

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Existence of positive solutions to periodic boundary value problems with sign-changing Green's function

Shengren Zhong<sup>1</sup> and Yukun An<sup>2\*</sup>

\* Correspondence: anykna@nuaa.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics Nanjing, 210016, PR China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

This paper deals with the periodic boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \rho^2 u = f(u), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}$  is a constant and in which case the associated Green's function may changes sign. The existence result of positive solutions is established by using the fixed point index theory of cone mapping.

**Keywords:** periodic boundary value problem, positive solution, sign-changing Green's function, cone, fixed point theorem

## 1 Introduction

The periodic boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} u'' + a(t)u = f(t, u), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is a continuous or  $L^1$ -Caratheodory type function have been extensively studied. A very popular technique to obtain the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to the problem is Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem of cone expansion/compression type, see for example [1-4], and the references contained therein. In those papers, the following condition is an essential assumptions:

(A) The Green function  $G(t, s)$  associated with problem (1) is positive for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$ .

Under condition (A), Torres get in [4] some existence results for (1) with jumping nonlinearities as well as (1) with a repulsive or attractive singularity, and the authors in [3] obtained the multiplicity results to (1) when  $f(t, u)$  has a repulsive singularity near  $x = 0$  and  $f(t, u)$  is super-linear near  $x = +\infty$ . In [2], a special case,  $a(t) \equiv m^2$  and  $m \in (0, \frac{\pi}{T})$ , was considered, the multiplicity results to (1) are obtained when the non-linear term  $f(t, u)$  is singular at  $u = 0$  and is super-linear at  $u = \infty$ .

Recently, in [5], the hypothesis (A) is weakened as

(B) The Green function  $G(t, s)$  associated with problem (1) is nonnegative for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$  but vanish at some interior points.

By defining a new cone, in order to apply Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, the authors get an existence result when  $f(t, u) = g(t)\bar{f}(u)$  and  $\bar{f}(u)$  is sub-linear at  $u = 0$  and  $u = \infty$  or  $\bar{f}(u)$  is super-linear at  $u = 0$  and  $u = \infty$  with  $\bar{f}(u)$  is convex and nondecreasing.

In [6], the author improve the result of [5] and prove the existence results of at least two positive solutions under conditions weaker than sub- and super-linearity.

In [7], the author study (1) with  $f(t, u) = \lambda b(t)f(u)$  under the following condition:

(C) The Green function  $G(t, s)$  associated with problem (1) changes sign and  $\min_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^T G^-(t, s) ds = m^* > 0$  where  $G^-$  is the negative part of  $G$ .

Inspired by those papers, here we study the problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \rho^2 u = f(u), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}$  is a constant and the associated Green's function may changes sign. The aim is to prove the existence of positive solutions to the problem.

## 2 Preliminaries

Consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \rho^2 u = e(t), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}$  and  $e(t)$  is a continuous function on  $[0, T]$ . It is well known that the solutions of (3) can be expressed in the following forms

$$u(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)e(s)ds,$$

where  $G(t, s)$  is Green's function associated to (3) and it can be explicitly expressed

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \rho(t-s) + \sin \rho(T-t+s)}{2\rho(1-\cos \rho T)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ \frac{\sin \rho(s-t) + \sin \rho(T-s+t)}{2\rho(1-\cos \rho T)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$\frac{\sin \rho T}{2\rho(1-\cos \rho T)} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{\sin \frac{\rho T}{2}}{\rho(1-\cos \rho T)} = \max_{t, s \in [0, T]} G(t, s),$$

and

$$G(t, s) < 0$$

for  $|t - s| < \frac{T}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2\rho}$  when  $\frac{\pi}{T} \leq \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}$ , and

$$g(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)ds = \frac{1}{\rho^2}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$\min_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{\int_0^T G^+(t, s)ds}{\int_0^T G^-(t, s)ds} = \frac{1}{1 - \sin \frac{\rho T}{2}},$$

where  $G^+$  and  $G^-$  are the positive and negative parts of  $G$ .

We denote

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho^2 \max_{t,s \in [0,T]} G(t,s)} = \frac{2 \sin \frac{\rho T}{2}}{\rho},$$

and

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} +\infty, & 0 \leq \rho \leq \frac{\pi}{T}, \\ \frac{1}{1 - \sin \frac{\rho T}{2}}, & \frac{\pi}{T} < \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $E$  denote the Banach space  $C[0, T]$  with the norm  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|$ .

Define the cone  $K$  in  $E$  by

$$K = \{u \in E : u \geq 0, \int_0^T u(s)ds \geq \sigma \|u\|\}.$$

We know that  $\sigma = \frac{\sin \frac{\rho T}{2}}{\rho} < T$  and therefore  $K \neq \emptyset$ . For  $r > 0$ , let  $K_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| < r\}$ , and  $\partial K_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| = r\}$ , which is the relative boundary of  $K_r$  in  $K$ .

To prove our result, we need the following fixed point index theorem of cone mapping.

**Lemma 1 (Guo and Lakshmikantham [8]).** Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $K \subset E$  be a closed convex cone in  $E$ . Let  $L : K \rightarrow K$  be a completely continuous operator and let  $i(L, K_r, K)$  denote the fixed point index of operator  $L$ .

(i) If  $\mu Lu \neq u$  for any  $u \in \partial K_r$  and  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then

$$i(L, K_r, K) = 1.$$

(ii) If  $\inf_{u \in \partial K_r} \|Lu\| > 0$  and  $\mu Lu \neq u$  for any  $u \in \partial K_r$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ , then

$$i(L, K_r, K) = 0.$$

### 3 Existence result

We make the following assumptions: (H1)  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous;

(H2)  $0 \leq m = \inf_{u \in [0, +\infty)} f(u)$  and  $M = \sup_{u \in [0, +\infty)} f(u) \leq +\infty$ ;

(H3)  $\frac{M}{m} \leq \gamma$ , when  $m = 0$  we define  $\frac{M}{m} = +\infty$ .

To be convenience, we introduce the notations:

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{u} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u},$$

and suppose that  $f_0, f_\infty \in [0, \infty]$ .

Define a mapping  $L : K \rightarrow E$  by

$$Lu(t) = \int_0^T G(t,s)f(u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

It can be easily verified that  $u \in K$  is a fixed point of  $L$  if and only if  $u$  is a positive solution of (2).

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>) and (H<sub>3</sub>) hold, then  $L : E \rightarrow E$  is completely continuous and  $L(K) \subseteq K$ .

**Proof** Let  $u \in K$ , then in case of  $\gamma = +\infty$ , since  $G(t, s) \geq 0$ , we have  $Lu(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ ; in case of  $\gamma < +\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(t) &= \int_0^T G(t, s)f(u(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^T (G^+(t, s) - G^-(t, s))f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_0^T (G^+(t, s)m - G^-(t, s)M)ds \\ &= m \int_0^T (G^+(t, s) - \frac{M}{m}G^-(t, s))ds \\ &\geq m \int_0^T (G^+(t, s) - \gamma G^-(t, s))ds \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T Lu(t)dt &= \int_0^T \int_0^T G(t, s)f(u(s))dsdt \\ &= \int_0^T f(u(s)) \int_0^T G(t, s)dt ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_0^T f(u(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Lu(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)f(u(s))ds \leq \max_{t,s \in [0,T]} G(t, s) \int_0^T f(u(s))ds$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus,

$$\int_0^T Lu(t)dt \geq \sigma \max_{t \in [0,T]} |Lu(t)|,$$

i.e.,  $L(K) \subseteq K$ . A standard argument can be used to show that  $L : E \rightarrow E$  is completely continuous.

Now we give and prove our existence theorem:

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Furthermore, suppose that  $f_0 > \rho^2$  and  $f_\infty < \rho^2$  in case of  $\gamma = +\infty$ . Then problem (2) has at least one positive solution.

**Proof** Since  $f_0 > \rho^2$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\xi > 0$  such that

$$f(u) \geq (\rho^2 + \varepsilon)u, \quad \text{for all } u \in [0, \xi]. \tag{4}$$

Let  $r \in (0, \xi)$ , then for every  $u \in \partial K_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T\|Lu\| &\geq \int_0^T Lu(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^T f(u(s)) \int_0^T G(t, s)dt ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_0^T f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \frac{\rho^2 + \varepsilon}{\rho^2} \int_0^T u(s)ds \\ &\geq \frac{(\rho^2 + \varepsilon)\sigma r}{\rho^2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\inf_{u \in \partial K_r} \|Lu\| > 0$ . Next, we show that  $\mu Lu \neq u$  for any  $u \in \partial K_r$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ . In fact, if there exist  $u_0 \in \partial K_r$  and  $\mu_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\mu_0 Lu_0 = u_0$ , then  $u_0(t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_0''(t) + \rho^2 u_0(t) = \mu_0 f(u_0(t)), & 0 < t < T, \\ u_0(0) = u_0(T), u_0'(0) = u_0'(T). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Integrating the first equation in (5) from 0 to  $T$  and using the periodicity of  $u_0(t)$  and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 \int_0^T u_0(t) dt &= \mu_0 \int_0^T f(u_0(t)) ds \\ &\geq (\rho^2 + \varepsilon) \int_0^T u_0(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_0^T u_0(t) dt \geq \sigma \|u_0\| > 0$ , we see that  $\rho^2 \geq (\rho^2 + \varepsilon)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, by Lemma 1, we have

$$i(L, K_r, K) = 0. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, since  $f_\infty < \rho^2$ , there exist  $\varepsilon \in (0, \rho^2)$  and  $\zeta > 0$  such that

$$f(u) \leq (\rho^2 - \varepsilon)u, \quad \text{for all } u \geq \zeta.$$

Set  $C = \max_{0 \leq u \leq \zeta} |f(u) - (\rho^2 - \varepsilon)u| + 1$ , it is clear that

$$f(u) \leq (\rho^2 - \varepsilon)u + C, \quad \text{for all } u \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

If there exist  $u_0 \in K$  and  $0 < \mu_0 \leq 1$  such that  $\mu_0 Lu_0 = u_0$ , then (5) is valid. Integrating again the first equation in (5) from 0 to  $T$ , and from (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 \int_0^T u_0(t) dt &= \mu_0 \int_0^T f(u(t)) dt \\ &\leq (\rho^2 - \varepsilon) \int_0^T u_0(t) dt + C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \geq \int_0^T u_0(t) dt \geq \sigma \|u_0\|,$$

i.e.,

$$\|u_0\| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma \varepsilon}. \quad (8)$$

Let  $R > \max\{\frac{C}{\sigma \varepsilon}, \xi\}$ , then  $\mu Lu \neq u$  for any  $u \in \partial K_R$  and  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1, we get

$$i(L, K_R, K) = 1. \quad (9)$$

From (6) and (9) it follows that

$$i(L, K_R \setminus \bar{K}_r, K) = i(L, K_R, K) - i(L, K_r, K) = 1.$$

Hence,  $L$  has a fixed point in  $K_R \setminus \bar{K}_r$ , which is the positive solution of (2).

**Remark 4.** Theorem 3 contains the partial results of [4-7] obtained in case of positive Green's function, vanishing Green's function and sign-changing Green's function, respectively.

#### 4 An example

Let  $0 \neq q < 1$  be a constant,  $h$  be the function:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$f(u) = 1 + h\left(\frac{\pi}{T} - \rho\right)u^q + \left(1 - h\left(\frac{\pi}{T} - \rho\right)\right)\frac{2\sin\frac{\rho T}{2}}{\pi(1 - \sin\frac{\rho T}{2})}\arctan u.$$

By the direct calculation, we get  $m = 1$  and  $M = \gamma$ , and  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$  in case of  $\gamma = +\infty$ . Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \rho^2 u = f(u), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{3\pi}{2T}$  is a constant. We know that the conditions of Theorem 3 hold for the problem (10) and therefore, (10) have at least one positive solution from Theorem 3.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee whose careful reading of the manuscript and valuable comments enhanced presentation of the manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Engineering Technology, Wuwei Occupational College Wuwei, 733000, Gansu, PR China <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics Nanjing, 210016, PR China

#### Authors' contributions

YA conceived of the study, and participated in its coordination. SZ drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 27 January 2011 Accepted: 27 July 2011 Published: 27 July 2011

#### References

1. Graef, JR, Kong, L, Wang, H: Existence, multiplicity and dependence on a parameter for a periodic boundary value problem. *J Differ Equ.* **245**, 1185–1197 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jde.2008.06.012
2. Jiang, D, Chu, J, O'Regan, D, Agarwal, RP: Multiple positive solutions to superlinear periodic boundary value problem with repulsive singular equations. *J Math Anal Appl.* **286**, 563–576 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0022-247X(03)00493-1
3. Jiang, D, Chu, J, Zhang, M: Multiplicity of positive periodic solutions to superlinear repulsive singular equations. *J Differ Equ.* **210**, 282–320 (2005)
4. Torres, PJ: Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. *J Differ Equ.* **190**, 643–662 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0022-0396(02)00152-3
5. Graef, JR, Kong, L, Wang, H: A periodic boundary value problem with vanishing Green's function. *Appl Math Lett.* **21**, 176–180 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2007.02.019
6. Webb, JRL: Boundary value problems with vanishing Green's function. *Commun Appl Anal.* **13**(4), 587–596 (2009)
7. Ma, R: Nonlinear periodic boundary value problems with sign-changing Green's function. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 1714–1720 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.10.043
8. Guo, D, Lakshmikantham, V: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, New York (1988)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2011-8

**Cite this article as:** Zhong and An: Existence of positive solutions to periodic boundary value problems with sign-changing Green's function. *Boundary Value Problems* 2011 **2011**:8.