

RESEARCH

Open Access



Existence of positive solutions to negative power nonlinear integral equations with weights

Hang Chen¹, Qianqiao Guo^{1*} and Qian Wang¹

*Correspondence: gqianqiao@nwpu.edu.cn
¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to the following negative power nonlinear integral equation related to the sharp reversed Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality:

$$f^{q-1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{K(x)f(y)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x)f(y)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy, \quad f \geq 0, x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $\alpha > n$, $0 < \beta < \alpha - n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, Ω is a smooth bounded domain, $K(x)$, $G(x)$ are positive continuous functions in $\overline{\Omega}$. For $K \equiv G \equiv 1$, the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to the equation have been studied by Dou–Guo–Zhu (2019). In this paper we consider the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to the above integral equation with the general weight functions $K(x)$, $G(x)$.

MSC: 45G05; 35A15; 35B44

Keywords: Reversed Sharp Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality; Positive solution; Integral equation

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to the following negative power nonlinear integral equation:

$$f^{q-1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{K(x)f(y)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x)f(y)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy, \quad f \geq 0, x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $\alpha > n$, $0 < \beta < \alpha - n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, Ω is a smooth bounded domain, $K(x)$, $G(x)$ are positive continuous functions in $\overline{\Omega}$.

For $0 < \alpha < n$, $G(x) \equiv 1$, the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to (1.1) were studied by Dou–Zhu [2] and Guo–Wang [3] recently. Notice that when $0 < \alpha < n$ this nonlinear integral equation is closely related to the sharp Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev (HLS for short) inequality [4–7].

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

For $\alpha > n$, the existence and non-existence of positive solutions to (1.1) are also studied by Dou–Guo–Zhu [1] when $K(x) \equiv G(x) \equiv 1$. In this case the nonlinear integral equation is related to the sharp reversed HLS inequality obtained by Beckner [8] and Dou–Zhu [9], respectively. In fact, Eq. (1.1) (when $K(x) \equiv 1, \lambda = 0$) can be seen as the Euler–Lagrange equation of the following minimizing problem related to the reversed HLS inequality:

$$\xi_\alpha(\Omega) = \inf_{f \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}(\Omega), f \geq 0, f \neq 0} \frac{\int_\Omega \int_\Omega f(x)|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha)} f(y) \, dx \, dy}{\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2}.$$

On the other hand, for Eq. (1.1) with $K(x) \equiv 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the blowup behavior of energy maximizing positive solutions as $q \rightarrow (\frac{2n}{n+\alpha})^+$ when $1 < \alpha < n$, and the blowup behavior of energy minimizing positive solution as $q \rightarrow (\frac{2n}{n+\alpha})^-$ when $\alpha > n$ are also analyzed by Guo [10].

In this paper we consider the integral equation (1.1) for general weight functions $K(x), G(x)$ and $\alpha > n$.

The following condition is needed.

(\mathcal{T}). $K(x_*) - K(x) = o(|x - x_*|^\gamma)$ as $x \rightarrow x_*$, where $K(x_*) = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} K(x), \gamma > 0$.

Denote $G(\tilde{x}_*) = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} G(x)$.

The main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume $\alpha > n, \beta \in (0, \alpha - n), \Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of diameter $d(\Omega)$.*

- (i) *For $0 < q < \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}$ (subcritical case), $-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^{\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}} < \lambda$, the positive functions $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, then there is a positive solution $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to Eq. (1.1).*
- (ii) *For $q = \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}$ (critical case), $-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^{\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}} < \lambda < 0$, the positive functions $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, assume further that $\beta < n$ and (\mathcal{T}) holds, then there is a positive solution $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to Eq. (1.1).*
- (iii) *For $\frac{2n}{n+\alpha} \leq q < 1$ (critical case and supercritical case), $\lambda \geq 0$, the nonnegative functions $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, if Ω is a star-shaped domain with respect to \tilde{x} , $(x - \tilde{x}, \nabla K(x)) \geq 0$ and $(x - \tilde{x}, \nabla G(x)) \geq 0$, then there is not any positive $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ solution to Eq. (1.1).*

We use c, C throughout the paper to represent positive constants, which may vary from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

For simplicity, we denote $p_\alpha := \frac{2n}{n-\alpha}, q_\alpha := \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}$ throughout the paper. For $0 < q < 1$, we also denote $L^q(\Omega) := \{f \mid \int_\Omega |f|^q(x) \, dx < \infty\}$ for any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, L^q_+(\Omega) := \{f \in L^q(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : f \geq 0\}$ and define $\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} := (\int_\Omega |f|^q(x) \, dx)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for $f \in L^q(\Omega)$. Notice that $\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}$ is not a norm if $0 < q < 1$.

We first recall the sharp reversed HLS inequality on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem A (see [8, 9]) *Let $\alpha > n$. Then*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha)} g(y) \, dx \, dy \right| \geq N_\alpha \|f\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $f, g \in L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $N_\alpha := \pi^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})} (\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(n)})^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $f(x) = c_1 g(x) = c_2 (\frac{1}{c_3 + |x-x_0|^2})^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}$, where c_1, c_2, c_3 are any constants, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3 Proofs of the main results

Here and hereafter we always assume $\alpha > n$.

3.1 Existence—subcritical case

We first prove the existence of positive solution to Eq. (1.1) in the subcritical case $0 < q < q_\alpha$. The following lemma from [2] is needed.

Lemma 3.1 (see [2]) *Let $q \in (0, q_\alpha)$. There exists a positive constant $C(n, q, \alpha, \Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |x - y|^{-(n-\alpha)} f(y) \, dx \, dy \geq C(n, q, \alpha, \Omega) \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2 \tag{3.1}$$

for any nonnegative function $f \in L^q(\Omega)$.

Now we prove the following lemma, which implies the existence result of part (i) in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume the positive functions $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. Then, for $0 < q < q_\alpha, \lambda > -\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}$, the infimum*

$$Q_{\lambda, q}(\Omega) := \inf_{f \in L^q_+(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(x) (K(x) |x - y|^{-(n-\alpha)} K(y) + \lambda G(x) |x - y|^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)} G(y)) f(y) \, dx \, dy}{\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2}$$

is attained by some nonnegative function in $L^q_+(\Omega)$.

Proof Notice that $\lambda > -\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}$ and

$$K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x) |x - y|^\beta G(y) \geq K^2(x_*) + \lambda d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*) > 0, \quad x, y \in \Omega.$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, $Q_{\lambda, q}(\Omega) > 0$.

Now we can choose the minimizing positive sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ in $L^q_+(\Omega)$ and argue as Lemma 3.2 in [1]. We sketch it for the reader’s convenience. Assume $f_j \in L^{q_\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $\|f_j\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\Omega)} = 1$. Then, up to a subsequence,

$$f_j^q \rightharpoonup f_*^q \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{q_\alpha}{q}}(\Omega), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f_j^q \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f_*^q, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

As in [1], we have $\|f_j\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C$. Thus $\int_{\Omega} f_*^q > C > 0$ via an interpolation inequality and $f_j^q \rightharpoonup f_*^q$ weakly in $L^{\frac{1}{q}}(\Omega)$. For any fixed $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $f_*^{1-q}(y) |x - y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x) |x -$

$y|^\beta G(y)) \in L^{\frac{1}{1-q}}(\Omega)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) dy \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f_*(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) dy, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that the convergence is uniform for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Firstly, as Lemma 3.2 in [1], we have $\int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) dy$ is uniformly bounded for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Now it is left to prove that $\int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) dy$ is equicontinuous in $\overline{\Omega}$. Notice that $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and for any $x_1, x_2, y \in \overline{\Omega}$,

$$||x_1 - y|^{\alpha-n} - |x_2 - y|^{\alpha-n}| \leq \begin{cases} C|x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha-n}, & 0 < \alpha - n \leq 1, \\ C|x_1 - x_2|, & \alpha - n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then since $\int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) K(y) dy$ is bounded, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\Omega}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x_1 - y|^{\alpha-n} K(y) dy - \int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x_2 - y|^{\alpha-n} K(y) dy \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) K(y) ||x_1 - y|^{\alpha-n} - |x_2 - y|^{\alpha-n}| dy \\ & \leq C \max(|x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha-n}, |x_1 - x_2|). \end{aligned}$$

So $\int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} K(y) dy$ and, by a similar argument, $\lambda \int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha+\beta-n} G(y) dy$ are equicontinuous in $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Thus we see that $\int_{\Omega} f_j^q(y) f_*^{1-q}(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} \times (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) dy$ is equicontinuous in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Now similar to Lemma 3.2 in [1], we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) f_j(y) dx dy \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f_*(x) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) f_*(y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

By $\|f_j\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|f_*\|_{L^q(\Omega)} > 0$ and the above,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) f_j(y) dx dy}{\|f_j\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2} \\ & \geq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f_*(x) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} (K(x)K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^\beta G(y)) f_*(y) dx dy}{\|f_*\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, f_* is the minimizer. □

Again as that in [1], we obtain $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i).

Remark 3.3 We assume $\lambda > -\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(x_*)}$ here to make sure that $Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega)$ is positive.

3.2 Existence—critical case

Now we establish the existence and the regularity results for the weak solution to (1.1) with critical exponent for $\lambda < 0$. Consider

$$Q_{\lambda, q_\alpha}(\Omega) = \inf_{f \in L^{q_\alpha}_+(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega \int_\Omega f(x)(K(x)|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha)}K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)}G(y))f(y) dy dx}{\|f\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\Omega)}^2}.$$

Notice that the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation for extremal functions, up to a constant multiplier, is the integral equation (1.1) with $q = q_\alpha$.

We first show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Assume that the positive functions $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and (T) holds. Then $Q_{\lambda, q_\alpha}(\Omega) < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha$ for all $\lambda < 0$. Further, $0 < Q_{\lambda, q_\alpha}(\Omega) < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha$ for any $\lambda \in (-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\bar{x}_*)}, 0)$, where $\beta > 0$.*

Proof We distinguish two cases: (I) $x_* \in \Omega$; (II) $x_* \in \partial\Omega$.

(I) Let $x_* \in \Omega$. By (T), there exists small $R > 0$ such that $K(x) - K(x_*) \leq c|x - x_*|^\gamma$ when $x \in B_R(x_*) \subset \Omega$. For small $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} f_\epsilon(x), & x \in B_R(x_*) \subset \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_*), \end{cases}$$

where $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} f_1(\frac{x-x_*}{\epsilon}) = (\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2+|x-x_*|^2})^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}$, $f_1(x) = (\frac{1}{1+|x|^2})^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}$. Notice that f_1 and its conformal equivalent class f_ϵ are the extremal functions to the sharp reversed HLS inequality (2.1). Obviously, $\tilde{f}_\epsilon \in L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (T), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x)\tilde{f}_\epsilon(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{(K(x_*) + c|x - x_*|^\gamma)(K(x_*) + c|y - x_*|^\gamma)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y) dx dy \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{G(x)G(y)f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{K^2(x_*) + K(x_*)(c|x - x_*|^\gamma + c|y - x_*|^\gamma) + c^2|x - x_*|^\gamma|y - x_*|^\gamma}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y) dx dy \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{G(x)G(y)f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{K^2(x_*)f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy + \lambda \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{G(x)G(y)f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dx dy \\ &\quad + c^2 \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{|x - x_*|^\gamma|y - x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2c \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{K(x_*)|x-x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &= K^2(x_*) N_\alpha \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^{q\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &:= \lambda \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{G(x)G(y)f_\epsilon(x)f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dx dy, \\
 I_2 &:= c^2 \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{|x-x_*|^\gamma |y-x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy, \\
 I_3 &:= 2c \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{K(x_*)|x-x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \lambda \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{G(x)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + |x-x_*|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + |y-x_*|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} dx dy \\
 &\leq C\lambda \epsilon^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_R(0)} \int_{B_R(0)} \left|\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right|^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)} \left(1 + \left|\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y}{\epsilon}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} dx dy \\
 &= C\lambda \epsilon^\beta \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\epsilon}}(0)} |\xi - \eta|^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} d\xi d\eta \\
 &\leq C_1 \lambda \epsilon^\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &:= c^2 \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{|x-x_*|^\gamma |y-x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq c^2 R^{2\gamma} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq c^2 R^{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &= C_2 R^{2\gamma},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 := c^2 N_\alpha \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^{q\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$. For I_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &:= 2c \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{K(x_*)|x-x_*|^\gamma f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq 2cR^\gamma \int_{B_R(x_*)} \int_{B_R(x_*)} \frac{K(x_*)f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq 2cR^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{K(x_*)f_\epsilon(x) f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &= C_3 R^\gamma,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 := 2cK(x_*)N_\alpha \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$. Therefore, for $\lambda < 0$, we can take s satisfying $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} < s$, and $R = \epsilon^s > 0$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_2 + I_3 &\leq C_1\lambda\epsilon^\beta + C_2R^{2\gamma} + C_3R^\gamma \\ &= C_1\lambda\epsilon^\beta + C_2\epsilon^{2s\gamma} + C_3\epsilon^{s\gamma} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.2), for $\lambda < 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x)\tilde{f}_\epsilon(y) \, dx \, dy < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

That is, for any $\lambda < 0$, $Q_{\lambda,q_\alpha}(\Omega) < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha$.

(II) Let $x_* \in \partial\Omega$. By (T), there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ such that $K(x) - K(x_*) \leq c|x - x_*|^\gamma$ when $x \in V := \overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{B(x_*, \rho_1)}$.

Let $0 < \rho_0 < \rho_1$, $x_0 \in V$ satisfying $B_{\rho_0}(x_0) \subset V - \partial V$, $|x_0 - x_*| = 2\rho_0$. Then, for any $x \in B_{\rho_0}(x_0)$, we have

$$K(x) - K(x_*) \leq C(|x - x_0|^\gamma + |x_0 - x_*|^\gamma).$$

We define

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{f}_\epsilon(x), & x \in B_{\rho_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\rho_0}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{f}_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} f_1\left(\frac{|x-x_0|}{\epsilon}\right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2+|x-x_0|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}$.

Similar to (I),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x)\tilde{f}_\epsilon(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) \bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{(K(x_*) + C(|x - x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma))(K(x_*) + C(|y - x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma))}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times \bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{G(x)G(y)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{K^2(x_*)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy + \lambda \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{G(x)G(y)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + 2C \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{K(x_*)(|x - x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + C^2 \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{(|x - x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)(|y - x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= K^2(x_*)N_\alpha \| \bar{f}_\epsilon \|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &:= \lambda \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{G(x)G(y)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dx dy, \\
 J_2 &:= 2C \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{K(x_*)(|x-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy, \\
 J_3 &:= C^2 \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{(|x-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)(|y-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

As in case (I), we know $J_1 \leq C_4\lambda\epsilon^\beta$. For J_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &= 2C \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{K(x_*)(|x-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq CK(x_*)\rho_0^\gamma \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq C_5\rho_0^\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

For J_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 &= C^2 \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{(|x-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)(|y-x_0|^\gamma + |2\rho_0|^\gamma)\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq C^2\rho_0^{2\gamma} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(x_0)} \frac{\bar{f}_\epsilon(x)\bar{f}_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dx dy \\
 &\leq C_6\rho_0^{2\gamma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking s with $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} < s$ and $\rho_0 = \epsilon^s > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 + J_2 + J_3 &\leq C_4\lambda\epsilon^\beta + C_5\rho_0^\gamma + C_6\rho_0^{2\gamma} \\
 &= C_4\lambda\epsilon^\beta + C_5\epsilon^{s\gamma} + C_6\epsilon^{2s\gamma} < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. Thus, combining this with (3.3), for $\lambda < 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{K(x)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda G(x)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \right) \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x)\tilde{f}_\epsilon(y) dx dy < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha \|\bar{f}_\epsilon\|_{L^{q_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

That is, for any $\lambda < 0$, we have $Q_{\lambda,q_\alpha}(\Omega) < K^2(x_*)N_\alpha$.

On the other hand, for any $\lambda \in (-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\bar{x}_*)}, 0)$, we also have $Q_{\lambda,q_\alpha}(\Omega) > 0$. So we complete the proof. □

In order to prove the existence of weak solutions, we need to prove that the minimal energy $Q_{\lambda,q_\alpha}(\Omega)$ is attained.

Proposition 3.5 *For given $\lambda \in (-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\bar{x}_*)}, 0)$, $Q_{\lambda,q_\alpha}(\Omega)$ is attained by some positive function $f_* \in L^{q_\alpha}(\Omega)$.*

For $q < q_\alpha$, we consider

$$Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega) = \inf_{f \in L^q_+(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(x)K(x)|x-y|^{-(n-\alpha)}K(y) + \lambda G(x)|x-y|^{-(n-\alpha-\beta)}G(y)f(y) \, dx \, dy}{\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the infimum is attained by the positive function f_q which satisfies the integral equation with the subcritical exponent

$$Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega)f_q^{q-1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{K(x)f(y)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x)f(y)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \, dy, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}. \tag{3.4}$$

That is, f_q is the minimal energy solution to Eq. (3.4). It is easy to see that $\|f_q\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1$, $f_q \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $Q_{\lambda,q} \rightarrow Q_\lambda$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$.

Lemma 3.6 For $\lambda \in (-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}, 0)$, $q \in (0, q_\alpha)$. Let $f_q > 0$ be a minimal energy solution to (3.4), where $\|f_q\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 1$. If $0 < Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega) \leq K^2(x_*)N_\alpha - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{C} \leq f_q(x) \leq C$ uniformly for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $q \in (0, q_\alpha)$.

Proof We prove it by modifying the argument of Lemma 4.3 in [1].

For any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $q \in (0, q_1)$, we see that $\max_{\overline{\Omega}} f_q(x) = f_q(x_q) \leq C < \infty$ holds uniformly, where $0 < q_1 < q_\alpha$.

We first prove that $\max_{\overline{\Omega}} f_q(x) = f_q(x_q) \leq C < \infty$ holds uniformly as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$. Otherwise, $f_q(x_q) \rightarrow \infty$, where $x_q \rightarrow \tilde{x}$, up to a subsequence, as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$. Denote

$$\mu_q := f_q^{-\frac{2-q}{\alpha}}(x_q), \quad \Omega_\mu := \frac{\Omega - x_q}{\mu_q} = \left\{ z \mid z = \frac{x - x_q}{\mu_q}, x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

We define

$$g_q(z) = \mu_q^{\frac{\alpha}{2-q}} f_q(\mu_q z + x_q), \quad z \in \overline{\Omega}_\mu.$$

Thus g_q satisfies

$$Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega)g_q^{q-1}(z) = \int_{\Omega_\mu} \frac{K(\mu_q z + x_q)g_q(y)K(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dy + \lambda \mu_q^\beta \int_{\Omega_\mu} \frac{G(\mu_q z + x_q)g_q(y)G(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \, dy, \quad z \in \overline{\Omega}_\mu, \tag{3.5}$$

and $g_q(0) = 1, g_q(z) \in (0, 1]$.

For convenience, we define $h_q(z) := g_q^{q-1}(z)$. So (3.5) is equivalent to

$$Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega)h_q(z) = \int_{\Omega_\mu} \frac{K(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)K(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dy + \lambda \mu_q^\beta \int_{\Omega_\mu} \frac{G(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)G(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} \, dy, \quad z \in \overline{\Omega}_\mu,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, h_q(0) = 1, h_q(z) \geq 1$.

Claim: There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$0 < C_1(1 + |z|^{\alpha-n}) \leq h_q(z) \leq C_2(1 + |z|^{\alpha-n}), z \in \tilde{\Omega}, \tag{3.6}$$

holds uniformly for any domain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega_\mu$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$.

The claim can be verified by a similar argument to that in [1], we omit it here. Thus $h_q(z)$ is equicontinuous in any bounded domain $\hat{\Omega} \subset \Omega_\mu$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$. In fact, for $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\lambda,q}(\Omega)h_q(z) &= \int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{K(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)K(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_\mu \cap B(0,R)} \frac{K(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)K(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \\ &\quad + \lambda \mu_q^\beta \int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{G(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)G(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy \\ &\quad + \lambda \mu_q^\beta \int_{\Omega_\mu \cap B(0,R)} \frac{G(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)G(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{h_q^{p-1}(y)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha}} (K(\mu_q z + x_q)K(\mu_q y + x_q) + \lambda \mu_q^\beta G(\mu_q z + x_q)G(\mu_q y + x_q)|z - y|^\beta) dy \\ &\geq (K^2(x_*) - |\lambda|d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)) \int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{h_q^{p-1}(y)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, by (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{h_q^{p-1}(y)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha}} (K(\mu_q z + x_q)K(\mu_q y + x_q) \\ &\quad + \lambda \mu_q^\beta G(\mu_q z + x_q)|z - y|^\beta G(\mu_q y + x_q)) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega_\mu \setminus B(0,R)} \frac{h_q^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \\ &\leq C \int_R^\infty r^{(\alpha-n)(p-1)+\alpha-1} dr \\ &= CR^{(\alpha-n)(p-1)+\alpha} < \epsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $R > 0$ is large enough, $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$, $z \in \hat{\Omega}$. Since $\beta < n$, by using the same argument as above, we also have

$$\left| \lambda \mu_q^\beta \int_{\Omega_\mu \cap B(0,R)} \frac{G(\mu_q z + x_q)h_q^{p-1}(y)G(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy \right| < \epsilon, \tag{3.8}$$

when $R > 0$ large enough, $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that, for $z \in \widehat{\Omega}$, $\int_{\Omega_\mu \cap B(0,R)} \frac{K(\mu_q z + x_q) h_q^{p-1}(y) K(\mu_q y + x_q)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \in C^1(\widehat{\Omega})$. Combining this with (3.7) and (3.8), we conclude that $h_q(z)$ is equicontinuous in any bounded domain $\widehat{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$.

When $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$, we distinguish two cases:

Case 1. $\Omega_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_T^n := \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \mid z_n > -T\}$ for some $T \geq 0$, and $h_q(z) \rightarrow h(z) \in C(\mathbb{R}_T^n)$ holds uniformly on any compact sets of \mathbb{R}_T^n , where $h(z)$ satisfying

$$Q_\lambda h(z) = K^2(\tilde{x}) \int_{\mathbb{R}_T^n} \frac{h^{p\alpha-1}(y)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad h(0) = 1.$$

Then, similar to Lemma 4.3 in [1], we obtain a contradiction.

Case 2. $\Omega_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $h_q(z) \rightarrow h(z) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds uniformly on any compact sets of \mathbb{R}^n , where $h(z)$ satisfying

$$Q_\lambda h(z) = K^2(\tilde{x}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{h^{p\alpha-1}(y)}{|z-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad h(0) = 1.$$

Again similar to Lemma 4.3 in [1], we obtain a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that there exists $C > 0$ such that $f_q(y) \leq C$ uniformly for $y \in \overline{\Omega}$, $q \in (0, q_\alpha)$.

On the other hand, if $\min_{\overline{\Omega}} f_q(x) := f_q(\tilde{x}_q) \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$. Then

$$\infty \leftarrow f_q^{-1}(\tilde{x}_q) = \int_\Omega \frac{K(\tilde{x}_q) f_q(y) K(y)}{|\tilde{x}_q - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy + \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{G(\tilde{x}_q) f_q(y) G(y)}{|\tilde{x}_q - y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy \leq C < \infty,$$

as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$, which implies a contradiction. □

Proof of Proposition 3.5 By Lemma 3.6, $\{f_q\}$ are uniformly bounded above and bounded below by a positive constant. Thus the $\{f_q\}$ are equicontinuous due to Eq. (3.4). It follows that $f_q \rightarrow f_*$ as $q \rightarrow (q_\alpha)^-$ in $C(\overline{\Omega})$, and f_* is the energy minimizer for Q_λ . □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii) Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5 imply the existence of a positive solution $f \in L^{q_\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ to Eq. (1.1) for $q = \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}$, $\lambda \in (-\frac{K^2(x_*)}{d^\beta(\Omega)G^2(\tilde{x}_*)}, 0)$. It is also easy to see that $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. □

3.3 Nonexistence—critical and supercritical case

We first state a Pohozaev type identity.

Lemma 3.7 *Assume that the origin is in Ω and the domain is star-shaped with respect to the origin. If $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is a nonnegative solution to*

$$u(x) = \int_\Omega \frac{K(x) u^{p-1}(y) K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy + \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{G(x) u^{p-1}(y) G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

where $p \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $K(x), G(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, then

$$\left(\frac{n}{p} + \frac{\alpha - n}{2}\right) \int_\Omega u^p(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{\lambda\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x)u^{p-1}(x)u^{p-1}(y)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu)u^p(x) d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x, \nabla K(x))u^{p-1}(x)u^{p-1}(y)K(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy dx \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x, \nabla G(x))u^{p-1}(x)u^{p-1}(y)G(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha-\beta}} dy dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where ν is the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$.

Proof The argument is standard. We omit it here. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1(iii) We can prove by using Lemma 3.7 and a similar argument to that used in [1]. We omit it here. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for very valuable suggestions and comments, and thank Dr. Jiankang Xia for many helpful discussions and valuable comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

Funding

The first author is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Grant No. 310201911cx013. The second and the third authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971385) and the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (Grant No. 2019JM-275).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 February 2020 Accepted: 17 April 2020 Published online: 29 April 2020

References

- Dou, J., Guo, Q., Zhu, M.: Negative power nonlinear integral equations on bounded domains. [arXiv:1904.03878](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.03878) (2019)
- Dou, J., Zhu, M.: Nonlinear integral equations on bounded domains. *J. Funct. Anal.* **277**, 111–134 (2019)
- Guo, Q., Wang, Q.: Existence of positive solutions to integral equations with weights. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **102**, 106089 (2020)
- Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: Some properties of fractional integrals (1). *Math. Z.* **27**, 565–606 (1928)
- Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: On certain inequalities connected with the calculus of variations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **5**, 34–39 (1930)
- Lieb, E.H.: Sharp constants in the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. Math.* **118**, 349–374 (1983)
- Sobolev, S.L.: On a theorem of functional analysis. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **4**, 471–479 (1938). *Transl. Am. Math. Soc.* **34**, 39–68 (1963)
- Beckner, W.: Functionals for multilinear fractional embedding. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **31**, 1–28 (2015)
- Dou, J., Zhu, M.: Reversed Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015**, 9696–9726 (2015)
- Guo, Q.: Blowup analysis for integral equations on bounded domains. *J. Differ. Equ.* **266**, 8258–8280 (2019)