

RESEARCH

Open Access

Blow-up criteria for 3D nematic liquid crystal models in a bounded domain

Jishan Fan¹, Gen Nakamura² and Yong Zhou^{3*}

*Correspondence:
yzhoumath@zjnu.edu.cn
³Department of Mathematics,
Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua,
P.R. China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper we prove some blow-up criteria for two 3D density-dependent nematic liquid crystal models in a bounded domain.

MSC: 35Q30; 76D03; 76D09

Keywords: liquid crystal; blow-up criterion; bounded domain

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let ν be the unit outward normal vector on $\partial\Omega$. We consider the regularity criterion to the density-dependent incompressible nematic liquid crystal model as follows [1–4]:

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla \pi - \Delta u = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\partial_t d + u \cdot \nabla d + (|d|^2 - 1)d - \Delta d = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

in $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$ with initial and boundary conditions

$$(\rho, u, d)(\cdot, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, d_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.5}$$

$$u = 0, \quad \partial_\nu d = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.6}$$

where ρ denotes the density, u the velocity, π the pressure, and d represents the macroscopic molecular orientations, respectively. The symbol $\nabla d \odot \nabla d$ denotes a matrix whose (i, j) th entry is $\partial_i d \partial_j d$, and it is easy to find that $\nabla d \odot \nabla d = \nabla d^T \nabla d$.

When d is a given constant vector, then (1.1)–(1.3) represent the well-known density-dependent Navier-Stokes system, which has received many studies; see [5–7] and references therein.

When $\rho = 1$, Guillén-González *et al.* [8] proved the blow-up criterion

$$\int_0^T (\|u(t)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} + \|\nabla d(t)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}}) dt < \infty \quad \text{with } 3 < q \leq \infty \tag{1.7}$$

and $0 < T < \infty$.

It is easy to prove that the problem (1.1)-(1.6) has a unique local-in-time strong solution [6, 9], and thus we omit the details here. The aim of this paper is to consider the regularity criterion; we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\rho_0 \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, $d_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ with $3 < q \leq 6$ and $\rho_0 \geq 0$, $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ in Ω and $\partial_\nu d_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. We also assume that the following compatibility condition holds true: $\exists(\nabla\pi_0, g) \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\nabla\pi_0 - \Delta u_0 + \nabla \cdot (\nabla d_0 \odot \nabla d_0) = \sqrt{\rho_0} g \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Let (ρ, u, d) be a local strong solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.6). If u satisfies

$$\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} dt < \infty \quad \text{with } 3 < q \leq \infty \tag{1.8}$$

and $0 < T < \infty$, then the solution (ρ, u, d) can be extended beyond $T > 0$.

Remark 1.1 When $\rho \equiv 1$, our result improves (1.7) to (1.8).

Remark 1.2 By similar calculations as those in [6], we can replace L^q -norm in (1.8) by L_w^q -norm, and thus we omit the details here.

Remark 1.3 When the space dimension $n = 2$, we can prove that the problem (1.1)-(1.6) has a unique global-in-time strong solution by the same method as that in [10], and thus we omit the details here.

Next we consider another liquid model: (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.5), (1.6) and

$$\partial_t d + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = |\nabla d|^2 d, \tag{1.9}$$

with $|d| \equiv 1$ in $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$. Li and Wang [9] proved that the problem has a unique local strong solution. When $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^3$, Fan *et al.* [11] proved a regularity criterion. The aim of this paper is to study the regularity criterion of the problem in a bounded domain. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *Let the initial data satisfy the same conditions in Theorem 1.1 and $|d_0| \equiv 1$ in Ω . Let (ρ, u, d) be a local strong solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.3), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.9). If u and ∇d satisfy*

$$\int_0^T (\|u(t)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}}) dt < \infty \quad \text{with } 3 < q \leq \infty \tag{1.10}$$

and $0 < T < \infty$, then the solution (ρ, u, d) can be extended beyond $T > 0$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We only need to establish *a priori* estimates.

Below we shall use the notation

$$\int = \int_{\Omega}.$$

First, thanks to the maximum principle, it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$0 \leq \rho \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Testing (1.3) by u and using (1.1) and (1.2), we see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho u^2 dx + \int |\nabla u|^2 dx = - \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Testing (1.4) by $-\Delta d + (|d|^2 - 1)d$ and using (1.1), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (|d|^2 - 1)^2 \right) dx + \int (-\Delta d + (|d|^2 - 1)d)^2 dx \\ & = \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Summing up (2.2) and (2.3), we have the well-known energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\rho u^2 + |\nabla d|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|d|^2 - 1)^2 \right) dx + \int (|\nabla u|^2 + (-\Delta d + (|d|^2 - 1)d)^2) dx \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Next, we prove the following estimate:

$$\|d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty)} \leq \max(1, \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}). \tag{2.5}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $1 \leq \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}$. Multiplying (1.4) by $2d$, we get

$$\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi - \Delta \phi + 2|d|^2 \phi = -2|d|^2 (\|d_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 - 1) - 2|\nabla d|^2 \leq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

with $\phi := |d|^2 - \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}^2$ and $\phi(\cdot, 0) = |d_0|^2 - \|d_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq 0$ and $\partial_\nu \phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Then (2.5) follows from (2.6) by the maximum principle.

In the following calculations, we use the following Gauss-Green formula [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_\Omega \Delta f \cdot f |f|^{p-2} dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 dx + 4 \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_\Omega |\nabla |f|^{p/2}|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} |f|^{p-2} (f \cdot \nabla) f \cdot \nu dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} |f|^{p-2} (\text{curl} f \times \nu) \cdot f dS \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and the following estimate [13, 14]:

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.8}$$

with $1 < p < \infty$.

Taking ∇ to (1.4)_i, we deduce that

$$\partial_t \nabla d_i + (u \cdot \nabla) \nabla d_i + \nabla((|d|^2 - 1)d_i) - \Delta \nabla d_i = \sum_j \nabla u_j \partial_j d_i.$$

Testing the above equation by $|\nabla d_i|^{p-2} \nabla d_i$ ($2 \leq p \leq 6$), using (1.1), (2.7), (2.8), (2.5) and summing over i , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx + 4 \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\nabla d|^{p/2}|^2 dx \\ &= - \sum_i \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla d_i|^{p-2} (\nabla d_i \cdot \nu) \nu \cdot \nabla d_i dS + \sum_{ij} \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u_j \partial_j d_i |\nabla d_i|^{p-2}] \cdot \nabla d_i dx \\ & \quad - \sum_i \int_{\Omega} \nabla ((|d|^2 - 1) d_i) \cdot |\nabla d_i|^{p-2} \nabla d_i dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dS - \sum_{ij} \int_{\Omega} u_j \nabla \cdot (\partial_j d_i |\nabla d_i|^{p-2} \nabla d_i) dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dS + C \int_{\Omega} |u| |\nabla d|^{p/2} \cdot |\nabla |\nabla d|^{p/2}| dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} |u| |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\nabla^2 d| dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} w^2 dS + C \int_{\Omega} |u| w |\nabla w| dx + C \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u| w |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\nabla^2 d| dx \\ & \quad (w := |\nabla d|^{p/2}) \\ & \leq C \|w\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{H^1} + C \|u\|_{L^q} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} + C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|u\|_{L^q} \|\nabla w\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} \| |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\nabla^2 d| \|_{L^2} \\ & \leq 2 \frac{p-2}{p^2} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\nabla^2 d| \|_{L^2}^2 + C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)} \leq C \quad \text{with } 2 \leq p \leq 6. \tag{2.9}$$

Testing (1.3) by u_t , using (1.1), (1.2), (2.1) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int \rho |u_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla d \odot \nabla d : \nabla u dx - 2 \int \nabla d_t \odot \nabla d : \nabla u dx \\ & \leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla d \odot \nabla d : \nabla u dx + 2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{q}} \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{q}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla d \odot \nabla d : \nabla u \, dx + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

By the H^2 -regularity theory of the Stokes system, it follows from (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^2} &\leq C \|\nabla d^T \cdot \Delta d\|_{L^2} + C \|\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\Delta d\|_{L^3} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta d\|_{L^3} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{q}} \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^2} + C \|\Delta d\|_{L^3} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C \|\Delta d\|_{L^3}. \tag{2.11}$$

Testing (1.4) by $-\Delta d_t$, using (2.5) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d|^2 \, dx + \int |\nabla d_t|^2 \, dx \\ &= \int ((|d|^2 - 1)d + u \cdot \nabla d) \Delta d_t \, dx \\ &\leq \int |[\nabla(|d|^2 d - d) + \nabla(u \cdot \nabla d)] \nabla d_t| \, dx \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{q}} \|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{q}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{1/2}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, by the H^3 -regularity theory of the elliptic equation, from (1.4), (2.5) and (2.9) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\|_{H^3} &\leq C(\|d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla(\partial_t d + u \cdot \nabla d + |d|^2 d - d)\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}}) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{q}} \|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{q}}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|d\|_{H^3} \leq C(1 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}). \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^2} + \|d\|_{H^3} &\leq C + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Putting (2.14) into (2.10) and (2.12) and summing up, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta d|^2) dx + \int (\rho |u_t|^2 + |\nabla d_t|^2) dx - \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla d \odot \nabla d : \nabla u dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int \rho |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + C + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} \leq C, \quad \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \leq C, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\|d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2)} + \|d_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)} \leq C. \tag{2.16}$$

It follows from (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16) that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)} + \|d\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3)} \leq C. \tag{2.17}$$

Taking ∂_t to (1.3), testing by u_t , using (1.1), (1.2) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u_t|^2 dx + \int |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq \left| \int \rho u \cdot \nabla (u_t^2 + u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t) dx \right| + \left| \int \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \right| \\ & \quad + 2 \left| \int \nabla d_t \odot \nabla d : \nabla u_t dx \right| \\ & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \|u_t\|_{L^6} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \|u_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{H^2}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Taking ∂_t to (1.4), testing by $-\Delta d_t$, using (2.5), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + \int |\Delta d_t|^2 dx \\ & = \int (u \cdot \nabla d + |d|^2 d - d)_t \cdot \Delta d_t dx \\ & \leq \int [u_t \cdot \nabla d + u \cdot \nabla d_t + (|d|^2 d - d)_t] \Delta d_t dx \\ & = - \int \nabla (u_t \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \nabla d_t dx + \int [u \cdot \nabla d_t + (|d|^2 d - d)_t] \Delta d_t dx \\ & \leq (\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_t\|_{L^6} \|\Delta d\|_{L^3}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3} \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\Delta d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|u_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)} \leq C, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\|d_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} + \|d_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)} \leq C. \tag{2.21}$$

It follows from (1.4), (2.21) and (2.16) that

$$\|d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2)} \leq C. \tag{2.22}$$

It follows from (2.14), (2.15), (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2)} + \|d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3)} \leq C. \tag{2.23}$$

It follows from (1.3), (2.20) and (2.23) that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,6})} \leq C, \tag{2.24}$$

from which it follows that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty)} \leq C. \tag{2.25}$$

Applying ∇ to (1.2), testing by $|\nabla \rho|^{q-2} \nabla \rho$ ($2 \leq q \leq 6$) and using (2.25), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}^q \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}^q,$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq C, \tag{2.26}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} &= \|u \nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty)} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

This completes the proof.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. We only need to establish *a priori* estimates.

First, we still have (2.1) and (2.2).

Next, we easily infer that

$$|d| \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega. \tag{3.1}$$

Testing (1.9) by $-\Delta d - |\nabla d|^2 d$ and using (1.1) and (3.1), we find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 dx = \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx. \tag{3.2}$$

Summing up (2.2) and (3.2), we have the well-known energy inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho u^2 + |\nabla d|^2) dx + \int (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) dx \leq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking ∇ to (1.9)_i, testing by $|\nabla d_i|^{p-2} \nabla d_i$ ($2 \leq p \leq 6$), using (1.1), (2.7), (2.8) and (3.1), similarly to (2.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^p dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx + 4 \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\nabla d|^{p/2}|^2 dx \\ &= - \sum_i \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla d_i|^{p-2} (\nabla d_i \cdot \nu) \nu \cdot \nabla d_i dS + \sum_{ij} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_i \partial_j d_i |\nabla d_i|^{p-2} \nabla d_i dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \nabla (|\nabla d|^2 d) \cdot |\nabla d|^{p-2} \nabla d dx \\ & \leq \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^2 w^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d| |\nabla^2 d| |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\nabla d|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \quad (w := |\nabla d|^{p/2}) \\ & \leq \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + \|\nabla d\|_{L^q}^2 \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + C \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{q-3}} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)} + \int_0^T \int |\nabla d|^2 |\nabla^2 d|^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{3.4}$$

We still have (2.10) and (2.11).

Similarly to (2.12), testing (1.9) by $-\Delta d_t$, using (3.1) and (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d|^2 dx + \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ &= \int (u \cdot \nabla d - |\nabla d|^2 d) \Delta d_t dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d - u \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \nabla d_t \, dx \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\
 &\quad + C(\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 + \|\nabla|\nabla d|^2\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^q} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{q}} \|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{q}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{1/2} + \|\nabla|\nabla d|^2\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|d\|_{H^3} &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d)\|_{L^2}) \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{q-3}} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla|\nabla d|^2\|_{L^2}). \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.11) and (3.6), we have

$$\|u\|_{H^2} + \|d\|_{H^3} \leq \text{the right hand side of (2.14)} + C\|\nabla|\nabla d|^2\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Putting (3.7) into (3.5) and (2.10) and using the Gronwall inequality, we still have (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18).

Similarly to (2.19), applying ∂_t to (1.9), testing by $-\Delta d_t$ and using (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 \, dx + \int |\Delta d_t|^2 \, dx \\
 &= \int (u \cdot \nabla d - |\nabla d|^2 d)_t \cdot \Delta d_t \, dx \\
 &= \int (u_t \cdot \nabla d + u \cdot \nabla d_t - |\nabla d|^2 d_t - d \partial_t |\nabla d|^2) \Delta d_t \, dx \\
 &= - \int \nabla(u_t \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \nabla d_t \, dx + \int (u \cdot \nabla d_t - |\nabla d|^2 d_t - d \partial_t |\nabla d|^2) \Delta d_t \, dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\|\Delta d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.18) and (3.8) and using the Gronwall inequality, we still obtain (2.20) and (2.21).

By similar calculations as those in (2.22)-(2.27), we still arrive at (2.22)-(2.27).

This completes the proof.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, P.R. China. ²Department of Mathematics, Inha University, Incheon, 402-751, Republic of Korea. ³Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, P.R. China.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the Zhejiang Innovation Project (Grant No. T200905), the ZJNSF (Grant No. R6090109) and the NSFC (Grant No. 11171154). The authors are indebted to the referee for some helpful suggestions.

Received: 9 April 2013 Accepted: 11 July 2013 Published: 26 July 2013

References

1. Chandrasekhar, S: *Liquid Crystals*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)
2. Ericksen, JL: Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **9**, 371-378 (1962)
3. Leslie, FM: Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **28**(4), 265-283 (1968)
4. Lin, F-H, Liu, C: Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**(5), 501-537 (1995)
5. Danchin, R: Density-dependent incompressible fluids in bounded domains. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **8**(3), 333-381 (2006)
6. Kim, H: A blow-up criterion for the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37**(5), 1417-1434 (2006)
7. Fan, J, Ozawa, T: Regularity criteria for the 3D density-dependent Boussinesq equations. *Nonlinearity* **22**(3), 553-568 (2009)
8. Guillén-González, F, Rojas-Medar, MA, Rodríguez-Bellido, MA: Sufficient conditions for regularity and uniqueness of a 3D nematic liquid crystal model. *Math. Nachr.* **282**(6), 846-867 (2009)
9. Li, X, Wang, D: Global strong solution to the density-dependent incompressible flow of liquid crystals. arXiv:1202.1011v1 [math. AP] 5 Feb 2012
10. Huang, X, Wang, Y: Global strong solution to the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD system. *J. Differ. Equ.* **254**(2), 511-527 (2013)
11. Fan, J, Gao, H, Guo, B: Regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes-Landau-Lifshitz system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **363**(1), 29-37 (2010)
12. Beirão da Veiga, H, Crispo, F: Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions. An L^p theory. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **12**, 397-411 (2010)
13. Adams, RA, Fournier, JF: *Sobolev Spaces*, 2nd edn. Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 140. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2003)
14. Lunardi, A: *Interpolation Theory*, 2nd edn. Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series). Edizioni della Normale, Pisa (2009)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-176

Cite this article as: Fan et al.: Blow-up criteria for 3D nematic liquid crystal models in a bounded domain. *Boundary Value Problems* 2013 **2013**:176.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com