

RESEARCH

Open Access



On global behavior of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible fluid for $\gamma = 5/3$

Xiaoying Wang¹ and Weiwei Wang^{2*}

*Correspondence: wei.wei.84@163.com
²College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this article, we consider the global behavior of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations of a compressible fluid in a bounded domain driven by bounded forces for the adiabatic constant $\gamma = 5/3$. Under the condition of a small mass depending on the given forces, we prove the existence of bounded absorbing sets of weak solutions, and thus we further get global bounded trajectories and global attractors to the weak solutions.

MSC: Primary 35B40; 35B41; secondary 76N99

Keywords: weak solutions; bounded absorbing set; bounded trajectories; attractors

1 Introduction

In this article, we investigate the global behavior of finite energy weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of a viscous compressible isentropic fluid:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla P = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{f}, \quad (2)$$

in $\Omega \times I$, and with a non-slip boundary condition:

$$\mathbf{u}(t, x)|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{0}, \quad t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

In this article, we always assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary, and I an open time interval. The unknown functions $\varrho = \rho(t, x)$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x) = (u^1(t, x), u^2(t, x), u^3(t, x))$ represent the density and velocity of fluid, respectively. The external force $\mathbf{f} = (f^1(t, x), f^2(t, x), f^3(t, x))$ is given. The pressure takes the form $P = a\rho^\gamma$, where a is a positive constant, and γ the adiabatic constant. $\mu > 0$ and λ are the viscosity constants, satisfying $3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0$.

Next we give the standard definition of finite energy weak solutions to the problem (1)-(3) as in [1, 2].

Definition 1.1 Let $\gamma > 3/2$. we call the couple (ρ, \mathbf{u}) a finite energy weak solution to the problem (1)-(3), if it satisfies the following properties:

- ρ, \mathbf{u} enjoy the regularity

$$\rho \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(I; L^\gamma(\Omega)) \cap L^{s(\gamma)}_{\text{loc}}(I; L^{s(\gamma)}(\Omega)), \quad u^i \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(I; W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)) \tag{4}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $s(\gamma) = (5\gamma - 3)/3$.

- Let the energy E be defined as follows:

$$E[\rho, \mathbf{u}](t) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho(t, x) |\mathbf{u}(t, x)|^2 + \frac{a}{\gamma - 1} \rho^\gamma(t, x) \right] dx, \tag{5}$$

then $E \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I)$ satisfies the following energy inequality in $D'(I)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\rho, \mathbf{u}](t) + \int_\Omega [\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\text{div } \mathbf{u}(t)|^2] dx \leq \int_\Omega \rho(t) \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(t) dx. \tag{6}$$

- Equations (1) and (2) hold in $D'(I \times \Omega)$; moreover, (1) is satisfied in $D'(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ provided we prolong ρ, \mathbf{u} to be zero on \mathbb{R}^3/Ω .
- Equation (1) is satisfied in the sense of renormalized solutions, *i.e.*,

$$b(\rho)_t + \text{div}(b(\rho)\mathbf{u}) + (b'(\rho)\rho - b(\rho)) \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{7}$$

holds in $D'(I \times \Omega)$ for any b satisfying

$$b \in C^0([0, \infty]) \cap C^1((0, \infty)), \quad |b'(t)| \leq Ct^{-\lambda_0}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \lambda_0 < 1, \tag{8}$$

and

$$|b'(t)| \leq Ct^{\lambda_1}, \quad t \geq 1, \text{ where } C > 0, -1 < \lambda_1 \leq \frac{s(\gamma)}{2} - 1. \tag{9}$$

The existence of globally defined weak solutions for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ was proved by Lions [3] under the hypothesis that $\gamma > 9/5$. Then, by using the curl-div lemma to subtly derive a certain compactness, and applying Lions' idea and a technique from [4], Feireisl *et al.* [1] extended Lions' existence result to the case $\gamma > 3/2$. For any $1 \leq \gamma \leq 3/2$, a global weak solution still exists when the initial data have a certain symmetry (*e.g.*, spherical, or axisymmetric symmetry); see [4, 5]. The theory of weak solutions is also applied to other models of fluid mechanics; see [6–10] for examples. In [2, 11–13] Feireisl and Petzeltová investigated the global behavior of weak solutions of the problem (1)-(3), and showed the existence of bounded absorbing sets, global bounded trajectories and global attractors to weak solutions of compressible flows for $\gamma > 5/3$. Jiang *et al.* [14–16] and Wang [17] further generalized their results to the Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations and nematic liquid crystals, respectively. However, it is still an open problem for the case $\gamma > 3/2$. In this article, under the proof-frame of [2, 11], we investigate the global behavior of weak solutions of the problem (1)-(3) for $\gamma = 5/3$ under the assumption of small mass depending on the given forces. Finally, we mention that, from the definition of renormalized solutions, the total mass m is conserved, *i.e.*

$$m = \int_\Omega \rho(x, t) dx \text{ is independent of } t \in I. \tag{10}$$

Next we start to state our main results. The first result concerns the existence of bounded absorbing sets of weak solutions to the problem (1)-(3).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\gamma = 5/3$, $a_0 > -\infty$, $I = (a_0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and the bounded measurable function $\mathbf{f} = (f^1(t, x), f^2(t, x), f^3(t, x))$ satisfy*

$$\max_{i=1,2,3} \left\{ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in I, x \in \Omega} |f^i(t, x)| \right\} \leq K. \tag{11}$$

Then there exist constants $m_0 := m_0(K) \in (0, 1)$ and $E_\infty := E(K)$ satisfying the following property:

For any positive constant E_0 and any finite energy weak solution (ρ, \mathbf{u}) of the problem (1)-(3), if

$$\operatorname{ess\,lim\,sup}_{t \rightarrow a_0} E(t) \leq E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq m_0, \tag{12}$$

then there exists a time point $T = T(E_0, a_0)$ such that

$$E(t) := E[\rho, \mathbf{u}](t) \leq E_\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } t > T. \tag{13}$$

Here we explain why our arguments work only for $\gamma = 5/3$. In Feireisl and Petzeltová’s article [2], they deduced the following key estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) \\ & \leq c(K, m) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \sqrt{E(t+)} + \tilde{c}(m) \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\varrho(t)\|_{L^\gamma(\Omega)}^{(4\gamma-3)(3(\gamma+\theta-1))} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $c(K, m)$ and $\tilde{c}(m)$ are two positive constants. Under the condition $\gamma > 5/3$, $(4\gamma - 3)/(3(\gamma + \theta - 1)) < \gamma$, and thus one can apply the Young inequality to the estimate above to deduce

$$\sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) \leq L \tag{15}$$

for some constant $L > 0$. The local-time boundedness (15) is very important to further deduce the existence of a bounded absorbing set. However, if $\gamma \leq 5/3$, then $(4\gamma - 3)/(3(\gamma + \theta - 1)) \geq \gamma$, and thus the above idea to deduce (15) obviously fails. However, when $\gamma = 5/3$, (14) implies

$$\sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) \leq c(K, m) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \sqrt{E(t+)} + \tilde{c}(m) \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) \right). \tag{16}$$

By careful analyzing the derivation of (15), we observe that $c(K, m)$ and m converge to zero as $m \rightarrow 0$, and thus (15) can be still deduced from (16) provided that the mass is sufficiently small.

Based on Theorem 1.1, we can further get global bounded trajectories of weak solutions to the problem (1)-(3) as in [12], since the family of trajectories generated by the finite energy weak solutions of (1)-(3) defined on I possesses a bounded absorbing set in the

energy ‘norm’. To this purpose, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 U^s[E_0, \mathcal{F}](t_0, t) &= \left\{ (\rho(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau)), \tau \in [0, 1] \mid \rho(\tau) = \rho(t + \tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau) = (\rho \mathbf{u})(t + \tau), \right. \\
 &\quad \text{where } (\rho, \mathbf{u}) \text{ is a finite energy weak solution to the} \\
 &\quad \text{problem (1)-(3) on an open interval } I, \text{ such that } (t_0, t_0 + 1] \subset I, \\
 &\quad \left. \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}, \operatorname{ess\,lim\,sup}_{t \rightarrow t_0} E(t) \leq E_0 \text{ and } m \text{ satisfy (12)} \right\}. \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the second result concerning the large-time behavior of the short trajectories defined in (17).

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\gamma = 5/3, J_1 = (0, 1)$,*

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ be bounded subset of the } (L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega))^3 \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}^+ &= \left\{ \mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{f} = \lim_{\tau_n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{h}_n(t + \tau_n, x) \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \text{for a certain } \mathbf{h}_n \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \tau_n \rightarrow \infty \right\}. \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that there exists a certain sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$(\rho_n(t_n + t, x), \mathbf{q}_n(t_n + t, x)) \in U^s[E_0, \mathcal{F}](a_0, t_n) \quad (a_0 \in \mathbb{R}),$$

then we can extract a subsequence (not relabeled) such that

$$\rho_n(t_n + t, x) \rightarrow \bar{\rho}(t, x) \quad \text{in } L^{5/3}(J_1 \times \Omega) \text{ and in } C(\bar{J}_1; L^\alpha(\Omega)) \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha < 5/3, \tag{20}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_n(t_n + t, x) \rightarrow (\bar{\rho} \bar{\mathbf{u}})(t, x) \quad \text{in } L^p(J_1 \times \Omega) \cap C(\bar{J}_1; (L^{\frac{5}{4}}_{\text{weak}}(\Omega))^3), \tag{21}$$

and

$$E[\rho_n(t_n + t, x), \mathbf{u}_n(t_n + t, x)] \rightarrow E[\bar{\rho}(t, x), \bar{\mathbf{u}}(t, x)] \quad \text{in } L^1(J_1) \tag{22}$$

for any $p \in [1, \frac{5}{4})$, where $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\mathbf{u}})$ is a finite energy weak solution of the problem (1)-(3) defined on the whole real line $I = \mathbb{R}$ such that $E \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \int_\Omega \bar{\rho} \, dx = m$, and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}^+$.

The theorem above presents that the energy E of finite energy weak solutions defined on $I = \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} , and thus we can further construct a set of short trajectories to which any finite energy weak solution is asymptotically attracted by Theorem 1.2. To this end, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}^s[\mathcal{F}] &= \left\{ (\rho(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau))_{\tau \in [0,1]} \mid (\rho, \mathbf{q} = (\rho \mathbf{u})) \text{ is a finite energy weak solution} \right. \\
 &\quad \text{of the problem (1)-(3) on } I = \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}^+, E[\rho, \mathbf{u}] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \\
 &\quad \left. \text{and } m \text{ satisfy (12)} \right\}. \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the third conclusion as regards a global attractor to the short trajectories of the set $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathcal{F})$ as in [12].

Theorem 1.3 *Assume $\gamma = 5/3$ and \mathcal{F} satisfies (18). Then the set $\mathcal{A}^s[\mathcal{F}]$ is compact in $L^{5/3}(J_1 \times \Omega) \times (L^p(J_1 \times \Omega))^3$. Moreover, for any $p \in [1, 5)$,*

$$\sup_{(\rho, \mathbf{q}) \in U^s[E_0, \mathcal{F}](t_0, t)} \left[\inf_{(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) \in \mathcal{A}^s[\mathcal{F}]} (\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_{L^{5/3}(J_1 \times \Omega)} + \|\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{L^p(J_1 \times \Omega)}) \right] \rightarrow 0, \tag{24}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The theorem above shows that the set $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathcal{F})$ is a global attractor to the space of short trajectories; moreover, the set $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathcal{F})$ is nonempty and compact, if \mathcal{F} is nonempty. Similar to [18], we can further build a set of global trajectories. To this end, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{F}] = \{ & (\rho, \mathbf{q}) \mid \rho = \rho(0), \mathbf{q} = (\rho \mathbf{u})(0), \text{ where } \rho, \mathbf{u} \text{ is a finite energy} \\ & \text{weak solution of the problem (1)-(3) on } I = \mathbb{R} \\ & \text{with } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}^+ \text{ and } E \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \text{ and } m \text{ satisfy (12)} \}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & U[E_0, \mathcal{F}](t_0, t) \\ & = \left\{ (\rho, \mathbf{q})(t) \mid (\rho, \mathbf{u}) \text{ is a finite energy weak solution of the problem} \right. \\ & \quad \text{(1)-(3) on } I \text{ such that } (t_0, t] \subset I, \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow t_0} E(t) \leq E_0, \\ & \quad \left. \text{and } m \text{ satisfy (12)} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

thus we get the fourth result on attractors as in [12].

Theorem 1.4 *We redefine the energy E by*

$$E[\rho, \mathbf{u}](t) = \int_{\rho(x,t) > 0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{|\rho \mathbf{u}|^2}{\rho}(t, x) + \frac{3a}{2} \rho^{5/3}(t, x) \right] dx. \tag{27}$$

Assume that $\gamma = 5/3$ and \mathcal{F} satisfies (18), then $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{F}]$ is compact in $L^\alpha(\Omega) \times (L^{\frac{5}{4}_{\text{weak}}}(\Omega))^3$, i.e., for any $1 \leq \alpha < 5/3$ and any $\phi \in (L^5(\Omega))^3$,

$$\sup_{(\rho, \mathbf{q}) \in U[E_0, \mathcal{F}](t_0, t)} \left[\inf_{(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\mathbf{q}}) \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{F}]} \left(\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\alpha(\Omega)} + \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{q}}) \cdot \phi \, dx \right| \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{28}$$

Remark 1.1 It should be noted that the energy $E(t)$ defined by (27) is equal to (5) a.e. in I (see [18], Lemma 7.18) and (27) is lower semicontinuous (see [18], Proposition 7.21). Then the two conditions ‘ $\text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow a} E(t) \leq E_0$ ’ and ‘ $\limsup_{t \rightarrow a} E(t) \leq E_0$ ’ are equivalent, and, thus, the conclusions in Theorems 1.1-1.2 with $E(t)$ defined by (27) still hold, in particular, we have $E(t) := E[\rho, \mathbf{u}](t) \leq E_\infty$ for $t > T$ in Theorem 1.1.

In next section, we use the proof-frame of [2] to prove Theorem 1.1 under the condition of small mass. Once we establish Theorem 1.1, the conclusions in Theorems 1.2-1.4 obviously hold by the standard compactness method as in [1, 12]; hence we omit the proof.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Similar to [2], to get Theorem 1.1, it suffices to obtain the following two results.

Proposition 2.1 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, let $m \in (0, 1)$ and (ρ, \mathbf{u}) be a renormalized solution of (1)-(3), then the energy E is locally bounded variation on I (being redefined on a set of measure zero if necessary), and*

$$E(t_+) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t_+} E(s) \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow t_-} E(s) = E(t_-) \quad \text{for any } t \in I. \tag{29}$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $c(K)$, only depending on K and independent of m , such that

$$E(t_2^-) \leq (1 + E(t_1^+))e^{c(K)(t_2-t_1)} - 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 < t_1 < t_2. \tag{30}$$

Proposition 2.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a constant $m_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $m \in (0, m_0)$ there exists a constant $L := L(K)$ enjoying the following property:*

If

$$E((T + 1)^-) > E(T^+) - 1 \quad \text{for some } T \in I, \tag{31}$$

then

$$\sup_{t \in (T, T+1)} E(t_+) \leq L. \tag{32}$$

For completeness of this article, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1 in detail, based on Propositions 2.1-2.2. It is easy to see that there exists $T = T(E_0, a_0) > a_0$ satisfying $E(T^-) > E((T - 1)^+) - 1$. Indeed if it fails, then, when the t is sufficiently large, the energy would be negative. This contradicts the fact that the energy is non-negative. Therefore $E(t_0) \leq L$ for some $t_0 < T$, where L is defined as in Proposition 2.2.

Next we claim that

$$E((t_0 + n)_+) \leq L \quad \text{for any } n \geq 0, \tag{33}$$

By induction, we assume $E((t_0 + n)_+) \leq L$. Making use of (29) and Proposition 2.2, either

$$\sup_{t \in (t_0+n, t_0+n+1)} E(t_+) \leq L,$$

which implies $E((t_0 + n + 1)^-) \leq L$, or

$$E((t_0 + n + 1)_+) \leq E((t_0 + n + 1)^-) \leq E((t_0 + n)_+) - 1 \leq L - 1.$$

Consequently, in view of (33) and Lemma 2.1, we take the value

$$E_\infty = (1 + L)e^{c(K)} - 1,$$

to obtain Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Next we turn to strictly show the two propositions above. We mention that all the estimate constants appearing in this section is independent of m .

2.1 Proof of Proposition 2.1

Let $E_1(t)$ satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) + \int_\Omega \mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega \rho \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx \quad \text{a.e. for } t \in I, \tag{34}$$

then $E_2 := (E - E_1) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I)$. In view of (6), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_2(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(I). \tag{35}$$

Hence E is the sum of ‘an absolutely function’ and ‘a nonincreasing function’, and thus, E is a continuous function except a countable set of points in which (29) holds. In addition, using the condition (11), we can control the right-hand side of (6) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \rho \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx &\leq K \left(\int_\Omega \rho \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2m}K \left(1 + \int_\Omega \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2m}K (1 + 2E(t)) \leq 2\sqrt{2}K (1 + E(t)) := c(K)(1 + E(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where we have used the condition $m \in (0, 1)$. Thus, using the Gronwall lemma, we immediately get (30), and we complete the proof of Proposition 2.1.

2.2 Proof of Proposition 2.2

Before further providing the proof of Proposition 2.2, we shall establish the following four auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and (31), let $m \in (0, 1)$, then*

$$\int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)}^2 \, dt \leq c_1 \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \, dt \right) \tag{37}$$

holds for a constant $c_1 = c_1(K)$.

Proof Exploiting (31), the energy inequality (6), the embedding theorem $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$, and the Poincaré inequality, we can estimate

$$\int_\Omega \mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)|^2 \, dx \leq c_{1,1} \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \rho |\mathbf{u}| \, dx \, dt \right).$$

On the other hand, we can use the Hölder inequality and the condition $m \in (0, 1)$ to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\mathbf{u}| \, dx \leq \sqrt{m} \left(\int_{\Omega} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6(\Omega)}.$$

Consequently, we immediately get the desired result by using the embedding theorem again. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (31), there exists a constant $m_0 \in (0, 1)$ depending on K such that, for any*

$$m \in (0, m_0], \tag{38}$$

we have

$$E(t_+) \leq c_2 \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho(s)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/3} \, ds \right) \quad \text{for any } t \in [T, T + 1] \tag{39}$$

for some constant $c_2 = c_2(K)$.

Proof We integrate (30) for the choice $t_2 = T + 1$ with respect to t_1 to obtain

$$E((T + 1)-) \leq c_{2,1} \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} E(s) \, ds \right).$$

In addition,

$$E(T_+) < E((T + 1)-) + 1 \leq c_{2,2} \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} E(s) \, ds \right). \tag{40}$$

Thus, we can take $t_1 = T$ in (30) and use (40) to obtain

$$E(T_+) \leq c_{2,3} \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} E(s) \, ds \right) \quad \text{for any } t \in [T, T + 1).$$

Now, exploiting the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \, dt &\leq \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \, ds \\ &\leq c_{2,4} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \left(1 + \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \, dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can use the interpolation inequality to get

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{1/6} \|\rho\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/6},$$

and thus

$$\int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq c_{2,5} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t_+)^{1/2} \left(1 + m^{1/6} \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho(s)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/6} \, ds \right).$$

Hence we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) &\leq c_{2,6} \left[1 + \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/3} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+)^{1/2} \left(1 + m^{1/6} \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/6} dt \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists a sufficiently small constant $m_0 \in (0, 1)$ dependent on K such that, for any $m \in (0, m_0]$, (39) holds. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let (ρ, \mathbf{u}) be a finite energy weak solutions to the problem (1)-(3) and*

$$S_\varepsilon[v] = \vartheta_\varepsilon * v, \quad \text{where } \vartheta_\varepsilon = \vartheta_\varepsilon(x) \text{ is a regularizing sequence.}$$

Then

$$\partial_t S_\varepsilon[b(\rho)] + \operatorname{div}(S_\varepsilon[b(\rho)]\mathbf{u}) + S_\varepsilon[(b'(\rho)\rho - b(\rho)) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}] = r_\varepsilon \tag{41}$$

a.e. in $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover, if

$$b(\rho) \text{ is in } L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\beta(\Omega)), \quad \beta \geq 2,$$

then

$$r_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\alpha(\Omega)) \text{ for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ with } \alpha = \frac{2\beta}{\beta + 2}. \tag{42}$$

Proof Please, refer to [2], Lemma 2.1 or [18], Lemmas 6.7-6.9. □

Lemma 2.4 *Let $p, r \in (1, \infty)$ be given numbers, then there exists a bounded linear operator \mathcal{B} ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= [\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3] : \left\{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \int_\Omega f \, dx = 0 \right\} \mapsto [W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)]^3, \\ \|\mathcal{B}\{f\}\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} &\leq c_3(p, \Omega) \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

such that $\mathbf{v} := \mathcal{B}\{f\}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = f \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \mathbf{v}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{44}$$

In addition, if $f \in L^r(\Omega)$ can be written by

$$f = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{h} \quad \text{for a certain } \mathbf{h} \in [L^r(\Omega)]^3, \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

then

$$\|\mathcal{B}\{f\}\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq c_4(p, \gamma, \Omega) \|\mathbf{h}\|_{L^r(\Omega)}. \tag{45}$$

Proof The bounded linear operator \mathcal{B} was first considered by Bogovskii [19], please refer to [20], Proposition 2.1, for a detailed proof. \square

We are now in the position to prove Proposition 2.2. Let $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T, T + 1)$, and S_ϵ are the smoothing operators given by Lemma 2.3. We consider test functions

$$\varphi_i(t, x) = \psi(t)\mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$b \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad b(z) = z^{1/5} \quad \text{for } z \geq 1. \tag{46}$$

Taking the φ_i as test functions for (2) and exploiting Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we can obtain the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & a \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho^5 / 3 S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx dt \\ &= \int_T^{T+1} \psi \left(\int_\Omega a \rho^5 / 3 dx \right) \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx dt \\ & \quad + (\lambda + \mu) \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi_t \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \mu \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \partial_{x_j} u^i \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho u^i u^j \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [(b(\rho) - b'(\rho)\rho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [(b(\rho) - b'(\rho)\rho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}] dx \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ r_\epsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega r_\epsilon dx \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \{ \operatorname{div} (S_\epsilon [b(\rho)]) \mathbf{u} \} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi \rho f_i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right\} dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Using the condition (38), we can get the following estimates; please, refer to [2] for the omitted details:

$$\left| \int_T^{T+1} \psi \left(\int_\Omega a \rho^{5/3} dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] dx \right) dt \right| \leq c_5 \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \rho^{5/3} dx dt, \tag{48}$$

$$\left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_\Omega \psi S_\epsilon [b(\rho)] \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} dx dt \right| \leq c_6 \int_T^{T+1} \| \mathbf{u}(t) \|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} dt, \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] \, dx \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_7 \int_T^{T+1} |\psi_t| \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \partial_{x_j} u^i \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] \, dx \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_8 \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho u^i u^j \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] \, dx \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_9 \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_{\varepsilon}[(b(\rho) - b'(\rho)\rho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S_{\varepsilon}[(b(\rho) - b'(\rho)\rho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}] \, dx \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_{10} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ r_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} r_{\varepsilon} \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_{11} \int_T^{T+1} \|\rho\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \|r_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho f_i \mathcal{B}_i \{ \operatorname{div}(S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] \mathbf{u}) \} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c_{12} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and

$$\left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho f_i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S_{\varepsilon}[b(\rho)] \, dx \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| \leq c_{13}(K). \tag{56}$$

In addition, we can use (46) to see that

$$b(\rho) \text{ is in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(R^+, L^{10}(\Omega)),$$

thus, exploiting (42) and (54), we further get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \rho u^i \mathcal{B}_i \left\{ r_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} r_{\varepsilon} \right\} \, dx \, dt \right| = 0. \tag{57}$$

Noting that there exists a sequence ψ_{ε} approximating the characteristic function of the interval $[T, T + 1]$, thus, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (48)-(56), we can obtain

$$\int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{26/15} dx dt \leq c_{15}(K) \left[1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \right) \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \right]. \tag{58}$$

Recalling the interpolating the spaces L^1 and $L^{26/15}$, we have

$$\int_T^{T+1} \|\rho\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/3} dt \leq c_{16} m^{3/55} \left[\int_T^{T+1} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{26/15} dx dt \right]^{10/11}. \tag{59}$$

Then, exploiting Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \int_T^{T+1} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \right|^{10} \\ & \leq c_{17}(K) \left[1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \right]^{10} \\ & \leq c_{18}(K) \left[1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)} \right]^{5/3}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

In addition, thanks to (5), we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} 2\sqrt{E(t+)}. \tag{61}$$

Finally, making use of Lemma 2.2 and the estimates (58)-(61), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} E(t+) \\ & \leq c_{19}(K) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} 2\sqrt{E(t+)} + m^{3/55} \sup_{t \in [T, T+1]} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{5/3}(\Omega)}^{5/3} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Consequently, (62) implies the existence of the constant L which has the property stated in Proposition 2.2 provided that

$$m \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{a}{2c_{19}(K)(\gamma - 1)} \right)^{\frac{55}{3}}, m_0 \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors declare that the study was realized in collaboration with the same responsibility. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing, 102206, China. ²College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, China.

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the anonymous referees for useful comments and suggestions on our manuscript, which improved the presentation of this article. The research of Xiaoying Wang was supported by NSFC (U1430103), Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project (YETP0724) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (13MS35), and Weiwei Wang was supported by NSFC (11501116).

Received: 1 August 2015 Accepted: 18 September 2015 Published online: 29 September 2015

References

1. Feireisl, E, Novotný, A, Petzeltová, H: On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **3**(4), 358-392 (2001)
2. Feireisl, E, Petzeltová, H: Bounded absorbing sets for the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible fluid. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **26**(7-8), 1133-1144 (2001)
3. Lions, PL: *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics: Compressible Models*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1998)
4. Jiang, S, Zhang, P: On spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **215**, 559-581 (2001)
5. Jiang, S, Zhang, P: Axisymmetric solutions of the 3-D Navier-Stokes-equations for compressible isentropic fluids. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **82**, 949-973 (2003)
6. Jiang, F, Tan, Z: Global weak solution to the flow of liquid crystals system. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **32**(17), 2243-2266 (2009)
7. Jiang, F, Jiang, S, Wang, DH: Global weak solutions to the equations of compressible flow of nematic liquid crystals in two dimensions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **214**, 403-451 (2014)
8. Jiang, F, Jiang, S, Wang, DH: On multi-dimensional compressible flows of nematic liquid crystals with large initial energy in a bounded domain. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265**, 3369-3397 (2013)
9. Jiang, F: A remark on weak solutions to the barotropic compressible quantum Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **12**, 1733-1735 (2011)
10. Jiang, F, Jiang, S, Yin, JP: Global weak solutions to the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations of compressible heat-conducting flows with symmetric data and forces. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. A* **34**(2), 567-587 (2014)
11. Feireisl, E, Petzeltová, H: Asymptotic compactness of global trajectories generated by the Navier-Stokes equations of a compressible fluid. *J. Differ. Equ.* **173**, 390-409 (2001)
12. Feireisl, E: Propagation of oscillations, complete trajectories and attractors for compressible flows. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **10**, 83-98 (2003)
13. Feireisl, E: On compactness of solutions to the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations when the density is not square integrable. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* **42**(1), 83-98 (2001)
14. Jiang, F, Tan, Z, Yan, Q: Asymptotic compactness of global trajectories generated by the Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations of a compressible fluid. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **16**(3), 355-380 (2009)
15. Jiang, F, Tan, Z: Complete bounded trajectories and attractors for compressible barotropic self-gravitating fluid. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351**, 408-427 (2009)
16. Guo, RC, Jiang, F, Yin, JP: A note on complete bounded trajectories and attractors for compressible self-gravitating fluids. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **75**(4), 1933-1944 (2012)
17. Wang, W: On global behavior of weak solutions of compressible flows of nematic liquid crystals. *Acta Math. Sci.* **35**(3), 650-672 (2015)
18. Novotný, A, Straškraba, I: *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004)
19. Bogovskii, ME: Solution of some vector analysis problems connected with operators div and grad. In: *Theory of Cubature Formulas and the Application of Functional Analysis to Problems of Mathematical Physics*. Trudy Sem. S. L. Soboleva, vol. 1, pp. 5-40 (1980) (in Russian)
20. Feireisl, E, Petzeltová, H: On integrability up to the boundary of the weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **25**(3-4), 755-767 (1999)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com