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Abstract
A competing anisotropic ( $p, q$ )-Laplacian

$$
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2}-\mu\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q_{i}-2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=f(x, \phi \star u, \nabla(\phi \star u))
$$

as a nonstandard Dirichlet problem with convolutions on a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$ is considered. Assume $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and $\phi \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. If $\mu>0$, the existence of a generalized solution is proved. By the Galerkin basis for the space, a sequence that converges strongly to the solution is constructed. If $\mu \leq 0$, it is proved that any generalized solution is a weak solution.
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## 1 Introduction

The $(p, q)$-Laplacian comes from a general reaction-diffusion system that has a wide spectrum of applications in physics and related sciences such as biophysics, plasma physics, solid-state physics, fractional quantum mechanics in the study of particles on stochastic fields, fractional superdiffusion and fractional white-noise limit, etc. (see [1, 5-7, 23-25, 31, 32] and the references therein).

Recently, Motreanu [20] proved the existence of solutions (generalized and weak) for

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u-\mu|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u\right)=f(x, \rho \star u, \nabla(\rho \star u)) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

under suitable condition of $f$ and $\rho$, where he overcame the lack of ellipticity.
Here, with the inspiration of [20], the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for the nonstandard Dirichlet problem with an anisotropic competing $(p, q)$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{cases}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2}-\mu\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q_{i}-2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=f(x, \phi \star u, \nabla(\phi \star u)) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$
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is proved, where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega, f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, $\phi \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, and the convolution $\phi \star u(x)$ is defined by

$$
\phi \star u(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi(x-y) u(y) d y \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

We set $\vec{p}:=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$ and $\vec{q}:=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1<p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}>1, \\
1<q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{N}, & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{q_{i}}>1 .
\end{array}
$$

Let $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ denote the harmonic means $\bar{p}=N /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)$ and $\bar{q}=N /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{q_{i}}\right)$, respectively, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\star}:=\frac{N}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)-1}=\frac{N \bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}, \quad q^{\star}:=\frac{N}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{q_{i}}\right)-1}=\frac{N \bar{q}}{N-\bar{q}}, \\
& p_{\infty}:=\max \left\{p_{+}, p^{\star}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{+}:=\max \left\{p_{i}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define an order as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad q_{i} \leq p_{i} \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{q} \leq \vec{p}, \quad q_{N}<q^{\star}, \quad p_{N}<p^{\star} \quad \text { and } \quad q^{\star}<p^{\star} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we assume
$\left(H_{1}\right)|f(x, t, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x)+c_{1}|t|^{p^{+}-1}+c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{N}\right), \sigma \in L^{\gamma^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ for $\gamma \in\left(1, p^{+}\right), \gamma^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ and constants $c_{1} \geq 0$, $c_{2} \geq 0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{+}-1} c_{1} S_{p^{+}}+c_{2} \Pi<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left\{S_{p_{i}}^{\prime}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\right\}$ and $S_{p_{i}}^{\prime}$ is the Sobolev constant for the embed$\operatorname{ding} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \subset L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
The differential operator in (1.1), i.e.,

$$
u \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2}-\mu\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q_{i}-2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

is the difference of the anisotropic degenerated $p$-Laplacian and $q$-Laplacian. In fact, the negative anisotropic $\varrho$-Laplacian (for $\varrho=p, q$ )

$$
-\Delta_{\vec{\varrho}}: W_{0}^{1, \vec{e}^{\prime}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, \vec{\varrho}^{\prime}(\Omega)}
$$

is expressed as

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{e}} u, v\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{\varrho_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} d x
$$

for all $u, v \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{\varrho}}(\Omega)$, where $\vec{\varrho}:=\left(\varrho_{1}, \ldots, \varrho_{N}\right)$ and $\vec{\varrho}^{\prime}:=\left(\frac{\varrho_{1}}{\varrho_{1}-1}, \ldots, \frac{\varrho_{N}}{\varrho_{N}-1}\right)$.
Since $1<q_{1}, \vec{q}<\vec{p}, p_{N}<\infty$, the continuous embedding $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{0}^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega)$ holds and the operator $-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}$ is well defined on $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$.
The sign of $-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}$ for $\mu>0$ and sufficiently large is different from $\mu>0$ and sufficiently small. This makes it difficult to study (1.1). We owe essential ideas to [20] to overcome the lack of ellipticity, monotonicity, and variational structure in problem (1.1) (see [18-20, 22]). Therefore, for problem (1.1), the existence of a solution is proved by Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds. Then, there exists a generalized solution to problem (1.1). In particular, if $\mu \leq 0$, there exists a weak solution to problem (1.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, the suitable function spaces and some lemmas are recalled. In Sect. 3, the associated Nemytskij operator is introduced and then we show the anisotropic competing ( $p, q$ )-Laplacian (1.1) has a solution, i.e., the proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented.

## 2 Function space

Consider the anisotropic Sobolev spaces $W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1}, \vec{p}(\Omega)}:=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)| d x+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}
$$

and $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)} & :=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive and uniformly convex Banach space (see [26-28] and references therein for more details or more literature in [2, 4, 8-14, 30]). Here, is an embedding theorem [15, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. If

$$
p_{i}>1, \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, N, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}>1
$$

then for all $r \in\left[1, p_{\infty}\right]$, there is a continuous embedding $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \subset L^{r}(\Omega)$. For $r<p_{\infty}$, the embedding is compact.

Note that the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is embedded in $W^{1, \vec{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by identifying every $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ with its extension equal to zero outside $\Omega$. Thus, one can define the convolution $\phi \star u$ of $\phi \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ (see [3, Sect. 4.4 and Sect. 9.1]) by

$$
\phi \star u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi(x-y) u(y) d y \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Also,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(\phi \star u)=\phi \star \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text {, for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

Remark 2.2 Assume $\phi \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, then
(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi \star u\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $r \in\left[1, p^{\star}\right]$;
(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi \star \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}(\Omega)}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, N$;
(iii) By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\phi \star u\|_{W_{0}^{1} \vec{p}}^{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{\partial(\phi \star u)}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial(\phi \star u)}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& =\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1} \vec{p}_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Before ending this section we require a generalized solution for (1.1).

Definition 2.3 A function $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is called a generalized solution to problem (1.1) if there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ such that
(I) $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(II) $-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}(\cdot), \nabla(\phi \star \nabla u)(\cdot)\right) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(III) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0$.

Remark 2.4 Assume $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1), i.e., $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}\right)(u), v\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star u(x), \nabla(\phi \star u(x))) v(x) d x
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. Set $u_{n}=u$ for all $n$, then any weak solution is a generalized solution to problem (1.1).

## 3 Weak and generalized solutions

Here, we study the behavior of the Nemytskij operator and construct a sequence (by the Galerkin basis of the space) that converges strongly to the generalized (weak) solution of (1.1) when $\mu \geq 0(\mu<0)$. First, we recall an embedding result.

Since $\vec{q}<\vec{p}$ and $\Omega$ is bounded then

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}^{1 \vec{p}}(\Omega) \text { is continuously embedded in } W_{0}^{1 \vec{q}}(\Omega) \text { and } \\
& W^{-1, \vec{q}^{\prime}}(\Omega) \text { is continuously embedded in } W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume the operator $A: W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ (see (1.1)) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(u), v\rangle=\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u, v\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star u(x), \nabla(\phi \star u)(x)) v(x) d x . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 The operator $A$ defined by (3.2) is continuous, when $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds.
Proof Define the operator

$$
T: W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^{+}}(\Omega) \times L^{p_{1}}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times L^{p_{N}}(\Omega)
$$

by $T(u)=\left(\left.\phi \star u\right|_{\Omega},\left.\nabla(\phi \star u)\right|_{\Omega}\right)$. Relations (2.1) and (2.3) imply that $T$ is linear and continuous. By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and Krasnoselskii's theorem [16], the Nemytskii operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{N}: L^{p^{+}}(\Omega) \times\left(L^{p_{1}}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times L^{p_{N}}(\Omega)\right) \rightarrow L^{p^{+1}}(\Omega) \\
\quad\left(v,, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}\right) \mapsto f\left(\cdot, v(\cdot), w_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, w_{N}(\cdot)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is well defined and continuous and so the composition operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^{+^{\prime}}}(\Omega), \quad u \mapsto f(\cdot, \phi \star u(\cdot), \nabla(\phi \star u)(\cdot)) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous. Note that $L^{p^{+\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W^{-1, p^{+\prime}}(\Omega)$.

The operator $-\Delta_{\vec{\varrho}}: W_{0}^{1, \vec{\varrho}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, \vec{\varrho}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ (for $\left.\varrho=p, q\right)$ is continuous. Therefore, embedding (3.1) implies $-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}: W_{0}^{1 \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuous and finally the operator $A$ is continuous.

Assume $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ (vector subspaces of $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ ) is a Galerkin basis for the separable Banach space $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, i.e.,
(i) $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{n}\right)<\infty$, for all $n$;
(ii) $X_{n} \subset X_{n+1}$, for all $n$;
(iii) $\overline{\bigcup_{n}}=W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$.

A consequence of Brouwer's fixed-point theorem will resolve each approximate problem on $X_{n}$. Due to this, we construct a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ by the next Proposition.

Proposition 3.2 Assume $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds. Then, for each $n \geq 1$ there exists $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}\right)\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \phi \star u_{n}(x), \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}(x)\right)\right) v(x) d x \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in X_{n}$. In addition, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$.

Proof We define $A_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}^{\star}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A_{n}(u), v\right\rangle_{X_{n}} \\
& \quad=\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}\right)(u), v\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star u(x), \nabla(\phi \star u(x))) v(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u, v \in X_{n}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The operator $A_{n}$ is continuous (by Lemma 3.1) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A_{n}(v), v\right\rangle_{X_{n}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}}-\mu\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q_{i}}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star v(x), \nabla(\phi \star v(x))) v(x) d x \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N}|\Omega|^{\frac{p_{i}-q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{q_{i}}-\|\sigma\|_{L^{\gamma^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad-c_{1}\|\phi \star v\|_{L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}-1}\|v\|_{L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)}-c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\phi \star v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}-1}\|v\|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in X_{n}$, by $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the Hölder inequality. Now (2.1), (2.3), and Sobolev embedding show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A_{n}(v), v\right\rangle_{X_{n}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}}-\mu\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{q_{i}}\right) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star v(x), \nabla(\phi \star v(x))) v(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N}|\Omega|^{\frac{p_{i}-q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{q_{i}}-\|\sigma\|_{L \nu^{\prime}(\Omega)}\|\nu\|_{L \nu}(\Omega) \\
& -c_{1}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{+}-1}\|\nu\|_{L^{+}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}}-c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\| \|\left\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\right\| \nu\left\|_{w_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}-1}\right\| \nu \|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{p_{i}, p_{i}}(\Omega)}-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N}|\Omega|^{\frac{p_{i}-q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\|\nu\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{q_{i}}-S_{\gamma}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\prime}(\Omega)}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}}(\Omega) \\
& -c_{1} S_{p^{+}}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{+}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}-c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{p_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\|v\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}^{p_{i}}} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N}|\Omega|^{\frac{p_{i}-q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{q_{i}}-S_{\gamma}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\nu^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)} \\
& -\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p^{+}-1} c_{1} S_{p^{+}}+c_{2} \Pi\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X_{n}$, where $|\Omega|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$.
Assume $\lambda_{1, \vec{p}}>0$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the negative anisotropic $p$-Laplacian on $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ that is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1, \vec{p}}=\min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}} d x}{\|u\|_{L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}}}: u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [15, Theorem 3] or [17, Theorem 2] for more details. By (1.4) (recall that $S_{p^{+}}=\lambda_{1, \vec{p}}^{-\frac{1}{p^{+}}}$) and $p_{i}>q_{i}>1$ and $p^{+}>q^{+}>1$, for $i=1, \ldots, N$, for $R=R(n)>0$ sufficiently large we obtain

$$
\left\langle A_{n}(\nu), v\right\rangle_{X_{n}} \geq 0 \quad \text { whenever } v \in X_{n} \text { with }\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}=R .
$$

As a consequence of Brouwer's fixed-point theorem (see, e.g., [29, p. 37]) (since $X_{n}$ is a finite-dimensional space) there exists $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ solving the equation $A_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and this shows that $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ is a solution for problem (3.4).
$\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. To show this, let $v=u_{n} \in X_{n}$ in (3.5), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}-c_{1} S_{p^{+}}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\left.p^{+}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}} \\
& -c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{p_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\|\nu\|_{w_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}} \\
& \leq \mu \sum_{i=1}^{N}|\Omega|^{\frac{p_{i}-q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\|\nu\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{q_{i}}+S_{\gamma}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\prime}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p_{i}>q_{i}>1$ and $p^{+}>q^{+}>1$, for $i=1, \ldots, N$, then (1.4) shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$.

Now, we can prove the existence of the solution of problem (1.1), i.e., we present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof Assume $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is given by Proposition 3.2 that is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and the reflexively, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ that is bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. The continuity of the operator in (3.3), shows that the sequence $\left\{f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{\vec{p}^{\prime}}$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup \eta \quad \text { in } W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\eta \in W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, by the reflexivity of $W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.
Assume $v \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n}$. Fix an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $v \in X_{m}$. Proposition 3.2 provides that (3.4) holds for all $n \geq m$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), by means of (3.8) we obtain

$$
\langle\eta, \nu\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } \nu \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n} .
$$

By the density of $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n}$ in $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ (see (iii) in the definition of the Galerkin basis), it turns out that $\eta=0$ and so in $W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup 0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $v=u_{n}$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right) d x=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, while (3.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Together, (3.10) and (3.11) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2.1 and (3.7) imply that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, and since $\{f(\cdot, \phi \star$ $\left.\left.u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right)\right\}$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(\cdot, \phi \star u_{n}, \nabla\left(\phi \star u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting (3.13) into (3.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the conditions of Definition 2.3 are satisfied and this implies that $u \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is a generalized solution to problem (1.1).
Now, we prove the existence of a weak solution in the case $\mu \leq 0$. Assume $u$ is a generalized solution to problem (1.1) and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.3 with respect to $u$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}-\mu\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}+\Delta_{\vec{q}} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}}^{(\Omega)} \\
& \quad=\left\langle-\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}-\mu\left\langle\Delta_{\vec{q}} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the monotonicity of $-\Delta_{\vec{q}}$ and hence,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Delta_{\vec{p}} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)} \leq 0 .
$$

Then, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ (see, e.g., [21, Proposition 2.72]). The continuity of $A$ (Lemma 3.1), shows $A\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow A(u)$ in $W^{-1, \vec{p}^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and condition (II) of Definition 2.3, shows $A(u)=0$. This shows that

$$
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{\vec{p}}+\mu \Delta_{\vec{q}}\right)(u), v\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} f(x, \phi \star u(x), \nabla(\phi \star u(x))) v(x) d x
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, which means $u$ is a weak solution to problem (1.1).
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