RESEARCH

Boundary Value Problems a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access



Sumati Kumari Panda^{1*}, Velusamy Vijayakumar² and Kottakkaran Sooppy Nisar³

*Correspondence: mumy143143143@gmail.com; sumatikumari.p@gmrit.edu.in ¹ Department of Mathematics, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam 532 127, Andhra Pradesh, India Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We introduce a notion of nonlinear cyclic orbital ($\xi - \mathscr{F}$)-contraction and prove related results. With these results, we address the existence and uniqueness results with periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions for:

1. The nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)), \quad \varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) = \gamma_{W} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{W}} + \gamma_{W-1} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{W-1}} + \dots + \gamma_{1} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{1}} + \gamma_{0} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{0}}$$
$$\gamma_{b} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (b = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_{W} \neq 0,$$
$$0 \le \delta_{0} < \delta_{1} < \delta_{2} < \dots < \delta_{W-1} < \delta_{W} < 1;$$

2. The nonlinear multi-term fractional delay differential equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma), \theta(\varsigma - \tau)), \quad \varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0;$$

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \bar{\sigma}(\varsigma), \quad \varsigma \in [-\tau, 0],$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) = \gamma_{w} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w}} + \gamma_{w-1} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w-1}} + \dots + \gamma_{1} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{1}} + \gamma_{0} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{0}},$$

$$\gamma_{b} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (b = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_{w} \neq 0,$$

$$0 \le \delta_{0} < \delta_{1} < \delta_{2} < \dots < \delta_{w-1} < \delta_{w} < 1;$$

moreover, here ${}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\delta}$ is predominantly called Caputo fractional derivative of order δ .

Keywords: Nonlinear cyclic orbital ($\xi - \mathscr{F}$)-contraction; Fractional differential equations; Fractional delay differential equations; Green function; Periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions; Fixed point

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



1 Introduction

The field of fractional differential equations (FDEs) has gained recognition and significance in recent years as a result of its practical implications with physics, ecology and banking [1, 2]. It is commonly known that classical calculus can be used to model and analyse important and complicated phenomena across many different scientific fields. However, FDEs can provide a deeper examination of many complex natural systems. Diffusion for picture restoration, the spread of viral diseases and other situations fall under this category. In the overwhelming of the aforementioned situations, such types of described anomalous techniques have macroscopically complicated dynamics, and regular derivative frameworks are inadequate to characterise actual behaviour. Therefore, fractional differential equations are preferred over the use of ordinary differential equations [3]. While many conventional methods do not necessarily require explicit mention of fractional differential equations, some research results for fractional differential equations can be attained in a similar manner. As a result, new methods and scientific discoveries are created specifically for fractional differential equations. In light of this, many academicians concentrate on initial and boundary value issues involving various derivative types, such as Atangana-Baleanu, Caputo-Fabrizio and Caputo. The amount of research conducted on the subject has significantly increased over the last few years, with a range of fascinating and useful results (see [4-16]).

The very first statement to the fixed point theory was in a paper proving the existence result. Subsequently, this technique was enhanced as a successive approximating method, and in the context of complete normed space, it was shown and given as a fixed point theorem. It offers a rough technique for precisely characterising the fixed point. It also ensures that a fixed point will exist and be unique. This approach allows us to guarantee that it provides a solution to the initial problem by specifying the conditions that apply when a fixed point resists a particular function. In many different fields of mathematics, the existence result is analogous to the fixed point existence for an applicable function in a variety of mathematical challenges [17-24]. Fixed point results are some of those mathematical notations that show that at least one point still remains fixed when a set's points are adapted into points of the same set.

Scientific theories of fixed points are very useful in determining whether an equation has a solution. The differential operator, for instance, in differential equations transforms one function into another. It is possible to find the solution of a fractional derivative for a function that has not experienced a substantial progress.

The exponential function e^q is significant to the theory of differential equations with integer orders. Its one-parameter extension, the function that is presently depicted by [25]

$$\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(q) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^s}{\Gamma(\delta s + 1)}$$

was exemplified by Mittag-Leffler in his research articles [26-28].

In particular, Agarwal [29] is credited for the development of the two parameter Mittag-Leffler type function, which is fundamental to the fractional calculus. Humbert and Agarwal [30] explored a variety of correlations for this function by employing the Laplace transform method. The Agarwal function might have been a better name for this function. The two-parameter function is now known as the Mittag-Leffler function, while Humbert and Agarwal cordially left almost the same representation as used for the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function.

The two-parametric Mittag-Leffler function is defined by (see Podlubny [31])

$$\mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^j}{\Gamma(j\delta+\varrho)}, \quad \delta > 0, \varrho > 0.$$

The Laplace transform for Mittag-Leffler function in two parameters is presented as $\mathfrak{E}_{i}(a, l; \delta, \varrho) := a^{j\delta+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \varrho}^{(j)}(la^{\delta})$ along with the derivatives given by (refer to Podlubny [31])

$$\mathscr{L}\left\{a^{j\delta+\varrho-1}\mathcal{E}^{(j)}_{\delta,\varrho}\left(\pm l\varsigma^{\delta}\right)\right\} = \frac{j!\gamma^{\delta-\varrho}}{(\gamma^{\delta} \mp l)^{j+1}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > |l|^{\frac{1}{\delta}},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(j)}(y) = \frac{d^j}{dy^j} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}(y) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{(u+j)! y^u}{u! \Gamma(\delta u+\delta j+\varrho)}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ A similar conceptual study can be found in [32] and [33].

The novelty of this article is to explore the connections between fractional Green's functions, multi-term fractional order differential equations and metric fixed point theory. We prove the existence of a solution and the uniqueness of nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equations via *nonlinear cyclic orbital* $(\xi - \mathscr{F})$ *-contraction*. An interesting feature of our result is that continuity is no longer needed.

2 Nonlinear contractive mappings

Definition 2.1 [34] Let X be a set that is nonempty. Consider $\zeta : X \times X \to [1, +\infty)$. The mapping $\mathbb{r}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \to [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a controlled rectangular metric if the following conditions hold:

• $\mathbb{r}(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y;$

•
$$\mathbb{r}(x,y) = \mathbb{r}(y,x);$$

• $\mathbb{r}(x, y) \leq \zeta(x, \alpha) \mathbb{r}(x, \alpha) + \zeta(\alpha, \mu) \mathbb{r}(\alpha, \mu) + \zeta(\mu, y) \mathbb{r}(\mu, y)$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$ and for all distinct points α , $\mu \in \mathbb{X}$, each distinct from x and y respectively. As in [34], (X, \mathbb{F}) denotes a controlled rectangular metric space (for our convenience, it is called CRMS). The topological properties such as Cauchy, completeness and convergence of controlled rectangular metric space can be seen in [34].

Definition 2.2 [35] $\mathscr{T}: \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$ is said to be a cyclic map if $\mathscr{T}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathscr{T}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ \mathfrak{B} , where \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} are nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{r}) .

Definition 2.3 [36] With respect to a complete metric space, represented by \mathcal{X} , let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be nonempty closed subsets. Using the distance function *d* and the cyclic mapping \mathfrak{H} , there are some $k_x \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $d(\mathfrak{H}^{2n}x,\mathfrak{H}y) \leq k_x d(\mathfrak{H}^{2n-1}x,y).$

Then ς is called a cyclic orbital contraction.

Property- \mathcal{F}^* : Let \mathcal{F}^* be the family of all functions $\mathscr{F}: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ $(0,\infty)$. We say that \mathcal{F}^* satisfies **Property**- \mathcal{F}^* if the following conditions hold:

• \mathscr{F} is strictly increasing;

- For every positive sequence {b_w} ∈ ℝ, we have lim_{w→∞} b_w = 0 iff lim_{w→∞} 𝔅(b_w) = -∞;
- There exists $z \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{b \to 0^+} b^z \mathscr{F}(b) = 0$;
- $\liminf_{u \to v^+} \xi(u) > 0$ for all $v \ge 0$.

The above-mentioned conditions can be seen in [37] and [38, 39]. In very recent years, Wardowski [37] introduced a novel perspective of contraction and established a fixed point theorem that, in comparison to earlier research findings, generalises the Banach principle of contraction. The conditions mentioned above are a few of the prerequisites that writers must meet in order to formulate statements of specific *F*-contractions and are also used as key strategies to achieve at fixed point problems (see [40, 41]). The elegance of the \mathscr{F} -contraction is noteworthy; all that is needed is a complete metric space and an easily verifiable nonlinear condition. The \mathscr{F} -contraction also has an impact on how conventional methods are modelled. The \mathscr{F} -contraction continues to be a topic of extra motivation and research due to its broad range of application and usefulness, which constitutes for the emphasis to its generalisations. This illustrates why mathematics has so many implementations in other fields.

We now present our next concept.

Definition 2.4 Suppose that \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} are two nonempty subsets of the CRM-space (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{r}) and \mathcal{F}^* is the family of mappings satisfying the *property*- \mathcal{F}^* . Assume that \mathscr{W} is a cyclic mapping from $\mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$ to $\mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$ such that, for some $x \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\xi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,y\right)\right) + \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w}x,\mathscr{W}y\right)\right) \le \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,y\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then \mathscr{W} is called a *nonlinear cyclic orbital* $(\xi - \mathscr{F})$ -contraction.

Theorem 2.1 Let (\mathbb{X},\mathbb{F}) be a controlled rectangular metric space. Suppose that \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} are two nonempty closed subsets of (\mathbb{X},\mathbb{F}) . Let \mathscr{W} be a nonlinear cyclic orbital $(\xi - \mathscr{F})$ -contraction. For $x_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$, take $x_w = \mathscr{W}^w x_0$. For $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x_w, x)$, $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x, x_w)$ and $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x_w, x_m)$ exist and are finite for all $w, m \in \mathbb{N}, w \neq m$. Then \mathscr{W} has a unique fixed point in $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$.

Proof Let $x = x_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$. Define

 $x_w = \mathcal{W}^w x_0.$

Since $\{x_0\}$ in \mathfrak{B} and $x_{2w+1} \in \mathfrak{C}$ for $w \ge 0$.

From (1), we have

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(\mathscr{W}^2x,\mathscr{W}x)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(\mathscr{W}x,x)) - \xi(\mathbb{T}(\mathscr{W}x,x)).$$

This can be written as follows:

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_2,x_1)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_1,x_0)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_1,x_0)).$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{3}x,\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) &= \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x,\mathscr{W}^{3}x\right)\right) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x,\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}x,\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}x,\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{1},x_{2}\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{1},x_{2}\right)\right) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{3},x_{2}\right)\right) \leq \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{2},x_{1}\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{2},x_{1}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{4}x,\mathscr{W}^{3}x\right)\right) &= \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right),\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right),\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right),\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{3}x,\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{3}x,\mathscr{W}^{2}x\right)\right) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{3},x_{2}\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{3},x_{2}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$
which implies $\mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{4},x_{3}\right)\right) \leq \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{3},x_{2}\right)\right) - \xi\left(\mathbb{r}\left(x_{3},x_{2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$

By repeating the same process, we get

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w}, x_{w+1})) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w})) - \xi(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w}))$$

$$< \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w})).$$
(2)

Since \mathscr{F} is increasing, then $\mathbb{F}(x_w, x_{w+1}) \leq \mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_w)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, the positive sequence $\{\mathbb{F}(x_w, x_{w+1})\}$ is decreasing. Therefore it converges to a limit $r \geq 0$. Inequality (2) becomes

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w}, x_{w+1})) \leq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w})) - \xi(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w}))$$

$$\leq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-2}, x_{w-1})) - \xi(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-2}, x_{w-1})) - \xi(\mathbb{F}(x_{w-1}, x_{w}))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$< \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_{0}, x_{1})) - \sum_{\flat=0}^{w-1} \xi(\mathbb{F}(x_{\flat}, x_{\flat+1})).$$
(3)

Since $\liminf_{\sigma \to \theta^+} \xi(\sigma) > 0$, we have $\liminf_{w \to \infty} \xi(\mathbb{T}(x_w, x_{w+1})) > 0$. Thus there exist $w_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a > 0 such that, for all $w \ge w_0$, $\xi(\mathbb{T}(x_w, x_{w+1})) > a$. Hence (3) becomes

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+1})) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{0}, x_{1})) - \sum_{b=0}^{w_{0}-1} \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{b}, x_{b+1})) - \sum_{b=w_{0}}^{w-1} \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{b}, x_{b+1}))$$

$$\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{0}, x_{1})) - \sum_{b=w_{0}}^{w-1} a$$

$$= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{0}, x_{1})) - (w - w_{0})a.$$
(4)

For all $w \ge w_0$, taking limit as $n \to \infty$ in (4), we get

$$\lim_{w\to\infty}\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_w,x_{w+1})) \leq \lim_{w\to\infty} [\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_0,x_1)) - (w-w_0)a],$$

which gives $\lim_{w\to\infty} \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+1})) = -\infty$, and hence condition (2) of *property-F*^{*},

$$\lim_{w \to \infty} \mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+1}) = 0.$$
⁽⁵⁾

By using condition (3) of *property-* \mathcal{F}^{\star} , there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{w\to\infty} \left[\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+1}) \right]^k \mathscr{F} \left(\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+1}) \right) = 0.$$

From (4), we get

$$\left[\mathbb{T}(x_w, x_{w+1})\right]^k \mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{T}(x_w, x_{w+1})\right) \leq \left[\mathbb{T}(x_w, x_{w+1})\right]^k \left[\mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{T}(x_0, x_1)\right) - (w - w_0)a\right],$$

and hence,

$$\left[\mathbb{F}(x_{w},x_{w+1})\right]^{k}\left[\mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{F}(x_{w},x_{w+1})\right)-\mathscr{F}\left(\mathbb{F}(x_{0},x_{1})\right)\right]\leq-\left[\mathbb{F}(x_{w},x_{w+1})\right]^{k}(w-w_{0})a\leq0.$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{w\to\infty} \left[\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+1}) \right]^k (w - w_0) a = 0.$$

Then there exists $w_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $w \ge w_1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+1}) \le \frac{1}{[(w - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}}.$$
(6)

Now we shall prove that $\lim_{w\to\infty} \mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+2}) = 0$.

For all $w, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we assume that $x_w \neq x_m$. Consider the possibility that $x_w = x_m$ in the case of some $w = m + \gamma$, where $\gamma > 0$, thus $\mathcal{W} x_w = \mathcal{W} x_m$.

Consider

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_m, x_{m+1})) = \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+1}))$$

$$\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_w)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_w)).$$
(7)

Since $\liminf_{\sigma \to \theta^+} \xi(\sigma) > 0$, we have $\liminf_{w \to \infty} \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_w)) > 0$. Thus (7) will become

Thus (7) will become

$$\mathscr{F}ig(\mathbb{r}(x_m,x_{m+1})ig) \leq \mathscr{F}ig(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1},x_w)ig) - \xiig(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1},x_w)ig) \ < \mathscr{F}ig(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1},x_w)ig).$$

By continuing this process, we get

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_m, x_{m+1})) < \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{F}(x_m, x_{m+1})),$$
 a contradiction.

Therefore, for all $m, w \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{P}(x_m, x_w) > 0$.

Now we will prove $\lim_{w\to\infty} \mathbb{F}(x_w, x_{w+2}) = 0$.

In order to this, let us suppose

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}(x_1, x_3)\big) &= \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}(x_3, x_1)\big) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}\big(\mathscr{W}^2(\mathscr{W}x), \mathscr{W}x\big)\big) \\ &\leq \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}\big(\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}x), x\big)\big) - \xi\big(\mathbb{T}\big(\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W}x), x\big)\big) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}\big(\mathscr{W}^2x, x\big)\big) - \xi\big(\mathbb{T}\big(\mathscr{W}^2x, x\big)\big) \\ &= \mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{T}(x_2, x_0)\big) - \xi\big(\mathbb{T}(x_2, x_0)\big). \end{split}$$

This can be written as

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(x_1,x_3)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(x_0,x_2)) - \xi(\mathfrak{r}(x_0,x_2)).$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_2, x_4)) &= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_4, x_2)) \\ &= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^2(\mathscr{W}^2 x), \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{W} x)\right) \\ &\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^2 x\right), \mathscr{W} x\right)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{W}^2 x\right), \mathscr{W} x\right)) \\ &= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^3 x, \mathscr{W} x\right)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^3 x, \mathscr{W} x\right)) \\ &= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_3, x_1)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_3, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_2,x_4)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_1,x_3)) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_1,x_3)).$$

By repeating the same process, we get

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2})) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_{w+1})) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_{w+1}))$$

$$\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-2}, x_{w})) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-2}, x_{w})) - \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{w-1}, x_{w+1}))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(x_{0}, x_{2})) - \sum_{b=0}^{w-1} \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_{b}, x_{b+2})).$$
(8)

Since $\liminf_{\sigma \to \theta^+} \xi(\sigma) > 0$, we have $\liminf_{w \to \infty} \xi(\mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+2})) > 0$. Thus there exists $w_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and b > 0 such that, for all $w \ge w_0$, $\xi(\mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+2})) > b$. Hence (8) becomes

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(x_{w}, x_{w+2})) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(x_{0}, x_{2})) - \sum_{b=0}^{w_{0}-1} \xi(\mathbb{T}(x_{b}, x_{b+2})) - \sum_{b=w_{0}}^{w-1} \xi(\mathbb{T}(x_{b}, x_{b+2}))$$

$$\leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(x_{0}, x_{2})) - \sum_{b=w_{0}}^{w-1} b$$

$$= \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{T}(x_{0}, x_{2})) - (w - w_{0})b.$$
(9)

For all $w \ge w_0$, taking limit as $w \to \infty$ in (9) and by using condition (2) of *property*- \mathcal{F}^* , and doing the same process as we did for (4), we get

$$\lim_{w \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+2}) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Now our aim is to prove that $\{x_w\}$ is a Cauchy. In other words, it is represented as

 $\lim_{w,m\to\infty} \mathbb{r}(x_w,x_m) = 0, \quad \forall w,m\in\mathbb{N}.$

Denote $\mathbb{r}_p = \mathbb{r}(x_p, x_{p+1})$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Now split it into two cases.

Case 1: Assume that $m = w + 2\lambda + 1$ with $\lambda \ge 1$. By hypothesis, we have

 $\mathbb{r}(x_w, x_m) = \mathbb{r}(x_w, x_{w+2\lambda+1})$

 $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2})$

+ $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})$

- $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})$
- $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \mathbb{P}(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{P}(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+6}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+6}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})$
- $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$
 - + $\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$

```
+ \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})
    ÷
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \times \cdots \times \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})
     \times \left[\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\right]
     + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda})
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \times \cdots
     \times \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})
\leq \zeta(x_w, x_{w+1})\mathbb{F}_w + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2})\mathbb{F}_{w+1}
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})\mathbb{F}_{w+2}
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{r}_{w+3}
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}_{w+4}
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{r}_{w+5}
    :
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \times \cdots \times \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})
     \times \left[ \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \mathbb{r}_{w+2\lambda-2} + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}_{w+2\lambda-1} \right]
     + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \times \cdots
     \times \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})\mathbb{r}_{w+2\lambda}.
```

Thus,

 $\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_m)$

$$\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \frac{1}{[(w-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \frac{1}{[((w+1)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \\ + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \left[\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \frac{1}{[((w+2)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right] \\ + \zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \frac{1}{[((w+3)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right] \\ \vdots \\ + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \cdots \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \\ \times \left[\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda-1}) \frac{1}{[((w+2\lambda-2)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right] \\ + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \frac{1}{[((w+2\lambda-1)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right] \\ + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \cdots \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1})$$

$$\times \left[\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \frac{1}{[((w+2\lambda) - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda+1}, x_{w+2\lambda+2}) \frac{1}{[((w+2\lambda+1) - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right]$$

$$\leq \zeta(x_w, x_{w+1}) \frac{1}{[(w-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \frac{1}{[((w+1) - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \sum_{\flat=w+2}^{w+2\lambda} \prod_{j=w+2}^{\flat} \zeta(x_j, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \left[\zeta(x_{\flat}, x_{\flat+1}) \frac{1}{[(\flat - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{\flat+1}, x_{\flat+2}) \frac{1}{[((\flat + 1) - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right].$$

$$(11)$$

We simply utilise that $\zeta(x, y) \ge 1$.

Assume

$$S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{\flat=0}^{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=0}^{j=\flat} \zeta(x_j, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \bigg[\zeta(x_\flat, x_{\flat+1}) \frac{1}{[(\flat - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{\flat+1}, x_{\flat+2}) \frac{1}{[((\flat + 1) - w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \bigg].$$

Following that, we are able to express (11) as

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{w}, x_{m}) \leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+1}) \frac{1}{[(w-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \frac{1}{[((w+1)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + S_{m-1} - S_{w+1}.$$

Now, let

$$a_{b} = \prod_{j=0}^{b} \zeta(x_{j}, x_{m}) \bigg[\zeta(x_{b}, x_{b+1}) \frac{1}{[(b-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{b+1}, x_{b+2}) \frac{1}{[((b+1)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \bigg].$$

Since 0 < k < 1, $\frac{1}{[(b-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ and $\frac{1}{[((b+1)-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ converge, which yields a_b converges. Thus the series

$$\sum_{b=w+2}^{w+2\lambda} \prod_{j=w+2}^{b} \zeta(x_{j}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \left[\zeta(x_{b}, x_{b+1}) \frac{1}{[(b-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{b+1}, x_{b+2}) \frac{1}{[((b+1)-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right]$$

converges.

On the other hand, $\zeta(x_w, x_{w+1}) \frac{1}{[(w-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}}$, $\zeta(x_{w+1}, x_{w+2}) \frac{1}{[((w+1)-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ converges as $w \to \infty$. From (11), we conclude that $\lim_{w,m\to\infty} \mathbb{T}(x_w, x_m) = 0$. *Case* 2: Take $\rho = 2\lambda$ ($\lambda \ge 1$), thus

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2\lambda}) &\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \\ &+ \zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \\ &\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \\ &+ \zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \Big[\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \\ &+ \zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) + \zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \Big] \end{split}$$

 $= \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{P}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{P}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})$ $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) [\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})]$ + $\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) + \zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})$ $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})[\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})]$ + $\zeta(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7}) + \zeta(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda})$ $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7})\mathbb{P}(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda})$ $\leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) [\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+3}, x_{w+4})]$ + $\zeta(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+4}, x_{w+5})$ + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})[\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})\mathbb{T}(x_{w+5}, x_{w+6})]$ + $\zeta(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7}) \mathbb{r}(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7})$] + $\zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda})\mathbb{r}(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda})$.

Repeating this process and using the hypothesis, we get

$$\mathbb{F}(x_{w}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{F}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \frac{1}{[(w+2-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \left[\zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+4}) - \frac{1}{[(w+2-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right]$$

$$+ \zeta (x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \left[\zeta (x_{w+3}, x_{w+4}) \frac{1}{[(w+3-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta (x_{w+4}, x_{w+5}) \frac{1}{[(w+4-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right]$$

+ $\zeta (x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \zeta (x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \left[\zeta (x_{w+5}, x_{w+6}) \frac{1}{[(w+5-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right]$

1

$$+ \zeta(x_{w+6}, x_{w+7}) \frac{1}{[(w+6-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \cdots + \zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \cdots \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \times \left[\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-3}, x_{w+2\lambda-2}) \frac{1}{[(w+2\lambda-3-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-2}, x_{w+2\lambda-1}) \frac{1}{[(w+2\lambda-2-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right] + \zeta(x_{w+3}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+5}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+7}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \cdots \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-3}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \times \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda})\zeta(x_{w+2\lambda-1}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \frac{1}{[(w+2\lambda-1-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{w+2\lambda}, x_{w+2\lambda+1}) \frac{1}{[(w+2\lambda-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right].$$
(12)

Thus we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{w}, x_{m}) \leq \zeta(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) \mathbb{P}(x_{w}, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \frac{1}{[(w+2-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \sum_{b=w+3}^{b=w+2\lambda-1} \prod_{\eta=w+3}^{\eta=b} \zeta(x_{\eta}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \Big[\zeta(x_{b}, x_{b+1}) \frac{1}{[(b-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{b+1}, x_{b+2}) \frac{1}{[(b+1-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \Big].$$
(13)

We simply utilise that $\zeta(x, y) \ge 1$.

Assume

$$S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{b=0}^{b=\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{\eta=0}^{\eta=b} \zeta(x_{\eta}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \bigg[\zeta(x_{b}, x_{b+1}) \frac{1}{[(b-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{b+1}, x_{b+2}) \frac{1}{[(b+1-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \bigg].$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(x_w, x_m) \le \mathbb{P}(x_w, x_{w+2})\zeta(x_w, x_{w+2}) + \zeta(x_{w+2}, x_{w+3}) \frac{1}{[(w+2-w_0)a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + S_{m-1} - S_{w+2}.$$

Now let

$$b_{\flat} = \prod_{\eta=0}^{\flat} \zeta(x_{\eta}, x_{m}) \bigg[\zeta(x_{\flat}, x_{\flat+1}) \frac{1}{[(\flat - w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{\flat+1}, x_{\flat+2}) \frac{1}{[(\flat + 1 - w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \bigg].$$

With the help of (5), we can say that b_{\flat} converges. Thus the series

$$\sum_{\flat=w+3}^{\flat=w+2\lambda-1} \prod_{\eta=w+3}^{\eta=\flat} \zeta(x_{\eta}, x_{w+2\lambda}) \bigg[\zeta(x_{\flat}, x_{\flat+1}) \frac{1}{[(\flat-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \zeta(x_{\flat+1}, x_{\flat+2}) \frac{1}{[(\flat+1-w_{0})a]^{\frac{1}{k}}} \bigg]$$

converges.

From (10), $\zeta(x_w, x_{w+2})\mathbb{F}(x_w, x_{w+2})$ converges as $w \to \infty$. Thus, from (13), we can write

$$\lim_{w,m\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(x_w,x_m) = 0.$$

We conclude that the sequence x_w is a Cauchy sequence in the complete controlled rectangular metric space,

which yields $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C} \neq \emptyset$, as $\mathscr{W}^w x \to \sigma$, where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$.

Now we prove $\mathscr{W}\sigma = \sigma$. From *nonlinear cyclic orbital* $(\xi - \mathscr{F})$ *-contraction*, we have

$$\xi \left(\mathbb{P} \left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1} x, \sigma \right) \right) + \mathscr{F} \left(\mathbb{P} \left(\mathscr{W}^{2w} x, \mathscr{W} \sigma \right) \right) \leq \mathscr{F} \left(\mathbb{P} \left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1} x, \sigma \right) \right).$$

Applying $\lim_{w\to\infty}$ to the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{w\to\infty}\mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w}x,\mathscr{W}\sigma\right)\big) \leq \lim_{w\to\infty}\big[\mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,\sigma\right)\big) - \xi\big(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,\sigma\right)\big)\big],\\ &\lim_{w\to\infty}\mathscr{F}\big(\mathbb{r}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w}x,\mathscr{W}\sigma\right)\big) = -\infty, \end{split}$$

and hence from the property- \mathcal{F}^{\star} , we have

$$\lim_{w \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{W}^{2w} x \mathscr{W} \sigma \right) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma, \mathscr{W} \sigma\right) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma = \mathscr{W} \sigma.$$

Finally, we show that σ is a unique fixed point of \mathcal{W} . Suppose on the contrary that there exists a point $\beta \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\sigma \neq \beta$ and $\mathcal{W}\beta = \beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\beta,\sigma)) &= \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}\beta,\sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{w \to \infty} \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}^{2w}x,\mathscr{W}\beta)) \\ &\leq \left[\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,\beta)) - \xi(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}^{2w-1}x,\beta))\right] \\ &= \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\sigma,\beta)) - \xi(\mathfrak{r}(\sigma,\beta)) \\ &< \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\sigma,\beta)), \end{aligned}$$
(14)

which is a contradiction. Hence $\sigma = \beta$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2 Let \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} be nonempty subsets of CRMS $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{r})$ with property \mathscr{F}^* . Suppose that \mathscr{W} is a cyclic mapping from $\mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$ to $\mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$ such that

For every
$$x \in \mathfrak{B}$$
 and $y \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\xi(\mathfrak{r}(x,y)) + \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}x,\mathscr{W}y)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(x,y)).$ (15)

Take $x_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $x_w = \mathscr{W}^w x_0$. For $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x_w, x)$, $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x, x_w)$ and $\lim_{w \to +\infty} \zeta(x_w, x_m)$ exist and are finite. Then there will be a fixed point that is unique in $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$.

Proof Take $x_0 \in \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$. Moreover, define $x_w = \mathscr{W}^w x_0$. Thus, for $x_0 \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$, $\xi(\mathfrak{r}(x_0, x_1)) + \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}x_0, \mathscr{W}x_1)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(x_0, x_1))$,

i.e.,
$$\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathscr{W}x_1,x_2)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{r}(x_0,x_1)) - \xi(\mathfrak{r}(x_0,x_1)).$$

We can determine that, by induction,

$$\mathscr{F}ig(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{W}x_w,x_{w+1})ig) \leq \mathscr{F}ig(\mathbb{r}(x_0,x_1)ig) - \sum_{\flat=1}^w \xiig(\mathbb{r}(x_{\flat-1},x_\flat)ig) \quad ext{for all } w \geq 0.$$

By using the same pattern as in the above theorem, one can prove that $\{\mathscr{W}^w x\}$ converges to some point $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$. Note that an infinite number of terms of the sequence $\{\mathscr{W}^w x\}$ lie in \mathfrak{B} and an infinite number of terms lie in \mathfrak{C} . Thus $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$. So $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C} \neq \phi$.

Since \mathscr{W} is cyclic, $\mathscr{W}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathscr{W}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ lead to $\mathscr{W} : \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$. Thus (15) implies that \mathscr{W} restricted to $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$ is a cyclic \mathscr{F} -contractive mapping. Hence the defined contractive mapping applies to \mathscr{W} on $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$. Hence one can easily prove that \mathscr{W} has a unique fixed point in $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}$.

3 Connecting fixed point elements to nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equations

We utilise our Theorem 2.2 to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear fractional differential equation of multi-order. An interesting feature about our result is that continuity is no longer needed. The nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equation is studied in the current section:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma,\theta(\varsigma)), \quad \varsigma \in \mathcal{J} = [0,\mathcal{A}], \mathcal{A} > 0.$$
(16)

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) &= \gamma_w \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_w} + \gamma_{w-1} \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w-1}} + \dots + \gamma_1 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} + \gamma_0 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_0}, \\ \gamma_\flat \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\flat = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_w \neq 0, \qquad 0 \le \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_{w-1} < \delta_w < 1, \end{aligned}$$

with the periodic boundary condition

$$\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A}) \tag{17}$$

and the anti-periodic boundary condition

$$\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A}). \tag{18}$$

Theorem 3.1 Under the following assumptions, boundary value problem (16)-(17) and (16)-(18) has a unique solution.

 (\mathcal{A}_1) . For all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we have

$$\psi(\varsigma) \le \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \varphi(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \tag{19}$$

and

$$\varphi(\varsigma) \ge \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \psi(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

- (A_2). For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, σ is a decreasing function, that is, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \ge y \Rightarrow \sigma(\varkappa, x) \le \sigma(\varkappa, y)$.
- $(\mathcal{A}_3). \ \sup_{\varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}]} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \, d\varkappa \leq 1.$
- (\mathcal{A}_4). For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(x \le \varphi_0 \text{ and } y \ge \psi_0)$ or $(x \ge \psi_0 \text{ and } y \le \varphi_0)$ for $\psi_0, \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- $(\mathcal{A}_{5}). |\sigma(\varsigma, y) \sigma(\varsigma, x)| \leq \frac{1}{\mathscr{G}} |y x|e^{-\frac{1}{|y-x|}}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, where \tilde{\mathscr{G}} = \sup\{\int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)| d\varkappa, \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]\}; here the function \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}] \mapsto \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)| d\varkappa \text{ is continuous on } [0, \mathscr{A}].$
- (\mathcal{A}_6). The mapping σ from $\mathscr{J} \times \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{R} is continuous.
- (\mathcal{A}_7). Define the set $\mathcal{C} = \{\theta \in \mathbb{C}([0, \mathscr{A}]) | \psi \leq \theta(\varsigma) \leq \varphi \text{ for all } \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}] \}.$

Proof Let $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ represent the set of all continuous functions from $\mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}]$ into \mathbb{R} with the norm

$$\|\theta\| = \sup\{|\theta(\varsigma)|^2; \varsigma \in \mathcal{J}\}, \quad \mathcal{A} > 0.$$

 $\mathbb{C}^{w}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ represents the set of all functions characterised on $\mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}], \mathcal{A} > 0$. *Applying periodic boundary condition: Case*-1: w = 1 and $\psi_0 = 0$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)-(17) reduces to

$$\gamma_1 {}^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} \theta(\varsigma) + \gamma_0 \theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)),$$
(21)

 $\varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0, \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 \neq 0$, the boundary conditions that are periodic $\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A})$ yield the equation for the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa,$$

under which $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)$ is the subsequent Green function

$$\mathcal{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}},\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}},\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}},\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}},\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}. \end{cases}$$

Case-2: $w \ge 2$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)-(17)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)), \quad \varsigma \in \mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}], \mathcal{A} > 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) = \gamma_w^{\ c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_w} + \gamma_{w-1}^{\ c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w-1}} + \cdots + \gamma_1^{\ c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} + \gamma_0^{\ c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_0},$$

$$\gamma_{\flat} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\flat = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_{\flat} \neq 0, \qquad 0 \leq \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_{w-1} < \delta_w < 1,$$

the boundary conditions that are periodic

$$\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A})$$

yield the equation for the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa,$$

under which $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the subsequent Green function:

For $0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \bigg[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{1 - \sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0} \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right). \end{split}$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \Bigg[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{1 - \sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r}}^{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0} \geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2} \geq 0} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{b=0} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta}\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r\\ a_{0} \geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2} \geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the terms $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{w-2})$ are the so-called the multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j - \delta_q + 1, \\ \lambda &= \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j. \end{split}$$

Applying anti-periodic boundary condition:

Case-1: w = 1, $\delta_0 = 0$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)-(17) reduces to

$$\gamma_1 {}^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} \theta(\varsigma) + \gamma_0 \theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)),$$
(22)

 $\varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0, \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 \neq 0$, the boundary conditions that are anti-periodic $\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A})$ yield the equation for the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa,$$

under which $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the subsequent Green function:

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}. \end{cases}$$

Case-2: $w \ge 2$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma))$, the boundary conditions that are anti-periodic $\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A})$ yield the equation for the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa,$$

under which $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the subsequent Green function:

For $0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \left[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{1+\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathcal{G}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\mathcal{G}^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathcal{G}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\mathcal{G}^{\delta}\right)}}{\sum_{r=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathcal{G}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\mathcal{G}^{\delta}\right)} \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}^{w} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r \\ a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})$$

$$\times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right).$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \left[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=0} \right] \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right), \end{split}$$

where the terms $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{w-2})$ are the so-called the multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j - \delta_q + 1, \\ \lambda &= \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j. \end{split}$$

Now consider the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa,$$

where (17) and (18) are the boundary conditions and $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the Green function referring to those ailments accordingly, as given above, and the function $\sigma : [0, \mathscr{A}] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{C}([0, \mathscr{A}])$ be the set of real-valued continuous functions from $\mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}]$ into \mathbb{R} . We endow \mathbb{X} with $\mathbb{P}(g, h) = \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{J}} |g(\varsigma) - h(\varsigma)|^2 = ||g(\varsigma) - h(\varsigma)||$ for all $g, h \in \mathbb{X}$. Define $\zeta : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \to [1, \infty)$ by

$$\zeta\left(\zeta_1(\varsigma),\zeta_2(\varsigma)\right) = \begin{cases} 3 + \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{J}} |\zeta_1(\varsigma) - \zeta_2(\varsigma)|, & \text{if } \zeta_1(\varsigma) \neq \zeta_2(\varsigma), \\ 3, & \text{if } \zeta_1(\varsigma) = \zeta_2(\varsigma). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (X, \mathbb{r}) is a CRMS.

Let us define $\mathscr{F}: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ by $\mathscr{F}(z) = \log z, z > 0$ and $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $(\delta, \varrho) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, $(\delta_0, \varrho_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\delta_0 \le \delta(\varsigma) \le \varrho(\varsigma) \le \varrho_0 \quad \text{for all } \varsigma \in \mathscr{J}.$$
⁽²³⁾

Define the closed subsets of \mathbb{X} , \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} by

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X}/\theta(\varsigma) \le \varrho \right\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X}/\theta(\varsigma) \ge \delta \right\}.$$

Define the mapping $\mathscr{A} : \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{J}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{J}, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\bigr) d\varkappa \quad \text{for all } \varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$$

We shall prove that

$$\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}.$$
 (24)

Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \leq \varrho(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{A}_2) of our assumption, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\bigr) \ge \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa)\bigr) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}],\tag{25}$$

as $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \geq 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$.

The above inequality with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \ge \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \ge \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Similarly, let $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \ge \delta(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{A}_2) of our assumption and since $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \ge 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) \le \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa)) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}].$$
(26)

The above inequality with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa \leq \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa \leq \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$.

$$\begin{split} & \text{Hence } \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \text{ and } \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}. \\ & \text{Now, let } \theta \in \mathfrak{B} \text{ and } \mu \in \mathfrak{C}, \text{ that is, for all } \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}], \end{split}$$

$$\theta(\varsigma) \leq \varrho(\varsigma), \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \geq \delta(\varsigma).$$

This implies that for all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$,

$$\theta(\varsigma) \leq \varrho_0, \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \geq \delta_0.$$

Now, by using conditions (A_3) and (A_5),

$$\begin{split} \left| (\mathscr{A}\theta)(\varsigma) - (\mathscr{A}\mu)(\varsigma) \right|^{2} \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa - \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\mu(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \right|^{2} \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) [\sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa,\mu(\varkappa)\right)] d\varkappa \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) d\varkappa \right|^{2} |\sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa,\mu(\varkappa)\right)|^{2} \\ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) d\varkappa \right|^{2} \frac{1}{(\mathscr{G})^{2}} |\theta(\varkappa) - \mu(\varkappa)|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{|\theta(\varkappa) - \mu(\varkappa)|^{2}}} \\ &\leq \left| \sup \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) d\varkappa \right|^{2} \frac{1}{(\mathscr{G})^{2}} \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{F}} |\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{\sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{F}} |\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)|^{2}}} \\ &\leq (\mathscr{G})^{2} \frac{1}{(\mathscr{G})^{2}} \mathbb{r} \left(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{r}^{(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))}}} \\ &\leq \mathbb{r} \left(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{r}^{(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))}}}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\sup |(\mathscr{A}\theta)(\varsigma) - (\mathscr{A}\mu)(\varsigma)|^2 \le e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(\theta(\varsigma),\mu(\varsigma))}} \mathbb{P}(\theta(\varsigma),\mu(\varsigma)).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta,\mathscr{A}\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma),\mu(\varsigma))}}\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma),\mu(\varsigma)).$$

Applying log on both sides, we get

$$\begin{split} &\log \Big[\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu) \Big] \leq \log \Big[e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))}} \mathbb{r} \big(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma) \big) \Big] \\ \Rightarrow & \log \Big[\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu) \Big] \leq \log \Big[\mathbb{r} \big(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma) \big) \Big] + \log \Big[e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))}} \Big] \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \log[\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu)] \leq \log[\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))] - \frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta(\varsigma), \mu(\varsigma))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)) - \frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)} + \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu))$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \xi(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)) + \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)).$$

A similar method can be used to demonstrate that the inequality mentioned above is true if we take $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus, by our Theorem 2.2, \mathscr{A} has a unique fixed point, as it satisfied all the conditions of Theorem 2.2.

We deduce that \mathscr{A} has a unique fixed point $\theta^* \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{C}$, that is, $\theta^* \in \mathcal{C}$ is the unique solution to boundary value problem (16)–(17) and (16)–(18). More importantly, we have utilised our fixed point result to demonstrate the existence of solution to nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equation with boundary conditions that are periodic/anti-periodic in the context of CRMS without considering the property of continuity.

Theorem 3.2 Under the following assumptions, boundary value problem (16)-(17) and (16)-(18) has a unique solution.

(C_1). For all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we have

$$\psi(\varsigma) \le \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \varphi(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \tag{27}$$

and

$$\varphi(\varsigma) \ge \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \psi(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa.$$
(28)

- (C₂). For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, σ is a decreasing function, that is, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \ge y \Rightarrow \sigma(\varkappa, x) \le \sigma(\varkappa, y)$.
- (C_3). $\sup_{\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) d\varkappa \leq 1.$
- (C₄). For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(x \le \varphi_0 \text{ and } y \ge \psi_0)$ or $(x \ge \psi_0 \text{ and } y \le \varphi_0)$ for $\psi_0, \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (C₅). There exists a strictly increasing sequence $(\ell_w)_{w \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ satisfying $w_0 = 0$, $\ell_w \ge 1$, $\ell_w \ell_{w-1} \le 1$ for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_w \to \infty$ such that, for any $w \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left|\sigma(\varsigma, y) - \sigma(\varsigma, x)\right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\tilde{\mathscr{G}})^2} \frac{1}{e^{x_w - (x_{w-1})^2}} |y - x|^2$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varsigma \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $|y-x| < x_w e^{\mathscr{A}}$, where $\tilde{\mathscr{G}} = \sup\{\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)| d\varkappa$, $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]\}.$

- (C_6). σ is a mapping from $\mathscr{J} \times \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{R} is continuous.
- (C_7). Define the set $C = \{\theta \in \mathbb{C}([0, \mathscr{A}]) | \psi \leq \theta(\varsigma) \leq \varphi \text{ for all } \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}] \}.$

Proof Let $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ represent the set of all continuous functions from $\mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}]$ into \mathbb{R} with the norm

$$\|\theta\| = \sup\{|\theta(\varsigma)|^2; \varsigma \in \mathcal{J}\}, \quad \mathcal{A} > 0.$$

 $\mathbb{C}^{w}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ represents the set of all real-valued functions characterised on $\mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}]$, $\mathcal{A} > 0$ consisting of continuous derivatives.

Applying periodic boundary condition:

Case-1: w = 1 and $\psi_0 = 0$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)-(17) reduces to

$$\gamma_1^{\ c} \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} \theta(\varsigma) + \gamma_0 \theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)), \tag{29}$$

 $\varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0, \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 \neq 0$, with boundary condition that is periodic $\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A})$ and is equal to the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa.$$

The following Green function, where $\mathscr{G}(\mathfrak{h}, \varkappa)$, is used:

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \le \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \le \varkappa < \mathscr{A}, \end{cases}$$

Case-2: $w \ge 2$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)-(17)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)), \quad \varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0;$$

here,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) &= \gamma_w \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_w} + \gamma_{w-1} \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w-1}} + \dots + \gamma_1 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} + \gamma_0 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_0}, \\ \gamma_\flat \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\flat = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_\flat \neq 0, \qquad 0 \leq \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_{w-1} < \delta_w < 1, \end{split}$$

with boundary condition that is periodic

$$\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A}),$$

equal to the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\bigl(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)\bigr) d\varkappa,$$

where $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the following Green function:

For $0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \left[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{1 - \sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0} \right] \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \Bigg[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{1-\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0}\geq 0,\ldots,a_{w-2}\geq 0} \Bigg] \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \Bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right), \end{split}$$

where the terms $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{w-2})$ are the so-called the multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j - \delta_q + 1, \\ \lambda &= \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j. \end{split}$$

Applying anti-periodic boundary condition: *Case*-1: w = 1, $\delta_0 = 0$. The nonlinear fractional differential equation (16)–(17) reduces to

$$\gamma_1 {}^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} \theta(\varsigma) + \gamma_0 \theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma)), \tag{30}$$

 $\varsigma \in \mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}], \mathscr{A} > 0, \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 \neq 0$, has a boundary condition that is anti-periodic $\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A})$ and equivalent to the fractional integral equation

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa,$$

where $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the following Green function:

$$\mathcal{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A} \end{cases}$$

Case-2: $w \ge 2$.

The nonlinear fractional differential equation $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma))$ with the boundary value condition that is anti-periodic $\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A})$ is equal to the fractional integral

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\bigr) \, d\varkappa,$$

where $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the following Green function:

For $0 \le \varkappa < \varsigma$, $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \left[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w}(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}})\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!}\sum_{a_{0}\ge 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\ge r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{1+\sum_{q=0}^{w}(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}})\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!}\sum_{a_{0}\ge 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\ge r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}\right]$

$$\begin{array}{l} \times \prod_{k=0}^{w-2} (\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}})^{a_{b}} \zeta^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} (-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \zeta^{\delta})}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} (\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}})^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} (-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \zeta^{\delta})}{\prod_{w=0}^{w-2} (\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}})^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} (-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \zeta^{\delta})} \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\ldots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ \times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\ldots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ \times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right). \end{array}$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \left[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r}(r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{1 + \sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r}(r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} \right] \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r + \varrho - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta, \varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta}\right)}}{s_{w}} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})$$

$$\times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})$$

$$\times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right),$$

where the terms $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{w-2})$ are the so-called the multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j - \delta_q + 1, \\ \lambda &= \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j. \end{split}$$

Now assume the fractional integral equation

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa,$$

where $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the Green function corresponding to the boundary conditions (17) and (18), respectively, as given above, and the function $\sigma : [0, \mathscr{A}] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{C}([0, \mathscr{A}])$ be the set of real-valued continuous functions from $\mathscr{J} = [0, \mathscr{A}]$ into \mathbb{R} . We endow \mathbb{X} with the $\mathbb{r}(g, h) = \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{J}} |g(\varsigma) - h(\varsigma)|^2 = ||g(\varsigma) - h(\varsigma)||$ for all $g, h \in \mathbb{X}$. Define $\zeta : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \to [1, \infty)$ by

$$\zeta\left(\zeta_{1}(\varsigma),\zeta_{2}(\varsigma)\right) = \begin{cases} 3 + \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathscr{J}} |\zeta_{1}(\varsigma) - \zeta_{2}(\varsigma)|, & \text{if } \zeta_{1}(\varsigma) \neq \zeta_{2}(\varsigma), \\ 3, & \text{if } \zeta_{1}(\varsigma) = \zeta_{2}(\varsigma). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (X, r) is a CRMS.

Let $(\delta, \varrho) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, $(\delta_0, \varrho_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\delta_0 \le \delta(\varsigma) \le \varrho(\varsigma) \le \varrho_0 \quad \text{for all } \varsigma \in \mathscr{J}.$$
(31)

Define the closed subsets of \mathbb{X} , \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} by

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X}/\theta(\varsigma) \le \varrho \right\} \text{ and}$$
$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X}/\theta(\varsigma) \ge \delta \right\}.$$

Define the mapping $\mathscr{A} : \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{J}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{J}, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) d\varkappa \quad \text{for all } \varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$$
(32)

We shall prove that

$$\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}.$$
 (33)

Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \leq \varrho(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{C}_2) of our assumption, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) \ge \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa)) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}],\tag{34}$$

as $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \geq 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$.

The above inequality (34) with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \ge \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa)\right) d\varkappa \ge \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Similarly, let $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \ge \delta(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{C}_2) of our assumption and since $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \ge 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) \le \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\left(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa)\right) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}]. \tag{35}$$

Inequality (35) with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa \leq \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa)) \, d\varkappa \leq \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Hence we proved (33), that is, $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Now, let $\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{C}$, that is, for all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$,

$$\theta(\varsigma) \leq \varrho(\varsigma), \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \geq \delta(\varsigma).$$

This implies from the hypothesis that for all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$,

$$\theta(\varsigma) \leq \varrho_0, \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \geq \delta_0.$$

A fixed point of the operator \mathscr{A} in (32) will be the solution of (16)–(17) and (16)–(18), *i.e.*, nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equation with periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions.

Let us define $\mathscr{F}: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $F(a) = \log a$ for a > 0 and $\xi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ by

$$\xi(\varsigma) = \begin{cases} -\varsigma + \ell_1, & 0 < \varsigma < \ell_1, \\ -\varsigma + \ell_w, & \ell_{w-1} < \varsigma < \ell_w, w \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Fix $w \ge 2$.

For any $\theta(\varsigma)$, $\mu(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\ell_{w-1} < |\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)| < \ell_w$. By using (\mathcal{C}_3) and (\mathcal{C}_5) ,

$$\begin{split} \left|\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma)\right|^2 &\leq \left|\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \,d\varkappa\right|^2 \left|\sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa,\mu(\varkappa)\right)\right|^2 \\ &\leq \left|\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \,d\varkappa\right|^2 \frac{1}{(\tilde{\mathscr{G}})^2} \frac{1}{e^{\ell_w - (\ell_{w-1})^2}} \left|\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)\right|^2 \\ &\leq (\tilde{\mathscr{G}})^2 \frac{1}{(\tilde{\mathscr{G}})^2} \frac{1}{e^{\ell_w - (\ell_{w-1})^2}} \left|\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)\right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{e^{\ell_w - (\ell_{w-1})^2}} \left|\theta(\varsigma) - \mu(\varsigma)\right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{\ell_w - \ell_{w-1}^2}} |\theta - \mu|^2 \\ &< \frac{|\theta - \mu|^2}{e^{\ell_w - |\theta - \mu|^2}}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \left|\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma)\right|^{2} &\leq \frac{|\theta - \mu|^{2}}{e^{\ell_{w} - |\theta - \mu|^{2}}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad e^{\ell_{w} - |\theta - \mu|^{2}} &\leq \frac{|\theta - \mu|^{2}}{|\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma)|^{2}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \ell_{w} - |\theta - \mu|^{2} &\leq \log \frac{|\theta - \mu|^{2}}{|\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma)|^{2}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \ell_{w} - |\theta - \mu|^{2} + \log |\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma)|^{2} &\leq \log |\theta - \mu|^{2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \xi \left(|\theta - \mu|^{2}\right) + \mathscr{F} \left(|\mathscr{A}\theta - \mathscr{A}\mu|^{2}\right) \leq \mathscr{F} \left(|\theta - \mu|^{2}\right), \\ \xi \left(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)\right) + \mathscr{F} \left(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta, \mathscr{A}\mu)\right) \leq \mathscr{F} \left(\mathbb{r}(\theta, \mu)\right) \end{split}$$
(36)

for all $\theta, \mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\ell_{w-1} \leq |\theta - \mu| < \ell_w$ when $w \geq 2$ for w = 1.

One can easily prove as above that (36) is satisfied for all $\theta, \mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $0 < |\theta - \mu| < \ell_1$.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Hence \mathscr{A} has a unique solution, *i.e.*, nonlinear multi-order differential equation with periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions has a unique solution.

4 Connecting fixed point elements to nonlinear multi-term fractional delay differential equations

In this section our Theorem 2.2 is used to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear multi-term fractional delay differential equations:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})\theta(\varsigma) = \sigma\left(\varsigma, \theta(\varsigma), \theta(\varsigma - \tau)\right), \quad \varsigma \in \mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{A}], \mathcal{A} > 0;$$

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \bar{\sigma}(\varsigma), \quad \varsigma \in [-\tau, 0].$$

$$(37)$$

Here,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}) &= \gamma_w \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_w} + \gamma_{w-1} \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_{w-1}} + \dots + \gamma_1 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_1} + \gamma_0 \,^c \mathcal{D}^{\delta_0}, \\ \gamma_b \in \mathbb{R} \quad (b = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, w), \qquad \gamma_w \neq 0, \qquad 0 \leq \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_{w-1} < \delta_w < 1, \end{split}$$

and ${}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\delta}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order δ . Moreover, $\sigma : [0, \mathscr{A}] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{\sigma} : [-\tau, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous with the periodic boundary condition

$$\theta(0) = \theta(\mathscr{A}) \tag{38}$$

and the anti-periodic boundary condition

$$\theta(0) = -\theta(\mathscr{A}). \tag{39}$$

Problem (37)–(38) is equivalent to the integral equations for w = 1 and $\delta_0 = 0$ as well as $w \ge 2$.

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)) \, d\varkappa, & \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}], \\ \bar{\sigma}(\varsigma), & \varsigma \in [-\tau, 0]. \end{cases}$$

The Green function for problem (37)–(38) when w = 1 and $\delta_0 = 0$ is

$$\mathcal{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1-\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{A}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}. \end{cases}$$

The Green function for problem (37)–(38) when $w \ge 2$ is, for $0 \le \varkappa < \varsigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \left[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{1-\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r\\a_{0}\geq 0,\dots,a_{w-2}\geq 0}} (r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})} \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A} - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} + a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r \\ a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2}) \\ & \times \prod_{\flat=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\flat}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{\flat}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta r + \lambda - 1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma - \varkappa)^{\delta}\right). \end{split}$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \Bigg[\frac{\sum_{q=0}^{w} \binom{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} r(r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{1 - \sum_{q=0}^{w} \binom{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} r(r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2})}{a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \binom{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \binom{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}} \frac{\sigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \binom{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}} \frac{\sigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{r_{w}} \Bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \neq a_{1} + \dots + a_{w-2} = r\\ a_{0} \geq 0, \dots, a_{w-2} \geq 0}} (r; a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \left(\mathscr{A} - \varkappa\right)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \left(\mathscr{A} - \varkappa\right)^{\delta}\right), \end{split}$$

where $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{w-2}) = \frac{r!}{a_0!, a_1!, a_2!, \dots, a_{w-2}!}$ are the so-called the multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j - \delta_q + 1, \\ \lambda &= \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j. \end{split}$$

Problem (37)–(39) is equivalent to the integral equation for w = 1 and $\delta_0 = 0$ as well as for $w \ge 2$.

$$\theta(\varsigma) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)) d\varkappa, & \varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}], \\ \bar{\sigma}(\varsigma), & \varsigma \in [-\tau, 0], \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}) \\ +\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \varkappa < \varsigma, \\ \left[\frac{(-1)\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\varsigma^{\delta_{1}})}{1+\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},1}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}\mathscr{I}^{\delta_{1}})}\right]\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}-1}\mathcal{E}_{\delta_{1},\delta_{1}}(-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{1}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta_{1}}), & \text{for } \varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}. \end{cases}$$

The Green function for problem (37)–(39) when $w \ge 2$ is, for $0 \le \varkappa < \varsigma$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \bigg[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{q-2}=0} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\varsigma^{\delta}\right)}{\prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \mathscr{A}^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}\varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2})}{a_{0}\geq 0,\ldots,a_{w-2}\geq 0} \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}(\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{w-2}) \\ &\times \prod_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}}(\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right). \end{split}$$

For $\varsigma \leq \varkappa < \mathscr{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) &= \bigg[\frac{(-1)\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{1+\sum_{q=0}^{w} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q}}{\gamma_{w}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{\sum_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} \varsigma^{\delta r+\varrho-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\varrho}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} \varsigma^{\delta}\right)} \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}^{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{\sum_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}^{(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{\sum_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\mathscr{A}-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma_{w}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r!} \sum_{a_{0}+a_{1}+\dots+a_{w-2}=r}^{(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}(r;a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},\dots,a_{w-2})}{\sum_{b=0}^{w-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{b}}{\gamma_{w}}\right)^{a_{b}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta r+\lambda-1} \mathcal{E}_{\delta,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(-\frac{\gamma_{w-1}}{\gamma_{w}} (\varsigma-\varkappa)^{\delta}\right)} \right] \end{split}$$

where the terms $(r; a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{w-2}) = \frac{r!}{a_0!, a_1!, a_2!, ..., a_{w-2}!}$ are the so-called multinomial coefficients; here, moreover,

$$\delta = \delta_w - \delta_{w-1}, \qquad \varrho = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j)a_j - \delta_q + 1,$$

$$\lambda = \delta_w + \sum_{j=0}^{w-2} (\delta_{w-1} - \delta_j) a_j.$$

Theorem 4.1 Under the following assumptions, boundary value problem (37)-(38) and (37)-(39) has a unique solution.

- $(\mathcal{D}_1). \ Let \ \tilde{\mathscr{G}} = \sup\{\int_0^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)| \, d\varkappa, \varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}]\}, \ where \ the \ function \ \varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}] \mapsto \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)| \, d\varkappa \ is \ continuous \ on \ [0,\mathscr{A}].$
- $(\mathcal{D}_2). \text{ Define the set } \mathcal{C} = \{\theta \in \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}]) / \psi \leq \theta(\varsigma) \leq \varphi \text{ for all } \varsigma \in [-\tau, \mathscr{A}] \}.$
- (\mathcal{D}_3) . The function $\sigma : \mathscr{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
- (\mathcal{D}_4) . For all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we have

$$\psi(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \varphi(\varkappa), \varphi(\varkappa - \tau)\right) d\varkappa$$
(40)

and

$$\varphi(\varsigma) \ge \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \psi(\varkappa), \psi(\varkappa - \tau)\right) d\varkappa.$$
(41)

- (\mathcal{D}_5) . For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, σ is a decreasing function, that is, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \ge y \Rightarrow \sigma(\varkappa, x) \le \sigma(\varkappa, y)$.
- $(\mathcal{D}_6). \sup_{\varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}]} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \, d\varkappa \leq 1.$
- (\mathcal{D}_7). For all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(x \le \varphi_0 \text{ and } y \ge \psi_0)$ or $(x \ge \psi_0 \text{ and } y \le \varphi_0)$ for $\psi_0, \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (\mathcal{D}_8) . Assume that $|\sigma(\varsigma, x_1, y) \sigma(\varsigma, x_2, y)| \le |x_1 x_2|e^{\frac{1}{|x_1 x_2|}} \frac{1}{d_{\tilde{e}}}$.

Proof Let $\mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all continuous functions defined on $[-\tau, \mathscr{A}]$ into \mathbb{R} with the norm $\|\theta\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|\theta(\varsigma)|^2/\varsigma \in [-\tau, \mathscr{A}]\}, \mathscr{A} > 0.$

Here, $\mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R}) = \{\theta(\varsigma)/\theta : [-\tau, \mathscr{A}] \to \mathbb{R}\}.$

Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R})$, we endow \mathbb{X} with

$$\mathbb{T}(a,b) = \sup_{\varsigma \in [-\tau,\mathscr{A}]} |a(\varsigma) - b(\varsigma)|^2 = ||a(\varsigma) - b(\varsigma)||_{\infty} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Define $\zeta : \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R}) \to [1, \infty)$ by

$$\zeta\left(\zeta_{1}(\varsigma),\zeta_{2}(\varsigma)\right) = \begin{cases} 3 + \sup_{\varsigma \in [-\tau,\mathscr{A}]} |\zeta_{1}(\varsigma),\zeta_{2}(\varsigma)|, & \text{if } \zeta_{1}(\varsigma) \neq \zeta_{2}(\varsigma), \\ 3, & \text{if } \zeta_{1}(\varsigma) \neq \zeta_{2}(\varsigma). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{F}) is a CRMS. Let us define $\mathscr{F} : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\mathscr{F}(s) = \log s, s > 0$ and $\xi(z) = \frac{1}{z}, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $(\delta, \varrho) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}$, $(\delta_0, \varrho_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\delta_0 \le \delta(\varsigma) \le \varrho(\varsigma) \le \varrho_0 \quad \text{for all } \varsigma \in \mathscr{J}.$$
(42)

Define the closed subsets of \mathbb{X} , \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{C} by

$$\mathfrak{B} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X}/\theta(\varsigma) \le \varrho \right\}$$
 and

$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ \theta(\varsigma) \in \mathbb{X} / \theta(\varsigma) \ge \delta \right\}.$$

Define the operator $\mathscr{A} : \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}([-\tau, \mathscr{A}], \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa),\theta(\varkappa-\tau)) \, d\varkappa, & \text{for all } \varsigma \in [0,\mathscr{A}], \\ \overline{\sigma}(\varsigma), & \varsigma \in [-\tau,0], \end{cases}$$

where $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)$ is the Green function of the corresponding boundary value problem.

We shall prove that

$$\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}.$$
 (43)

Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \leq \varrho(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{D}_2) of our assumption, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa),\theta(\varkappa-\tau)\bigr) \ge \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\bigl(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa),\varrho(\varkappa-\tau)\bigr) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}] \quad (44)$$

as $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \geq 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$.

The above inequality with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa),\theta(\varkappa-\tau)\right) d\varkappa \geq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\varrho(\varkappa),\varrho(\varkappa-\tau)\right) d\varkappa \geq \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma,\varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Similarly, let $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$, that is, $\theta(\varkappa) \ge \delta(\varkappa)$ for all $\varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$. By using (\mathcal{D}_2) of our assumption and since $\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \ge 0$ for all $\varsigma, \varkappa \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$, we get

$$\mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa),\theta(\varkappa-\tau)\right) \leq \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa)\sigma\left(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa),\delta(\varkappa-\tau)\right) \quad \text{for all } \varsigma,\varkappa\in[0,\mathscr{A}].$$
(45)

The above inequality with hypothesis implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\theta(\varkappa),\theta(\varkappa-\tau)\right) d\varkappa \leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma,\varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa,\delta(\varkappa),\delta(\varkappa-\tau)\right) d\varkappa \leq \delta(\varsigma)$$

for all $\varsigma,\varkappa \in [0,\mathscr{A}].$

Thus $\mathscr{A}\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Hence $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Now, let $\theta \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{C}$, that is, for all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$,

$$\theta(\varsigma) \le \varrho(\varsigma), \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \ge \delta(\varsigma).$$

This implies that for all $\varsigma \in [0, \mathscr{A}]$,

$$\theta(\varsigma) \leq \varrho_0, \qquad \mu(\varsigma) \geq \delta_0.$$

Let $\theta(\varsigma) \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mu(\varsigma) \in \mathfrak{C}$.

By using conditions (\mathcal{D}_6) and (\mathcal{D}_8),

$$\begin{split} \left| \mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma) \right|^{2} \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right) d\varkappa - \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \mu(\varkappa - \tau)\right) d\varkappa \right|^{2} \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) [\sigma\left(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \mu(\varkappa - \tau)\right)\right] d\varkappa \right|^{2} \\ &= \left(\left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) [\sigma\left(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right)\right] + \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \mu(\varkappa - \tau)\right)\right] d\varkappa \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa)| [\sigma\left(\varkappa, \theta(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right) - \sigma\left(\varkappa, \mu(\varkappa), \theta(\varkappa - \tau)\right)\right] d\varkappa \right| \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\left| \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) d\varkappa \right|^{2} |\theta(\varkappa) - \mu(\varkappa)|^{2} \frac{1}{(\mathscr{G})^{2}} e^{-\frac{|\theta(\varkappa) - \mu(\varkappa)|^{2}}{|\theta(\varkappa) - \mu(\varkappa)|^{2}}} \\ &\leq \left| \sup \int_{0}^{\mathscr{A}} |\mathscr{G}(\varsigma, \varkappa) d\varkappa \right|^{2} |\theta(\varkappa), \mu(\varkappa)| e^{-\frac{1}{|(\mathscr{G}), \mu(\varkappa)|}} \\ &\leq (\mathscr{G})^{2} \frac{1}{(\mathscr{G})^{2}} \mathbb{r} \left(\theta(\varkappa), \mu(\varkappa) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{|(\mathscr{G}), \mu(\varkappa)|}}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\sup \left| \mathscr{A}\theta(\varsigma) - \mathscr{A}\mu(\varsigma) \right|^2 \leq e^{-\frac{1}{\mathbb{F}(\theta(\varkappa),\mu(\varkappa))}} \mathbb{F}(\theta(\varkappa),\mu(\varkappa)).$$

Applying log on both sides, we get

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\mathscr{A}\theta,\mathscr{A}\mu)) + \xi(\mathbb{r}(\theta,\mu)) \leq \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{r}(\theta,\mu)).$$

Using the same technique, we can show that the above inequality holds also if we take $\theta \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Hence \mathscr{A} has a unique fixed point $\theta^* \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{C}$, *i.e.*, $\theta^* \in \mathcal{C}$ is the unique solution to (37)–(38) and (37)–(39).

5 Conclusion

In this article, we developed connections between a number of concepts, including Green's functions, multi-term fractional order differential equations and metric fixed point theory. We provided the results of fixed point of nonlinear cyclic orbital ($\xi - \mathscr{F}$)-contraction under controlled rectangular metric space. With the aid of these results, we were able to derive the existence and uniqueness theorems for fractional boundary value problems in terms of Green's function for various multi-order fractional differential equations. We

shall attempt to use the techniques described in this article in further work, which may serve as some kind of inspiration for using fixed point theory and fractional calculus in neural network algorithms and machine learning systems.

Acknowledgements

This study is supported via funding from Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University project number (PSAU/2023/R/1444).

Funding

For this research article, funding is not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

P.S.K., V.V., and K.S.N. were involved with the organizing and execution of the study, as well as in the findings analysis and manuscript writing.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam 532 127, Andhra Pradesh, India. ²Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632 014, Tamil Nadu, India. ³Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences and Humanities in Alkharj, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Al Kharj, 11942, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 11 April 2023 Accepted: 28 August 2023 Published online: 13 September 2023

References

- 1. Kochubei, A.N., Luchko, Y.F., Tarasov, V.E., Petras, I.: Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications: Applications in Physics. Part A. de Gruyter, Berlin (2019)
- 2. Kochubei, A.N., Luchko, Y.F., Tarasov, V.E., Petras, I.: Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications: Applications in Physics. Part B. de Gruyter, Berlin (2019)
- 3. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- Atangana, A., Baleanu, D.: New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model. Therm. Sci. 20(2), 763–769 (2016). https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI160111018A
- Caputo, M., Fabrizio, M.: A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel. Prog. Fract. Differ. Appl. 1(2), 1–13 (2015)
- Panda, S.K., Ravichandran, C., Hazarika, B.: Results on system of Atangana–Baleanu fractional order Willis aneurysm and nonlinear singularly perturbed boundary value problems. Chaos Solitons Fractals 142, 110390 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110390
- Borah, M.J., Hazarika, B., Panda, S.K., Nieto, J.J.: Examining the correlation between the weather conditions and COVID-19 pandemic in India: a mathematical evidence. Results Phys. 19, 103587 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103587
- Kumari, P.S., Panthi, D.: Cyclic compatible contraction and related fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2016, 28 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0521-8
- Panda, S.K., Kalla, K.S., Nagy, A.M., Priyanka, L.: Numerical simulations and complex valued fractional order neural networks via (ε – μ)-uniformly contractive mappings. Chaos Solitons Fractals 173, 113738 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113738
- Alqahtani, B., Fulga, A., Karapinar, E., Kumari, P.S.: Sehgal type contractions on dislocated spaces. Mathematics 7(2), 153 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/math7020153
- Das, A., Hazarika, B., Panda, S.K., et al.: An existence result for an infinite system of implicit fractional integral equations via generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem. Comput. Appl. Math. 40, 143 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-021-01537-z
- Das, A., Rabbani, M., Hazarika, B., Panda, S.K.: A fixed point theorem using condensing operators and its applications to Erdelyi–Kober bivariate fractional integral equations. Turk. J. Math. 46(6), 2513–2529 (2022). https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3284
- Panda, S.K., Vijayakumar, V.: Results on finite time stability of various fractional order systems. Chaos Solitons Fractals 174, 113906 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113906
- 14. Gao, W., Baskonus, H.M.: Deeper investigation of modified epidemiological computer virus model containing the Caputo operator. Chaos Solitons Fractals **158**, 112050 (2022)
- Ilhan, E., Veeresha, P., Baskonus, H.M.: Fractional approach for a mathematical model of atmospheric dynamics of CO₂ gas with an efficient method. Chaos Solitons Fractals 152, 111347 (2021)
- Ma, Y.-K., Williams, K., Vijayakumar, V., Nisar, K.S., Shukla, A.: Results on Atangana–Baleanu fractional semilinear neutral delay integro-differential systems in Banach space. J. King Saud Univ., Sci. 34(6), 102158 (2022)

- 17. Panda, S.K.: Applying fixed point methods and fractional operators in the modelling of novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. Results Phys. **19**, 103433 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103433
- Panda, S.K., Atangana, A., Nieto, J.J.: New insights on novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2 modelling in the aspect of fractional derivatives and fixed points. Math. Biosci. Eng. 18(6), 8683–8726 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2021430
- Panda, S.K., Abdeljawad, T., Jarad, F.: Chaotic attractors and fixed point methods in piecewise fractional derivatives and multi-term fractional delay differential equations. Results Phys. 46, 106313 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/i.rinp.2023.106313
- Hammad, H.A., Aydi, H., De la Sen, M.: Graphical structure of double controlled metric-like spaces with an application. Adv. Cont. Discr. Mod. 2022(1), 1–16 (2022)
- Mehmood, M., Shoaib, A., Mlaiki, N.: Fixed point results on triple controlled quasi rectangular metric like spaces. AIMS Math. 8(5), 10049–10066 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2023509
- Shoaib, A., Rasham, T., Marino, G., Lee, J.R., Park, C.: Fixed point results for dominated mappings in rectangular b-metric spaces with applications. AIMS Math. 5(5), 5221–5229 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020335
- Rasham, T., Marino, G., Shoaib, A.: Fixed points for a pair of *F*-dominated contractive mappings in rectangular b-metric spaces with graph. Mathematics 7(10), 884 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/math7100884
- 24. Nazam, M., Aydi, H., Hussain, A.: Existence theorems for (ψ, ϕ)-orthogonal interpolative contractions and an application to fractional differential equations. Optimization **72**, 1899–1929 (2023)
- 25. Erdelyi, A. (ed.): Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. 3. McGraw-Hill, New York (1955)
- 26. Mittag-Leflter, G.M.: Sur la nouvelte fonction $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(x)$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **137**, 554–558 (1903)
- 27. Mittag-Lefller, G.M.: Sopra la funzione $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(x)$. R. Accad. Lincei, Ser. 5 13, 1–35 (1904)
- Mittag-Leffler, G.M.: Sur la representation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogene. Acta Math. 29, 101–182 (1905)
- 29. Agarwal, R.P.: A propos d'une note de M. Pierre Humbert. C. R. Sdances Acad. Sci. 236(21), 2031–2032 (1953)
- Humbert, P., Agarwal, R.P.: Sur la fonction de Mittag-Leffler et quelques-unes de ses generalisations. Bull. Sci. Math. 77(10), 180–185 (1953)
- 31. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, Boston (1999)
- Choudhary, S., Daftardar-Gejji, V.: Existence uniqueness theorems for multi-term fractional delay differential equations. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 18, 1113–1127 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2015-0064
- Choudhary, S., Daftardar-Gejji, V.: Nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equations with periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 17, 333–347 (2014)
- Zubair, S.T., et al.: Controlled b-Branciari metric type spaces and related fixed point theorems with applications. Filomat 34(13), 4253–4269 (2020)
- Kirk, W.A., Srinavasan, P.S., Veeramani, P.: Fixed points for mapping satisfying cyclical contractive conditions. Fixed Point Theory 4, 79–89 (2003)
- Karpagam, S., Agrawal, S.: Best proximity points theorems for cyclic Meir–Keeler contraction maps. Nonlinear Anal. 74, 1040–1046 (2011)
- 37. Wardowski, D.: Solving existence problems via F-contractions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 146(4), 1585–1598 (2018)
- Piri, H., Kumam, P.: Some fixed point theorems concerning F-contraction in complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, 210 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-210
- 39. Wardowski, D.: Fixed point theory of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, 94 (2012)
- Minak, G., Halvaci, A., Altun, I.: Ciric type generalized F-contractions on complete metric spaces and fixed point results. Filomat 28(6), 1143–1151 (2014)
- Rasham, T., Shoaib, A., Hussain, N.: Common fixed point results for new Ciric-type rational multivalued F-contraction with an application. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 20, 45 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0525-6

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com