

RESEARCH

Open Access

Lazer-Leach type condition for second order differential equations at resonance with impulsive effects via variational method

Jin Li*

*Correspondence:
lijin7912@gmail.com
School of Science, Jiujiang
University, Jiujiang, 332005, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of periodic solutions of second order impulsive differential equations at resonance with impulsive effects. We prove the existence of periodic solutions under a generalized Lazer-Leach type condition by using variational method. The impulses can generate a periodic solution.

Keywords: impulsive differential equations; Lazer-Leach type condition; variational method

1 Introduction

We are concerned with the periodic boundary value problem of second order impulsive differential equations at resonance

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + m^2x(t) + g(x(t)) = e(t), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 2\pi], \\ x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0, \\ x(t_j^+) = x(t_j^-), \\ \Delta x'(t_j) := x'(t_j^+) - x'(t_j^-) = I_j(t_j, x(t_j)), & j = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $e \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < 2\pi$, and $I_j: [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for every j .

When $\Delta x'(t_j) \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) becomes the well-known periodic boundary value problem at resonance

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + m^2x(t) + g(x(t)) = e(t), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 2\pi], \\ x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} g(x) = g(\pm\infty) \quad (g)$$

exist and are finite. Lazer and Leach [1] proved that (1.2) has at least one 2π -periodic solution provided that the following condition holds:

$$2[g(+\infty) - g(-\infty)] \neq \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)$$

From then on, a series of relevant resonant problems were studied (see [2–5] and the references cited therein) by some classical tools such as topological degree method, variational method, *etc.* Recently, the periodic problem of the second order differential equation with impulses has been widely studied because of its background in applied sciences (see [6–18] and the references cited therein). In this paper, we investigate problem (1.1) under a more general Lazer-Leach type condition. Define

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds$$

and for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$,

$$J_j(t, x) = \int_0^x I_j(t, s) ds.$$

Throughout this paper, we give the following fundamental assumptions.

(H₁) The limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{G(x)}{x} = G(\pm\infty)$$

exist and are finite.

(H₂) There exist continuous, 2π -periodic functions $K_1(t), K_2(t), \dots, K_p(t)$ such that for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_j(t, x)}{x} = K_j(t) \quad \text{uniformly for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₃) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] \neq \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta).$$

For the sake of convenience, we decompose (H₃) into the following two conditions.

(H₃⁺) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] > \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta).$$

(H₃⁻) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] < \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta).$$

We now can state the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that conditions (H₁), (H₂) and (H₃⁺) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.*

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that conditions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3^-) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.*

From Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *Assume that conditions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.*

Moreover, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4 *Assume that conditions (H_1) and*

(H_3') for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] \neq \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt \tag{1.4}$$

hold. Then problem (1.2) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.

Remark 1.5 It is easy to find a function $g(x)$ such that (g) is not satisfied and (H_1) holds. For example, we can take $g(x) = \cos x$. Hence, Corollary 1.4 improves the related results in the literature mentioned above. Moreover, since we consider the problem with impulses, Theorem 1.3 is also a complement of the pioneering works.

Remark 1.6 When condition (H_3') is not satisfied, *i.e.*, there exists $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] = \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta_0) dt,$$

problem (1.2) may have no solution. For example, we consider the resonant differential equation

$$x'' + m^2x + \arctan x = 4 \cos mt. \tag{1.5}$$

Obviously, $g(x) = \arctan x$, $e(t) = 4 \cos mt$ and $G(+\infty) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $G(-\infty) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] - \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt \\ = 2\pi - 4 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos mt \sin(mt + \theta) dt \\ = 2\pi - 4\pi \sin \theta. \end{aligned}$$

We take $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sin \theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then (H_3') is not satisfied. From now on, we prove that (1.5) has no 2π -periodic solution by contradiction. Assume that (1.5) has 2π -periodic solution. Multiplying both sides of (1.5) by $\cos mt$ and integrating over $[0, 2\pi]$, we get

$$4\pi = \int_0^{2\pi} \arctan x \cos mt dt \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\arctan x \cos mt| dt \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} dt = \pi^2,$$

which is impossible. Hence, problem (1.2) may have no solution if condition (H_3) is not satisfied. Now, we give the following boundary value condition:

$$x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

and the impulsive condition

$$\Delta x' \left(\frac{\pi}{m} \right) = 3\pi. \tag{1.7}$$

Clearly, $p = 1$ and $K_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{m} \right) = 3\pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] - \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt - K_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{m} \right) \sin \left(m \cdot \frac{\pi}{m} + \theta \right) \\ & = 2\pi - 4\pi \sin \theta + 3\pi \sin \theta \\ & = 2\pi - \pi \sin \theta \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) hold. Equivalently, Eq. (1.5) with conditions (1.6) and (1.7) has at least one 2π -periodic solution. Therefore, the impulses in problem (1.1) can generate a periodic solution.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall state some notations, some necessary definitions and a saddle theorem due to Rabinowitz. In Section 3, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

In the following, we introduce some notations and some necessary definitions.

Define

$$H = \{x \in H^1(0, 2\pi) : x(0) = x(2\pi)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2(t) + x^2(t)) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consider the functional $\varphi(x)$ defined on H by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x'^2(t) dt - \frac{m^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2(t) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} G(x(t)) dt \\ &+ \int_0^{2\pi} e(t)x(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly as in [18], $\varphi(x)$ is continuously differentiable on H , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x)v(t) &= \int_0^{2\pi} x'(t)v'(t) dt - m^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x(t)v(t) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} g(x(t))v(t) dt \\ &+ \int_0^{2\pi} e(t)v(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x(t_j))v(t_j) \quad \text{for } \forall v(t) \in H. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *If $x \in H$ is a critical point of φ , then x is a 2π -periodic solution of Eq. (1.1).*

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is similar to Lemma 2.1 in [9], so we omit it.

We say that φ satisfies (PS) if every sequence (x_n) for which $\varphi(x_n)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} and $\varphi'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) possesses a convergent subsequence.

To prove the main result, we will use the following saddle point theorem due to Rabinowitz [19] (or see [20]).

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\varphi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ and $H = H^- \oplus H^+$, $\dim(H^-) < \infty$, $\dim(H^+) = \infty$. We suppose that:*

- (a) *there exist a bounded neighborhood D of 0 in H^- and a constant α such that $\varphi|_{\partial D} \leq \alpha$;*
- (b) *there exists a constant $\beta > \alpha$ such that $\varphi|_{H^+} \geq \beta$;*
- (c) *φ satisfies (PS).*

Then the functional φ has a critical point in H .

3 The proof of the main results

In this section, we first show that the functional φ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that conditions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) hold. Then φ defined by (2.1) satisfies (PS).*

Proof Let $M > 0$ be a constant and $\{x_n\} \subset H$ be a sequence satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi(x_n)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x_n'^2 dt - \frac{m^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x_n^2 dt - \int_0^{2\pi} G(x_n) dt \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^{2\pi} e(t)x_n(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) \right| \\
 &\leq M
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi'(x_n)\| = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

We first prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in H by contradiction. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded. Let $\{z_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence bounded in H . It follows from (3.2) that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi'(x_n)z_k| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi'(x_n)\| \|z_k\| = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'(x_n)z_k = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (x'_n z'_k - m^2 x_n z_k) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) z_k - e(t) z_k) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) z_k(t_j) \right) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

By (H₁) and (H₂), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(x_n) z_k - e(t) z_k}{\|x_n\|} dt - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) z_k(t_j)}{\|x_n\|} \right) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{x'_n}{\|x_n\|} z'_k - m^2 \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} z_k \right) dt = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Set

$$y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} (y'_n z'_k - m^2 y_n z_k) dt = 0,$$

and furthermore,

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \int_0^{2\pi} [(y_n - y_i)' z'_k - m^2 (y_n - y_i) z_k] dt = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Replacing z_k in (3.6) by $(y_n - y_i)$, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} (\|y_n - y_i\|^2 - (m^2 + 1) \|y_n - y_i\|_2^2) = 0.$$

Due to the compact imbedding $H \hookrightarrow L^2(0, 2\pi)$, going to a subsequence,

$$y_n \rightharpoonup y_0 \quad \text{weakly in } H, \quad y_n \rightarrow y_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, 2\pi).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \|y_n - y_i\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \|y_n - y_i\|^2 = 0,$$

which implies that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H . Thus, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ in H . It follows from (3.5) and the usual regularity argument for ordinary differential equations (see [21]) that

$$y_0 = k_1 \sin mt + k_2 \cos mt, \tag{3.7}$$

where $k_1^2 + k_2^2 = \frac{1}{(m^2+1)\pi}$ ($\|y_0\| = 1$). (Different subsequences of $\{y_n\}$ correspond to different k_1 and k_2 .)

Write (3.7) as

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta),$$

where θ satisfies $\sin \theta = \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{k_1^2+k_2^2}}$ and $\cos \theta = \frac{k_1}{\sqrt{k_1^2+k_2^2}}$.

Taking $z_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta)$, we get, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (x'_n z'_k - m^2 x_n z_k) dt = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.3) and (3.8) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - e(t)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt_j + \theta) \right] = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

By (H₁) and (H₂), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - e(t)) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta) - y_n \right) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt_j + \theta) - y_n(t_j) \right) \right] = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - e(t)) y_n dt - \sum_{j=1}^p I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) y_n(t_j) \right] = 0.$$

Hence, replacing z_k in (3.3) by y_n , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(x'_n \frac{x'_n}{\|x_n\|} - m^2 x_n \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \right) dt = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, dividing (3.1) by $\|x_n\|$, we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} - \frac{m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} \right) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|} \right| \leq \frac{M}{\|x_n\|}.$$

Passing to the limits, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} (G(x_n) - e(t)x_n) dt}{\|x_n\|} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n)}{x_n} \cdot \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \cdot \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt \\ &\quad - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{x_n(t_j)} \cdot \frac{x_n(t_j)}{\|x_n\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta)$ in H as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \forall t \in I_+, \\ -\infty, & \forall t \in I_-, \end{cases}$$

where $I_+ := \{t \in [0, 2\pi] \mid \sin(mt + \theta) > 0\}$, $I_- := \{t \in [0, 2\pi] \mid \sin(mt + \theta) < 0\}$, we get from the Lebesgue domain convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{I_+} G(+\infty) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin^+(mt + \theta) dt - \int_{I_-} G(-\infty) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin^-(mt + \theta) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2+1)\pi}} \sin(mt_j + \theta), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$0 = 2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] - \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt - \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta),$$

which contradicts (H₃). This implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Thus, there exists $x_0 \in H$ such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x_0$ weakly in H . Due to the compact imbedding $H \hookrightarrow L^2(0, 2\pi)$ and $H \hookrightarrow C(0, 2\pi)$, going to a subsequence,

$$x_n \rightarrow x_0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, 2\pi), \quad x_n \rightarrow x_0 \quad \text{in } C(0, 2\pi).$$

From (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} ((x'_n - x'_i)z'_k - m^2(x_n - x_i)z_k) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - g(x_i))z_k dt \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^p (I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) - I_j(t_j, x_i(t_j)))z_k(t_j) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing z_k by $x_n - x_i$ in the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} ((x'_n - x'_i)^2 - m^2(x_n - x_i)^2) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - g(x_i))(x_n - x_i) dt \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^p (I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) - I_j(t_j, x_i(t_j)))(x_n(t_j) - x_i(t_j)) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By (H_1) and (H_2) , we have

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \int_0^{2\pi} (g(x_n) - g(x_i))(x_n - x_i) dt = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{j=1}^p (I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) - I_j(t_j, x_i(t_j)))(x_n(t_j) - x_i(t_j)) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \int_0^{2\pi} [(x'_n - x'_i)^2 - m^2(x_n - x_i)^2] dt = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ i \rightarrow \infty}} \|x_n - x_i\|^2 = 0,$$

which implies $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in H . It shows that φ satisfies (PS). □

Remark 3.2 If conditions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3^+) (or (H_3^-)), φ defined by (2.1) still satisfies (PS).

Now, we can give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Denote

$$H^- = \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{span}\{\sin t, \cos t, \sin 2t, \cos 2t, \dots, \sin mt, \cos mt\}$$

and

$$H^+ = \text{span}\{\sin(m+1)t, \cos(m+1)t, \dots\}.$$

We first prove that

$$\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = -\infty \quad \text{for } x \in H^- \tag{3.15}$$

by contradiction. Assume that there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subset H^-$ such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) and there exists a constant c_- satisfying

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) \geq c_-. \tag{3.16}$$

By (H_1) , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|^2} dt = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

By (H₂), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|^2} = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.16) and the definition of φ , we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n'^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|^2} dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|^2} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|^2} \right] \geq 0. \tag{3.19}$$

For $x \in H^-$, we get that there exist constants $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ such that

$$x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \cos jt + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \sin jt.$$

Since $\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 jt dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 jt dt$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we have, for $x \in H^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} x'^2 dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m j^2 a_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m j^2 b_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt \\ &\leq m^2 \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt \right] \\ &= m^2 \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt \right] \\ &\leq m^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $x \in H^-$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 - m^2 x^2) dt \leq 0. \tag{3.20}$$

The equality in (3.20) holds only for

$$x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. Since $\dim H^- < \infty$, going to a subsequence, there exists $y_0 \in H^-$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ in H and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ in $L^2(0, 2\pi)$. Then (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) imply that

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (3.16), we have, for n large enough,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n'^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|} \geq \frac{c_-}{\|x_n\|}. \tag{3.21}$$

It follows from $x_n \in H^-$ that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n'^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} \leq 0. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22), we get, for n large enough,

$$\frac{c_-}{\|x_n\|} \leq - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|}.$$

Passing to the limits and using an argument similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] \leq \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta),$$

which is a contradiction to (H_3^+) .

Then (3.15) holds.

Next, we prove that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in H^+,$$

and φ is bounded on bounded sets.

Because of the compact imbedding of $H \hookrightarrow C(0, 2\pi)$ and $H \hookrightarrow L^2(0, 2\pi)$, there exist constants m_1, m_2 such that

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq m_1 \|x\|, \quad \|x\|_2 \leq m_2 \|x\|.$$

Then by (H_1) and (H_2) , one has that there exist positive constants $c_g, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x'^2 dt - \frac{m^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt - \int_0^{2\pi} [G(x) - e(t)x] dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} m_2^2 \|x\|^2 + \int_0^{2\pi} (c_g |x| + |e(t)| |x|) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j |x(t_j)| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + m^2 m_2^2}{2} \|x\|^2 + m_1 (c_g + \|e\|_1) \|x\| + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j m_1 \|x\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Hence, φ is bounded on the bounded sets of H .

For $x \in H^+$, using an argument similar to the case $x \in H^-$, we have

$$\|x\|^2 \geq ((m + 1)^2 + 1) \|x\|_2^2. \tag{3.24}$$

Thus, from (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt - \frac{m^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt - \int_0^{2\pi} [G(x) - e(t)x] dt + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \\ &\geq \frac{2m+1}{2((m+1)^2+1)} \|x\|^2 - m_1 \left(c_g + \|e\|_1 + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j \right) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in H^+.$$

Up to now, the conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. According to Remark 3.2, (c) is also satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, problem (1.1) has at least one solution. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we prove Theorem 1.2 slightly differently from Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Denote

$$H^- = \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{span}\{\sin t, \cos t, \sin 2t, \cos 2t, \dots, \sin(m-1)t, \cos(m-1)t\}$$

and

$$H^+ = \text{span}\{\sin mt, \cos mt, \dots\}.$$

We first prove that

$$\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = -\infty \quad \text{for } x \in H^-. \tag{3.25}$$

For $x \in H^-$, we get that there exist constants $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}$ such that

$$x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \cos jt + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_j \sin jt.$$

Since $\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 jt dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 jt dt$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we have, for $x \in H^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j^2 a_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j^2 b_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt \\ &\leq (m-1)^2 \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt \right] \\ &= (m-1)^2 \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^2 \cos^2 jt dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_j^2 \sin^2 jt dt \right] \\ &\leq (m-1)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $x \in H^-$,

$$\|x\|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 + x^2) dt \leq [(m-1)^2 + 1] \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt = [(m-1)^2 + 1] \|x\|_2^2.$$

The equality holds only for

$$x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{((m-1)^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin((m-1)t + \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $x \in H^-$ and $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\|x\|_2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $x \in H^-$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 - m^2 x^2) dt \leq (m-1)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt - m^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt = -(2m-1) \|x\|_2^2.$$

By (H₁) and (H₂), we get that there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| -\int_0^{2\pi} [G(x) - e(t)x] dt + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \right| \leq c_0 \|x\|_2.$$

Hence, for $x \in H^-$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 - m^2 x^2) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} [G(x) - e(t)x] dt + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} (2m-1) \|x\|_2^2 + c_0 \|x\|_2 \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.25) holds.

Next, we prove that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in H^+,$$

and φ is bounded on bounded sets.

Because of the compact imbedding of $H \hookrightarrow C(0, 2\pi)$ and $H \hookrightarrow L^2(0, 2\pi)$, there exist constants m_1, m_2 such that

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq m_1 \|x\|, \quad \|x\|_2 \leq m_2 \|x\|.$$

Then, by (H₁) and (H₂), one has that there exist positive constants $c_g, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x'^2 dt - \frac{m^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt - \int_0^{2\pi} [G(x) - e(t)x] dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^p J_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} m_2^2 \|x\|^2 + \int_0^{2\pi} (c_g |x| + |e(t)| |x|) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j |x(t_j)| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + m^2 m_2^2}{2} \|x\|^2 + m_1 (c_g + \|e\|_1) \|x\| + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j m_1 \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, φ is bounded on the bounded sets of H .

In what follows, we prove that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = +\infty \quad \text{for } x \in H^+$$

by contradiction. Assume that there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subset H^-$ such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$), and there exists a constant c_+ satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) \leq c_+. \tag{3.26}$$

By (H_1) , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|^2} dt = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

By (H_2) , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|^2} = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

From (3.26) and the definition of φ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|^2} dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|^2} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|^2} \right] \leq 0. \tag{3.29}$$

For $x \in H^+$, we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} x'^2 dt \geq m^2 \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 dt.$$

Hence, for $x \in H^+$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 - m^2 x^2) dt \geq 0. \tag{3.30}$$

The equality in (3.30) holds only for

$$x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. There exists $y_0 \in H^+$ such that $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ weakly in H . Due to the compact imbedding $H \hookrightarrow L^2(0, 2\pi)$, going to a subsequence, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ in $L^2(0, 2\pi)$. Then (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) imply that

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m^2 + 1)\pi}} \sin(mt + \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (3.26), we have, for n large enough,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n'^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|} \leq \frac{c_+}{\|x_n\|}. \quad (3.31)$$

It follows from $x_n \in H^+$ that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x_n'^2 - m^2 x_n^2}{\|x_n\|} dt \geq 0. \quad (3.32)$$

From (3.31) and (3.32), we get, for n large enough,

$$\frac{c_+}{\|x_n\|} \geq - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{G(x_n) - e(t)x_n}{\|x_n\|} dt + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{J_j(t_j, x_n(t_j))}{\|x_n\|}.$$

Passing to the limits and using an argument similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get

$$2[G(+\infty) - G(-\infty)] \geq \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin(mt + \theta) dt + \sum_{j=1}^p K_j(t_j) \sin(mt_j + \theta),$$

which is a contradiction to (H_3^-) . This completes the proof. □

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions

The author has contributed in obtaining new results and written the whole article.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express their thanks to the editor of the journal and the referees for their careful reading of the first draft of the manuscript and making many helpful comments and suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401274), Science and Technology Landing Project of colleges and universities in Jiangxi Province (KJLD14092) and Natural Science Foundation Project of Science and Technology Department of Jiangxi Province (20132BAB201012, 20142BDH80027).

Received: 16 September 2014 Accepted: 14 October 2014 Published online: 31 October 2014

References

1. Lazer, AC, Leach, DE: Bounded perturbations of forced harmonic oscillators at resonance. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **82**(4), 49-68 (1969)
2. Capietto, A, Wang, Z: Periodic solutions of Liénard equations with asymmetric nonlinearities at resonance. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **68**, 119-132 (2003)
3. Landesman, EM, Lazer, AC: Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance. *J. Math. Mech.* **19**, 609-623 (1970)
4. Tomiczek, P: The Duffing equation with the potential Landesman-Lazer condition. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(2), 735-740 (2009)
5. Wang, Z: Lazer-Leach type conditions on periodic solutions of Liénard equation with a deviating argument at resonance. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 906972 (2013)

6. Bogun, I: Existence of weak solutions for impulsive p -Laplacian problem with superlinear impulses. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **13**(6), 2701-2707 (2012)
7. Bonanno, G, Bella, BD, Henderson, J: Existence of solutions to second-order boundary-value problems with small perturbations of impulses. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 126 (2013)
8. Bonanno, G, Bella, BD, Henderson, J: Infinitely many solutions for a boundary value problem with impulsive effects. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, 278 (2013)
9. Ding, W, Qian, D: Periodic solutions for sublinear systems via variational approach. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **11**(4), 2603-2609 (2010)
10. Lakshmikantham, V, Bainov, DD, Simeonov, PS: *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore (1989)
11. Nieto, JJ, O'Regan, D: Variational approach to impulsive differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **10**(2), 680-690 (2009)
12. Qian, D, Li, X: Periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations with impulsive effects. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **303**, 288-303 (2005)
13. Sun, H, Li, Y, Nieto, JJ, Tang, Q: Existence of solutions for Sturm boundary value problem of impulsive differential equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 707163 (2012)
14. Sun, J, Chu, J, Chen, H: Periodic solution generated by impulses for differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **404**, 562-569 (2013)
15. Tian, Y, Ge, W: Applications of variational methods to boundary value problem for impulsive differential equations. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **2**, 509-527 (2008)
16. Xiao, J, Nieto, JJ, Luo, Z: Multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations with linear derivative dependence via variational methods. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **1**, 426-432 (2012)
17. Zhang, H, Li, Z: Variational approach to impulsive differential equations with periodic boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **11**(1), 67-78 (2010)
18. Zhang, Z, Yuan, R: An application of variational methods to Dirichlet boundary value problem with impulses. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **11**(1), 155-162 (2010)
19. Rabinowitz, PH: *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*. CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 65. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1986)
20. Mawhin, J, Willem, M: *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*. Springer, Berlin (1989)
21. Fučík, S: *Solvability of Nonlinear Equations and Boundary Value Problems*. Reidel, Dordrecht (1980)

doi:10.1186/s13661-014-0233-0

Cite this article as: Li: Lazer-Leach type condition for second order differential equations at resonance with impulsive effects via variational method. *Boundary Value Problems* 2014 **2014**:233.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
