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#### Abstract

The existence of a solution for a second-order p-Laplacian boundary value problem at resonance with two dimensional kernel will be considered in this paper. A semi-projector, the Ge and Ren extension of Mawhin's coincidence degree theory, and algebraic processes will be used to establish existence results, while an example will be given to validate our result.
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## 1 Introduction

The following second-order p-Laplacian boundary value problem will be considered in this work:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,+\infty)  \tag{1.1}\\
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} v(t) \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t, \quad \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(+\infty)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \int_{0}^{\eta_{j}} \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g:[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function, $0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots \leq \eta_{m}<+\infty$, $\beta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, m, v \in L^{1}[0,+\infty), v(t)>0$ on $[0,+\infty)$, and

$$
\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, \quad p \geq 2 .
$$

There are many real life applications of boundary value problems with integral and multi-point boundary conditions on an unbounded domain, for instance, in the study of physical phenomena such as the study of an unsteady flow of fluid through a semi-infinite porous medium and radially symmetric solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. They also arise in plasma physics and in the study of drain flows; see [1-3].
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Boundary value problems are said to be at resonance if the solution of the corresponding homogeneous boundary value problem is non-trivial. Many authors in the literature have considered resonant problems. López-Somoza and Minhós [4] obtained existence results for a resonant multi-point second-order boundary value problem on the half-line, Capitanelli, Fragapane and vivaldi [5] addressed regularity results for p-Laplacians in prefractal domains, while Jiang and Kosmatov [6] considered resonant p-Laplacian problems with functional boundary conditions. For other work on resonant problems without pLaplacian operator, see [7-10], while for problems with the p-Laplacian operator, see [1116]. In [17], Jiang considered the following p-Laplacian operator:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<+\infty \\
u(0)=0, \quad \varphi_{p}(u(+\infty))=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1$.
To the best of our knowledge p-Laplacian problems with two dimensional kernel on the half-line have not received much attention in the literature.
We will give the required lemmas, theorem and definitions in Sect. 2, Sect. 3 will be dedicated to stating and proving condition for existence of solutions, while an example will be given in Sect. 4 to validate the result obtained.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some definitions and lemmas that will be used in this work.

Definition 2.1 ([11]) A map $w:[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $L^{1}[0,+\infty)$-Carathéodory, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) for each $(d, e) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the mapping $t \rightarrow w(t, d, e)$ is Lebesgue measurable;
(ii) for a.e. $t \in[0, \infty)$, the mapping $(d, e) \rightarrow w(t, d, e)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$;
(iii) for each $k>0$, there exists $\varphi_{k}(t) \in L_{1}[0,+\infty)$ such that, for a.e. $t \in[0, \infty)$ and every $(d, e) \in[-k, k]$, we have

$$
|w(t, d, e)| \leq \varphi_{k}(t) .
$$

Definition $2.2([18])$ Let $\left(U,\|\cdot\|_{U}\right)$ and $\left(Z,\|\cdot\|_{Z}\right)$ be two Banach spaces. The continuous operator $M: U \cap \operatorname{dom} M \rightarrow Z$, is quasi-linear if the following hold:
(i) $\operatorname{Im} M=M(U \cap \operatorname{dom} M)$ is a closed subset of $Z$;
(ii) $\operatorname{ker} M=\{u \in U \cap \operatorname{dom} M: M u=0\}$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n<+\infty$.

Definition 2.3 ([19]) Let $U$ be a Banach space and $U_{1} \subset U$ a subspace. Let $P, Q: U \rightarrow U_{1}$ be operators, then $P$ is a projector if
(i) $P^{2}=P$;
(ii) $P\left(\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} P u_{1}+\lambda_{2} P u_{2}$ where $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$,
and $Q$ is a semi-projector if
(i) $Q^{2}=Q$;
(ii) $Q(\lambda u)=\lambda Q u$ where $u \in U, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $U_{1}=\operatorname{ker} M$ and $U_{2}$ be the complement space of $U_{1}$ in $U$, then $U=U_{1} \oplus U_{2}$. Similarly, if $Z_{1}$ is a subspace of $Z$ and $Z_{2}$ is the complement space of $Z_{1}$ in $Z$, then $Z=Z_{1} \oplus Z_{2}$. Let
$P: U \rightarrow U_{1}$ be a projector, $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ be a semi-projector and $\Omega \subset U$ an open bounded set with $\theta \in \Omega$ the origin. Also, let $N_{1}$ be denoted by $N$, let $N_{\lambda}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z$, where $\lambda \in[0,1]$ is a continuous operator and $\Sigma_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in \bar{\Omega}: M u=N_{\lambda} u\right\}$.

Definition 2.4 ([20]) Let $U$ be the space of all continuous and bounded vector-valued functions on $[0,+\infty)$ and $X \subset U$. Then $X$ is said to be relatively compact if the following statements hold:
(i) $X$ is bounded in $U$;
(ii) all functions from $X$ are equicontinuous on any compact subinterval of $[0,+\infty)$;
(iii) all functions from $X$ are equiconvergent at $\infty$, i.e. $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists$ a $T=T(\epsilon)$ such that $\|A(t)-A(+\infty)\|_{R^{n}}<\epsilon \forall t>T$ and $A \in X$.

Definition 2.5 ([18]) Let $N_{\lambda}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in[0,1]$ be a continuous operator. The operator $N_{\lambda}$ is said to be $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$ if there exist a vector subspace $Z_{1} \in Z$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Z_{1}=$ $\operatorname{dim} U_{1}$ and a compact and continuous operator $R: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow U_{2}$ such that, for $\lambda \in[0,1]$, the following holds:
(i) $(I-Q) N_{\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \operatorname{Im} M \subset(I-B) Z$,
(ii) $Q N_{\lambda} u=0 \Leftrightarrow Q N u=0, \lambda \in(0,1)$,
(iii) $R(\cdot, u)$ is the zero operator and $\left.R(\cdot, \lambda)\right|_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}=\left.(I-P)\right|_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}$,
(iv) $M[P+R(\cdot, \lambda)]=(I-Q) N_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([19]) The following are properties of the function $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :
(i) It is continuous, monotonically increasing and invertible. Its inverse $\varphi_{p}^{-1}=\varphi_{q}$, where $q>1$ and satisfies $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
(ii) For any $x, y>0$,
(a) $\varphi_{p}(x+y) \leq \varphi_{p}(x)+\varphi_{p}(y)$, if $1<p<2$,
(b) $\varphi_{p}(x+y) \leq 2^{p-2}\left(\varphi_{p}(x)+\varphi_{p}(y)\right)$, if $p \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.1 ([18]) Let $\left(U,\|\cdot\|_{U}\right)$ and $\left(Z,\|\cdot\|_{z}\right)$ be two Banach spaces and $\Omega \subset U$ an open and bounded set. If the following holds:
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ The operator $M: U \cap \operatorname{dom} M \rightarrow Z$ is a quasi-linear,
$\left(A_{2}\right)$ the operator $N_{\lambda}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in[0,1]$ is $M$-compact,
$\left(A_{3}\right) M u \neq N_{\lambda} u$, for $\lambda \in(0,1), u \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{dom} M$,
$\left(A_{4}\right) \operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} M, 0\} \neq 0$, where the operator $J: Z_{1} \rightarrow U_{1}$ is a homeomorphism with $J(\theta)=\theta$ and deg is the Brouwer degree,
then the equation $M u=N u$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Let

$$
U=\left\{u \in C^{2}[0,+\infty): u, \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0,+\infty), \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t}\left|u^{(i)}(t)\right| \text { exist, } i=0,1\right\}
$$

with the norm $\|u\|=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$ defined on $U$ where $\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[0,+\infty)} e^{-t}|u|$. The space $(U,\|\cdot\|)$ by a standard argument is a Banach Space.

Let $Z=L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ with the norm $\|w\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{0}^{+\infty}|w(v)| d \nu$. Define $M$ as a continuous operator such that $M: \operatorname{dom} M \subset U \rightarrow Z$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dom} M= & \left\{u \in U:\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in L^{1}[0,+\infty), \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} v(t) \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t,\right. \\
& \left.\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \int_{0}^{\eta_{j}} \varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M u=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}$. We will define the operator $N_{\lambda} u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
N_{\lambda} u=-\lambda g\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad \lambda \in[0,1], t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

where $\Omega \subset U$ is an open and bounded set. Then the boundary value problem (1.1) in abstract form is $M u=N u$.

Throughout the paper we will assume the hypotheses:
$\left(\phi_{1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \eta_{j}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} v(t) d t=1$;
$\left(\phi_{2}\right)$

$$
C=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} e^{-t} & Q_{2} e^{-t} \\
Q_{1} t e^{-t} & Q_{2} t e^{-t}
\end{array}\right|:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
c_{11} & c_{12} \\
c_{21} & c_{22}
\end{array}\right|=c_{11} \cdot c_{22}-c_{12} \cdot c_{21} \neq 0,
$$

where

$$
Q_{1} w=\int_{0}^{+\infty} v(t) \int_{0}^{t} w(s) d s d t
$$

and

$$
Q_{2} w=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \int_{0}^{\eta_{j}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} w(s) d s d t
$$

It is obvious that $\operatorname{ker} M=\{u \in \operatorname{dom} M: u=a+b t: a, b \in \mathbb{R}, t \in[0,+\infty)\}$ and $\operatorname{Im} M=\{w:$ $\left.w \in Z, Q_{1} w=Q_{2} w=0\right\}$.

Clearly, $\operatorname{ker} M=2$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Im} M \subset Z$ is closed, hence, the operator $M: \operatorname{dom} M \subset U \rightarrow Z$ is quasi-linear.
We next define the projector $P: U \rightarrow U_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u(t)=u(0)+u^{\prime}(0) t, \quad u \in U, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operators $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ as

$$
\Delta_{1} w=\frac{1}{C}\left(\delta_{11} Q_{1} w+\delta_{12} Q_{2} w\right) e^{-t}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{2} w=\frac{1}{C}\left(\delta_{21} Q_{1} w+\delta_{22} Q_{2} w\right) e^{-t}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the co-factor of $c_{i j}, i, j=1,2$. Then the operator $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ will be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q w=\left(\Delta_{1} w\right)+\left(\Delta_{2} w\right) \cdot t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{1}$ is the complement space of $\operatorname{Im} M$ in $Z$. Then the operator $Q: Z \rightarrow Z_{1}$ can easily be shown to be a semi-projector.

Let the operator $R: U \times[0,1] \rightarrow U_{2}$ be defined by

$$
R(u, \lambda)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right) d \tau-u^{\prime}(0) t
$$

where $U_{2}$ is the complement space of $\operatorname{ker} M$ in $U$.

Lemma 2.2 If $g$ is a $L^{1}[0,+\infty)$-Carathéodory function, then $R: U \times[0,1] \rightarrow U_{2}$ is $M$ compact.

Proof Let the set $\Omega \subset U$ be nonempty, open and bounded, then, for $u \in \bar{\Omega}$, there exists a constant $k>0$ such that $\|u\|<k$. Since $g$ is an $L^{1}[0,+\infty)$-Carathéodory function, there exists $\psi_{k} \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ such that, for a.e. $t \in[0,+\infty)$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|N_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|Q N_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{1}} & =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|N_{\lambda} u(v)\right| d v+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|Q N_{\lambda} u(v)\right| d v \\
& \leq\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for any $u \in \bar{\Omega}, \lambda \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|R(u, \lambda)\|_{\infty} & =\sup _{t \in[0,+\infty)} e^{-t}|R(u, \lambda)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{e} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|N u_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|Q N_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)+k \\
& \leq \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)+k<+\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)\right\|_{\infty} & =\sup _{t \in[0,+\infty)} e^{-t}\left|R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)(t)\right| \\
& \leq \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)+k<+\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that $R(u, \lambda) \bar{\Omega}$ is uniformly bounded.
Next we show that $R(u, \lambda) \bar{\Omega}$ is equicontinuous in a compact set. Let $u \in \bar{\Omega}, \lambda \in[0,1]$. For any $T \in[0,+\infty)$, with $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T]$ where $t_{1}<t_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|e^{t_{2}} R(u, \lambda)\left(t_{2}\right)-e^{t_{1}} R(u, \lambda)\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\mid e^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right) d \tau-u^{\prime}(0) t_{2} e^{-t_{2}} \\
& \quad-e^{-t_{1}} \int_{0}^{-t_{1}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right) d \tau+u^{\prime}(0) t_{1} e^{t_{1}} \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left|e^{t_{2}}-e^{-t_{1}}\right| \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)+\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left|g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right| d s\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +e^{-t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)+\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left|g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right| d s\right) d \tau \\
& +\left|t_{1} e^{-t_{1}}-t_{2} e^{-t_{2}}\right|\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right| \\
\leq & \left(e^{t_{2}}-e^{-t_{1}}\right) \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right) t_{1} \\
& +e^{-t_{2}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)+\left|t_{1} e^{-t_{1}}-t_{2} e^{-t_{2}}\right| r \\
\rightarrow & 0, \quad \text { as } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{-t_{2}} R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)\left(t_{2}\right)-e^{-t_{1}} R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\mid e^{t_{2}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{t_{2}} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right)-u^{\prime}(0) e^{-t_{2}} \\
& \quad-e^{-t_{1}} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right)+u^{\prime}(0) e^{-t_{1}} \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left(e^{t_{2}}-e^{-t_{1}}\right) \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)+\left(e^{-t_{1}}-e^{-t_{2}}\right) k \\
& \quad \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (2.5) and (2.6) show that $R(u, \lambda) \bar{\Omega}$ is equicontinuous on $[0, T]$.
We will now prove that $R(u, \lambda) \bar{\Omega}$ is equiconvergent at $\infty$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t}=0$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t} R(u, \lambda)(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t} R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)(t)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{-t} R(u, \lambda)(t)-\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t} R(u, \lambda)(t)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|e^{-t} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right) d \tau-t e^{-t} u^{\prime}(0)-0\right| \\
& \quad \leq t e^{-t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)+k t e^{-t} \\
& \quad \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { uniformly as } t \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{-t} R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)(t)-\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t} R^{\prime}(u, \lambda)(t)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|e^{-t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N u(s)\right) d s\right)-e^{-t} u^{\prime}(0)-0\right| \\
& \quad \leq e^{-t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}(k)+\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\|Q N u\|_{L^{1}}\right)+k e^{-t} \\
& \quad \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { uniformly as } t \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $R(u, \lambda) \bar{\Omega}$ is equiconvergent at $+\infty$. It then follows from Definition 2.4 that $R(u, \lambda)$ is compact.

Lemma 2.3 The operator $N_{\lambda}$ is M-compact.

Proof Since $Q$ is a semi-projector, $Q(I-Q) N_{\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})=0$. Hence, $(I-Q) N_{\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \operatorname{ker} Q=$ $\operatorname{Im} M$. Conversely, let $w \in \operatorname{Im} M$, then $w=w-Q w=(I-Q) w \in(I-Q) Z$. Hence, condition (i) of definition (2.5) is satisfied. It can easily be shown that condition (ii) of Definition 2.5 holds.

Let $u \in \Sigma_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in \bar{\Omega}: M u=N_{\lambda} u\right\}$, then $N_{\lambda} u \in \operatorname{Im} M$. Hence, $Q N_{\lambda} u=0$ and $R(u, 0)(t)=0$. From $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, t \in(0,+\infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(u, \lambda)(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right) d \tau-u^{\prime}(0) t \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)+\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)\right) d \tau-u^{\prime}(0) t \\
& =u(t)-u(0)-u^{\prime}(0) t=u(t)-P u(t)=[(I-P) u](t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, condition (iii) of definition (2.5) holds.
Let $u \in \bar{\Omega}$. Since $M u=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M[P u+R(u, \lambda)](t)= & \left(\varphi_{p}([P u+R(u, \lambda)])^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \\
= & \left(\varphi _ { p } \left[u(0)+u^{\prime}(0) t+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-Q N(s)) d s) d \tau-u^{\prime}(0) t\right]^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
= & \left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda\left(g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-Q N(s)\right) d s\right)^{\prime}=(I-Q) N_{\lambda}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, condition (iv) of definition (2.5) holds. Hence, $N_{\lambda}$ is $M$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.

## 3 Existence result

In this section, the conditions for existence of solutions for boundary value problem (1.1) will be stated and proved.

Theorem 3.1 Assume g is a $L^{[0,+\infty) \text {-Carathéodory function and the following hypotheses }}$ hold:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ there exist functions $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), x_{3}(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ such that, for a.e. $t \in[0,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq e^{-t}\left(x_{1}(t)|u|^{p-1}+x_{2}(t)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}\right)+x_{3}(t), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$ for $u \in \operatorname{dom} M$ there exists a constant $A_{0}>0$, such that, if $|u(t)|>A_{0}$ for $t \in[0,+\infty)$ or $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|>A_{0}$ for $t \in[0,+\infty]$, then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1} N u(t) \neq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad Q_{2} N u(t) \neq 0, \quad t \in[0,+\infty), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exists a constant $l>0$ such that, for $|a|>l$ or $|b|>l$ either

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1} N(a+b t)+Q_{2} N(a+b t)<0, \quad t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1} N(a+b t)+Q_{2} N(a+b t)>0, \quad t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R},|a|+|b|>l$ and $t \in[0,+\infty)$.
Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution, provided

$$
2^{2 q-4}\left(\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}+2^{q-2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)<1, \quad \text { for } 1<p \leq 2
$$

or

$$
\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)<1, \quad \text { for } p>2 .
$$

The following lemmas are also needed to prove our main result.
Lemma 3.1 The set $\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in \operatorname{dom} M: M u=N_{\lambda} u\right.$ for some $\left.\lambda \in(0,1)\right\}$ is bounded.

Proof Let $u \in \Omega_{1}$ then $N_{\lambda} u \in \operatorname{Im} M=\operatorname{ker} Q$. Hence, $Q N_{\lambda} u=0$ and $Q N u=0$. It follows from $H_{2}$ that there exist $t_{0}, t_{1} \in[0,+\infty)$, such that $\left|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq A_{0}$ and $\left|u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \leq A_{0}$. From $u(t)=u\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(v) d \nu$, we have

$$
|u(t)|=\left|u\left(t_{0}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq A_{0}+\left|t-t_{0}\right|\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t}|u(t)| \leq A_{0}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from $M u=N_{\lambda} u$, we get

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \lambda g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s+\varphi_{p}\left(u\left(t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

In view of (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| & \leq \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(A_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(x_{1}(t)\left|\varphi_{p}(u(t))\right|+x_{2}(t)\left|\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|+x_{3}(t)\right) d t\right) \\
& \leq \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(A_{0}\right)+\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}} \varphi_{p}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}} \varphi_{p}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)+\left\|x_{3}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right) \\
& \leq \varphi_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(A_{0}\right)+\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}} \varphi_{p}\left(A_{0}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}} \varphi_{p}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)+\left\|x_{3}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

If $1<p \leq 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2^{2 q-4}\left[\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{3}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)+A_{0}\left(1+2^{q-2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right.\right.}{1-2^{2 q-4}\left(\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}+2^{q-2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p>2$ then, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{A_{0}\left(1+\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)+\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{3}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)\right.}{1-\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|u\|=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\} \leq A_{0}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, in view of (3.7) and (3.8), $\Omega_{1}$ is bounded.

Lemma 3.2 If $\Omega_{2}=\{u \in \operatorname{ker} M:-\lambda u+(1-\lambda) J Q N u=0, \lambda \in[0,1]\}, J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} M$ is $a$ homomorphism, then $\Omega_{2}$ is bounded.

Proof For $a, b \in R$, let $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} M$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J(a+b t)=\frac{1}{C}\left[\delta_{11}|a|+\delta_{12}|b|+\left(\delta_{21}|a|+\delta_{22}|b|\right) t\right)\right] e^{-t} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.3) holds, for any $u(t)=a+b t \in \Omega_{3}$, from $-\lambda u+(1-\lambda) J Q N u=0$, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta_{11}\left(-\lambda|a|+(1-\lambda) Q_{1} N(a+b t)\right)+\delta_{12}\left(-\lambda|b|+(1-\lambda) Q_{2} N(a+b t)\right)=0 \\
\delta_{21}\left(-\lambda|a|+(1-\lambda) Q_{1} N(a+b t)\right)+\delta_{22}\left(-\lambda|b|+(1-\lambda) Q_{2} N(a+b t)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $C \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda|a|=(1-\lambda) Q_{1} N(a+b t),  \tag{3.10}\\
& \lambda|b|=(1-\lambda) Q_{2} N(a+b t) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.10), when $\lambda=1, a=b=0$. When $\lambda=0$,

$$
Q_{1} N(a+b t)+Q_{2} N(a+b t)=0,
$$

which contradicts (3.3) and (3.4), hence from $\left(H_{3}\right),|a| \leq l$ and $|b| \leq l$. For $\lambda \in(0,1)$, in view of (3.3) and (3.10), we have

$$
0 \leq \lambda(|a|+|b|)=(1-\lambda)\left[Q_{1} N(a+b t)+Q_{2} N(a+b t)\right]<0,
$$

which contradicts $\lambda(|a|+|b|) \geq 0$. Hence, $\left(H_{3}\right),|a| \leq l$ and $|b| \leq l$, thus $\|u\| \leq 2 l$. Therefore $\Omega_{2}$ is bounded.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Since $M$ is quasi-linear, condition $\left(A_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 holds, Lemma 2.2 proved $\left(A_{2}\right)$, while Lemma 3.1 shows that $\left(A_{3}\right)$ holds.

Let $\Omega \supset \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ be a nonempty, open and bounded set, $u \in \operatorname{dom} M \cap \partial \Omega, H(u, \lambda)=$ $-\lambda u+(1-\lambda) J Q N u$, and $J$ be as defined in Lemma 3.2 then $H(u, \lambda) \neq 0$. Therefore by the homotopy property of the Brouwer degree

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left\{\left.J Q N\right|_{\bar{\Omega} \cap \operatorname{ker} M}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} M, 0\right\} & =\operatorname{deg}\{H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} M, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} M, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{-I, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} M, 0\} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, condition $\left(A_{4}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 also holds.

Since all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, the abstract equation $M u=N u$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega} \cap \operatorname{dom} M$. Hence, (1.1) has at least one solution.

## 4 Example

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{4}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+e^{-t-2} \sin t \cdot u^{3}+e^{-t-3} \cos t \cdot u^{\prime 3}+\frac{1}{6} e^{-6 t}=0, \quad t \in(0,+\infty)  \tag{4.1}\\
\varphi_{4}\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} 2 e^{-2 t} \varphi_{4}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t, \quad \varphi_{4}\left(u^{\prime}(+\infty)\right)=9 \int_{0}^{1 / 9} \varphi_{4}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $v(t)=2 e^{-2 t}, p=4, q=\frac{4}{3}, \beta_{1}=9, \eta_{1}=\frac{1}{9}, x_{1}=e^{-t-2} \sin t$ and $x_{2}=e^{-t-3} \cos t$. Therefore, $\sum_{j=1}^{1} \beta_{j} \eta_{j}=1, \int_{0}^{+\infty} v(t) d t=1, C \neq 0$ and $\varphi_{q}\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)<1$. It can easily be seen that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Hence, (4.1) has at least one solution.
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