

RESEARCH

Open Access



# New approaches for periodic wave solutions of a non-Newtonian filtration equation with variable delay

Mei Xu<sup>1</sup> and Bo Du<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: [dubo7307@163.com](mailto:dubo7307@163.com)  
<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huaian, Jiangsu, China

## Abstract

A type of non-Newtonian filtration equations with variable delay is considered. Using a new approach which was established by Ge and Ren in (Nonlinear Anal. 58:477–488, 2004), we obtain the existence of periodic wave solutions for the non-Newtonian filtration equations. The methods of the present paper are markedly different from the existing ones.

**MSC:** 35C07

**Keywords:** Periodic wave solution; Continuation theorem; Existence

## 1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to studying the periodic wave solutions problem for a type of non-Newtonian filtration equation with variable delay as follows:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) + f(y, y_{\tau(t)}) + g(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $f(y, z) = y^q(1 - y)(z - a) + f(y)$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$  is a constant,  $f(y) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $y_{\tau(t)}(t, x) = y(t - \tau(t), x)$ ,  $\tau(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Equation (1.1) is known as the evolutionary  $p$ -Laplacian equation. When  $f(y) = 0$  and  $g(t, x) = 0$  in Eq. (1.1), Eq. (1.1) is changed into

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) + y^q(1 - y)(z - a), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

In 1967, Ladyzhenskaja [2] studied Eq. (1.2) for the description of incompressible fluids and solvability in the large boundary value of them, which is the first work for Eq. (1.2). After that, more related papers for non-Newtonian filtration equation and related nonlinear equation appeared, see e.g. [3–17].

In recent years, the solitary wave and periodic wave solutions for the non-Newtonian filtration equation have received great attention. Kong and Luo [18, 19] considered a non-Newtonian filtration equation with nonlinear sources and the variable (constant) delay and

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

obtained the existence of solitary wave and periodic wave solutions for the above equation by using the extension of Mawhin’s continuation theorem. Then, by the same way, Lian et al. [20] further studied a kind of singular non-Newtonian filtration equations.

As far as we know, the existence of periodic wave solutions for functional differential equations was obtained by the use of an extension of coincidence degree theory (see [21], Theorem 3.1). This paper aims to use a different method for obtaining the existence of periodic wave solutions for Eq. (1.1). In [1], Ge and Ren extended Mawhin’s continuation theorem to a more general theorem for studying the  $p$ -Laplacian differential equations. Classic Mawhin’s continuation theorem is used for a class of differential equations with weaker nonlinearity, see [22]. Hence, classic Mawhin’s continuation theorem is no longer applicable for studying differential equations with stronger nonlinearity. In this paper, we use the theorem belonging to [1] to obtain the existence of periodic wave solutions for Eq. (1.1). To the best of our knowledge, there is no paper to use the theorem in [1] for studying the non-Newtonian filtration equations. The main purpose is to recommend a new method for the research of non-Newtonian filtration equations.

For Eq. (1.1), assume that there is a continuous function  $h(t)$  such that  $g(t, x) = h(x + ct)$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y(t, x) = u(s)$  with  $s = x + ct$  be the solution of Eq. (1.1), then Eq. (1.1) is changed into the following equation:

$$cu'(s) = (\phi_p(u'(s)))' + u^q(s)(1 - u(s))[u(s - \tau(s)) - a] + f(u(s)) + h(s), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\phi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u, p > 1, u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $T > 0$  be a constant. Suppose that  $u(s + T) = u(s)$  and  $u(s)$  is a solution of Eq. (1.3) for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $u(s)$  is called a periodic wave solution of Eq. (1.3). Generally, the periodic solution of Eq. (1.3) is regarded as a periodic wave solution of Eq. (1.1).

The following sections are organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we give some useful lemmas and definitions. In Sect. 3, main results are obtained for the existence of periodic wave solutions to the non-Newtonian filtration equation (1.1). In Sect. 4, an example is given to show the feasibility of our results. Finally, some conclusions and discussions are given about this paper.

**2 Preliminary**

**Definition 2.1** ([1]) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be two Banach spaces with norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , respectively. A continuous operator

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X} \cap \text{dom } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$$

is called quasi-linear if

- (i)  $\text{Im } \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X} \cap \text{dom } \mathcal{M})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{M} := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap \text{dom } \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M}x = 0\}$  is linearly homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n, n < \infty$ ,

where  $\text{dom } \mathcal{M}$  is the domain of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([1]) Let  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$  be an open and bounded set with the origin  $\theta \in \Omega$ .  $N_\lambda : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \lambda \in [0, 1]$  is said to be  $\mathcal{M}$ -compact in  $\bar{\Omega}$  if there exist a subset  $\mathcal{Z}_1$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfying  $\dim \mathcal{Z}_1 = \dim \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}$  and an operator  $R : \bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$  being continuous and compact

such that, for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

- (a)  $(I - Q)N_\lambda(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \text{Im } \mathcal{M} \subset (I - Q)\mathcal{Z}$ ,
- (b)  $QN_\lambda x = 0, \lambda \in (0, 1) \Leftrightarrow QNx = 0, \forall x \in \Omega$ ,
- (c)  $R(\cdot, 0) \equiv 0$  and  $R(\cdot, \lambda)|_{\Sigma_\lambda} = (I - P)|_{\Sigma_\lambda}$ ,
- (d)  $\mathcal{M}[Pu + R(\cdot, \lambda)] = (I - Q)N_\lambda, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

where  $\mathcal{X}_2$  is a complement space of  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Ker } \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ ;  $P, Q$  are two projectors satisfying  $\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, \text{Im } Q = \mathcal{Z}_1, N = N_1, \Sigma_\lambda = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \mathcal{M}x = N_\lambda x\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([1]) *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be two Banach spaces with norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , respectively. Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be an open and bounded nonempty set. Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X} \cap \text{dom } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$$

*is quasi-linear and  $N_\lambda : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \lambda \in [0, 1]$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -compact in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . In addition, if the following conditions hold:*

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathcal{M}x \neq N_\lambda x, \forall (x, \lambda) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, 1)$ ;
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $QNx \neq 0, \forall x \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{M} \cap \partial\Omega$ ;
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, 0\} \neq 0, J : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}$  is a homeomorphism.

*Then the abstract equation  $\mathcal{M}x = Nx$  has at least one solution in  $\text{dom } \mathcal{M} \cap \bar{\Omega}$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** ([23]) *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable. Let  $a > 0$  and  $p > 1$  be two constants. Then, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$|f(t)| \leq (2a)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + a(2a)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

### 3 Main results

Denote

$$C_T = \{x|x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t + T) = x(t)\}, \quad C_T^1 = \{x|x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t + T) = x(t)\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X} = C_T^1$  with the norm  $\|x\| = \max\{|x|_0, |x'|_0\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z} = C_T$  with the norm  $|x|_0 = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x(t)|$ . Denote the operators  $\mathcal{M}, N_\lambda$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} : \text{dom } \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \quad (\mathcal{M}u)(t) = (\phi_p(u'))'(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$N_\lambda : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (N_\lambda u)(s) &= c\lambda u'(s) - \lambda u^q(s)(1 - u(s))[u(s - \tau(s)) - a] \\ &\quad - \lambda f(u(s)) - \lambda h(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\text{dom } \mathcal{M} = \{u \in \mathcal{X} : \phi_p(u') \in C_T^1\}$ . By (3.1) and (3.2), Eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the operator equation  $Nx = \mathcal{M}x$ , where  $N_1 = N$ . Then we have

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{M} = \{u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{M} \cap X : u(t) = \tilde{a}, \tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{M} = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{Z} : \int_0^T z(s) ds = 0 \right\}.$$

Obviously,  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}, \text{Im } \mathcal{M}$  is a closed set in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , so we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *If the operator  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined by (3.1), then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quasi-linear operator.*

Let

$$P : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, \quad (Pu)(s) = u(0), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$Q : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} / \text{Im } \mathcal{M}, \quad (Qz)(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T z(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, we show that the operator  $N_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -compact.

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $h, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $h(s) = h(s + T)$  and  $\tau(s) = \tau(s + T)$ , then  $N_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -compact.*

*Proof* For convenience of proof, let

$$G(s, u) := cu'(s) - u^q(s)(1 - u(s))[u(s - \tau(s)) - a] - f(u(s)) - h(s). \tag{3.3}$$

Then (3.2) can be rewritten

$$(N_\lambda u)(s) = \lambda G(s, u).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \text{Im } Q$ . For each bounded set  $\overline{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$ , define the operator  $R : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Ker } P$  by

$$R(u, \lambda)(s) = \int_0^s \phi_{\tilde{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt, \quad s \in [0, T], \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\tilde{p} > 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ ,  $G$  is defined by (3.3), and  $a_u$  is a constant which depends on  $u$ . We claim that  $a_u$  exists uniquely in (3.4). Using

$$\int_0^s \phi_{\tilde{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \in C_T,$$

we can choose  $a_u \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_0^T \phi_{\tilde{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{a}) = \int_0^T \phi_{\tilde{p}} \left[ \tilde{a} + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt,$$

$$\hat{A} = \sup_{\lambda \in [0, 1], t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr,$$

$$\check{A} = \inf_{\lambda \in [0, 1], t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr.$$

By (3.5), we have  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}) \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\check{A}) \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{a})$  is a monotone increasing function for  $\tilde{a}$ , and there exists uniquely  $a_u \in [\hat{A}, \check{A}]$  such that (3.5) holds. Hence,  $R(u, \lambda)(s)$  is well defined.

Firstly, we show that  $R(u, \lambda)$  is completely continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$ . From the assumptions of Lemma 3.2,  $R(u, \lambda)$  is uniformly bounded on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$ . Now we show that  $R(u, \lambda)$  is equicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$ . Let each  $s_1, s_2 \in [0, T]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small. There is  $\delta > 0$ , for  $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R(u, \lambda)(s_1) - R(u, \lambda)(s_2)| &= \int_0^{s_1} \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^{s_2} \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \\ &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $R(u, \lambda)$  is equicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$ . Using the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem,  $R(u, \lambda)$  is completely continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, 1]$ .

Next, we show that  $N_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -compact by four steps, i.e., four conditions of Definition 2.2 are all satisfied.

*Step 1.* In view of  $Q^2 = Q$ , then  $Q(I - Q)N_\lambda(\bar{\Omega}) = 0$  and  $(I - Q)N_\lambda(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } \mathcal{M}$ . Furthermore,  $\forall z \in \text{Im } \mathcal{M}$ , then  $Qz = 0$  and  $z = (I - Q)z$ . Hence, condition (a) of Definition 2.2 holds.

*Step 2.*  $\forall u \in \Omega$ , if  $QN_\lambda(u) = \frac{\lambda}{T} \int_0^T G(s, u) ds = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T G(s, u) ds = 0$ , i.e.,  $QN(u) = 0$ . The inverse is true.

*Step 3.* If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $a_u = 0$ . Thus,  $R(u, 0) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\forall u \in \Sigma_\lambda = \{u \in \bar{\Omega} : \mathcal{M}u = N_\lambda u\}$ , we have

$$(\phi_p(u'))' = \lambda G(s, u) \quad \text{and} \quad Q[G(s, u)] = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Choose  $a_u = \phi_p(u'(0))$ . It follows by (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} R(u, \lambda)(s) &= \int_0^s \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ \phi_p(u'(0)) + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^s \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ \phi_p(u'(0)) + \int_0^t (\phi_p(u'(r)))' dr \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^s u'(s) ds \\ &= u(s) - u(0) \\ &= [(I - P)u](s). \end{aligned}$$

*Step 4.*  $\forall u \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}[Pu + R(u, \lambda)](s) \\ &= \left[ \phi_p \left( \left[ u(0) + \int_0^s \phi_{\bar{p}} \left[ a_u + \int_0^t \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right] dt \right]' \right) \right]' \\ &= \left[ \phi_p \left( \phi_{\bar{p}} \left( a_u + \int_0^s \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) dr \right) \right)' \right]' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ a_u + \int_0^s \lambda(G(r, u) - (QG)(r)) \, dr \right]' \\
 &= [(I - Q)N_\lambda u](s).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

*Remark 3.1* The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar to the proof of [24]. For the convenience of readers, we give a detailed proof of Lemma 3.2.

In this section, we need the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exists a constant  $b > 0$  such that

$$uf(u) \leq -b|u|^p, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $p > 1$  is defined by (1.1).

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exist constants  $p$  and  $q$  satisfying the following inequality:

$$p > 2q + 4,$$

where  $p > 1$  and  $q > 0$  are defined by (1.1).

(H<sub>3</sub>) If  $q$  is an even number, then there exists a constant  $D > 1$  such that

$$f(u) < 0 \quad \text{for } u > D$$

and

$$f(u) < 0 \quad \text{for } u < -D.$$

If  $q$  is an odd number, then there exists a constant  $D > 1$  such that

$$f(u) < 0 \quad \text{for } u > D$$

and

$$f(u) > 0 \quad \text{for } u < -D.$$

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that  $\int_0^T h(s) \, ds = 0$ ,  $\tau'(s) < 1$  for  $s \in [0, T]$ , and assumptions (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>) hold. Then Eq. (1.1) has at least one  $T$ -periodic wave solution.*

*Proof* We complete the proof by three steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $\Omega_1 = \{u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M}u = N_\lambda u, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ . We claim that  $\Omega_1$  is a bounded set. In fact,  $\forall u \in \Omega_1$ , then  $\mathcal{M}u = N_\lambda u$ , i.e.,

$$(\varphi_p(u'))'(s) = c\lambda u'(s) - \lambda u^q(s)(1 - u(s))[u(s - \tau(s)) - a] - \lambda f(u(s)) - \lambda h(s). \tag{3.7}$$

Multiply both sides of Eq. (3.7) by  $u(s)$  and integrate over  $[0, T]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u'|_p^p &= \lambda \int_0^T u^{q+1}(s)(1-u(s))[u(s-\tau(s))-a] ds \\
 &\quad + \lambda \int_0^T f(u(s))u(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T h(s)u(s) ds.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.8), Hölder’s inequality, assumptions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|u'|_p^p + \lambda b|u|_p^p \\
 &\leq \lambda \int_0^T |u^{q+1}(s)u(s-\tau(s))| ds + \lambda \int_0^T |u^{q+2}(s)u(s-\tau(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \lambda a \int_0^T |u^{q+2}(s)| ds + \lambda a \int_0^T |u^{q+1}(s)| ds + \lambda \int_0^T |h(s)u(s)| ds \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^T |u|^{2(q+1)}(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T |u(s-\tau(s))|^2 ds + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^T |u|^{2(q+2)}(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \lambda a T^{\frac{p-q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{q+2} + \lambda a T^{\frac{p-q-1}{p}} |u|_p^{q+1} + \lambda |h|_{\tilde{p}} |u|_p \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} T^{\frac{p-2q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} T^{\frac{p-2q-4}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+4} + \lambda \Gamma T^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |u|_p^2 \\
 &\quad + \lambda a T^{\frac{p-q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{q+2} + \lambda a T^{\frac{p-q-1}{p}} |u|_p^{q+1} + \lambda |h|_{\tilde{p}} |u|_p,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\Gamma = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{1}{1-\tau'(\mu(t))}$ ,  $\mu(t)$  is an inverse function of  $t - \tau(t)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{p} > 1$ . By (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u'|_p^p &\leq \frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{p-2q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+2} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{p-2q-4}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+4} + \Gamma T^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |u|_p^2 \\
 &\quad + a T^{\frac{p-q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{q+2} + a T^{\frac{p-q-1}{p}} |u|_p^{q+1} + |h|_{\tilde{p}} |u|_p
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u|_p^p &\leq \frac{1}{2b} T^{\frac{p-2q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+2} + \frac{1}{2b} T^{\frac{p-2q-4}{p}} |u|_p^{2q+4} + \frac{1}{b} \Gamma T^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |u|_p^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{b} T^{\frac{p-q-2}{p}} |u|_p^{q+2} + \frac{a}{b} T^{\frac{p-q-1}{p}} |u|_p^{q+1} + \frac{1}{b} |h|_{\tilde{p}} |u|_p.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

If  $|u|_p > 1$ , by (3.11) and assumption  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u|_p^{p-2q-4} &\leq \frac{1}{2b} T^{\frac{p-2q-2}{p}} + \frac{1}{2b} T^{\frac{p-2q-4}{p}} + \frac{1}{b} \Gamma T^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{b} T^{\frac{p-q-2}{p}} + \frac{a}{b} T^{\frac{p-q-1}{p}} + \frac{1}{b} |h|_{\tilde{p}},
 \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a constant  $M_1 > 0$  such that

$$|u|_p \leq M_1.
 \tag{3.12}$$

Thus,

$$|u|_p \leq \max\{M_1, 1\} =: \tilde{M}_1. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, if  $|u|_p > 1$ , by (3.10) and (3.12) then there exists a constant  $M_2 > 0$  such that

$$|u'|_p \leq M_2.$$

Thus,

$$|u'|_p \leq \max\{M_2, 1\} =: \tilde{M}_2. \tag{3.14}$$

In Lemma 2.2, let  $t = 0, a = T > 0$ . If  $f(t) \in C_T^1$ , then

$$|f(t)| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^T |f(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^T |f'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.13)–(3.15), we have

$$|u(s)| \leq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{M}_1 + T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{M}_2 := \rho_1$$

and

$$|u|_0 = \max_{s \in [0, T]} |u(s)| \leq \rho_1. \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.7) we have

$$|u'(s)|^{p-1} \leq c |u'(s)| + \rho_1^q (1 + \rho_1) [\rho_1 + a] + f_{\rho_1} + |h|_0, \quad s \in [0, T], \tag{3.17}$$

where  $f_{\rho_1} = \max_{|u| \leq \rho_1} |f(u)|$ . If  $|u'(s)| > 1$ , by (3.17) we get

$$|u'(s)|^{p-2} \leq c + \rho_1^q (1 + \rho_1) [\rho_1 + a] + f_{\rho_1} + |h|_0, \quad s \in [0, T]. \tag{3.18}$$

In view of (3.18) and  $p > 2$ , there exists a positive constant  $L_1$  such that

$$|u'(s)| \leq \max\{1, L_1\} := \rho_2.$$

Thus,

$$|u'|_0 = \max_{s \in [0, T]} |u'(s)| \leq \rho_2. \tag{3.19}$$

In view of (3.16) and (3.19), we have

$$\|u\| < \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\} + 1 := \rho. \tag{3.20}$$



*Step 2.* Let  $\Omega_2 = \{u \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{M} : QNu = 0\}$ . We claim that  $\Omega_2$  is a bounded set. In fact,  $\forall u \in \Omega_2$ , then  $u = e_0, e_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\int_0^T [e_0^q(1 - e_0)[e_0 - a] + f(e_0)] ds = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$e_0^q(1 - e_0)[e_0 - a] + f(e_0) = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

If  $q$  is even and  $|e_0| > D$ , by assumption  $(H_3)$  then

$$e_0^q(1 - e_0)[e_0 - a] + f(e_0) < 0$$

which is a contradiction to (3.21). Thus,  $|e_0| \leq D$ . If  $q$  is odd, by assumption  $(H_3)$  we also have  $|e_0| \leq D$ . Hence,  $\Omega_2$  is a bounded set.

*Step 3.* Let  $\Omega = \{u \in \mathcal{X} : \|u\| < \rho\}$ , where  $\rho$  is defined by (3.20), then  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  is a subset of  $\Omega$ . Based on the above proof,  $\forall (u, \lambda) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, 1), \mathcal{M}u \neq N_\lambda u$  holds. Due to the results of Step 2, condition  $(A_2)$  of Lemma 2.1 is also satisfied. We claim that condition  $(A_3)$  of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. In fact, take the homotopy

$$H(u, \mu) = \mu u + (1 - \mu)JQN u, \quad u \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, \mu \in [0, 1],$$

where  $J : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}$  is a homeomorphism with  $Je = e, e \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\forall u \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}$ , then  $u = e_1, |e_1| = \rho > D$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} H(u, \mu) &= -e_1\mu + (1 - \mu)\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [-e_1^q(1 - e_1)(e_1 - a) - f(e_1)] ds \\ &= -e_1\mu - (1 - \mu)[e_1^q(1 - e_1)(e_1 - a) + f(e_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

By using assumption  $(H_1)$ , we have  $H(u, \mu) \neq 0$ . And then, by the degree theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, 0\} &= \text{deg}\{H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, 0\} \\ &= \text{deg}\{H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, 0\} \\ &= \text{deg}\{-I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{M}, 0\} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we reach the conclusion. □

### 4 Example

As an application of Theorem 3.1, we consider the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) + y^q(1 - y)(y_{\tau(t)} - a) + g(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let  $g(t, x) = h(x + ct), y(t, x) = u(x + ct) = u(s)$ , then (4.1) is transformed into the following equation:

$$cu'(s) = (\phi_p(u'(s)))' + u^q(s)(1 - u(s))[u(s - \tau(s)) - a] + f(u(s)) + h(s).$$

Let  $p = 8$ ,  $q = 1$ ,  $f(u) = -u^9 e^{\sin u}$ ,  $\tau(s) = \frac{1}{2} \cos s$ ,  $h(s) = \sin s$ . It is not difficult to verify that assumptions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  hold. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 guarantees the existence of at least one periodic wave solution for Eq. (4.1).

## 5 Conclusion

In this article, we study non-Newtonian filtration equations with variable delay. By using a generalization of Mawhin's continuation theorem and some mathematic analysis methods, we obtain some existence results of periodic wave solutions for the non-Newtonian filtration equation with variable delay. The novelty of the present paper is that it is the first to discuss the existence of periodic wave solutions for the non-Newtonian filtration equations with time-varying delay. Our results improve and extend some corresponding results in the literature. However, many important questions about non-Newtonian filtration equations remain to be studied, such as exponential stability and asymptotic stability problems, non-Newtonian filtration equations with impulse effects and stochastic effects, etc. We hope to focus on the above issues in future studies.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editor and the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the quality of our paper.

### Funding

The work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu High Education Institutions of China (Grant No. 17KJB110001).

### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 9 July 2020 Accepted: 24 January 2021 Published online: 02 February 2021

## References

1. Ge, W., Ren, J.: An extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and its application to boundary value problems with a p-Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.* **58**, 477–488 (2004)
2. Ladyzhenskaja, O.A.: New equation for the description of incompressible fluids and solvability in the large boundary value of them. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **102**, 95–118 (1967)
3. Fang, Z., Xu, X.: Extinction behavior of solutions for the p-Laplacian equations with nonlocal source. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **13**, 1780–1789 (2012)
4. Martinson, L.K., Pavlov, K.B.: Magneto hydrodynamics of non-Newtonian fluids. *Magneto hydrodynamics* **11**, 47–53 (1975)
5. Ji, S., Yin, J., Huang, R.: Evolutionary p-Laplacian with convection and reaction under dynamic boundary condition. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 194 (2015)
6. Sanchez-Garduno, F., Maini, P.K.: Existence and uniqueness of a sharp front travelling wave in degenerate nonlinear diffusion Fisher-KPP equations. *J. Math. Biol.* **33**, 163–192 (1994)
7. Wen, Z.: On existence of kink and antikink wave solutions of singularly perturbed Gardner equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **43**, 4422–4427 (2020)
8. Wen, Z., Shi, L.: Exact explicit nonlinear wave solutions to a modified cKdV equation. *AIMS Math.* **5**, 4917–4930 (2020)
9. Wen, Z.: The generalized bifurcation method for deriving exact solutions of nonlinear space-time fractional partial differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **366**, 124735 (2020)
10. Shi, L., Wen, Z.: Several types of periodic wave solutions and their relations of a Fujimoto–Watanabe equation. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **9**, 1193–1203 (2019)
11. Wen, Z.: Abundant dynamical behaviors of bounded traveling wave solutions to generalized  $\theta$ -equation. *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **59**, 926–935 (2019)
12. Wen, Z.: Bifurcations and exact traveling wave solutions of the celebrated Green–Naghdi equations. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **27**, 1750114 (2017)

13. Wen, Z.: Bifurcations and exact traveling wave solutions of a new two-component system. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **87**, 1917–1922 (2017)
14. Yin, H., Du, B.: Stochastic patch structure Nicholson's blowflies system with mixed delays. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 386 (2020)
15. Yin, H., Du, B., Yang, Q., Duan, F.: Existence of homoclinic orbits for a singular differential equation involving  $p$ -Laplacian. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2020**, 2362853 (2020)
16. Xu, M., Du, B.: Periodic solution for neutral-type inertial neural networks with time-varying delays. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 607 (2020)
17. Xu, M., Du, B.: Anti-periodic solutions problem for inertial competitive neutral-type neural networks via Wirtinger inequality. *AIMS Math.* **5**, 6841–6855 (2020)
18. Kong, F., Luo, Z.: Solitary wave and periodic wave solutions for the non-Newtonian filtration equations with non-linear sources and a time-varying delay. *Acta Math. Sci.* **37**, 1803–1816 (2017)
19. Liang, Z., Chu, J., Lu, S.: Solitary wave and periodic wave solutions for a non-Newtonian filtration equation. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **17**, 213–222 (2014)
20. Liang, Z., Kong, F.: Positive periodic wave solutions of singular non-Newtonian filtration equations. *Anal. Math. Phys.* **7**, 509–524 (2017)
21. Lu, S.: Periodic solutions to a second order  $p$ -Laplacian neutral functional differential system. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 4215–4229 (2008)
22. Gaines, R., Mawhin, J.: *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin (1977)
23. Tang, X., Xiao, L.: Homoclinic solutions for ordinary  $p$ -Laplacian systems with a coercive potential. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 1124–1132 (2009)
24. Du, B., Lu, S.: On the existence of periodic solutions to a  $p$ -Laplacian equation. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **40**, 253–266 (2009)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)

---