RESEARCH

Boundary Value Problems a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access



Nondegeneracy of the bubble solutions for critical equations involving the polyharmonic operator

Dandan Yang^{1*}, Pei Ma², Xiaohuan Wang³ and Hongyi Li³

*Correspondence: ydd1127@foxmail.com ¹Guangxi University, Nanning, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We reprove a result by Bartsch, Weth, and Willem (Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 18(3):253–268, 2003) concerning the nondegeneracy of bubble solutions for a critical semilinear elliptic equation involving the polyharmonic operator. The merit of our proof is that it does not rely on the comparison theorem. The argument of our proof mainly uses the stereographic projection with the Funk–Hecke formula, which works for general critical semilinear elliptic equations.

Keywords: Nondegeneracy; Polyharmonic operator; Bubble solution; Spherical harmonics

1 Introduction

We consider the nondegeneracy property of the bubble solutions for the critical semilinear equation involving the polyharmonic operator

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = |u|^{2^* - 2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{m, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $m < \frac{N}{2}$ is a positive integer, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2m}$, and $\mathcal{D}^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the closure of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| = \begin{cases} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u(x)|^2 \, dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u(x)|^2 \, dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For m = 1, the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



arises in the study of blowup solutions of the H^1 critical focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t - \Delta u = |u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}}u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the H^1 critical focusing nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}}u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which have attracted the attention of a lot of scholars; see, for instance, [1-3].

For m > 1, (1.1) arises in the *Q*-curvature problem. Indeed, if u is a positive solution of (1.1), then the *Q*-curvature of the conformal metric $g = u \frac{4}{N-2m} |dx|^2 (|dx|^2)$ is the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^N is constant (see [4–6]). Swanson [7] showed that the function

$$\omega(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+m)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-m)}\right)^{\frac{N-2m}{4m}} \left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2m}{2}}$$
(1.3)

is a bubble solution of (1.1), which is also one extremal of the sharp Sobolev inequality

$$\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}2^{m}\left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+m)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-m)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(N)}\right)^{\frac{m}{N}}\|u\|_{2^{*}}\leq\|u\|\quad\text{for all }u\in\mathcal{D}^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})\setminus\{0\},$$

where $||u||_{2^*} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2^*} dx)^{\frac{1}{2^*}}$.

Now noticing that (1.1) is invariant under scaling and translations, we observe that

$$(-\Delta)^{m}\omega_{\mu,z}(x) = \omega_{\mu,z}^{2^{*}-1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(1.4)

where

$$\omega_{\mu,z}(x) = \mu^{\frac{N-2m}{2}}\omega(\mu x + z), \quad \mu \in (0, +\infty), z \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and ω is defined by (1.3). By differentiating (1.4) with respect to the parameters (μ , z) at (1,0), we obtain that the N + 1 linear independent functions

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}(x), 1 \le j \le N, \qquad \Lambda \omega(x) = \frac{N-2}{2}\omega(x) + x \cdot \nabla \omega(x)$$

satisfy

$$(-\Delta)^{m}\varphi(x) - (2^{*} - 1)\omega^{2^{*} - 2}(x)\varphi(x) = 0.$$
(1.5)

A natural problem, arising in the study of bubbling phenomena of (1.1), is the nondegeneracy property of solution (1.3) for (1.1). More precisely, if φ is bounded and satisfies (1.5), then does φ belong to span{ $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_N}, \Lambda \omega$ }?

Bartsch, Weth, and Willem [8] obtained the nondegeneracy property of solution (1.3) for (1.1). More precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([8]) For any bounded φ satisfying

$$(-\Delta)^m \varphi(x) - (2^* - 1)\omega^{2^* - 2}(x)\varphi(x) = 0,$$

we have

$$\varphi \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_N}, \Lambda \omega\right\}.$$

Remark 1.2 We give several remarks on Theorem 1.1.

- The argument used in our proof is different from those appeared in [8]. Our argument is inspired by Frank and Lieb [9, 10]; see also Dávilla, Del Pino, and Sire [11]. In this paper, we mainly use the stereographic projection argument combined with the Funk–Hecke formula, whereas the argument in [8] relies on the ODE technique with comparison theorem.
- 2. The nondegeneracy property of the bubble solutions for (1.1) plays a crucial role in the construction of multibubble solutions to (1.1); see, for instance, [12–14].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For simplicity of notation, let us denote

$$\rho(x) = \left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.1)

Then using (1.3), we can rewrite (1.5) as follows:

$$(-\Delta)^{m}\varphi(x) - \frac{N+2m}{N-2m} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+m)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-m)} \rho^{4m}(x)\varphi(x) = 0.$$
(2.2)

First of all, since $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any bounded φ satisfying (2.2), using the standard elliptic regularity theory, we have $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using the fact that $\omega^{2^*-2}(x) \leq \frac{1}{1+|x|^{4m}}$, we deduce that φ satisfies the integral equation

$$\varphi(x) = \alpha(N,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho^{4m}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2m}} \varphi(y) \,\mathrm{d}y, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\alpha(N,m) = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + m + 1)}{2^{2m}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma(m)(N - 2m)}.$$
(2.4)

Moreover, since φ is bounded, using the fact that (see [15] for instance),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2m}} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{\theta}} \, \mathrm{d}y \lesssim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+|x|^{\theta-2m}} & \text{if } 2m < \theta < N, \\ \frac{1+\log(1+|x|)}{1+|x|^{N-2m}} & \text{if } \theta = N, \\ \frac{1}{1+|x|^{N-2m}} & \text{if } \theta > N, \end{cases}$$

by a bootstrap argument we deduce that

$$\left|\varphi(x)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|x|^{N-2m}}.$$
(2.5)

Next, to transform the integral equation (2.3) on \mathbb{R}^N into the corresponding integral equation on \mathbb{S}^N , let us introduce the stereographic projection

$$\mathcal{S}: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{S}^N \setminus \left\{ (0, 0, \dots, 0, -1) \right\},$$
$$x \mapsto \left(\frac{2x}{1+|x|^2}, \frac{1-|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \right),$$

where $\mathbb{S}^N = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} | \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \xi_j^2 = 1\}$. A direct computation implies that (see also [10, 16])

$$|\mathcal{S}x - \mathcal{S}y| = |x - y|\rho(x)\rho(y). \tag{2.6}$$

For any $f : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, let us denote

$$S_*f(\xi) = \frac{f(S^{-1}\xi)}{\rho^{N-2m}(S^{-1}\xi)},$$
(2.7)

where S^{-1} : $\mathbb{S}^N \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, -1)\} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ is the inverse of the stereographic projection:

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\ldots,\xi_{N+1}) = \left(\frac{\xi_1}{1+\xi_{N+1}},\frac{\xi_2}{1+\xi_{N+1}},\ldots,\frac{\xi_N}{1+\xi_{N+1}}\right).$$
(2.8)

Moreover, for any $F \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^N)$, we have the identity¹

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} f\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\xi\right) \mathrm{d}\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x)\rho^{2N}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.9)

By $\mathscr{H}_k^{N+1}(k \ge 0)$ we denote the mutually orthogonal space of the restriction on \mathbb{S}^N of real harmonic polynomials, homogeneous of degree of k on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . Moreover, we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{N}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}_{k}^{N+1}.$$
(2.10)

Especially, we have

$$\mathscr{H}_{1}^{N+1} = \operatorname{span}\{\xi_{j} | 1 \le j \le N+1\}.$$
(2.11)

For further analysis, we need the following Funk-Hecke lemma.

¹The Jacobian of the stereographic projection is $\rho^{2N}(x)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([17, 18]) *Let* $\lambda \in (0, N)$ *. For any* $Y \in \mathscr{H}_{k}^{N+1}$ *,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} \frac{1}{|\xi - \eta|^{\lambda}} Y(\eta) \,\mathrm{d}\eta = \mu_k(\lambda) Y(\xi),\tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\mu_k(\lambda) = 2^{N-\lambda} \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{\lambda}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N-\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2})\Gamma(k+N-\frac{\lambda}{2})}.$$
(2.13)

Now let us turn our attention to the integral equation (2.3). On the one hand, using (2.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2m}} = \frac{\rho^{N-2m}(x)\rho^{N-2m}(y)}{|\mathcal{S}x-\mathcal{S}y|^{N-2m}}.$$
(2.14)

By inserting (2.14) into (2.3) we immediately get

$$\varphi(x) = \alpha(N,m)\rho^{N-2m}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}x - \mathcal{S}y|^{N-2m}} \frac{\varphi(y)}{\rho^{N-2m}(y)} \rho^{2N}(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$
(2.15)

On the other hand, by (2.5) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi(x)|^2 \rho^{4m}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{1+|x|^{2N}} \, \mathrm{d}x < +\infty$$

which, together with (2.7), implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} \left| \mathcal{S}_* \varphi(\xi) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi < +\infty.$$
(2.16)

Hence inserting (2.7) into (2.15) and using (2.16), we deduce that $S_*\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^N)$ satisfies

$$S_*\varphi(\xi) = \alpha(N,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{S_*\varphi(\eta)}{|\xi - \eta|^{N-2m}} \,\mathrm{d}\eta.$$
(2.17)

Now observe that

$$\alpha(N,m)=\frac{1}{\mu_1(N-2m)},$$

where $\mu_1(N-2m)$ is defined by (2.13) with k = 1 and $\lambda = N - 2m$. Therefore using Equation (2.12), from (2.17) we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_* \varphi \in \mathscr{H}_1^{N+1}$$
,

which, together with (2.11), implies that

$$\mathcal{S}_*\varphi \in \operatorname{span}\{\xi_j | 1 \le j \le N+1\}.$$
(2.18)

Therefore using the definition of $S_*\varphi$ (see (2.7)), we deduce from (2.18) that

$$\varphi(x) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\rho^{N-2m+2}(x)x_1, \rho^{N-2m+2}(x)x_2, \dots, \rho^{N-2m+2}(x)x_N, \rho^{N-2m+1}(x)\left(1-|x|^2\right)\right\}$$

which, together with (1.3) and (2.1), implies that

$$\varphi \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_N}, \Lambda \omega\right\}.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

P. Ma is supported by NSFC(No. 12001274). X. Wang is supported by NSFC(No. 11901158).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

D. Y. and X. W. wrote the main manuscript text. P. M. and H. L. prepared the introdution and the bibliography. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Authors' information

Not applicable.

Author details

¹Guangxi University, Nanning, China. ²College of Science, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China. ³School of Mathematics and Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 11 August 2022 Accepted: 1 March 2023 Published online: 06 March 2023

References

- Miao, C., Xu, G., Zhao, L.: The dynamics of the 3D radial NLS with the combined terms. Commun. Math. Phys. 318(3), 767–808 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1677-2
- Duyckaerts, T., Kenig, C., Merle, F.: Classification of the radial solutions of the focusing, energy-critical wave equation. Camb. J. Math. 1(1), 75–144 (2013). https://doi.org/10.4310/cjm.2013.v1.n1.a3
- Jendrej, J., Martel, Y.: Construction of multi-bubble solutions for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5. J. Math. Pures Appl. 139, 317–355 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2020.02.007
- Branson, T.P.: Group representations arising from Lorentz conformal geometry. J. Funct. Anal. 74(2), 199–291 (1987). https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(87)90025-5
- 5. Hyder, A., Wei, J.: Higher order conformally invariant equations in R³ with prescribed volume. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **18**(5), 2757–2764 (2019)
- Chang, S.-Y.A., Yang, P.C.: The Q-curvature equation in conformal geometry. In: Oussama, H. (ed.) Géométrie Différentielle, Physique Mathématique, Mathématiques et Société (II)—Volume en L'honneur de Jean-Pierre Bourguignon. Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France, France (2008)
- Swanson, C.A.: The best Sobolev constant. Appl. Anal. 47(1–4), 227–239 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1080/00036819208840142
- Bartsch, T., Weth, T., Willem, M.: A Sobolev inequality with remainder term and critical equations on domains with topology for the polyharmonic operator. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 18(3), 253–268 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-003-0198-9
- Frank, R.L., Lieb, E.H.: Sharp constants in several inequalities on the Heisenberg group. Ann. Math. 176(1), 349–381 (2012). https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2012.176.1.6
- Frank, R.L., Lieb, E.H.: A new, rearrangement-free proof of the sharp Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality. In: Brown, B.M., Lang, J., Wood, I.G. (eds.) Spectral Theory, Function Spaces and Inequalities, pp. 55–67. Springer, Basel (2012)

- Dávila, J., Pino, M.D., Sire, Y.: Non degeneracy of the bubble in the critical case for non local equations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 141(11), 3865–3870 (2013)
- 12. Guo, Y., Liu, T., Nie, J.: Construction of solutions for the polyharmonic equation via local Pohozaev identities. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 58(4), 123 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-019-1569-1
- Guo, Y., Li, B., Wei, J.: Large energy entire solutions for the Yamabe type problem of polyharmonic operator. J. Differ. Equ. 254(1), 199–228 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.08.038
- Guo, Y., Musso, M., Peng, S., Yan, S.: Non-degeneracy of multi-bubbling solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature equations and applications. J. Funct. Anal. 279(6), 108553 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108553
- Guo, Y., Li, B.: Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed curvature problem of polyharmonic operator. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 46(3), 809–836 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-012-0504-5
- 16. Lieb, E.H., Loss, M.: Analysis. Crm Proceedings & Lecture Notes. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2001)
- 17. Atkinson, K., Han, W.: Spherical Harmonics and Approximations on the Unit Sphere: An Introduction. Springer, Berlin (2012)
- 18. Dai, F., Xu, Y.: Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres and Balls. Springer, Berlin (2013)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com