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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates of weak solutions for the doubly nonlinear parabolic equation and the degenerate evolution $m$-Laplacian equation not in the divergence form. By a modified Moser's technique we obtain $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates of weak solutiona.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate of the solution for the initial-boundary-value problem of the nonlinear parabolic equation in the divergence form

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

and the degenerate evolution $m$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=|u|^{k} \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right)+b(u) \cdot \nabla u & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{1.2}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $k>0, \Omega$ is a open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (not necessary bounded) with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and $a(x, t, u, \xi)$ is a Carathéodory function in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0,+\infty)$.

The model problem for (1.1) is the so-called doubly nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|u|^{r}|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{1.3}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

with $r>0$ and $1<m<N$.
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The interest in parabolic equations (1.1) and (1.2) comes from their mathematical structure. Many results concerning the global existence, blowup, and asymptotic behavior of solutions have been established; see [ $1-3,8,9,13,19,20,22,23$ ].
It is well-known that the solution $u(t)$ of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\Delta u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{1.4}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}\end{cases}
$$

satisfies the $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(R^{N}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(R^{N}\right)} t^{-N / 2 q}, \quad t>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{0} \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), q \geq 1$. Estimate (1.5) remains true for the solution of heat equation in a general open set $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\Delta u & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty)  \tag{1.6}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty) \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Estimate (1.5), or more general estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{\alpha} t^{-\lambda}, \quad t>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are suitable positive constants, is known in the literature as $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates or ultracontractive estimates; see [6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19].
These estimates have been proved not only for the heat equation but also for various differential problems, linear or nonlinear, degenerate or singular, for example, the evolution $m$-Laplacian equation, the porous media equation, the fast equation, and the doubly nonlinear equation; see $[1-3,8,9,11,15,17-19]$ and the references therein. The importance of estimate (1.7) describes the behavior of solution as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

The proofs of these estimates vary from problem to problem. In many cases, suitable families of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities are derived. These inequalities are similar to the well-known Gross logarithmic Sobolev inequalities [11].
Porzio [17] investigated the solution of the Leray-Lions-type problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty)  \tag{1.8}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following structure condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, t, s, \xi) \xi \geq \theta|\xi|^{m}, \quad \forall(x, t, s, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\theta>0$. By the integral inequalities method Porzio derived the $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate of the form (1.7) with $C=C(N, q, m, \theta), \alpha=\frac{m q}{N(m-2)+m q}$, and $\lambda=\frac{N}{N(m-2)+m q}$. We see that the equation in problem (1.8) is in the divergence form.

Recently, Ghoul et al. [10] studied the Cauchy problem of the parabolic equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=-(-\Delta)^{m} u+u|u|^{p-1}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0,+\infty)  \tag{1.10}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$

and derived an estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ with $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by a formal approach based on spectral analysis. Similar consideration can been found in [12, 21].
In this paper, we derive the $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate like (1.7) for the solutions of problems (1.1) and (1.2). Our method is different from that in [17], and we will use a modified Moser technique as in $[4,5,15]$ to get an $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate. Since the equation in (1.2) is not in the divergence form, it seems difficult to derive estimate (1.7) by the integral inequalities method in [17].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we state the main results and present some needed lemmas. In Sect. 3, we use these lemmas to derive $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates for the solutions of (1.1). The $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates for the solutions of (1.2) are established in Sect. 4.

## 2 Preliminaries and main results

We first make the following assumptions.
$\left(H_{1}\right) a(x, t, u, \xi)$ is a Carathéodory function and satisfies the structure condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, t, u, \xi) \xi \geq \alpha_{0}|u|^{r}|\xi|^{m}, \quad \forall(x, t, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha_{0}>0$ and $r \geq 0$, where $1+\beta<m<N$ and $0<\beta=(m-1)(r+m-1)^{-1} \leq 1$.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ the initial data $u_{0} \in L^{q}(\Omega), q \geq 1$.
As in [20], we introduce a new independent variable $u=|v|^{\beta-1} v$. Then from (2.1) it follows that the principal part of the equation in (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla v \geq \alpha_{0} \beta^{m-1}|\nabla v|^{m} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of (1.1), we consider the initial-boundary-value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(|v|^{\beta-1} v\right)_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(a\left(x, t,|v|^{\beta-1} v, \nabla\left(|v|^{\beta-1} v\right)\right)\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{2.3}\\
v(x, t)=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \quad v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $v_{0}(x)=\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{-1+1 / \beta} u_{0}(x)$.
Let $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1, p}$ denote the norms in the Banach spaces $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, respectively, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We often drop the letter $\Omega$ in these notations. In the following, we will consider (2.3) instead of (1.1), with $v$ replaced by $u$ in (2.3) for convenience.

Definition 1 A measurable function $u(x, t)$ on $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$ is said to be a global weak solution of problem (2.3) if $u(x, t) \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega\right), a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, and the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left\{-|u|^{\beta-1} u \varphi_{t}-a\left(x, \tau,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla \varphi\right\} d x d \tau  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{\beta-1} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x, 0)-|u(x, t)|^{\beta-1} u(x, t) \varphi(x, t) d x
\end{align*}
$$

is valid for any $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $t>0$.

Our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1 Assume $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$. If $u(t)$ is a global weak solution of (2.3), then it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m-1}\left((0, \infty) ; W_{0}^{m-1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u(t)\|_{q} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}, \quad t>0  \tag{2.6}\\
& \|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{\mu} t^{-\lambda}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mu=\frac{m q}{M N+m q}, \lambda=\frac{N}{M N+m q}, M=m-1-\beta>0$, and $C_{0}=C_{0}(N, m, q)$.
Remark 1 The existence of a global weak solution for (2.3) can be established similarly as in $[4,15,20]$.

For the degenerate evolution $m$-Laplacian problem (1.2), Passo and Luckhaus [16] considered the global existence and blowup of solution for $m=2, k=1$ by the lower and upper solution method. For $m=2, k>1$, blowup and asymptotic behavior of solution have been established by Wiegner [22] and Winkler [23]. Here we derive an $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate for the solution of (1.2) with $k>0,1<m<N$.

For problem (1.2), we assume:
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ Let $B(u)=\left(B_{1}(u), B_{2}(u), \ldots, B_{N}(u)\right), B^{\prime}(u)=\left(B_{1}^{\prime}(u), B_{2}^{\prime}(u), \ldots, B_{N}^{\prime}(u)\right)$, where $B^{\prime}(u)=$ $b(u)=\left(b_{1}(u), b_{2}(u), \ldots, b_{N}(u)\right), b_{i}(u) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$. There exist $k_{1}, \gamma \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B(u)| \leq k_{1}|u|^{1+\gamma}, \quad\left|B^{\prime}(u)\right| \leq k_{1}|u|^{\gamma}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^{1} ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{4}\right) \quad u_{0} \in L^{q}(\Omega), q \geq 1$.

Definition $2([16,22,23])$ A measurable function $u(t)=u(x, t)$ on $\Omega \times(0,+\infty)$ is said to be a global weak solution of problem (1.2) if $u(t) \in X=L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L^{q}(\Omega)\right),|u|^{(k-1) / m} u \in$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m}\left((0,+\infty) ; W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)\right),|u|^{(k-1) /(m-1)} u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m-1}\left((0, \infty) ; W_{0}^{1, m-1}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left\{-u \phi_{t}+|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(|u|^{k} \phi\right)+B(u) \cdot \nabla \phi\right\} d x d \tau  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x, t) d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \phi(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(R^{+}, C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $t>0$.

Our second main result is the following:

Theorem 2 Suppose that $\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold and $k \geq 0$. If $u(t)$ is a global weak solution of (1.2), then $u(t)$ satisfies the following $L^{\infty}$ estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{q} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}, \quad t>0, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{\alpha} t^{-\lambda}, \quad t>0, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=\frac{q m}{M N+m q}, \lambda=\frac{N}{M N+m q}, M=k+m-2>0$, and $C_{0}=C_{0}(N, m, q)$.

To derive above results, we will use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 Let $y(t)$ be a nonnegative differentiable function on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+A t^{\mu} y^{1+\theta}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0
$$

with $A, \theta>0, \mu \geq 0$. Then we have

$$
y(t) \leq(A \theta /(1+\mu))^{-1 / \theta} t^{-(1+\mu) / \theta}, \quad t>0 .
$$

Lemma 2 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-type inequality) Let $\Omega$ be a domain (not necessary bounded) in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $\beta \geq 0, N>m \geq 1, q \geq 1+\beta$, and $1 \leq$ $r \leq q \leq(1+\beta) N m /(N-m)$. Then for $|u|^{\beta} u \in W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq C_{0}^{1 /(\beta+1)}\|u\|_{r}^{1-\theta}\left\|\nabla\left(|u|^{\beta} u\right)\right\|_{m}^{\theta /(\beta+1)}
$$

with $\theta=(1+\beta)\left(r^{-1}-q^{-1}\right) /\left(N^{-1}-m^{-1}+(1+\beta) r^{-1}\right)$, where the constant $C_{0}$ depends only on $m, N$.

The proof of Lemma 2 can be obtained from the well-known Gagliardo-NirenbergSobolev inequality and the interpolation inequality, and we omit it here.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we assume that all assumptions in Theorem 1 are satisfied. As in [4, 5, 15], we derive a priori estimates of the smooth approximate solutions $u(t)$, and our argument will be justified through such an approximate procedure.

Proof of Theorem 1 First, we take $f_{n}(s)(n=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $f_{n}(s) \rightarrow f(s)=|s|^{q-2} s$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For $1<q<2$, we choose $f_{n}^{+}(s)=a_{n} s^{2}+b_{n} s$ if $0 \leq n s \leq 1$ and $f_{n}^{+}(s)=s^{q-1}$ if $n s \geq 1$, where $a_{n}=(q-2) n^{3-q}, b_{n}=(3-q) n^{2-q}$. Further, let $f_{n}(s)$ be the odd extension of $f_{n}^{+}(s)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$.

If $q \geq 2$, then we take $f_{n}(s)=|s|^{q-2} s$. For $q=1$, we let

$$
f_{n}(s)= \begin{cases}1, & s \geq 1 / n  \tag{3.1}\\ n s(2-n s), & 0 \leq s \leq 1 / n \\ -n s(2+n s), & -1 / n \leq s \leq 0 \\ -1, & s<-1 / n\end{cases}
$$

Then we easily verify that $f_{n}(s) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), f_{n}(s) \rightarrow f(s)=|s|^{q-2} s$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $\varphi_{n}^{+}(s)=s^{\beta-1}$ if $n s \geq 1, \varphi_{n}^{+}(s)=A_{n} s+B_{n}$ if $0 \leq n s \leq 1$, where $A_{n}=(\beta-1) n^{2-\beta}, B_{n}=(2-$ $\beta) n^{1-\beta}$. Further, let $\varphi_{n}(s)$ be the even extension of $\varphi_{n}^{+}(s)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Obviously, $\varphi_{n}(s) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, and $\varphi_{n}(s) \rightarrow \varphi(s)=|s|^{\beta-1}$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $u_{0, n} \in C_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{0, n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We take the approximate problem of (2.3) of the form

$$
\begin{cases}\varphi_{i}(u) u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right)\right) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{3.2}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0, i}(x) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots$.
Then problem (3.2) has a unique smooth solution $u_{i}(x, t)$; see [14]. We further always write $u$ instead of $u_{i}$ and $u^{p}$ for $|u|^{p-1} u$ when $p>0$.
Multiplying the equation in (3.2) by $f_{k}(u) \varphi_{i}^{-1}(u)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} f_{k}(u) u_{t} d x \\
& \quad=-\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla u\left(f_{k}^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{i}(u)-\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(u) f_{k}(u)\right) \varphi_{i}^{-2}(u) d x \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f_{k}^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{i}(u)-\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(u) f_{k}(u) \geq 0 .
$$

By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla u \\
& \quad=\beta^{-1} a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)|u|^{1-\beta}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad \geq \alpha_{0} \beta^{-1}|u|^{\beta r}\left|\nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right|^{m}|u|^{1-\beta} \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence from (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f_{k}(u) u_{t} d x \leq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{q} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now derive an $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate for the solution $u_{i}(t)$ of (3.2). Multiplying the equation in (3.2) by $\varphi_{i}^{-1}(u)|u|^{p-2} u, p \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla u E_{i}[u] d x=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}[u]=\left((p-1)|u|^{p-2} \varphi_{i}(u)-\varphi_{i}^{-1}(u)|u|^{p-2} u\right) \varphi_{i}^{-2}(u) \geq \frac{p-\beta}{4}|u|^{p-\beta-1} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\beta=(m-1) /(r+m-1)$, from (3.4) we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
a\left(x, t,|u|^{\beta-1} u, \nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right) \nabla u & \geq \beta^{-1} \alpha_{0}|u|^{\beta r}\left|\nabla\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)\right|^{m}|u|^{1-\beta}  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\alpha_{0} \beta^{m-1}|\nabla u|^{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence from (3.7)-(3.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|u\|_{p}^{p}+C_{1} p\left(\frac{m}{p+M}\right)^{m} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{\frac{p+M}{m}}\right|^{m} d x \leq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=m-1-\beta>0$. Then (3.10) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p}^{p}+C_{1} p^{2-m}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{p+M}{m}}\right\|_{m}^{m} \leq 0, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C, C_{j}$ be general constants independent of $p, i, n$ changeable from line to line. We now employ Moser's technique as in [4, 5, 15]. Set $R>1+M / q, p_{1}=q, p_{n}=R p_{n-1}-M$, $\theta_{n}=R N\left(1-p_{n-1} p_{n}^{-1}\right)(m+N(R-1))^{-1}, \beta_{n}=\left(p_{n}+M\right) \theta_{n}^{-1}, n=2,3, \ldots$.

From Lemma 2 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} \leq C^{\frac{m}{p_{n}+M}}\|u\|_{p_{n-1}}^{1-\theta_{n}}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{p_{n}+M}{m}}\right\|_{m}^{m \theta_{n} /\left(p_{n}+M\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into (3.11) $\left(p=p_{n}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}}+C_{1} C^{\frac{-m}{\theta_{n}}} p_{n}^{2-m}\|u\|_{p_{n-1}}^{M-\beta_{n}}\|u\|_{p_{n}}^{1+\beta_{n}} \leq 0, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that there exist bounded sequences $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} \leq \xi_{n} t^{-\lambda_{n}}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}=\left(1+\lambda_{n-1}\left(\beta_{n}-M\right)\right) / \beta_{n}$. It is not difficult to show that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda=\frac{N}{M N+m q}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, let $\xi_{1}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}$ and $\lambda_{1}=0$. If (3.14) is true for $n-1$, the from (3.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}}+C_{1} C^{\frac{-m}{\theta_{n}}} p_{n}^{1-m} \xi_{n}^{M-\beta_{n}} t^{\lambda_{n-1}\left(\beta_{n}-M\right)}\|u\|_{p_{n}}^{1+\beta_{n}} \leq 0, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Lemma 1 to (3.15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} & \leq\left(C_{1} C^{\frac{-m}{\theta_{n}}} p_{n}^{1-m} \xi_{n-1}^{M-\beta_{n}} \beta_{n} /\left(1+\lambda_{n-1}\left(\beta_{n}-M\right)\right)\right)^{-1 / \beta_{n}} t^{-\left(1+\lambda_{n-1}\left(\beta_{n}-\mu\right)\right) / \beta_{n}} \\
& =\left(C_{1} C^{\frac{-m}{\theta_{n}}}\right)^{-1 / \beta_{n}} \lambda_{n}^{1 / \beta_{n}} p_{n}^{(m-1) / \beta_{n}} \xi_{n-1}^{\left(\beta_{n}-M\right) / \beta_{n}} t^{-\lambda_{n}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n}}{\beta_{n}}=\frac{M+2}{N(M+1)},
$$

we see that there exists a constant $\lambda_{0}>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} \leq\left(\lambda_{0} p_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{0} / p_{n}} \xi_{n-1}^{1-M / \beta_{n}} t^{-\lambda_{n}}, \quad t>0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we define $\xi_{n}$ inductively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}=\left(\lambda_{0} p_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{0} / p_{n}} \xi_{n-1}^{1-M / \beta_{n}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=2,3, \ldots$ with $\xi_{1}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}$. Here, setting $\omega_{n}=m p_{n}+M N, p_{1}=q$, and $p_{n}=R p_{n-1}-M$, by direct calculation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta_{n}-M}{\beta_{n}}=\frac{\omega_{n}}{p_{n}} \cdot \frac{p_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-1}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\beta_{k}-M}{\beta_{k}}=\frac{\omega_{n}}{p_{n}} \cdot \frac{p_{1}}{\omega_{1}}=\frac{M N+p_{n} m}{p_{n}} \cdot \frac{q}{m q+M N} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\beta_{k}-M}{\beta_{k}}=\mu=\frac{m q}{m q+M N} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the definition of $\xi_{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \xi_{n}= & \frac{\lambda_{0}}{p_{n}}\left(\log \lambda_{0}+\log p_{n}\right)+\left(1-\frac{M}{\beta_{n}}\right) \log \xi_{n-1} \\
= & \frac{\lambda_{0}}{p_{n}}\left(\log \lambda_{0}+\log p_{n}\right)+\left(1-\frac{M}{\beta_{n}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}}{p_{n}}\left(\log \lambda_{0}+\log p_{n-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\frac{M}{\beta_{n-1}}\right) \log \xi_{n-2}\right)  \tag{3.22}\\
\leq & \lambda_{0} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\log \lambda_{0}+\log p_{k}}{p_{k}}+\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(1-\frac{M}{\beta_{k}}\right) \log \xi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \xi_{n} \leq C_{0}+\frac{M N+p_{n} m}{p_{n}} \cdot \frac{q}{m q+M N} \log \xi_{1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $C_{0}>0$ independent of $n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \xi_{n} \leq C_{0}+\mu \log \xi_{1} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n} \leq e^{C_{0}} \xi_{1}^{\mu}=C_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{\mu} \quad t>0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14), we obtain (2.7) and finish the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we derive $L^{\infty}$ decay estimates of solutions for the degenerate evolution $m$-Laplacian problem (1.2).

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 , we take $u_{0, n} \in C_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{0, n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$. Further, we choose $\phi_{n}(s) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \phi_{n}(s) \rightarrow \phi(s)$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$.

In fact, for $n=1,2, \ldots$, we define $\phi_{n}(s)=|s|^{k}+n^{-k}$ if $k>1$ and

$$
\phi_{n}(s)= \begin{cases}|s|^{k}+n^{-k} & \text { for }|s| \geq n^{-1}  \tag{4.1}\\ s^{2} n^{2-k}(3-k+(k-2) n|s|)+n^{-k} & \text { for }|s| \leq n^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

if $0<k \leq 1$.
We now consider the following approximate problem for (1.2):

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\phi_{i}(u) \operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+i^{-1}\right)^{m / 2} \nabla u\right)+b(u) \nabla u & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{4.2}\\ u(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0, i} & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots$.
Problem (4.2) is a standard quasilinear parabolic equation and admits a unique smooth solution $u_{i}(x, t)$ for each $i$; see $[4,5,14,15]$. For convenience, we denote $u_{i}$ by $u$ and $|u|^{p-1} u$ by $u^{p}$ if $p>0$.

Multiplying the equation in (4.2) by $|u|^{q-2} u$ (if $q>1$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{m}\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}(u)|u|^{q-2} u+(q-1) \phi_{i}(u)|u|^{q-2}\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{\prime}(u)|u|^{q-2} u+(q-1) \phi_{i}(u)|u|^{q-2} d x \geq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \leq 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{q} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=1$, then we multiply the equation in (4.2) by $f_{n}(u)$, where $f_{n}(u)$ is defined by (3.1). Similarly, we can get estimate (4.6).

To derive an $L^{\infty}$ decay estimate of solutions for (4.2), we multiply the equation in (4.2) by $|u|^{p-2} u(p \geq q)$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{m}\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}(u)|u|^{p-2} u+(p-1) \phi_{i}(u)|u|^{p-2}\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{\prime}(u)|u|^{p-2} u+(p-1) \phi_{i}(u)|u|^{p-2} d x \geq \frac{k+p-1}{4}|u|^{k+p-2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (4.7) and (4.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p}^{p}+C_{1} p^{2-m}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{p+M}{m}}\right\|_{m}^{m} \leq 0, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=k+m-2>0$.
Set $R>1+M / q, p_{1}=q, p_{n}=R p_{n-1}-M, \theta_{n}=R N\left(1-p_{n-1} p_{n}^{-1}\right)(m+N(R-1))^{-1}, \beta_{n}=$ $\left(p_{n}+M\right) \theta_{n}^{-1}, n=2,3, \ldots$. From Lemma 2 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} \leq C^{m /\left(p_{n}+M\right)}\|u\|_{p_{n-1}}^{1-\theta_{n}}\left\|\nabla u^{\frac{p_{n}+M}{m}}\right\|_{m}^{m \theta_{n} /\left(p_{n}+M\right)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into (4.9) $\left(p=p_{n}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}}+C_{2} C^{\frac{-m}{\theta_{n}}} p_{n}^{2-m}\|u\|_{p_{n-1}}^{M-\beta_{n}}\|u\|_{p_{n}}^{1+\beta_{n}} \leq 0 \quad t>0 . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that there exist bounded sequences $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{p_{n}} \leq \xi_{n} t^{-\lambda_{n}} \quad t>0, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ and $\xi_{n} \leq C_{0}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{\mu}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{N}{m q+M N}, \quad \mu=\frac{q m}{q m+M N}, \quad M=k+m-2>0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}^{\mu} t^{-\lambda}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.
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