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#### Abstract

In this paper, using variational method, we study the existence of an infinite number of solutions (some are positive, some are negative, and others are sign-changing) for a non-homogeneous elliptic Kirchhoff equation with a nonlinear reaction term.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following nonlocal equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-M\left(x,\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.u\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \\
M(x, t)=a(x)+b(x) t, \quad\|u\|=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $a, b \in C^{\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}), \gamma \in(0,1), a(x) \geq a_{0}>0, b(x) \geq 0$. Problem (1.1) is the steady-state problem associated with

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-M\left(x,\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f, & (x, t) \in(0,+\infty) \times \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\ u=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$
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which is an open problem proposed by Lions [25] as a generalization of

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f, & (x, t) \in(0,+\infty) \times \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0,+\infty) \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $M(t)=a+b t$ with $a>0$ and $b>0$. In [15, 24], the authors noted that Problem (1.2) models small vertical vibrations of an elastic string with fixed ends when the density of the material is not constant. When $M(x, t)$ is independent of $x$, Problem (1.1) can be simplified to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
\left.u\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The steady-state problem (1.4) associated with Problem (1.3) has received a lot of attention in the literature (usually using variational methods); see [2, 3, 10, 14, 16, 20, 22, 23, 29, 3340] and the references therein.
There are many papers in the literature on sign-changing solutions for Dirichlet problems; see $[4,5,7,12,19,21]$ and their references. In [43], Zhang and Perera obtained signchanging solutions for a class of Problem (1.4) using variational methods and invariant sets of descent flow; in [31] using minimax methods and invariant sets of descent flow, Mao and Zhang established the existence of sign-changing solutions; and in [35] combining the constraint variational method and the quantitative deformation lemma, Shuai proved that Problem (1.4) possesses one least energy sign-changing solution. Other results on the existence of sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff equations can be found in [5, 9, 28, 30, 37] and their references.
Since $M(x, t)$ is dependent on $x$ in Problem (1.1), the variational approach cannot be used to discuss it in a direct way, and fixed point theory and the Galerkin method were used to establish existence in [33] and [38]. In [15], Figueiredo et al. established the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution of Problem (1.1) via bifurcation theory, and in [17], Huy and Quan considered a generalization of Problem (1.1)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-M\left(x,\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=\lambda f(x, u, \nabla u)-g(x, u, \nabla u), \quad x \in \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and established existence results for both non-degenerate and degenerate cases of the function $M$ using the fixed point index theory. We note, to the best of our knowledge, that there are no results in the literature on the existence of a sign-changing solution for Problem (1.1). In this paper (motivated by [21]) using the steepest descent method for gradient mappings of the isoperimetric variational problem (see [6]) and the method of invariant sets of descending flow in critical point theory (see [27]), we establish the existence of an infinite number of solutions (some are positive, some are negative, and others are sign-changing). Some ideas come from [18] and [42].

## 2 Main result

In this section, we suppose that $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $f: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous;
(2) $f(x, t) t \geq 0$ and $f(x, t) \not \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \times(-\delta, 0) \cup \Omega \times(0, \delta)$;
(3) $|f(x, t)| \leq c_{1}|t|^{p}+c_{2}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, 1 \leq p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $1 \leq p<+\infty$ if $N=1$ and $N=2$.
Let $A:=\mathbb{N}$ in our main result. The main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose thatf satisfies (1), (2), and (3). Then Problem (1.1) has an infinite number of positive solutions $\left\{u_{1, \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$, an infinite number of negative solutions $\left\{u_{2, \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$, and an infinite number of sign-changing solutions $\left\{u_{3, \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$.

First we establish the following lemma for Problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.1 Problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution if and only if there exists $r>0$ such that the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda \frac{1}{M\left(x, r^{2}\right)} f(x, u), & x \in \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

has a nontrivial solution $u$ with $\|u\|=r$.

Proof Sufficiency. There exists $r>0$ such that Problem (2.1) has a nontrivial solution $u$ with $\|u\|=r$, and so $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda \frac{1}{M\left(x, r^{2}\right)} f(x, u)=\lambda \frac{1}{M\left(x,\|u\|^{2}\right)} f(x, u), & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $u$ is a nontrivial solution of Problem (1.1).
Necessity. Problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $u$. Let $r=\|u\|>0$. Then $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda \frac{1}{M\left(x,\|u\|^{2}\right)} f(x, u)=\lambda \frac{1}{M\left(x, r^{2}\right)} f(x, u), & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial u\end{cases}
$$

that is, $u$ is a nontrivial solution of Problem (2.1) with $\|u\|=r$.
The proof is completed.

For given $r>0$, set

$$
S_{r}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x=r^{2}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{S}_{r}=S_{r} \cap C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

From Lemma 2.1, we only consider the existence of a nontrivial solution of Problem (2.1) in $S_{r}$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x, u)=\frac{1}{M(x, r)} f(x, u), \quad G(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} g(x, t) d t, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& \Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u(x)) d x, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
F=\left.\Psi\right|_{S_{r}}, \quad \bar{F}=\left.F\right|_{\bar{S}_{r}} .
$$

Note that

$$
F^{\prime}(u)=\Psi^{\prime}(u)-\frac{\left(\Psi^{\prime}(u), u\right)}{\|u\|^{2}} u=-T(u) u-K \mathbb{G}(u),
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ given by $(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x, K=(-\triangle)^{-1}$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition, $\mathbb{G}$ is the Nemitskii operator induced by $g$ and

$$
T(u)=\frac{\left(\Psi^{\prime}(u), u\right)}{r^{2}}
$$

From condition (2), we have ( $\left.\Psi^{\prime}(u), u\right)<0$ for all $u \in S_{r}$ (see Lemma 1.0 in [19]), and we know that the solutions of Problem (2.1) correspond to the critical points of $f$.
In order to discuss Problem (2.1), for $r>0$, we let (here $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}(x, t)= \begin{cases}f(x, t), & \text { if }|t| \leq n, \\
f(x, n)+t-n, & \text { if } t>n, \\
f(x,-n)+t+n, & \text { if } t<-n,\end{cases}  \tag{2.2}\\
& g_{n}(x, t)=\frac{1}{M\left(x, r^{2}\right)} f_{n}(x, t),  \tag{2.3}\\
& G_{n}(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} g_{n}(x, t) d t, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda g_{n}(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{2.5}\\
\left.u\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let

$$
\Psi_{n}(u)=-\int_{\Omega} G_{n}(x, u(x)) d x, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\Psi_{n}\left|S_{r}, \quad \bar{F}_{n}=F_{n}\right|_{\bar{S}_{r}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{\prime}(u)=\Psi_{n}^{\prime}(u)-\frac{\left(\Psi_{n}^{\prime}(u), u\right)}{\|u\|^{2}} u=-T_{n}(u) u-K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ is the corresponding Nemitskii operator to $g_{n}$ and

$$
T_{n}(u)=\frac{\left(\Psi_{n}^{\prime}(u), u\right)}{r^{2}}
$$

From the definition of $F_{n}$ in (2.6), we know that the solutions of Problem (2.5) correspond to the critical points of $F_{n}$.

From the definition of $g_{n}$ and conditions (1), (2), and (3), it is easy to see that $g_{n}$ also satisfies (1), (2), and (3) uniformly with respect to $n$ and
(1)' there exists $L_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\left(x, t_{1}\right)-g_{n}\left(x, t_{2}\right)\right| \leq L_{n}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need the following results later.
Lemma 2.2 (see [1]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and suppose that $\partial \Omega$ is $C^{1}$. Assume that $N<p \leq+\infty$ and $u \in W^{k+1, p}(\Omega)$. Then there is $u^{*} \in C^{k, \gamma}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $u(x)=u^{*}(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{C^{k, \gamma}} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{k+1, p}}
$$

here the constant $C$ depends only on $p, N$, and $\Omega$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [13]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a $C^{1}$ boundary. Assume that $u \in W^{k, p}(\Omega)$.
(1) If

$$
k<\frac{n}{p},
$$

then $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)$, where

$$
\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{k}{N} .
$$

Also

$$
\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{k, p}(\Omega)} ;
$$

here the constant $C$ depends only on $k, p, N$, and $\Omega$.
(2) If

$$
k>\frac{n}{p},
$$

then $u \in C^{k-\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]-1, \gamma}(\bar{\Omega})$, where

$$
\gamma= \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]+1-\frac{n}{p},} & \text { if } \frac{n}{p} \text { is not an integer }, \\ \text { any positive number }<1, & \text { if } \frac{n}{p} \text { is an integer } .\end{cases}
$$

Also

$$
\|u\|_{C^{k-\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]-1, \gamma}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{k}, p(\Omega)} ;
$$

here the constant $C$ depends only on $k, p, N$, and $\Omega$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [11]) Let $p, 1 \leq p \leq p_{0}=(N+2) /(N-2)$ (so that $2 \leq p+1 \leq 2^{*}$ ), and let $\beta=\left(2^{*} / N\right)\left(2^{*}-(p+1)\right)$. Then, for each $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq c\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p+1-\gamma}\|u\|_{2}^{\gamma}
$$

for all $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. (Here and henceforth $\|u\|_{p}$ denotes the norm of $u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$.)

Lemma 2.5 (see [8]) Let $X$ be a Banach space and $F$ be a closed subset in X. Assume that $V: X \rightarrow Y$ is locally continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{d(u+h V(u), F)}{h}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \partial F$, where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance on $X$. If $u_{0} \in F$ and $\sigma(t)\left(0 \leq t<\omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \sigma}{d t}=V(\sigma) \\
\sigma\left(0, u_{0}\right)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $\sigma(t) \in F$ for all $t \in\left[0, \omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$.

For each $n$, we consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \sigma}{d t}=-F_{n}^{\prime}(\sigma)=T_{n}(\sigma) \sigma+K \mathbb{G}_{n}(\sigma), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{2.10}\\
\sigma\left(0, u_{0}\right)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $u_{0} \in S_{r}$, where $F_{n}^{\prime}$ is defined in (2.7). Since (1)', (2), and (3) hold, we have the following.

Lemma 2.6 (see [32]) Let $c<b<0$. For every $u \in F_{n}^{-1}([c, b])$, if $\sigma_{n}(t, u)$ is a solution of Problem (2.10) in $[0,+\infty$ ) (see step 3 in Lemma 2.7), then either there is a unique $t(u) \in$ $[0,+\infty)$ such that $F_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}(t(u), u)\right)=c$ or there is a critical point $v$ of $F_{n}$ in $F_{n}^{-1}([c, b])$ such that $\sigma_{n}(t, u) \rightarrow v$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 2.7 Under conditions (1), (2), and (3), Problem (2.10) has a unique solution $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ on $[0,+\infty)$, which satisfies:
(i) $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in S_{r}$ for all $u_{0} \in S_{r} ; \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in \overline{S_{r}}$ for all $u_{0} \in \overline{S_{r}}$;
(ii) there exists $u_{n} \in S_{r}$ such that $\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right) \stackrel{H_{0}^{1}}{=} u_{n}$ for $u_{0} \in S_{r}$;
(iii) if $u_{0} \in \bar{S}_{r}$, then $u_{n} \in \bar{S}_{r}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \stackrel{C_{0}^{1}}{=} u_{n}$.

Proof The proof is divided into six steps.
Step 1. We show that $F_{n}^{\prime}(u)=-T_{n}(u) u-K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous with respect to $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, that is, there is $M>0$ such that

$$
\left\|F_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-F_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \leq M\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in S_{r} .
$$

Let $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$. From (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}} & =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g_{n}\left(x, u_{1}\right)-g_{n}\left(x, u_{2}\right)\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} L_{n}^{2^{*}}\left|u_{2}(x)-u_{1}(x)\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}} \\
& =L_{n}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ is globally Lipschitz in the $L^{2^{*}}$ topology. Note that

$$
H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}(\Omega) \stackrel{\mathbb{G}}{\hookrightarrow} L^{2^{*}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{K} H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),
$$

$K$ is a bounded linear operator, and so

$$
\left\|K \mathbb{G}\left(u_{1}\right)-K \mathbb{G}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \bar{L}_{n}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|
$$

for some positive constant $\bar{L}_{n}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right| & \left.\left.=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right)-\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\right)\left(u_{2}\right), u_{2}\right) \mid \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r} \bar{L}_{n}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left\|K \mathbb{G}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right) u_{1}-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right) u_{2}\right\| \leq\left|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right|\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right|\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\| .
$$

Since $\left\|K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u)\right\|$ is bounded in $S_{r}$, so $T_{n}(u)$ is bounded also. Thus $F_{n}^{\prime}(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous.

Step 2. We show that $F_{n}^{\prime}(u)=-T_{n}(u) u-K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous with respect to $C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, that is, there is $\bar{M}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|F_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-F_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}} \leq \bar{M}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}}, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in \overline{S_{r}} .
$$

Let $l>N$. From (2.8), we have

$$
\left\|G_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-G_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{l}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g_{n}\left(x, u_{1}\right)-g_{n}\left(x, u_{2}\right)\right|^{l} d x\right)^{1 / l} \leq L_{n}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{l}}
$$

i.e., $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ is globally Lipschitz in the $L^{l}(\Omega)$ topology. Note that

$$
C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow L^{l}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}} L^{l}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{K} W^{2, l}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{2, l}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}),
$$

$K$ is a bounded linear operator, so there exists $\bar{L}_{n}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}} \leq \bar{L}_{n}^{\prime}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}} .
$$

Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\left.\quad=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right)-\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\right)\left(u_{2}\right), u_{2}\right) \mid \\
& \left.\left.\quad=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right)-\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}\right)+\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}\right)-\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\right)\left(u_{2}\right), u_{2}\right) \mid \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left|\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}\right)+\left(K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{r} \bar{L}_{n}^{\prime}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left\|K \mathbb{G}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right) u_{1}-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right) u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}} \leq\left|T_{n}\left(u_{1}\right)-T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right|\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{2}\right)\right|\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}} .
$$

Since $\left\|K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u)\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}}$ is bounded in $\bar{S}_{r}$, so $T_{n}(u)$ is bounded also. Thus $F_{n}^{\prime}(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous.

Step 3. We show that Problem (2.10) has a unique solution $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ with maximal interval $[0,+\infty)$ for $u_{0} \in S_{r}$ and $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in S_{r}$ for all $t \in[0,+\infty)$.

The theory of Cauchy problems of ordinary differential equations together with step 1 implies that (2.10) has a unique solution $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ with maximal interval $\left[0, \omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$ for $u_{0} \in S_{r}$. Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=e^{-w(t)}\left\{u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{w(s)} K \mathbb{G}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s\right\} \\
& \text { where } w(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} T_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} / d t \equiv 0$ for all $t \in\left[0, \omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$, we have $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in S_{r}$ for $t \in\left[0, \omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$ if $u_{0} \in S_{r}$.

Also, since $g_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}$ if $u_{0} \in S_{r}$, then $\omega_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)=+\infty$ (see [32]).
Step 4. We show that Problem (2.10) has a unique solution $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ with maximal inter$\operatorname{val}[0,+\infty)$ for $u_{0} \in \bar{S}_{r}$ and $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in \bar{S}_{r}$ for all $t \in[0,+\infty)$.

Since step 2 holds, the proof of step 4 is similar to that of step 3, so we omit it.
Step 5. For $u_{0} \in S_{r}$, we show that there exists $u_{n} \in S_{r}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=u_{n} \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1} .
$$

First, since $F_{n}(u)<0$ for $u \in S_{r}$, choose $b=F_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. Since $S_{r}$ is bounded and weakly convergent closed and $F_{n}$ is weakly semi-continuous from below, we have $\inf _{u \in S_{r}} F_{n}(u)>$ $-\infty$. Let $c<\inf _{u \in S_{r}} F_{n}(u)$. Then $u_{0} \in F_{n}^{-1}([c, b])$. From Lemma 2.6, there exists $u_{n} \in S_{r}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=u_{n} \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1}
$$

Step 6. For $u_{0} \in \bar{S}_{r}$, there exists $u_{n} \in \bar{S}_{r}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=u_{n} \quad \text { in } C_{0}^{1}
$$

Using the proof of step 5, step 2 guarantees the conclusion is true.
Let $P$ be the positive cone in $C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ be the interior set of $P$. The elements of $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ are called positive and the elements of $-\stackrel{P}{P}$ are called negative.

Lemma 2.8 Under condition (1) and (2), the flow in Lemma 2.7 has the following properties:

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in \pm \stackrel{\circ}{P} \quad \text { for } u_{0} \in \pm \stackrel{\circ}{P} \cap \bar{S}_{r} \text { and } t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

Proof The proof follows the ideas in Lemma 1 and 6 in [26].
(1) We show that $K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{0}\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ for $u_{0} \in P-\{\theta\}$.

Let $v=K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)$, and we have

$$
-\Delta v=g_{n}\left(x, u_{0}\right) \geq \not \equiv 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,\left.v\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
$$

The strong maximum principle implies that $v \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$.
(2) We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \quad \text { for } u_{0} \in P \cap \bar{S}_{r}, \text { and } t>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\forall u \in P$, choose $\delta>0$ small such that, for all $\delta>h>0$, we have

$$
u+h\left(\left(T_{n}(u) u+K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u)\right)=\left(1+h T_{n}(u)\right) u+h K \mathbb{G}_{n}(u) \in P,\right.
$$

i.e., (2.9) is satisfied. Now Lemma 2.5 guarantees that the solution $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ of the initial value problem (2.10) satisfies $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in P$ for all $t \in[0,+\infty)$ (in fact $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in P \cap \overline{S_{r}}$ since $u_{0} \in P \cap \overline{S_{r}}$ ). Hence (as in (1)) (2.11) holds.
(3) We show that

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \quad \text { for } u_{0} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \cap \bar{S}_{r} \text { and } t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

Let $w(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} T_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s$. We have $w^{\prime}(t)>0, w(t)>0$, and $w(t)$ is strictly increasing. Let $w^{-1}(t)$ be the inverse function of $w(t)$. It follows from (2.11), for $u_{0} \in P \cap \bar{S}_{r}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1 / w^{\prime}(t)\right) K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A(t)=\left(1 / w^{\prime}(t)\right) K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sigma\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)$ and $E_{t}=\{A(s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Note that $E_{t}$ is a compact set in $C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and (2.12) implies that $E_{t} \subseteq \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and hence $\overline{c o} E_{t} \subseteq \stackrel{\circ}{P}$, where $\overline{c o} E_{t}$ is the closed convex set hull of $E_{t}$ in $C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{e^{w(t)}-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{w(s)} K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s & =\frac{1}{e^{w(t)}-1} \int_{1}^{e^{w(t)}} \frac{K \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(w^{-1}(\ln (s)), u_{0}\right)\right)}{w^{\prime}\left(w^{-1}(\ln (s))\right)} d s \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\left(w^{-1}\left(\ln \left(1+\frac{i}{m}\left(e^{w(t)}-1\right)\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{e^{w(t)}-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{w(t)} K \mathbb{G}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s \in \overline{c o} F_{t} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}
$$

and this together with

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=e^{-w(t)}\left\{u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{w(s)} K \mathbb{G}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right) d s\right\}, \quad t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

and

$$
e^{-w(t)}=\left(1-e^{-w(t)}\right) \frac{1}{e^{w(t)}-1}
$$

yields

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \quad \text { for } u_{0} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \cap \bar{S}_{r} \text { and } t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

For the case $u_{0} \in(-\stackrel{\circ}{P})$, the proof is similar, so we omit it.
The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.9 Under conditions (1), (2), and (3), Problem (2.5) has at least one positive solution $u_{1, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap P$, one negative solution $u_{2, n} \in \bar{S} \cap(-P)$, and one sign-changing solution $u_{3, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap\left(C_{0}^{1}-(-P \cup P)\right)$.

Proof Let $e_{1}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem: $-\Delta u=\lambda u$ in $\Omega,\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, e_{2}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the second one with $\left\|e_{1}\right\|=\left\|e_{2}\right\|=r$. Let $\Lambda=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap S_{r}$. Note that $\Psi_{n}(u)<0$ for each $n>0$ if $u \not \equiv 0$ and

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\cos \theta e_{1}+\sin \theta e_{2}: 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\right\}
$$

is a compact set in $S_{r}$. Then there exists $\alpha_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\Psi_{n}(u): u \in \Lambda\right\}=\max \{\Psi(u): u \in \Lambda\}<-\alpha_{n} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\Lambda^{ \pm}=\left\{u \in \Lambda: \sigma_{n}(t, u) \in \pm \stackrel{\circ}{P} \text { for some } t>0\right\}
$$

(1) We show that $\Lambda^{ \pm} \neq \emptyset$.

Since $e_{1} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap \stackrel{\circ}{P},-e_{1} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap(-\stackrel{P}{P})$, Lemma 2.8 guarantees that $\sigma_{n}\left(t, e_{1}\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $\sigma_{n}\left(t,-e_{1}\right) \in$ $(-\stackrel{P}{P})$ for $t \in[0,+\infty)$. Therefore, $\Lambda^{ \pm} \neq \emptyset$.
(2) We show that Problem (2.5) has at least one positive solution $u_{1, n}$ and one negative solution $u_{2, n}$.
Consider $\sigma_{n}\left(t, e_{1}\right), t \in[0,+\infty)$. Lemma 2.7 guarantees that there exists $u_{1, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap P$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, e_{1}\right) \stackrel{C_{0}^{1}}{=} u_{1, n}
$$

and $u_{1, n}$ is a critical point of $F_{n}$ in $\bar{S}_{r} \cap P$. Then $u_{1, n}$ is a solution of Problem (2.5) and $u_{1, n} \in \bar{S}_{r}$. By using the strong maximum principle, we have $u_{1, n} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$.

For $\sigma_{n}\left(t,-e_{1}\right), t \in[0,+\infty)$, a similar argument to that of $\sigma_{n}\left(t, e_{1}\right)$ shows that there exists $u_{2, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap(-\stackrel{\circ}{P})$ such that $u_{2, n}$ is a solution of Problem (2.5).
(3) We show that Problem (2.5) has at least one sign-changing solution $u_{3, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap\left(C_{0}^{1}-\right.$ $(P \cup(-P)))$.

From the proof of step $2, e_{1} \in \Lambda^{+},-e_{1} \in \Lambda^{-}$. Note that both $\Lambda^{+}$and $\lambda^{-}$are open sets of $\Lambda$ since $\sigma_{n}(t, u)$ depends continuously on $u$ (see [32]). From Lemma 2.8, we have $\Lambda^{+} \cap \Lambda^{-}=\emptyset$, and the connectedness of $\Lambda$ implies that there is $u_{0} \in \Lambda-\left(\Lambda^{+} \cup \Lambda^{-}\right)$. By Lemma 2.7, $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow u_{3, n}$, a critical point of $F_{n}$, in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Then $u_{3, n}$ is a solution of Problem (2.5) and $u_{3, n} \in \bar{S}_{r}$. From (iii) of Lemma 2.7, we have that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)=u_{3, n}$ in the $C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$-topology. Therefore $u_{3, n} \notin \stackrel{\circ}{P} \cap(-\stackrel{\circ}{P})$ since $\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right) \notin \stackrel{\circ}{P} \cap(-\stackrel{\circ}{P})$. Then $u_{3, n} \notin$ $P \cap(-P)$ by using the strong maximum principle. Hence $u_{3, n}$ changes its sign in $\Omega$. Also $\Psi_{n}\left(u_{3, n}\right)<\Psi_{n}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)<-\alpha_{n}, \forall t \in[0,+\infty)$ since $\sigma_{n}$ is a negative descent flow.

Proof (Theorem 2.1) We only prove the existence of sign-changing solutions of Problem (1.1) since the proofs of the existence of positive solutions and negative solutions are similar, so we omit them.

From Lemma 2.9, for given $r>0$, Problem (2.5) has at least one sign-changing solution $u_{3, n}$ with $u_{3, n} \in \bar{S}_{r} \cap\left(C_{0}^{1}-(P \cup(-P))\right)$, where $\lambda_{3, n}^{-1}=\int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right) u_{n} d x / r^{2}>0$ and $g_{n}$ is defined in (2.3) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(1) We first prove that $\left\{\lambda_{3, n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Since $\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}$ is bounded in the $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ topology, we may assume that it converges weakly to $u^{*}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then $u_{3, n} \rightarrow u^{*}$ in $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ since $1 \leq p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$. There exists a number $c>0$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}(x, t)\right| \leq c\left(1+|t|^{p}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|G_{n}(x, t)\right| \leq c\left(1+|t|^{p+1}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{n}$ is defined in (2.4).
From Lemma A. 1 in [41], there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}$, denoted also by $\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}$, and there exists $h \in L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u^{*}$ a.e. in $\Omega,\left|u^{*}(x)\right| \leq h(x),\left|u_{3, n}(x)\right| \leq h(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$. From the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} G_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u^{*}\right) d x \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n>k_{0}=\max \left\{\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}: u \in \Lambda\right\}$. By the definitions of $\Psi_{n}$ and $\Psi$, if $n>k_{0}$, we have $\Psi_{n}(u)=\Psi(u)$ for $u \in \Lambda$.
From (2.2), (2.13), there exists a positive constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
-\Psi_{n}(u)=\int_{\Omega} G_{n}(x, u) d x=-\Psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x>\alpha>0 \quad \text { for } u \in \Lambda, n>k_{0}
$$

Since $u_{3, n}$ is obtained along a descending flow, it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega} G_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} G_{n}\left(x, \sigma\left(0, u_{0, n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} G_{n}\left(x, u_{0, n}\right) d x>\alpha>0, \quad \forall n>k_{0}
$$

for some $u_{0, n} \in \Lambda$, where $\sigma\left(t, u_{0, n}\right)$ is a solution of Problem (2.5). From (2.15), we have $\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u^{*}\right) d x>0$. Hence $u^{*} \not \equiv 0$. Similar to (2.15), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right) u_{3, n} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u^{*}\right) u^{*} d x \triangleq 2 \beta>0
$$

Thus $0<\lambda_{3, n}=\frac{r^{2}}{\int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right) u_{n} d x / r^{2}}<r^{2} / \beta$ for $n$ large enough.
(2) We prove that $\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}$ is bounded in the $C_{0}^{1}$-topology.

Choose a sequence of numbers $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
q_{1}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}<q_{2}<\cdots<q_{m-1}<q_{m}=2 N p
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{q_{i+1}} \geq \frac{p}{q_{i}}-\frac{2}{N}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m-1
$$

Let $p_{i}=q_{i} / p(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$. From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{q_{1}}(\Omega) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.14), one has (note $p_{1} p=q_{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\lambda_{3, n} \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{3, n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right)\right|^{p_{1}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \leq \frac{2 c}{\beta}\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}+\left\|u_{3, n}\right\|_{L^{q_{1}}}^{p}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$. Since $K$ is a bounded linear operator, one has together with Lemma 2.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{p_{1}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{K} W^{2, p_{1}}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q_{2}}(\Omega) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.16), (2.17), and (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}_{n \geq k} \subseteq L^{q_{2}}(\Omega) \text { is bounded, for large } k \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the progress of (2.17), (2.18), and (2.19) for $i=2,3, \ldots, m$, we have

$$
\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}_{n \geq k} \subseteq L^{q_{m}}(\Omega) \text { is bounded, for large } k
$$

We have (note $p_{m} p=q_{m}$ )

$$
\left\|\lambda_{3, n} \mathbb{G}_{n}\left(u_{3, n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{m}}} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g_{n}\left(x, u_{3, n}\right)\right|^{p_{m}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{m}}} \leq \frac{2 c}{\beta}\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p_{m}}}+\left\|u_{3, n}\right\|_{L^{q_{m}}}^{p}\right)
$$

for large $n$, which together with boundedness of the linear operator $K$ guarantees that

$$
\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}_{n \geq k} \subseteq W^{2, p_{m}} \cap W_{0}^{1, p_{m}} \text { is bounded, for large } k
$$

Now Lemma 2.2 implies (note $p_{m}=2 N>N$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{3, n}\right\}_{n \geq k} \subseteq W^{2, p_{m}} \cap W_{0}^{1, p_{m}} \hookrightarrow C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \text { is bounded, } \quad \text { for large } k \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) We consider sign-changing solutions of Problem (1.1) in $S_{r}$.

From (2.20), set $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{3, n}\right\|_{C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq L, \quad n \in\{1,2, \ldots\} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $n_{0}>L$. From the definitions of $f_{n_{0}}$ and $g_{n_{0}}$ in (2.2) and (2.3), we have together with (2.21) that

$$
f_{n_{0}}\left(x, u_{3, n_{0}}(x)\right)=f\left(x, u_{3, n_{0}}(x)\right), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

and

$$
g_{n_{0}}\left(x, u_{3, n_{0}}(x)\right)=\frac{1}{M\left(x, r^{2}\right)} f\left(x, u_{3, n_{0}}(x)\right), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},
$$

which implies that $u_{3, n_{0}}$ is a sign-changing solution of Problem (2.1) with

$$
\lambda=r^{2} / \int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{3, n_{0}}(x)\right) u_{3, n_{0}}(x) d x .
$$

For $r>0$ given above, write $u_{3, r}(x)=u_{3, n_{0}}(x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Lemma 2.1 guarantees that $u_{3, r}$ is a sign-changing solution of Problem (1.1) with

$$
\lambda=r^{2} / \int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{3, r}(x)\right) u_{3, r}(x) d x
$$

Similarly, we obtain a set $\left\{u_{1, r}\right\}_{r \in A}$ of positive solutions of Problem (1.1) and a set $\left\{u_{2, r}\right\}_{r \in A}$ of negative solutions of Problem (1.1).

The proof is completed.
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