# EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF DEGENERATE NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS 

MIHAI MIHĂILESCU

Received 11 January 2005; Revised 4 July 2005; Accepted 17 July 2005

The goal of this paper is to study the existence and the multiplicity of non-trivial weak solutions for some degenerate nonlinear elliptic equations on the whole space $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. The solutions will be obtained in a subspace of the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$. The proofs rely essentially on the Mountain Pass theorem and on Ekeland's Variational principle.
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## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to study a nonlinear elliptic equation in which the divergence form operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$ is involved. Such operators appear in many nonlinear diffusion problems, in particular in the mathematical modeling of non-Newtonian fluids (see [5] for a discussion of some physical background). Particularly, the $p$-Laplacian operator $-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is a special case of the operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$. Problems involving the $p$-Laplacian operator have been intensively studied in the last decades. We just remember the work on that topic of João Marcos B. do Ó [7], Pflüger [12], Rădulescu and Smets [14] and the references therein. In the case of more general types of operators we point out the papers of João Marcos B. do Ó [6] and Nápoli and Mariani [4]. On the other hand, when the operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$ is of degenerate type we refer to Cîrstea and Rădulescu [15] and Motreanu and Rădulescu [11].

In this paper we study the existence and multiplicity of non-trivial weak solutions to equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))=\mathscr{F}(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$ is nonlinear (and can be also degenerate), $N \geq 3$ and function $\mathscr{F}(x, u)$ satisfies several hypotheses. Our goal is to show how variational techniques based on the Mountain Pass theorem (see Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2]) and Ekeland's Variational principle (see Ekeland [8]) can be used in order to get existence of
one or two solutions for equations of type (1.1). Results regarding the multiplicity of solutions have been originally proven by Tarantello [16], but in the case of linear equations and in a different framework. More precisely, Tarantello proved that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=|u|^{4 /(N-2)} u+\Gamma(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least two distinct solutions, in a bounded domain of $\mathbf{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$, provided that $\Gamma \not \equiv 0$ is sufficiently "small" in a suitable sense.

## 2. Main results

The starting point of our discussion is the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+b(x) v=f(x, v) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

studied by Rabinowitz in [13]. Assuming that function $f(x, v)$ is subcritical and satisfies a condition of the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type (see [2]) and function $b(x)$ is sufficiently smooth and unbounded at infinity, it is showed in [13] that problem (2.1) has a nontrivial weak solution in the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$.

In the case when $b(x)$ is continuous and nonnegative and $f(x, v)=h(x) v^{\alpha}+v^{\beta}$ is such that $h: \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is some integrable function and $1<\alpha<2<\beta<(N+2) /(N-2), N \geq 3$, Gonçalves and Miyagaki proved in [9] that problem (2.1) has at least two nonnegative solutions in a subspace of $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$. In a similar framework, when $f(x, v)=\lambda v^{\alpha}+v^{2^{\star}-1}$ with $0<\alpha<1$ and $2^{\star}=(2 N) /(N-2), N \geq 3$ it is shown in [1] that problem (2.1) has a nonnegative solution for $\lambda$ positive and small enough. Furthermore, in [1] it is also proved that in the case $N \geq 4$ and $\alpha=1$ problem (2.1) has a nonnegative solution provided that $\lambda$ is positive and small enough. For more information and connections on (2.1) the reader may consult the references in [9].

In this paper our aim is to study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $2 \leq p<N$.
We point out the fact that in the case when $a(x, \nabla u)=|x|^{\alpha} \nabla u, \alpha \in(0,2)$ and $p=2$ problem (2.2) was studied by Mihăilescu and Rădulescu in [10]. In that paper the authors present the connections between such equations and some Schrödinger equations with Hardy potential and show that (2.2) has a nontrivial weak solution. A discussion of some physical applications for equations of type (2.2) and a list of papers devoted with the study of such problems is also included in [10].

In the following we describe the framework in which we will study (2.2).
Consider $a: \mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}, a=a(x, \xi)$, is the continuous derivative with respect to $\xi$ of the continuous function $A: \mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, A=A(x, \xi)$, that is, $a(x, \xi)=(d / d \xi) A(x, \xi)$.

Suppose that $a$ and $A$ satisfy the hypotheses below:
(A1) $A(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$;
(A2) $|a(x, \xi)| \leq c_{1}\left(\theta(x)+|\xi|^{p-1}\right)$, for all $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$, with $c_{1}$ a positive constant and $\theta: \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function such that $\theta(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $\theta \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap$ $L^{p /(p-1)}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$;
(A3) there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x, \frac{\xi+\psi}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} A(x, \xi)+\frac{1}{2} A(x, \psi)-k|\xi-\psi|^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, \xi, \psi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$, that is, $A(x, \cdot)$ is $p$-uniformly convex;
(A4) $0 \leq a(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \leq p A(x, \xi)$, for all $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$;
(A5) there exists a constant $\Lambda>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \xi) \geq \Lambda|\xi|^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$.
Examples. (1) $A(x, \xi)=(1 / p)|\xi|^{p}, a(x, \xi)=|\xi|^{p-2} \xi$, with $p \geq 2$ and we get the $p$-Laplacian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $A(x, \xi)=(1 / p)|\xi|^{p}+\theta(x)\left[\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-1\right], a(x, \xi)=|\xi|^{p-2} \xi+\theta(x)\left(\xi /\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$, with $p \geq 2$ and $\theta$ a function which verifies the conditions from (A2). We get the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(\theta(x) \frac{\nabla u}{\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be regarded as the sum between the $p$-Laplacian operator and a degenerate form of the mean curvature operator.
(3) $A(x, \xi)=(1 / p)\left[\left(\theta(x)^{2 /(p-1)}+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}-\theta(x)^{p /(p-1)}\right], \quad a(x, \xi)=\left(\theta(x)^{2 /(p-1)}+\right.$ $\left.|\xi|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \xi$, with $p \geq 2$ and $\theta$ a function which verifies the conditions from (A2). We get the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\theta(x)^{2 /(p-1)}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a variant of the generalized mean curvature operator, $\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u\right)$.
Assume that function $b: \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and verifies the hypotheses:
(B) There exists a positive constant $b_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x) \geq b_{0}>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$.
In a first instance we assume that function $f: \mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies the hypotheses:
(F1) $f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}\right), f=f(x, z)$ and $f(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$;

4 Existence and multiplicity
(F2) there exist two functions $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}: \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \tau_{1}(x), \tau_{2}(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and two constants $r, s \in(p-1,(N p-N+p) /(N-p))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq \tau_{1}(x)|z|^{r-1}+\tau_{2}(x)|z|^{s-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and all $z \in \mathbf{R}$, where $\tau_{1} \in L^{r_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, $\tau_{2} \in L^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, with $r_{0}=N p /(N p-(r+1)(N-p))$ and $s_{0}=N p /(N p-(s+1)(N-p))$;
(F3) there exists a constant $\mu>p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu F(x, z):=\mu \int_{0}^{z} f(x, t) d t \leq z f(x, z), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and all $z \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Next, we study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u=h(x)|u|^{q-1} u+g(x)|u|^{s-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1<q<p-1<s<(N p-N+p) /(N-p)$ and $N \geq 3$.
Our basic assumptions on functions $h$ and $g: \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are the following:
(H) $h(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $h \in L^{q_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, where $q_{0}=N p /(N p-(q+$ 1) $(N-p)$;
(G) $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $g \in L^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, where $s_{0}=N p /(N p-(s+$ 1) $(N-p)$ ).

Let $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ be the usual Sobolev space under the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+|u|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the subspace of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) ; \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+b(x)|u|^{p}\right) d x<\infty\right\} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Banach space $E$ can be endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+b(x)|u|^{p}\right) d x . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq m_{0}^{1 / p}\|u\|_{1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m_{0}=\min \left\{1, b_{0}\right\}$. Thus the continuous embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \hookrightarrow W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \longleftrightarrow L^{i}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \quad p \leq i \leq p^{\star}, p^{\star}=\frac{N p}{N-p} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold true.

We say that $u \in E$ is a weak solution for problem (2.2) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} f(x, u) \varphi d x=0, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in E$.
Similarly, we say that $u \in E$ is a weak solution for problem (2.11) if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|u|^{q-1} u \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{s-1} u \varphi d x=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in E$.
Our main results are given by the following two theorems.
Theorem 2.1. Assuming hypotheses (A1)-(A5), (B) and (F1)-(F3) are fulfilled then problem (2.2) has at least one non-trivial weak solution.

Theorem 2.2. Assume $1<q<p-1<s<(N p-N+p) /(N-p)$ and conditions (A1)(A5), (B), (H) and (G) are fulfilled. Then problem (2.11) has at least two non-trivial weak solutions provided that the product $\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}}^{(s+1-p) /(s-q)} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{(p-q-1) /(s-q)}$ is small enough.

## 3. Auxiliary results

In this section we study certain properties of functional $T: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla u) d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E$. It is easy to remark that $T \in C^{1}(E, \mathbf{R})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u v d x, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in E$.
Proposition 3.1. Functional T is weakly lower semicontinuous.
Proof. Let $u \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ be fixed. Using the properties of lower semicontinuous functions (see [3, Section I.3]) is enough to prove that there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v) \geq T(u)-\epsilon, \quad \forall v \in E \text { with }\|u-v\|<\delta . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remember Clarkson's inequality (see [3, page 59])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(|\alpha|^{p}+|\beta|^{p}\right), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|\frac{u+v}{2}\right|^{p} d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|\frac{u-v}{2}\right|^{p} d x  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|v|^{p} d x, \quad \forall u, v \in E .
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequality and condition (A3) imply that there exists a positive constant $k_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T(u)+\frac{1}{2} T(v)-k_{1}\|u-v\|^{p}, \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $T$ is $p$-uniformly convex.
Since $T$ is convex we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v) \geq T(u)+\left\langle T^{\prime}(u), v-u\right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in E . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using condition (A2) and Hölder's inequality we deduce that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(v) \geq & T(u)-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|a(x, \nabla u)| \cdot|\nabla v-\nabla u| d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-1}|u-v| d x \\
\geq & T(u)-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} c_{1}\left(\theta(x)+|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)|\nabla v-\nabla u| d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)^{(p-1) / p}|u|^{p-1} b(x)^{1 / p}|u-v| d x  \tag{3.8}\\
\geq & T(u)-c_{1} \cdot\left(\|\theta\|_{L^{p /(p-1)}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\right) \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|\nabla v-\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& -\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{(p-1) / p} \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|v-u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\geq & T(u)-C\|u-v\|, \quad \forall v \in E .
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that taking $\delta=\epsilon / C$ relation (3.3) holds true for all $v \in E$ with $\|v-u\|<\delta$. Thus we have proved that $T$ is strongly lower semicontinuous. Taking into account the fact that $T$ is convex then by [3, Corollary III.8] we conclude that $T$ is weakly lower semicontinuous and the proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete.

Proposition 3.2. Assume $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence from $E$ which is weakly convergent to $u \in E$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $E$.
Proof. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is weakly convergent to $u$ in $E$ it follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.

By conditions (A2) and (A3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq A(x, \xi) & =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d t} A(x, t \xi) d t=\int_{0}^{1} a(x, t \xi) \cdot \xi d t \\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\theta(x)+|\xi|^{p-1} t^{p-1}\right) d t  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq c_{1}\left(\theta(x)|\xi|+\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}\right), \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, there exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A(x, \xi)| \leq c_{2}\left(\theta(x)|\xi|+|\xi|^{p}\right), \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.11) and Hölder's inequality imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x & \leq c_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \theta(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq c_{2} \cdot\left(\|\theta\|_{L^{p /(p-1)}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequality and the fact that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$ show that there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that $T\left(u_{n}\right) \leq M_{1}$ for all $n$. Then we may assume that $T\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \gamma$. Using Proposition 3.1 we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(u_{n}\right)=\gamma . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T$ is convex the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u) \geq T\left(u_{n}\right)+\left\langle T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle, \quad \forall n . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.9) and the above inequality imply $T(u) \geq \gamma$ and thus $T(u)=\gamma$.
We also have $\left(u_{n}+u\right) / 2$ converges weakly to $u$ in $E$. Using again Proposition 3.1 we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=T(u) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(\frac{u_{n}+u}{2}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume by contradiction that $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|$ does not converge to 0 then there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that passing to a subsequence $\left\{u_{n m}\right\}$ we have $\left\|u_{n m}-u\right\| \geq \epsilon$. That fact and relation (3.6) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} T(u)+\frac{1}{2} T\left(u_{n m}\right)-T\left(\frac{u+u_{n m}}{2}\right) \geq k_{1}\left\|u-u_{n m}\right\|^{p} \geq k_{1} \epsilon^{p} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} T\left(\frac{u+u_{n m}}{2}\right) \leq \gamma-k_{1} \epsilon^{p} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that is a contradiction with (3.15). Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Proposition 3.2 is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we define the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla u) d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is well defined and of class $C^{1}$ with the derivative given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} f(x, u) \varphi d x, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, \varphi \in E$. We have denoted by $\langle$,$\rangle the duality pairing between E$ and $E^{\star}$, where $E^{\star}$ is the dual of $E$.

We remark that the critical points of the functional $J$ correspond to the weak solutions of (2.2). Thus, our idea is to apply the Mountain Pass theorem (see [2]) in order to obtain a non-trivial critical point and thus a non-trivial weak solution.

First, we prove a lemma which shows that functional $J$ has a mountain-pass geometry.
Lemma 4.1. (1) There exist $\rho>0$ and $\varrho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u) \geq \varrho>0, \quad \forall u \in E \text { with }\|u\|=\rho . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) There exists $u_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} J\left(t u_{0}\right)=-\infty . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) By (F2) there exist $A_{1}, A_{2}>0$ two constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq F(x, z) \leq A_{1}|z|^{r+1}+A_{2}|z|^{s+1} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, z)}{|z|^{p}}=0, \quad \lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, z)}{|z|^{p^{\star}}}=0 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for a $\epsilon>0$ there exist two constants $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
F(x, z)<\epsilon|z|^{p} & \forall z \text { with }|z|<\delta_{1} \\
F(x, z)<\epsilon|z|^{p^{\star}} & \forall z \text { with }|z|>\delta_{2} . \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

Relation (4.5) implies that for all $z$ with $|z| \in\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right]$ there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, z)<C . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain that for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, z) \leq \epsilon|z|^{p}+C_{\epsilon}|z|^{p^{\star}} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (4.9), conditions (A5) and (b1) and the Sobolev embedding imply

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla u) d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \Lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-\epsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u|^{p} d x-C_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{\star}} d x  \tag{4.10}\\
& \geq \min \left\{\Lambda, \frac{1}{p}\right\} \cdot\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\epsilon}{b_{0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-C_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{\star}} d x \\
& \geq\|u\|^{p} \cdot\left[\left(\min \left\{\Lambda, \frac{1}{p}\right\}-\frac{\epsilon}{b_{0}}\right)-C_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \cdot\|u\|^{p^{\star}-p}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \in\left(0, \min \{\Lambda, 1 / p\} \cdot b_{0}\right)$ be fixed, we obtain that the first part of Lemma 4.1 holds true.
(2) To prove the second part of the lemma, first, we remark that by condition (F3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, z) \geq \lambda|z|^{\mu}, \quad \forall|z| \geq \eta, x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\eta$ are two positive constants.
On the other hand we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, z \xi) \leq A(x, \xi) z^{p}, \quad \forall z \geq 1, x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if we put $\alpha(t)=A(x, t \xi)$ then by (A1) and (A4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}(t)=a(x, t \xi) \cdot \xi=\frac{1}{t} a(x, t \xi) \cdot(t \xi) \leq \frac{p}{t} A(x, t \xi)=\frac{p}{t} \alpha(t) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \frac{p}{t} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (\alpha(t))-\log (\alpha(1)) \leq p \log (t) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce that $\alpha(t) / \alpha(1) \leq t^{p}$ and thus (4.12) holds true.

Let now $u_{0} \in E$ be such that meas $\left(\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} ;\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \geq \eta\right\}\right)>0$. Using relations (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(t u_{0}\right)= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[A\left(x, t \nabla u_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{p} b(x) t^{p}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}\right] d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} F\left(x, t u_{0}\right) d x \\
\leq & t^{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[A\left(x, \nabla u_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{p} b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}\right] d x-\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} ;\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \geq \eta\right\}} F\left(x, t u_{0}\right) d x  \tag{4.16}\\
& -\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} ;\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \leq \eta\right\}} F\left(x, t u_{0}\right) d x \\
\leq & t^{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[A\left(x, \nabla u_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{p} b(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}\right] d x-t^{\mu} \lambda \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} ;\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \geq \eta\right\}}\left|u_{0}\right|^{\mu} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mu>p$ the right-hand side of the above inequality converges to $-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
The lemma is completely proved.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Using Lemma 4.1 we may apply the Mountain Pass theorem (see [2]) to functional $J$. We obtain that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow c>0, \quad J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } E^{\star} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. We assume by contradiction that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow$ $\infty$. Then, using relation (4.17) and conditions (A4), (A5) and (F3) we deduce that for $n$ large enough the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq & J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} a\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{n}\right] d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{p} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-\frac{1}{\mu} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right] d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{\mu} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] d x  \tag{4.18}\\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{p}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{p}{\mu}\right) \Lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
\geq & \min \left\{\left(1-\frac{p}{\mu}\right) \Lambda, \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right\} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$ by a positive constant denoted by $M$. It follows that there exists $u \in E$ such that, passing to a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, it converges weakly to $u$ in $E$ and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$. Since $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ by [17, Theorem 10.36] we deduce that $u_{n}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$. Then it is clear that $\left|u_{n}\right|^{r-1} u_{n}$ converges weakly to $|u|^{r-1} u$ in $L^{p^{\star} / r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$.

Define the operator $U: L^{p^{\star} / r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle U, w\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x) u w d x \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that $U$ is linear and continuous provided that $\tau_{1} \in L^{r_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), u \in L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and $1 / p^{\star}+r / p^{\star}+1 / r_{0}=1$. All the above pieces of information imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\langle U,| u_{n}\right|^{r-1} u_{n}\right\rangle\left.\longrightarrow\langle U,| u\right|^{r-1} u\right\rangle \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r-1} u_{n} u d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)|u|^{r+1} d x \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same arguments we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{2}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{s-1} u_{n} u d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{2}(x)|u|^{s+1} d x,  \tag{4.22}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1} d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)|u|^{r+1} d x  \tag{4.23}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{2}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{s+1} d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{2}(x)|u|^{s+1} d x \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Relations (4.21), (4.23) and the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r-1} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)|u|^{r+1} d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)|u|^{r+1} d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-1} u_{n} u d x \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{1}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r-1} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \tau_{2}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{s-1} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.26), (4.27) and condition (F2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{n} d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \\
=\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x . \tag{4.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Relations (4.28) and (4.29) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right)=0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0 \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the functional defined in the above section. Then applying Proposition 3.2 we deduce that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $E$. Since $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbf{R})$ by (4.17) we deduce that $\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in E$, that is, $u$ is a weak solution of problem (2.2). Relation (4.17) also implies that $J(u)=c>0$ and that shows that $u$ is non-trivial.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We remark that the weak solutions of (2.11) correspond to the critical points of the energy functional $I: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla u) d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{s+1} d x, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

A simple calculation shows that $I$ is well defined on $E$ and $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbf{R})$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x  \tag{5.2}\\
& -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|u|^{q-1} u \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{s-1} u \varphi d x,
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u$ and $\varphi \in E$.
Lemma 5.1. The following assertions hold.
(i) There exist $\rho>0$ and $\varrho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \varrho>0, \quad \forall u \in E \text { with }\|u\|=\rho . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) There exists $\psi \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I(t \psi)=-\infty . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) There exists $\varphi \in E$ such that $\varphi \geq 0, \varphi \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t \varphi)<0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>0$ small enough.

Proof. (i) First, let $\mathscr{G}$ be the best Sobolev constant of the embedding $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{\star}} d x\right)^{p / p^{\star}}} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}^{1 / p}\|v\|_{L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\|v\|, \quad \forall v \in E . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Hölder's inequality and relation (5.7) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|u|^{q+1} d x & \leq\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}} \cdot\|u\|_{L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{q+1} \\
& \leq\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathscr{S}(q+1) / p} \cdot\left(\mathscr{S}^{1 / p} \cdot\|u\|_{L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}\right)^{q+1}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \leq\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathscr{\mathscr { P } ( q + 1 ) / p}} \cdot\|u\|^{q+1} \\
& \leq(q+1) \mu\|u\|^{q+1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}} /\left[(q+1) \mathscr{S}^{(q+1) / p}\right]$. With similar arguments we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{s+1} d x \leq(p+1) v\|u\|^{s+1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=\|g\|_{L^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} /\left[(p+1) \mathscr{S}^{(s+1) / p}\right]$.
Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u) & \geq \min \left\{\Lambda, \frac{1}{p}\right\} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\mu \cdot\|u\|^{q+1}-v \cdot\|u\|^{s+1}  \tag{5.10}\\
& =\left(\lambda-\mu \cdot\|u\|^{q+1-p}-v \cdot\|u\|^{s+1-p}\right) \cdot\|u\|^{p}, \quad \forall u \in E
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=\min \{\Lambda, 1 / p\}>0$. We show that there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \cdot t_{0}^{q+1-p}+\nu \cdot t_{0}^{s+1-p}<\lambda \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do that we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t)=\mu \cdot t^{q+1-p}+v \cdot t^{s+1-p}, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} Q(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t)=\infty$ it follows that $Q$ possesses a positive minimum, say $t_{0}>0$. In order to find $t_{0}$ we have to solve equation $Q^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, where $Q^{\prime}(t)=(q+1-p)$. $\mu \cdot t^{q-p}+(s+1-p) \cdot v \cdot t^{s-p}$. A simple computation yields $t_{0}=[((p-q-1) /(s+1-p))$. $(\mu / \nu)]^{1 /(s-q)}$. Thus relation (5.11) holds provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \cdot\left[\frac{p-q-1}{s+1-p} \cdot \frac{\mu}{v}\right]^{(q+1-p) /(s-q)}+\nu \cdot\left[\frac{p-q-1}{s+1-p} \cdot \frac{\mu}{v}\right]^{(s+1-p) /(s-q)}<\lambda . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu=C_{1} \cdot\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}}$ and $\nu=C_{2} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{s_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}}$ with $C_{1}, C_{2}$ positive constants, we deduce that (5.13) holds true if and only if the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3} \cdot\|h\|_{L^{q 0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{(s+1-p) /(s-q)} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{(p-q-1) /(s-q)}<\lambda, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}$ is a positive constant. But inequality (5.14) holds provided that product $\|h\|_{L^{q_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}}^{(s+1-p) /(s-q)} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{s_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{(p-q-1) /(s-q)}$ is small enough.
(ii) Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \psi \geq 0, \psi \neq 0$. Then using relation (4.12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(t \psi)= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, t \nabla \psi) d x+\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|\psi|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|\psi|^{q+1} d x-\frac{t^{s+1}}{s+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|\psi|^{s+1} d x  \tag{5.15}\\
\leq & t^{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla \psi) d x+\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|\psi|^{p} d x-\frac{t^{s+1}}{s+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} g(x)|\psi|^{s+1} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $I(t \psi) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and (ii) is proved.
(iii) Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \varphi \geq 0, \varphi \neq 0$ and $t>0$. Then the above inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t \varphi) \leq t^{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla \varphi) d x+\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|\varphi|^{p} d x-\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|\varphi|^{q+1} d x<0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t<\delta^{1 /(p-q-1)}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{(1 /(q+1)) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)|\varphi|^{q+1} d x}{\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} A(x, \nabla \varphi) d x+(1 / p) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)|\varphi|^{p} d x\right]} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that (iii) holds true.
The proof of Lemma 5.1 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Using Lemma 5.1 and the Mountain Pass theorem we deduce the existence of a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{c}>0, \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } E^{\star} . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. We assume by contradiction that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow$ $\infty$. Using relation (5.18) and conditions (A4) and (A5) we deduce that for $n$ large enough we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq & I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{s+1}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{s+1} a\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x  \tag{5.19}\\
& -\frac{s-q}{(q+1)(s+1)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}+1+ & \left\|u_{n}\right\|+\frac{s-q}{(q+1)(s+1)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{p}{s+1}\right) \Lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x  \tag{5.20}\\
\geq & \min \left\{\left(1-\frac{p}{s+1}\right) \Lambda,\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right)\right\} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

By relation (5.8) and the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}+1 & +\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\frac{s-q}{(q+1)(s+1)} \cdot\|h\|_{L_{00}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathscr{S}(q+1) / p} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q+1} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\left(1-\frac{p}{s+1}\right) \Lambda,\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right)\right\} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} . \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $1<q<p-1$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, dividing the above inequality by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$ and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a contradiction. Thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. It follows that there exists $u_{1} \in E$ such that passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, it converges weakly to $u_{1}$ in $E$ and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{1}(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$. With the same arguments as those used in the proof of relation (4.29) we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u_{1}\right\rangle=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the functional defined in the third section.
Then applying Proposition 3.2 we deduce that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u_{1}$ in $E$. Since $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbf{R})$ relation (5.18) implies $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in E$, that is, $u_{1}$ is a weak solution of problem (2.11). Relation (5.18) also yields $I\left(u_{1}\right)=\bar{c}>0$ and thus $u_{1}$ is non-trivial.

We prove now that there exists a second weak solution $u_{2} \in E$ such that $u_{2} \neq u_{1}$. By Lemma 5.1(i) it follows that there exists a ball centered at the origin $B \subset E$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\partial B} I>0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1(iii) there exists $\phi \in E$ such that $I(t \phi)<0$, for all $t>0$ small enough. Recalling that relation (5.10) holds for all $u \in E$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \lambda \cdot\|u\|^{p}-\mu \cdot\|u\|^{q+1}-v \cdot\|u\|^{s+1} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<\underline{c}:=\inf _{\bar{B}} I<0 . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let now $0<\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B} I-\inf _{B} I$. Applying Ekeland's Variational principle for functional $I: \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, (see [8]), there exists $u_{\epsilon} \in \bar{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)<\inf _{\bar{B}} I+\epsilon  \tag{5.26}\\
I\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)<I(u)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|, \quad u \neq u_{\epsilon} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{\bar{B}} I+\epsilon \leq \inf _{B} I+\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B} I \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $u_{\epsilon} \in B$. Now, we define $\mathcal{M}: \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\mathcal{M}(u)=I(u)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|$. It is clear that $u_{\epsilon}$ is a minimum point of $\mathcal{M}$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}+\zeta \cdot v\right)-\mathcal{M}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\zeta} \geq 0 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a small $\zeta>0$ and $v$ in the unit sphere of $E$. The above relation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I\left(u_{\epsilon}+\zeta \cdot v\right)-I\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{\zeta}+\epsilon \cdot\|v\| \geq 0 \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\epsilon \cdot\|v\|>0$ and we infer that $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon$. We deduce that there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset B$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \underline{c}$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Using the same arguments as in the case of solution $u_{1}$ we can prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u_{2}$ in $E$. Moreover, that fact yields that $I^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0$. Thus, $u_{2}$ is a weak solution for (2.11) and since $0>\underline{c}=I\left(u_{2}\right)$ it follows that $u_{2}$ is non-trivial.

Finally, we point out the fact that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{1}\right)=\bar{c}>0>\underline{c}=I\left(u_{2}\right) . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.
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