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)\left(p_{i}>N, i=1, \ldots, n\right)$ is compactly embedded into $C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $F$ satisfies hypotheses $H(F)_{1}$ (ii) and (iii), the above assertion remains true for $\Psi$. Furthermore, from hypothesis $H(F)_{1}$ (iii), for each $\lambda>0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}(\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u))=+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, given $\lambda>0$, we claim that $\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u)$ satisfies nonsmooth PS-condition. Let $\left\{\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset X$ be a sequence such that

$$
\begin{cases}\left|\varphi\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)\right| \leq M & \text { for all } k \geq 1  \tag{2.2}\\ m^{\varphi}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right) \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty\end{cases}
$$

Set $\left(u_{1, k}^{*} \cdots, u_{n, k}^{*}\right) \in \partial \varphi\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$ satisfying $m^{\varphi}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)=\left\|\left(u_{1, k}^{*} \cdots, u_{n, k}^{*}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}, k} \geq$ 1. The interpretation of $\left(u_{1, k}^{*} \cdots, u_{n, k}^{*}\right) \in \partial \varphi\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$ is that $u_{1, k}^{*} \in \partial_{u_{1}} \varphi\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$, $\ldots, u_{n, k}^{*} \in \partial_{u_{n}} \varphi\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$. We know that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1, k}^{*}=\Phi_{u_{1}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{1, k},  \tag{2.3}\\
\ldots \\
u_{n, k}^{*}=\Phi_{u_{n}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{n, k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\gamma_{i, k} \in \partial_{u_{i}} F\left(x, u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\Phi_{u_{1}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1, k}\right|^{p_{1}-2} \nabla u_{1, k} \nabla y_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega} b_{1}(x)\left|u_{1, k}\right|^{p_{1}-2} u_{1, k} y_{1} d x \\
\ldots \\
\left\langle\Phi_{u_{n}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right), y_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n, k}\right|^{p_{n}-2} \nabla u_{n, k} \nabla y_{n} d x+\int_{\Omega} b_{n}(x)\left|u_{n, k}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n, k} y_{n} d x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $y_{i} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega), 1 \leq i \leq n$. By virtue of (2.1), the sequences $\left\{\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)\right\}$ are bounded. Hence, by passing to a subsequences if necessary, we may assume that $u_{i, k} \rightharpoonup u_{i, 0}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega), u_{i, k} \rightarrow u_{i, 0}$ in $L^{p_{i}}(\Omega), u_{i, k} \rightarrow u_{i, 0}$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega, u_{i, k} \in L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. From (2.2), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\Phi_{u_{1}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right), u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right\rangle-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{1, k}\left(u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right) d x \leq \varepsilon_{k}\left\|u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega)^{\prime}} \\
\ldots \\
\left\langle\Phi_{u_{n}}\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right), u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right\rangle-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{n, k}\left(u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right) d x \leq \varepsilon_{k}\left\|u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\gamma_{i, k} \in \partial_{u_{i}} F\left(x, u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. Since

$$
\begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} b_{1}(x)\left|u_{1, k}\right|^{p_{1}-2} u_{1, k}\left(u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty \\ \ldots & \\ \int_{\Omega} b_{n}(x)\left|u_{n, k}\right|^{p_{1}-2} u_{n, k}\left(u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} \gamma_{1, k}\left(u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty \\ \ldots & \\ \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{n, k}\left(u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle A_{1}\left(u_{1, k}\right), u_{1, k}-u_{1,0}\right\rangle \leq 0 \\
\ldots \\
\limsup \\
k \rightarrow+\infty \\
\end{array} A_{n}\left(u_{n, k}\right), u_{n, k}-u_{n, 0}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Noting that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are mappings of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we obtain $u_{1, k} \rightarrow u_{1,0}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega), \ldots$, $u_{n, k} \rightarrow u_{n, 0}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$. This means that $\left(u_{1, k}, \ldots, u_{n, k}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1,0}, \ldots, u_{n, 0}\right)$ in $X$. So we have proved that the function $\varphi$ satisfies the nonsmooth PS-condition.

Next, we need to show that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfy (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1. Let $v(x)=$ $\left(v_{1}(x), \ldots, v_{n}(x)\right)$ satisfying

$$
v_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in \bar{\Omega} \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \rho\right)  \tag{2.4}\\ d & \text { if } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right) \\ \frac{2 d}{\rho}\left[\rho-\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(x_{j}-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{2}}\right] & \text { if } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \rho\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and $r=\frac{\beta}{c \prod_{i=1}^{h} p_{i}}$. It is obvious that $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in X$. In particular, from computation, we have that

$$
\left\|\nabla v_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}=\rho^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{2 d}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows from the above equality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(v) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\left\|\nabla v_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} b_{i}(x)\left|v_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} d x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \rho^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{2 d}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Phi(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\left\|\nabla v_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} b_{i}(x)\left|v_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} d x
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \rho^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{2 d}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \bar{b}_{i} d^{p_{i}} \omega_{N} \rho^{N}
$$

where $\bar{b}_{i}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} b_{i}(x), \omega_{N}=\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}$ is the measure of the $N$-dimensional unit ball. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(d R_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}>\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}$, we have $\Phi(v)>r$. We claim that $\sup _{\Phi(u)<r} \Psi(u)<r \frac{\Psi(v)}{\Phi(v)}$. By virtue of condition $H(F)_{1}(i v)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{\Omega} \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \rho\right)} F\left(x, \zeta_{1}(x), \ldots, \zeta_{n}(x)\right) d x+\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \rho\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F\left(x, \zeta_{1}(x), \ldots, \zeta_{n}(x)\right) d x>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From hypothesis $H(F)_{1}(v)$, (2.4), and (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(\Omega) \max _{\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times V} F\left(x, \zeta_{1}(x), \ldots, \zeta_{n}(x)\right) & <\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} \frac{\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F(x, d, \ldots, d) d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\left(d^{p_{i}} R_{i}^{p_{i}}+B_{i}^{p_{i}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{\beta}{c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} \frac{\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F(x, d, \ldots, d) d x}{\Phi(v)} \\
& \leq \frac{\beta}{c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, v_{1}(x), \ldots, v_{n}(x)\right) d x}{\Phi(v)} \\
& =r \frac{\Psi(v)}{\Phi(v)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have tested all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and the proof is completed.

In the following, from Theorem 2.1, we will deduce some results. Consider the following elliptic system:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{1}} u_{1} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{1}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.6}\\ \ldots & \\ -\Delta_{p_{n}} u_{n} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{n}} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{i}=0 & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The hypotheses on the nonsmooth potential $F$ are the following:
$H(F)_{2}: F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)$ satisfies $F(x, 0, \ldots, 0)=0$, (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) are the same as those in hypotheses $H(F)_{1}$.
(v) $m(\Omega) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(d R_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \max _{\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times V} F\left(x, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)<\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F(x, d, \ldots, d) d x$, where $R_{i}=\frac{2}{\rho}\left(\frac{c^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}$ and $V=\left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mid \zeta_{i} p^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq \frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}\right.\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 Assume that there exist positive constants $d$ and $\beta$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(d R_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}>\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{\beta} p_{i}}$ and hypotheses $H(F)_{2}$ hold, then there exists a constant $\sigma>0$ such that problem (2.6) has at least three radically symmetric weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Next, we consider the following elliptic system:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{1}} u_{1}+b_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p_{1}-2} u_{1} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{1}} F\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.7}\\ \cdots & \\ -\Delta_{p_{n}} u_{n}+b_{n}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \in \lambda \partial_{u_{n}} F\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{i}=0 & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Firstly, we give our assumptions on the nonsmooth potential $F$.
$H(F)_{3}: F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $F(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ and also
(i) for almost all $\mathbb{R}^{n} \ni\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \mapsto F\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz;
(ii) $F\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \leq \alpha\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|^{t_{i}}\right)$ for almost all $\zeta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, t_{i}<p_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha$ is a positive constant;
(iii) $F\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \geq 0$ for each $\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in[0, d] \times \cdots \times[0, d]$ with $d>0$;
(iv) $m(\Omega) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\left(d^{p_{i}} R_{i}^{p_{i}}+B_{i}^{p_{i}}\right) \max _{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \in V} F\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)<\frac{\beta \rho^{N} \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2^{N} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} F(d, \ldots, d)$, where $B_{i}=\left(c \bar{b}_{i} d^{p_{i}} \omega_{N} \rho^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}, R_{i}=\frac{2}{\rho}\left(\frac{c \rho^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{N}}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}$ and $V=\left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mid \zeta_{i} p_{i}}{p_{i}} \leq \frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}\right.\right\}$, for some $\rho>0, \beta>0,1 \leq i \leq n$.
The corollary is the following.

Corollary 2.2 If there exist positive constants $d, \rho$, and $\beta$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(d R_{i}\right)^{p}}{p_{i}}>\frac{\beta}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}$ and hypotheses $H(F)_{3}$ hold, then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty)$ and a constant $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem (2.7) has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Now, we consider another particular elliptic problem with a nonsmooth potential.

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \in \lambda \partial_{u} F(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.8}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Our assumptions on the nonsmooth potential are the following:
$H(F)_{4}: F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $F(x, 0)=0$ and
(i) for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \Omega \ni x \mapsto F(x, \zeta)$ is measurable;
(ii) for almost all $x \in \Omega, \mathbb{R} \ni \zeta \mapsto F(x, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz;
(iii) $F(x, \zeta) \leq a(x)\left(1+|\zeta|^{t}\right)$ for almost all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}, t<p$;
(iv) $F(x, \zeta) \geq 0$ for each $(x, \zeta) \in\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right) \times[0, d]$ for some $d>0$;
(v) $m(\Omega)\left(\frac{2^{p-N} d^{p} \rho^{N}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\rho^{p} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+c \bar{b} d^{p} \omega_{N} \rho^{N}\right) \max _{(x, \zeta) \in \bar{\Omega} \times V} F(x, \zeta) \leq \beta \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)} F(x, d) d x$, where $V=\left\{\zeta \left\lvert\,-\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}<\zeta<\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.\right\}$.

Corollary 2.3 If there exist positive constants $d$ and $\beta$ with $(d R)^{p}>\beta$ and hypotheses $H(F)_{4}$ hold, where $R=\frac{2}{\rho}\left(\frac{c \rho^{N}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2^{N} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty)$ and a constant $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem (2.8) has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

If we drop the $x$-dependence in $F(x, u)$, then problem (2.8) turns into

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \in \lambda \partial_{u} F(u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.9}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The hypotheses on the nonsmooth potential functional $F$ are the following:
$H(F)_{5}: F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $F(0)=0$ and
(i) for almost all $\mathbb{R} \ni \zeta \mapsto F(\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz;
(ii) $F(\zeta) \leq \alpha\left(1+|\zeta|^{t}\right)$ for almost all $|\zeta| \in \mathbb{R}$, where $t<p$ and $\alpha$ is a positive constant;
(iii) $F(\zeta) \geq 0$ for each $\zeta \in[0, d]$ and $d>0$;
(iv) $m(\Omega)\left(R^{p}+B^{p}\right) \max _{|\zeta| \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{p}}} F(\zeta)<\frac{\beta \rho^{N} \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2^{N} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)} F(d)$, where $R=\left(\frac{2 p^{-N} d^{p} c \rho^{N}\left(2^{N}-1\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\rho^{p} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$,

$$
B=\left(c \bar{b} d^{p} \omega_{N} \rho^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Corollary 2.4 If there exist positive constants $d, \rho$, and $\beta$ with $(d R)^{p}>\beta$ and hypotheses $H(F)_{5}$ hold, then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty)$ and a number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem (2.9) has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

In the following, we give an example. For the purpose of simplicity, we drop the $x$ dependence in $F$.

## Example Set

$$
F(u)= \begin{cases}e^{-u} u^{14}(12-u)-11 e^{-1} & \text { if } u \geq 1 \\ u^{12}(1-u) & \text { if } 0 \leq u<1 \\ u^{12}(u+1) & \text { if }-1 \leq u<0 \\ e^{u} u^{14}(u+12)-11 e^{-1} & \text { if }-\infty \leq u<-1\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+y^{2}<36\right\}$. Choose $x^{0}=(0,0), p=4, \rho=2, N=2, \beta=1, d=4$. Then from a simple computation, we have $c=\frac{12}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}, R=\frac{4 \sqrt{6}}{\pi^{\frac{1}{8}}}, B=\frac{2^{\frac{7}{2}} \times 3^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\pi^{\frac{3}{8}}}$. It is easy to see that $F(u)$ satisfies hypotheses $H(F)_{5}$.
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