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#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of Super-linear fourth-order differential equations with several sub-linear neutral terms using the Riccati and generalized Riccati transformations. Some Kamenev-Philos-type oscillation criteria are established. New oscillation criteria are deduced in both canonical and non-canonical cases. An illustrative example is given.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to discuss the oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of superlinear fourth-order neutral differential equations of the type,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(t, x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(t)=x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) x^{\alpha_{j}}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right), m, n$ are positive integers, and $\alpha_{j}, \gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers and $0<\alpha_{j} \leq 1, \gamma \geq 1$, under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} d t=\infty, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} d t<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume the following assumptions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}\right) r(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), r^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 ; \\
& \left.\left(A_{2}\right) a_{j}(t), \sigma_{j}(t), \tau_{i}(t) \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \sigma_{j}(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{j}(t)=\infty ;
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]$\left(A_{3}\right)$ there exists a function $\tau \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R\right)$ such that $\tau(t) \leq \tau_{i}(t)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, $\tau(t) \leq t, \tau^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty ;$
$\left(A_{4}\right) \quad 0 \leq a_{j}(t) \leq a_{0 j}(t), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{0 j}(t)<1, f_{i}(t, x) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times R, R\right)$ satisfy $x f_{i}(t, x)>0$ for all $x \neq 0$, and there exist positive continuous functions $q_{i}(t)$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that $\left|f_{i}(t, x)\right| \geq q_{i}(t)|x|^{\gamma}$.
By a solution of (1.1), we mean a nontrivial real function $x(t)$ such that $r(t)([x(t)+$ $\left.\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) x^{\alpha_{j}}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\gamma}$ is continuously differentiable satisfying (1.1) for any $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$.

A solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Oscillation phenomena take part in different models from real-world applications; see, e.g., paper [8] for more details. In the last three decades, there has been considerable interest in studying the oscillation of solutions of several kinds of differential equations [1-$5,7,8,10-20,22-24,26-39]$. The half-linear equations have numerous applications in the study of $p$-Laplace equations, non-Newtonian fluid theory, porous medium, etc.; see, e.g., papers [ $6,21,25$ ] for more details. In particular, papers [11, 24] were concerned with the oscillation of various classes of half-linear differential equations, whereas the papers [3-5, 7, 10, 20, 26, 38] were concerned with the oscillatory behavior of the fourth-order differential equation (1.1) and its special cases. In what follows, we briefly comment on a number of closely related results which motivated our work. The authors in [3, 4, 26] discussed in their recent papers, the special case of (1.1) of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left([x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t))]^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}(\delta(t))=0 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the condition (1.2), Dassios and Bazighifan in [10] discussed the oscillation of the same equation under condition (1.3). In [20], Li et al. studied the oscillatory behavior of a class of fourth-order differential equations with the $p$-Laplacian-like operator of the type,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_{i}(t)\left|x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right|^{p-2} x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(t)=x(t)+a(t) x(\sigma(t))$. Under the condition $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{p-2}}(t)} d t<\infty$, they used the Riccati transformation and integral averaging technique and presented a Kamenev-type oscillation criterion.

More recently, Bazighifan et al. [5] studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the fourth-order neutral differential equation with the continuously distributed delay of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left([x(t)+p(t) x(\phi(t))]^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, \theta) x^{\beta}(\delta(t, \theta)) d \theta=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are quotients of odd positive integers, and $\beta \geq \alpha$ under the condition (1.2).

## 2 Preliminaries

The following preliminary results will be needed for our proofs.

Lemma 1 ([9]) Let $h>0$. Then

$$
h^{\alpha} \leq \alpha h+(1-\alpha), \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1
$$

Lemma 2 ([28]) Let $z(t)$ be a positive and n-times differentiable function on an interval $[T, \infty)$ with non-positive nth derivative $z^{(n)}(t)$ on $[T, \infty)$, which is not identically zero on any interval of the form $\left[T^{\prime}, \infty\right), T^{\prime} \geq T$ and such that $z^{(n-1)}(t) z^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$. Then, there exist constants $0<\theta<1$ and $N>0$ such that $z^{\prime}(\theta t) \geq N t^{n-2} z^{(n-1)}(t)$ for all sufficient large $t$.

Lemma 3 ([26]) Let $z^{(n)}(t)$ be of fixed sign and $z^{(n-1)}(t) z^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) \neq 0$, then for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$, there exists $t_{\lambda} \geq t$ such that $z(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} \times$ $\left|z^{(n-1)}(t)\right|$ for $t \geq t_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 4 ([2]) Let $\alpha$ is a ratio of two odd numbers. Suppose that $U, V$ are constants with $V>0$. Then, $U Y-V Y^{\frac{(\gamma+1)}{\gamma}} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{U^{\gamma+1}}{V^{\gamma}}$.

Lemma 5 Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, and there exists a positive decreasing function $\delta(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ tending to zero such that $\theta\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ where $\theta(t)=1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} a_{j}(t)-\frac{1}{\delta(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right) a_{j}(t)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime} \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.1). Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)>0$ and $x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Now from the definition of $z$, we have

$$
x(t)=z(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) x^{\alpha_{j}}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right) \geq z(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) z^{\alpha_{j}}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right) \geq z(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) z^{\alpha_{j}}(t)
$$

Then, by Lemma 1, we have

$$
x(t) \geq\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} a_{j}(t)\right) z(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right) a_{j}(t)
$$

Now since $z(t)$ is positive and increasing, and $\delta(t)$ is a positive decreasing function tending to zero, then there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $z(t) \geq \delta(t)$, and

$$
x(t) \geq\left[1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} a_{j}(t)-\frac{1}{\delta(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right) a_{j}(t)\right] z(t), \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} .
$$

That is $x(t) \geq \theta(t) z(t)$. Therefore, from (1.1), it follows that

$$
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime} \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t))
$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

The following two auxiliary results are very similar to those reported in [3] and [10].

Lemma 6 Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of (1.1). If (1.2) is satisfied, then there exists $t \geq t_{1}$ such that

$$
z(t)>0, \quad z^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0, \quad z^{(4)}(t)<0, \quad\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0
$$

Lemma 7 Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of (1.1). If (1.3) is satisfied, then there exist three possible cases for sufficiently large $t \geq t_{1}$
$\left(S_{1}\right) z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{(4)}(t) \leq 0 ;$
$\left(S_{2}\right) z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0$;
$\left(S_{3}\right) z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)<0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0$.

## 3 Main results

We first consider the case $R\left(t_{0}\right)=\infty$.

Theorem 8 If there exist $\eta(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), b(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), \zeta \in(0,1)$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s=\infty, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory, where $Q(t)=\eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)-\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}+\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) \times$ $r(t) \eta(t) b^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)$.

Proof Suppose for the contrary that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)>0$ and $x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Using Lemma 5, we obtain (2.1). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\eta(t)\left[\frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta \tau(t))}+r(t) b(t)\right], \quad \mathbf{t} \geq \mathbf{t}_{1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\psi(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)+\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}+\eta(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta \tau(t))} \\
& -\eta(t) \frac{\gamma \zeta r(t) \tau^{\prime}(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(\zeta \tau(t))}{z^{\gamma+1}(\zeta \tau(t))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)+\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}-\eta(t) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t))}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta \tau(t))} \\
& -\eta(t) \frac{\gamma \zeta r(t) \tau^{\prime}(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(\zeta \tau(t))}{z^{\gamma+1}(\zeta \tau(t))}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$
z^{\prime}(\zeta \tau(t)) \geq \epsilon \tau^{2}(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(\tau(t)) \geq \epsilon \tau^{2}(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)
$$

However, since $z(t)$ is increasing, then $z(\tau(t)) \geq z(\zeta \tau(t))$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)+\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}-\eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) \\
& -\eta(t) \frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon r(t) \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{z^{\alpha+1}(\zeta \tau(t))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since from (3.2), we have

$$
\frac{z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{z(\zeta \tau(t))}=\frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}\left[\frac{\psi(t)}{\eta(t)}-[r(t) b(t)]\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)+\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}-\eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) \\
& -\gamma \zeta \in \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) \frac{\eta(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}\left(\frac{\psi(t)}{\eta(t)}-[r(t) b(t)]\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

As in [35], we use the inequality

$$
M^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}-(M-N)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq N^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) M-\frac{1}{\gamma} N\right], \quad M N \geq 0, \gamma \geq 1
$$

with

$$
M=\frac{\psi(t)}{\eta(t)} \quad \text { and } \quad N=r(t) b(t)
$$

to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\psi(t)}{\eta(t)}-[r(t) b(t)]\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \geq & {\left[\frac{\psi(t)}{\eta(t)}\right]^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}+\frac{1}{\gamma}[r(t) b(t)]^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} } \\
& -\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{[r(t) b(t)]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{\eta(t)} \psi(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using inequalities (3.3) and (3.4), for $t \geq T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)+\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}-\eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) \\
& +\gamma \zeta \in \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) \frac{\eta(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{[r(t) b(t)]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{\eta(t)} \psi(t)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\gamma}[r(t) b(t)]^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}-\frac{\psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}{\eta^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \eta(t)\left([r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right] \psi(t) \\
& -\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \eta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)-\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) r(t) \eta(t) b^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & -Q(t)+\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right] \psi(t) \\
& -\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \eta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t), \\
& V=\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \eta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\psi(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by Lemma 4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right] \psi(t)-\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \eta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma} r(t) \eta(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma^{\gamma}\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)\right]^{\gamma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q(t)+\frac{r(t) \eta(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)\right]^{\gamma}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.6) from $T$ to $t$, we get

$$
\int_{T}^{t}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \leq \psi(T),
$$

which contradicts (3.1), and this completes the proof.

The following result deals with the Kamenev-type oscillation for Eq. (1.1) under the condition (1.2).

Theorem 9 If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \\
& \quad=\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Define $\psi(t)$ as in (3.2). Then, following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 8, we arrive at (3.6). Multiplying (3.6) by $(t-s)^{n}$ and integrating the resulting inequality from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n} \psi^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& \quad \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

However, since

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n} \psi^{\prime}(s) d s=n \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n-1} \psi(s) d s-\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n} \psi\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

then from (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n} \psi\left(t_{0}\right)-n \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n-1} \psi(s) d s \\
& \quad \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{t-t_{0}}{t}\right)^{n} \psi\left(t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{n}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \\
& \quad \rightarrow \psi\left(t_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (3.7), and this completes the proof.

Now we are going to discuss the so called Philos-type oscillation criteria for Eq. (1.1) under condition (1.2), but we first outline the following definition.

Definition 10 Let $D=\left\{(t, s) \in R^{2}: t \geq s \geq t_{0}\right\}$ and $D_{0}=\left\{(t, s) \in R^{2}: t>s \geq t_{0}\right\}$. The functions $K_{i}(t, s) \in C(D, R), i=1,2$ are said to belong to the class $X$ (written $K_{i} \in X$ ) if they satisfy
(I) $K_{i}(t, t)=0$ for $t \geq t_{0}, K_{i}(t, s)>0,(t, s) \in D_{0}$
(II) $\frac{\partial K_{i}(t, s)}{\partial s} \leq 0$, and there exist $\rho(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $L_{i}(t, s) \in C(D, R)$ such that

$$
-\frac{\partial K_{1}(t, s)}{\partial s}=K_{1}(t, s)\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\right]+L_{1}(t, s),
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial K_{2}(t, s)}{\partial s}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} K_{2}(t, s)=\frac{L_{2}(t, s)}{\rho(t)}\left[K_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}
$$

Theorem 11 Assume that there exists a function $K_{1} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[K_{1}(t, s) Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\mid L_{1}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) K_{1}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s=\infty . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Now define $\psi(t)$ as in (3.2). Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 8, we arrive at (3.5). Multiplying (3.5) by $K_{1}(t, s)$ and integrating the resulting inequality from $T$ to $t$, we have

$$
\int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) Q(s) d s \leq \int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s)\left[-\psi^{\prime}(s)+A(s) \psi(s)-B(s) \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right] d s
$$

where

$$
A(t)=\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\eta(t)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t), \quad B(t)=\frac{\zeta \gamma \in \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \eta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) Q(s) d s \leq & K_{1}(t, T) \psi(T)+\int_{T}^{t}\left[\frac{\partial K_{1}(t, s)}{\partial s}+K_{1}(t, s) A(s)\right] \psi(s) d s \\
& -\int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) B(s) \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) d s \\
= & K_{1}(t, T) \psi(T)-\int_{T}^{t} L_{1}(t, s) \psi(s) d s-\int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) B(s) \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) d s \\
\leq & K_{1}(t, T) \psi(T)+\int_{T}^{t}\left[\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right| \psi(s)-K_{1}(t, s) B(s) \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $U=\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right|, V=K_{1}(t, s) B(s)$ and then using Lemma 4, we obtain

$$
\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right| \psi(s)-K_{1}(t, s) B(s) \psi^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right|^{\gamma+1}}{\left[K_{1}(t, s) B(s)\right]^{\gamma}} .
$$

Then,

$$
\int_{T}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) Q(s) d s \leq K_{1}(t, T) \psi(T)+\int_{T}^{t} \frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right|\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) K_{1}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma}} d s
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{1}{K_{1}(t, T)} \int_{T}^{t}\left[K_{1}(t, s) Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\left|L_{1}(t, s)\right|\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) K_{1}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \leq \psi(T)
$$

for all sufficiently large $t$, which contradicts (3.9).

Theorem 12 Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi_{1}^{*}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \phi_{2}(s)\left[\phi_{1}^{*}(s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} d s>\frac{\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right), \quad \phi_{2}(t)=\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \phi_{1}^{*}(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} \phi_{1}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq$ $t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)>0$ and $x\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Using Lemma 5 , we arrive at (2.1). Define

$$
\omega(t)=\frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta \tau(t))}
$$

Then, it is clear by (2.1) that

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t))}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta \tau(t))}-\frac{\gamma \zeta \tau^{\prime}(t) r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(\zeta \tau(t))}{z^{\gamma+1}(\zeta \tau(t))}
$$

Since, by Lemma 2, we have

$$
z^{\prime}(\zeta \tau(t)) \geq \epsilon \tau^{2}(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(\tau(t)) \geq \epsilon \tau^{2}(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)
$$

then

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)-\frac{\gamma \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{z^{\gamma+1}(\zeta \tau(t))}
$$

i.e.

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t)+\phi_{1}(t)+\phi_{2}(t) \omega^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}(t) \leq 0
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t$ to $l$, we get

$$
\omega(l)-\omega(t)+\int_{t}^{l} \phi_{1}(s) d s+\int_{t}^{l} \phi_{2}(s) \omega^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}(s) d s \leq 0 .
$$

Letting $l \rightarrow \infty$ and using the fact that $\omega(t)$ is positive and decreasing, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega(t)}{\phi_{1}^{*}(t)} \geq 1+\frac{1}{\phi_{1}^{*}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \phi_{2}(s)\left[\phi_{1}^{*}(s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}\left[\frac{\omega(s)}{\phi_{1}^{*}(s)}\right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} d s \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta=\inf _{t \geq T} \frac{\omega(t)}{\phi_{1}^{*}(t)}$. Then obviously $\delta \geq 1$, and by (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that

$$
\delta \geq 1+\gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}
$$

which contradicts the admissible values of $\delta \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

## 4 The case $R\left(t_{0}\right)<\infty$

Now we are going to discuss the oscillatory behavior of Eq. (1.1) under the condition (1.3). First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 13 Assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.1) and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(t)=\rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left[z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}}{\left[z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \vartheta(t)-\rho(t)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(t)\right]^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)-\frac{\gamma \vartheta^{\gamma+1}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)}, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Since $x$ is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.1) and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ holds, then using Lemma 5, we obtain (2.1). Now from Eq. (4.1), we see that $\vartheta(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, and

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \vartheta(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left[r(t)\left[z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}\right]^{\prime}}{\left[z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}}-\frac{\gamma \rho(t) r(t)\left[z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma+1}} .
$$

This with (2.1) and (4.1) leads to

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \vartheta(t)-\rho(t) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t))}{\left[z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}}-\frac{\gamma[\vartheta(t)]^{\gamma+1}}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)},
$$

i.e.

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \vartheta(t)-\rho(t) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right) z^{\gamma}(\tau(t))\left[z^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))\right]^{\gamma}}{\left[z^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))\right]^{\gamma}\left[z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\gamma}}-\frac{\gamma[\vartheta(t)]^{\gamma+1}}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} .
$$

Now since $z^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is decreasing, then it follows that $-\frac{z^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))}{z^{\prime \prime}(t)} \leq-1$. Consequently, by Lemma 3, we have $z(\tau(t)) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(t) z^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))$. Then

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \vartheta(t)-\rho(t)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(t)\right]^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)-\frac{\gamma[\vartheta(t)]^{\gamma+1}}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} .
$$

The proof is completed.
Theorem 14 Suppose that (3.9) holds, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[K_{2}(t, s) \rho(s)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(s) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(s)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{r(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \rho^{\gamma}(s)}\left[L_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma+1}\right] d s>0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} R(s) d s=\infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{u}^{\infty} R(s) d s d u=\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Suppose for the contrary that there exists a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)>0$ of (1.1). Then, we have one of the three possible cases of Lemma 7. We first assume that $\left(S_{1}\right)$ holds. Then by Theorem 11, if (3.9) holds, Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory. Secondly, if $\left(S_{2}\right)$ holds, then by Lemma 13, we get (4.2). Multiplying (4.2) by $K_{2}(t, s)$ and integrating from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) \rho(s)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(s) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(s)\right) d s \\
& \quad \leq K_{2}\left(t, t_{1}\right) \omega\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[\frac{\partial K_{2}(t, s)}{\partial s}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)} K_{2}(t, s)\right] \omega(s) d s-\gamma \int_{t_{1}}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) \frac{\omega^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}(s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)} d s \\
& \quad=K_{2}\left(t, t_{1}\right) \omega\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{L_{2}(t, s)}{\rho(s)}\left[K_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \omega(s) d s-\gamma \int_{t_{1}}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) \frac{\omega^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}(s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
V=\frac{\gamma K_{2}(t, s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)}, \quad U=\frac{L_{2}(t, s)}{\rho(s)}\left[K_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\omega(s) .
$$

Then, by Lemma 4, we have

$$
\frac{L_{2}(t, s)}{\rho(s)}\left[K_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \omega(s)-\frac{\gamma K_{2}(t, s) \omega^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}(s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\left[L_{2}(t, s)\right]^{(\gamma+1)} \frac{r(s)}{\rho^{\gamma}(s)} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[K_{2}(t, s) \rho(s)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(s) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(s)\right)-\frac{r(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \rho^{\gamma}(s)}\left[L_{2}(t, s)\right]^{\gamma+1}\right] d s \\
& \quad \leq K_{2}\left(t, t_{1}\right) \omega\left(t_{1}\right)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (4.3). Finally, assume the case $\left(S_{3}\right)$. Hence, since $r(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}$ is nonincreasing, then for $s \geq t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right) \leq r^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)\left(z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)
$$

Going through as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 case 1 in [20], we get a contradiction with (4.4) and (4.5), and so the proof is completed.

Remark 15 Theorem 14 remains true if we used (3.1), or (3.7), or (3.10) instead of (3.9).

## 5 Example

Example 16 Consider the fourth-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t\left[x(t)+\frac{1}{t^{3}} x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-2)+\frac{1}{t^{4}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}(t-3)\right]^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{3}{t} x(t)+\frac{1}{t^{3}} x(2 t)=0, \quad t \geq 2 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma=1, r(t)=t, a_{1}=\frac{1}{t^{3}}, a_{2}=\frac{1}{t^{4}}, \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{3}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{5}, q_{1}=\frac{3}{t}, q_{2}=\frac{1}{t^{3}}, \tau_{1}(t)=t, \tau_{2}(t)=2 t$. Let $\tau(t)=\frac{t}{2} \rightarrow \tau(t) \leq \tau_{i}(t), \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty, \tau^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}>0$. Therefore, the conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{5}\right)$ and (1.2) are satisfied. Choosing $\delta(t)=\frac{1}{t}$. Then $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\theta\left(\tau_{1}(t)\right)=\theta(t)=\left[1-\frac{2}{3 t^{2}}-\frac{17}{15 t^{3}}-\frac{1}{5 t^{4}}\right]>0$ for $t \geq 2$, and $\theta\left(\tau_{2}(t)\right)=\theta(2 t)=\left[1-\frac{1}{6 t^{2}}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{17}{120 t^{3}}-\frac{1}{80 t^{4}}\right]>0$ for $t \geq 2$. Choosing $\eta(t)=1, b(t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
Q(t) & =\eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(t) \theta^{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}(t)\right)-\eta(t)[r(t) b(t)]^{\prime}+\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(t) \tau^{2}(t) r(t) \eta(t) b^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{t}\left[\left(3+\frac{\zeta \epsilon}{8}\right)+\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{17}{5 t^{3}}-\frac{23}{30 t^{4}}-\frac{17}{120 t^{5}}-\frac{1}{80 t^{6}}\right],
\end{aligned} \\
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[Q(s)-\frac{r(s) \eta(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\eta(s)}+(\gamma+1) \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s) b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s)\right]^{\gamma+1}}{\left[\zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}(s) \tau^{2}(s)\right]^{\gamma}}\right] d s \\
& \\
& =\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{2}^{t} \frac{1}{s}\left[3+\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{s^{2}}-\frac{17}{5 s^{3}}-\frac{23}{30 s^{4}}-\frac{17}{120 s^{5}}-\frac{1}{80 s^{6}}\right] d s=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 8, every solution of (5.1) is oscillatory.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, we consider a general class of super-linear fourth-order differential equations with several sub-linear neutral terms of the type (1.1). Using the Riccati and generalized Riccati transformations, we establish new oscillation criteria in both cases of canonical
case $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} d t=\infty$ and non-canonical case $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} d t<\infty$. With the help of the methods given in this paper, we derive some the Kamenev-Philos-type oscillation criteria for (1.1). An illustrative example is given. For interested researchers, there is a good deal of finding new results for (1.1) when $z(t)=x(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t) x^{\alpha_{j}}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)$.
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