

RESEARCH

Open Access



Existence and boundary behavior of solutions to p -Laplacian elliptic equations

Ling Mi*

*Correspondence: mi-ling@163.com
School of Science, Linyi University,
Linyi, Shang dong 276005, P.R. China

Abstract

Under appropriate conditions on $b(x)$ and $g(u)$, we consider the singular Dirichlet problems $-\Delta_p u = b(x)g(u)$, $u > 0$, $x \in \Omega$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. These problems are shown to admit weak solutions, and we analyze their exact asymptotic behavior near the boundary. As the main tools, we use Karamata regular variation theory and the method of upper and lower solutions.

Keywords: singular Dirichlet problem; existence of solutions; exact asymptotic behavior; upper and lower solutions

1 Introduction and the main results

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence and exact asymptotic behavior of the solution near the boundary to the following problems:

$$-\Delta_p u = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, x \in \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ stands for the p -Laplacian operator with $p > 1$, Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$), b satisfies the condition

(b₁) $b \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and is positive in Ω ,

and g satisfies the following conditions:

(g₁) $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = \infty$, and $g'(s) \leq 0$ for all $s > 0$;

(g₂) $\int_0^1 \frac{dv}{(g(v))^{q/p}} < \infty$;

(g₃) there exists $C_g > 0$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{q}{p g^{1-\frac{q}{p}}(s)} g'(s) \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv = -C_g$,

where q stands for the Hölder conjugate of p .

A solution of (1.1) is meant as a positive function $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ with $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $d(x) := \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} b(x)g(u)\phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

The class of problems (1.1) appears in many nonlinear phenomena, for instance, in the theory of quasi-regular and quasi-conformal mappings [1–3], in the generalized reaction-diffusion theory [4], in the turbulent flow of a gas in a porous medium, and in the non-Newtonian fluid theory [5].

The investigation of problem (1.1) has a long history. Early studies mainly focused on problems involving the classical Laplace operator Δ , that is,

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, x \in \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

For $b \equiv 1$ and $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 1$, in 1977, Crandall, Rabinowitz, and Tartar [6] have derived that problem (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. This paper is the starting point on semilinear problem with singular nonlinearity. Moreover, the following result was established: there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1(d(x))^{2/(1+\gamma)} \leq u(x) \leq c_2(d(x))^{2/(1+\gamma)} \quad \text{near } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.3)$$

Lazer and McKenna [7] showed that (1.3) continues to hold on $\bar{\Omega}$, and instead of $b \equiv 1$ on Ω , they assumed that $0 < b_1 \leq b(x)(d(x))^\lambda \leq b_2$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, where b_1, b_2 are positive constants, and $\lambda \in (0, 2)$. Later, a lot of work has been done related to the existence and asymptotic behavior of the solutions to problem (1.2); we refer to [8–16] and the references therein.

It is worth pointing out that Cîrstea and Rădulescu [17–19], Cîrstea and Du [20], and Repovš [21] introduced the Karamata regular variation theory to study the boundary behavior and uniqueness of solutions for boundary blow-up elliptic problems and obtained a series of significant information about the qualitative behavior of large solutions in a general framework.

Recently, by using the Karamata regular variation theory Zhang and Li [22], Zhang [23], Zhang and Cheng [24], and Mi and Liu [25] further studied the boundary behavior of the solutions to problem (1.2).

Now, let us return to problem (1.1). When $b(x) \equiv 1$ and $g(u) = u^m$, the first results concerning (1.1) ($0 < p - 1 < m$) have been obtained by Ni and Serrin [26, 27], who gave a priori estimates near a singularity. In particular, they show that $m = N(p - 1)/(N - p)$ is a critical value. They also obtained nonexistence results for positive solutions in an exterior domain for $p - 1 < m < N(p - 1)/(N - p)$. Guedda and Veron [28] give Ni and Serrin's estimates under a slightly weaker hypothesis. Later, Bognara and Drabek [29] deals with the existence and multiplicity results for radial symmetric solutions of problem (1.1) for a more general nonlinearity $g(u)$. In recent years, the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the general quasilinear elliptic problem $-\Delta_p u = \lambda h(x, u, \nabla u)$, $u > 0$, $x \in \Omega$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, has been studied by many authors. Some sufficient conditions on h and Ω have been proposed to ensure the existence or nonexistence of solutions; see [30–38] and the reference therein. However, to the best of our knowledge, up to now, few papers have addressed the boundary behavior of solutions to problem (1.1) for more general nonlinear terms g .

Inspired by the works mentioned, in this paper, by using Karamata regular variation theory and the method of upper and lower solutions, we show the existence of a solution to problem (1.1) and provide some asymptotic boundary estimates under appropriate conditions on $b(x)$ and $g(u)$.

In order to present our main results, we introduce the following two kinds of functions.

Let Λ denote the set of positive nondecreasing functions $k \in C^1(0, \nu)$ that satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{K(t)}{k(t)} \right) = C_k, \quad \text{where } K(t) = \int_0^t k(s) ds. \quad (1.4)$$

We note that, for each $k \in \Lambda$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_k \in [0, 1].$$

The set Λ was first introduced by Cîrstea and Rădulescu.

Next, we denote by Θ the set of all Karamata functions $\hat{L}$ that are *normalized* slowly varying at zero (see the definition in Section 2) defined on $(0, \eta]$ for some $\eta > 0$ by

$$\hat{L}(s) = c_0 \exp\left(\int_s^\eta \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \in (0, \eta], \quad (1.5)$$

where $c_0 > 0$, and the function $y \in C([0, \eta])$ with $y(0) = 0$.

The key to our estimates in this paper is the solution to the problem

$$\int_{\phi(t)}^\infty \frac{ds}{(f(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} = t, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Our main results are summarized as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let g satisfy (g_1) – (g_2) , and b satisfy (b_1) – (b_2) . Suppose that b also satisfies the following condition:*

(b_3) the linear problem

$$-\Delta_p u = b(x), \quad u > 0, x \in \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

has a unique solution $v_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Then, problem (1.1) has at least one solution $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let g satisfy (g_1) – (g_2) , and b satisfy (b_1) – (b_3) . Suppose that b also satisfies the following condition:*

(b_4) there exist $k \in \Lambda$ and a positive constant $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{k^p(d(x))} = b_0.$$

If

$$C_k + qC_g > q,$$

then any solution u to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi(K^q(d(x)))} = A_1^{1-C_g}, \quad (1.8)$$

where ϕ is uniquely determined by (1.6), q stands for the Hölder conjugate of p , and

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{b_0}{(p-1)(qC_g + C_k - q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Theorem 1.3 *Let g satisfy (g_1) – (g_2) , and b satisfy (b_1) – (b_3) . Suppose that b also satisfies the following condition:*

(b_5) *there exist $L \in \Theta$ and a positive constant $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{(d(x))^{-p} L(d(x))} = b_1.$$

Then any solution u to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi(h(d(x)))} = A_2^{1-C_g}, \quad (1.9)$$

where ϕ is uniquely determined by (1.6),

$$h(t) = \int_0^t s^{-1} (L(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds, \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$A_2 = \left(\frac{b_1}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sections 2–3, we give some preparation that will be used in the next section. The proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.3 will be given in Sections 4–5.

2 Preliminaries

Our approach relies on Karamata regular variation theory established by Karamata in 1930, which is a basic tool in the theory of stochastic processes (see [39–43] and the references therein). In this section, we first give a brief account of the definition and properties of regularly varying functions.

Definition 2.1 A positive measurable function f defined on $[a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$ is called *regularly varying at infinity* with index ρ , written as $f \in RV_\rho$, if for each $\xi > 0$ and some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\xi s)}{f(s)} = \xi^\rho. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, when $\rho = 0$, f is called *slowly varying at infinity*.

Clearly, if $f \in RV_\rho$, then $L(s) := f(s)/s^\rho$ is slowly varying at infinity.

Definition 2.2 A positive measurable function f defined on $[a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$ is called *rapidly varying at infinity* if for each $\rho > 1$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s^\rho} = \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

We also see that a positive measurable function g defined on $(0, a)$ for some $a > 0$ is *regularly varying at zero* with index σ (written as $g \in RVZ_\sigma$) if $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$ belongs to $RV_{-\sigma}$. Similarly, g is called *rapidly varying at zero* if $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$ is rapidly varying at infinity.

Proposition 2.1 (Uniform convergence theorem) *If $f \in RV_\rho$, then (2.1) holds uniformly for $\xi \in [c_1, c_2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Moreover, if $\rho < 0$, then the uniform convergence holds on intervals of the form (a_1, ∞) with $a_1 > 0$; if $\rho > 0$, then the uniform convergence holds on intervals $(0, a_1]$, provided that f is bounded on $(0, a_1]$ for all $a_1 > 0$.*

Proposition 2.2 (Representation theorem) *A function L is slowly varying at infinity if and only if it may be written in the form*

$$L(s) = \varphi(s) \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.3)$$

for some $a_1 \geq a$, where the functions φ and y are measurable and $y(s) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi(s) \rightarrow c_0 > 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

We say that

$$\hat{L}(s) = c_0 \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.4)$$

is *normalized* slowly varying at infinity and

$$f(s) = c_0 s^\rho \hat{L}(s), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.5)$$

is *normalized* regularly varying at infinity with index ρ (and written as $f \in NRV_\rho$).

Similarly, g is called *normalized* regularly varying at zero with index σ , written as $g \in NRVZ_\sigma$ if $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$ belongs to $NRV_{-\sigma}$.

A function $f \in RV_\rho$ belongs to NRV_ρ if and only if

$$f \in C^1[a_1, \infty) \quad \text{for some } a_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)} = \rho. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.3 *If functions L, L_1 are slowly varying at infinity, then*

- (i) L^σ for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 L + c_2 L_1$ ($c_1 \geq 0$, $c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$), $L \circ L_1$ (if $L_1(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$) are also slowly varying at infinity.
- (ii) For every $\theta > 0$, $t^\theta L(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{-\theta} L(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (iii) For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\ln(L(t))}{\ln t} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\ln(t^\rho L(t))}{\ln t} \rightarrow \rho$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 2.4

- (i) If $f_1 \in RV_{\rho_1}$ and $f_2 \in RV_{\rho_2}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_2(t) = \infty$, then $f_1 \circ f_2 \in RV_{\rho_1 \rho_2}$.
- (ii) If $f \in RV_\rho$, then $f^\alpha \in RV_{\rho\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.5 *If a function L defined on $(0, \eta]$ is slowly varying at zero, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(t)}{\int_t^\eta \frac{L(s)}{s} ds} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

If, moreover, $\int_0^\eta \frac{L(s)}{s} ds$ converges, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(t)}{\int_0^t \frac{L(s)}{s} ds} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Proposition 2.6 (Asymptotic behavior) *If a function L is slowly varying at zero, then, for $a > 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$,*

- (i) $\int_0^t s^\rho L(s) ds \cong (\rho + 1)^{-1} t^{1+\rho} L(t)$ for $\rho > -1$;
- (ii) $\int_t^a s^\rho L(s) ds \cong (-\rho - 1)^{-1} t^{1+\rho} L(t)$ for $\rho < -1$.

Proposition 2.7 (Proposition 2.6 in [44]) *Let $Z \in C^1(0, \eta]$ be positive and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sZ'(s)}{Z(s)} = +\infty$. Then Z is rapidly varying to zero at zero.*

Proposition 2.8 (Proposition 2.7 in [44]) *Let $Z \in C^1(0, \eta]$ be positive and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sZ'(s)}{Z(s)} = -\infty$. Then Z is rapidly varying to infinity at zero.*

3 Some auxiliary results

In this section, we collect some useful results.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $k \in \Lambda$. Then*

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk(t)}{K(t)} = C_k^{-1}$, i.e., $K \in NRVZ_{C_k^{-1}}$;
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk'(t)}{k(t)} = \frac{1-C_k}{C_k}$, i.e., $k \in NRVZ_{(1-C_k)/C_k}$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)k'(t)}{k^2(t)} = 1 - C_k$.

Proof The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [23]; so we omit it. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 *Let*

$$a(t) = t^{-p}L(t)$$

and

$$h(t) = \int_0^t s^{-1}(L(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds,$$

where $t \in (0, \delta_0)$, $\int_0^\eta s^{-1}(L(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds < \infty$ for some $\eta > 0$, and $L(s) \in \Theta$. Then

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(h'(t))^p}{h(t)a(t)} = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{th'(t)}{h(t)} = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{th''(t)}{h'(t)} = -1$;
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(h'(t))^{p-2}h''(t)}{a(t)} = -1$.

Proof (i) Since $h'(t) = t^{-1}(L(t))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, we have

$$\frac{(h'(t))^p}{h(t)a(t)} = \frac{t^{-p}L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(t)}{t^{-p}L(t) \int_0^t s^{-1}L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds} = \frac{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)}{\int_0^t s^{-1}L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds}$$

and

$$\frac{th'(t)}{h(t)} = \frac{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)}{\int_0^t s^{-1}L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds}$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.5 we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(h'(t))^p}{h(t)a(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{th'(t)}{h(t)} = 0$.

(ii) By a direct computation we get

$$h''(t) = -t^{-2}(L(t))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{1}{p-1}t^{-1}(L(t))^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1}L'(t)$$

and

$$\frac{th''(t)}{h'(t)} = \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{tL'(t)}{L(t)} - 1.$$

Since $L \in \Theta$, it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tL'(t)}{L(t)} = 0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{th''(t)}{h'(t)} = -1.$$

(iii) Since

$$\frac{(h'(t))^{p-2}h''(t)}{a(t)} = \frac{th''(t)}{h'(t)} \frac{(h'(t))^{p-1}}{ta(t)} = \frac{th''(t)}{h'(t)},$$

by (ii) we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(h'(t))^{p-2}h''(t)}{a(t)} = -1.$$

□

Lemma 3.3 *Let g satisfy (g_1) – (g_2) .*

- (i) *If g satisfies (g_3) , then $C_g \leq 1$;*
- (ii) *(g_3) holds for $C_g \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $g \in NRV_{-pC_g/(q(1-C_g))}$;*
- (iii) *(g_3) holds for $C_g = 0$ if and only if g is normalized slowly varying at zero;*
- (iv) *if (g_3) holds with $C_g = 1$, then g is rapidly varying to infinity at zero.*

Proof Since g satisfies (g_1) and is strictly decreasing on $(0, S_0)$, we see that

$$0 < \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv < \frac{s}{g^{q/p}(s)}, \quad \forall s \in (0, S_0),$$

that is,

$$0 < g^{q/p}(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv < s, \quad \forall s \in (0, S_0), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} g^{q/p}(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

(i) Let

$$I(s) = -\frac{q}{pg^{1-\frac{q}{p}}(s)} g'(s) \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv, \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0).$$

Integrating $I(t)$ from 0 to s and integrating by parts, we obtain by (3.2) that

$$\int_0^s I(t) dt = -g^{q/p}(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv + s, \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0),$$

that is,

$$0 < \frac{g^{q/p}(s)}{s} \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv = 1 - \frac{\int_0^s I(t) dt}{s}, \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0).$$

It follows by l'Hospital's rule that

$$0 \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g^{q/p}(s)}{s} \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv = 1 - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} I(s) = 1 - C_g. \quad (3.3)$$

So (i) holds.

(ii) When (g_3) holds with $C_g \in (0, 1)$, it follows by (3.3) that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{sg'(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{q}{p} g^{q/p}(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv}{\frac{q}{p} sg'(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv g^{\frac{q}{p}-1}(s)} = -\frac{q(1-C_g)}{pC_g}, \quad (3.4)$$

that is, $g \in NRV_{-pC_g/(q(1-C_g))}$.

Conversely, when $g \in NRV_{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$, that is, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} = -\gamma$ and there exist a positive constant η and $\hat{L} \in \Theta$ such that $g(s) = c_0 s^{-\gamma} \hat{L}(s)$, $s \in (0, \eta]$, it follows by (2.6) and Proposition 2.6(i) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q}{pg^{1-\frac{q}{p}}(s)} g'(s) \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv &= -\frac{q}{p} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g^{q/p}(s)}{s} \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv \\ &= \frac{q\gamma}{p} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{q\gamma}{p}-1} (\hat{L}(s))^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_0^s v^{\frac{q\gamma}{p}} (\hat{L}(v))^{-\frac{q}{p}} dv \\ &= \frac{q\gamma}{p+q\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By $C_g = 0$ and the proof of (ii) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{q}{p} sg'(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv g^{\frac{q}{p}-1}(s)}{\frac{q}{p} g^{q/p}(s) \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv} \\ &= \frac{p}{q} \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g^{q/p}(s)}{s} \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv \right)^{-1} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q}{pg^{1-\frac{q}{p}}(s)} g'(s) \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, g is normalized slowly varying at zero.

Conversely, when g is normalized slowly varying at zero, that is, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} = 0$, it follows by (3.3) that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q}{pg^{1-\frac{q}{p}}(s)} g'(s) \int_0^s g^{-q/p}(v) dv = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q}{p} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} \frac{g^{q/p}(s)}{s} \int_0^s \frac{1}{g^{q/p}(v)} dv = 0.$$

(iv) By $C_g = 1$ and the proof of (ii) we see that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{sg'(s)} = 0$, that is, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{sg'(s)}{g(s)} = -\infty$, and by Proposition 2.8 we get that g is rapidly varying to infinity at zero. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 *Let g satisfy (g_1) – (g_3) , and ϕ be the solution to the problem*

$$\int_0^{\phi(t)} \frac{ds}{(g(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} = t, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Then

- (i) $\phi'(t) = (g(\phi(t)))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, $\phi(t) > 0$, $t > 0$, $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\phi''(t) = \frac{q}{p}(g(\phi(t)))^{\frac{2q-p}{p}} g'(\phi(t))$, $t > 0$;
- (ii) $\phi \in NRVZ_{1-C_g}$ and $\phi' \in NRVZ_{-C_g}$;
- (iii) when $C_k + qC_g > q$ and $k \in \Lambda$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{\phi(\xi K^q(t))} = 0$ uniformly for $\xi \in [c_1, c_2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2$, where q stands for the Hölder conjugate of p ;
- (iv) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{\phi(\xi h(t))} = 0$ uniformly for $\xi \in [c_1, c_2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2$, where h is given as in (1.10).

Proof By the definition of ϕ and a direct calculation we show that (i) holds.

(ii) It follows from (i), (3.4), and (g_3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t(g(\phi(t)))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\phi(t)} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{(g(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^s \frac{dv}{(g(v))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}}{s} = 1 - C_g, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\phi \in NRVZ_{1-C_g}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t\phi''(t)}{\phi'(t)} &= \frac{q}{p} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g'(\phi(t))(g(\phi(t)))^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_0^{\phi(t)} (g(v))^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dv}{g(\phi(t))} \\ &= \frac{q}{p} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g'(s)(g(s))^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_0^s (g(v))^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dv}{g(s)} \\ &= -C_g. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By Lemma 3.1(i) we see that $K \in NRVZ_{C_k^{-1}}$. It follows by Proposition 2.4 that $\phi \circ K^q \in NRVZ_{\frac{q(1-C_g)}{C_k}}$. Since $C_k + qC_g > q$, the result follows by Proposition 2.3(ii).

(iv) As in the proof of (iii), by Lemma 3.2(i) we see $h \in NRVZ_0$. It follows by Proposition 2.4 that $\phi \circ h \in NRVZ_0$. Then the result follows by Proposition 2.3(ii). $\square$

4 Existence of solutions to problem (1.1)

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let

$$H(u) = \int_0^u \frac{1}{(g(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} ds \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$

It follows that $H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing and

$$H'(u) = \frac{1}{(g(u))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$

Let $\bar{u}(x) := H^{-1}(v_0(x))$, $x \in \Omega$, where H^{-1} denotes the inverse function of H , and v_0 is the unique classical solution of problem (1.7). We see that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$-\Delta_p \bar{u} + \frac{g'(\bar{u})|\nabla \bar{u}|^p}{g(\bar{u})} = b(x)g(\bar{u}), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

It follows by (g_1) that

$$-\Delta_p \bar{u} \geq b(x)g(\bar{u}), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

that is, $\bar{u} = H^{-1}(v_0)$ is a supersolution of problem (1.1).

On the other hand, hypothesis (g_1) implies that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) \in (0, \infty]$, so that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{s} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(g(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{s} = +\infty.$$

There then exists $c_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{g(c_0|v_0|_\infty)}{c_0} \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(g(c_0|v_0|_\infty))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{c_0} \geq 1.$$

Let $\underline{u} = c_0 v_0$. It follows that

$$-\Delta_p \underline{u} = c_0 b(x) \leq b(x)g(c_0|v_0|_\infty) \leq b(x)g(\underline{u}), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

that is, $\underline{u} = c_0 v_0$ is a subsolution of problem (1.1). Moreover, we see that

$$H(c_0 v_0(x)) = \int_0^{c_0 v_0(x)} \frac{1}{(g(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} ds \leq \frac{c_0 v_0(x)}{(g(c_0|v_0|_\infty))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \leq v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

that is, $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ on Ω . Therefore, by the lower and upper theorem the claim follows. $\square$

5 Boundary behaviors of solutions to problem (1.1)

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2-1.3.

First, we need the following comparison principle for weak solutions to quasilinear equations (see [45] for a proof).

Lemma 5.1 (Weak comparison principle) *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain, $G : D \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonincreasing in the second variable and continuous. Let $u, w \in W^{1,p}(D)$ satisfy the respective inequalities*

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi \leq \int_D G(x, u) \phi \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_D |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \phi \geq \int_D G(x, w) \phi$$

for all nonnegative $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$. Then the inequality $u \leq w$ on ∂D implies $u \leq w$ in D .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $\delta > 0$, we define $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : 0 < d(x) < \delta\}$. Since Ω is C^2 -smooth, choose $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $d \in C^2(\Omega_{\delta_1})$ and

$$|\nabla d(x)| = 1, \quad \Delta d(x) = -(N-1)H(\bar{x}) + o(1), \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}, \quad (5.1)$$

where, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}$, $\bar{x}$ denotes the unique point of the boundary such that $d(x) = |x - \bar{x}|$, and $H(\bar{x})$ denotes the mean curvature of the boundary at that point.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define $r = d(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1\pm}(r) &= (A_1 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} (p-1) q^{p-1} \left(p \frac{(A_1 \pm \varepsilon) K^q(r) \phi''((A_1 \pm \varepsilon) K^q(r))}{\phi'((A_1 \pm \varepsilon) K^q(r))} + 1 + \frac{p}{q} \frac{K(r) k'(r)}{k^2(r)} \right); \\ I_2(x) &= (A_1 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} q^{p-1} \frac{K(r)}{k(r)} \Delta d(x) + \frac{b(x)}{k^p(r)} \frac{g(\phi((A_1 \pm \varepsilon) K^q(r)))}{(\phi'((A_1 \pm \varepsilon) K^q(r)))^{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, combined with the choices of A_1 in Theorem 1.2, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 Suppose that g satisfies (g₁)-(g₃) and b satisfies (b₁)-(b₄). Then

- (i) $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} I_{1\pm}(r) = (A_1 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} (p-1) q^{p-1} (q - qC_g - C_k)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} I_2(x) = b_0 = -A_1^{p-1} (p-1) q^{p-1} (q - qC_g - C_k)$;
- (iii) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} (I_{1\pm}(r) + I_2(x)) = (p-1) q^{p-1} (q - qC_g - C_k) ((A_1 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} - A_1^{p-1})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $v \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be the unique solution of the problem

$$-\Delta_p v = 1, \quad v > 0, x \in \Omega, v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Then, we see that

$$\nabla v(x) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 d(x) \leq v(x) \leq c_4 d(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (5.3)$$

where c_3, c_4 are positive constants.

By Lemma 5.2, since $K \in C[0, \delta_0]$ with $K(0) = 0$, we see that there exist $\delta_{1\varepsilon}, \delta_{2\varepsilon} \in (0, \min\{1, \delta_0\})$ (which corresponds to ε) sufficiently small such that

- (i) $0 \leq K^q(r) \leq \delta_{1\varepsilon}, r \in (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$;
- (ii) $I_{1+}(r) + I_2(x) \leq 0, \forall (x, r) \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}} \times (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$;
- (iii) $I_{1-}(r) + I_2(x) \geq 0, \forall (x, r) \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}} \times (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$.

Now we define

$$\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \phi((A_1 + \varepsilon) K^q(d(x))), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}.$$

Before we prove the theorem, let us note the following. Suppose that z is a C^2 function on a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $v = \phi(z)$, where ϕ is uniquely determined by (1.6). A direct computation shows that

$$\Delta_p v = (p-1)|\phi'(z)|^{p-2}\phi''(z)|\nabla z|^p + |\phi'(z)|^{p-2}\phi'(z)\Delta_p z. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, by (5.4), Lemma 5.2, and a direct calculation we see that, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_p \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)) \\ &= (\phi'(K^q(d(x))))^{p-1}k^p(d(x))(I_{1+}(r) + I_2(x)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = d(x)$, that is, $\bar{u}_\varepsilon$ is a supersolution of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$.

In a similar way, we show that

$$\underline{u}_\varepsilon = \phi((A_1 - \varepsilon)K^q(d(x))), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}},$$

is a subsolution of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$.

Let $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution to problem (1.1). We assert that there exists M large enough such that

$$u(x) \leq Mv(x) + \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x), \quad \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u(x) + Mv(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}, \quad (5.5)$$

where v is the solution of problem (5.2).

In fact, we can choose M large enough such that

$$u(x) \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + Mv(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u(x) + Mv(x) \quad \text{on } \{x \in \Omega : d(x) = \delta_{1\varepsilon}\}.$$

We see by (g₁) that $\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + Mv(x)$ and $u(x) + Mv(x)$ are also supersolutions of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$. Since $u = \bar{u}_\varepsilon + Mv = u + Mv = \underline{u}_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, (5.5) follows by (g₁) and the weak comparison principle (Lemma 5.1). Hence, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$

$$\frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_1 + \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))} \leq \frac{Mv(x)}{\phi((A_1 + \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))} + 1$$

and

$$1 - \frac{Mv(x)}{\phi((A_1 - \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))} \leq \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_1 - \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))}.$$

Consequently, by (5.3) and Lemma 3.4(iii),

$$1 \leq \liminf_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_1 - \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))} \leq \limsup_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_1 + \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))} \leq 1$$

and

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi((A_1 - \varepsilon)K^q(d(x)))}{\phi(K^q(d(x)))} = (A_1 - \varepsilon)^{1-C_g}.$$

Thus, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (1.8). $\square$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

As before, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $\delta > 0$, we define $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : 0 < d(x) < \delta\}$. Since Ω is C^2 -smooth, choose $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $d \in C^2(\Omega_{\delta_1})$ and (5.1) holds.

Define $r = d(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1\pm}(r) &= (A_2 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} (p-1) \left(\frac{(A_2 \pm \varepsilon)h(r)\phi''((A_2 \pm \varepsilon)h(r))}{\phi'((A_1 \pm \varepsilon)h(r))} \frac{(h'(r))^p}{h(r)r^{-p}L(r)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(h'(r))^{p-2}h''(r)}{r^{-p}L(r)} \right), \\ I_2(x) &= (A_2 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} \frac{(h'(r))^{p-1}}{r^{-p}L(r)} \Delta d(x) + \frac{b(x)}{k^p(r)} \frac{g(\phi((A_2 \pm \varepsilon)h(r)))}{(\phi'((A_2 \pm \varepsilon)h(r)))^{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, combined with the choices of A_2 in Theorem 1.3, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3 *Suppose that g satisfies (g₁)-(g₃), b satisfies (b₁)-(b₃), and (b₅) holds. Then*

- (i) $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} I_{1\pm}(r) = -(p-1)(A_2 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1}$;
- (ii) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} I_2(x) = b_1 = (p-1)A_2^{p-1}$;
- (iii) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} (I_{1\pm}(r) + I_2(x)) = -(p-1)((A_2 \pm \varepsilon)^{p-1} - A_2^{p-1})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By Lemma 5.3, since $h \in C[0, \delta_0]$ with $h(0) = 0$, we see that there exist $\delta_{1\varepsilon}, \delta_{2\varepsilon} \in (0, \min\{1, \delta_0\})$ (which corresponds to ε) sufficiently small such that

- (i) $0 \leq h(r) \leq \delta_{1\varepsilon}, r \in (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$;
- (ii) $I_{1+}(r) + I_2(x) \leq 0, \forall (x, r) \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}} \times (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$;
- (iii) $I_{1-}(r) + I_2(x) \geq 0, \forall (x, r) \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}} \times (0, \delta_{2\varepsilon})$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we define

$$\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \phi((A_1 + \varepsilon)h(d(x))), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}},$$

where

$$h(t) = \int_0^t s^{-1} (L(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds.$$

By (5.4), Lemma 5.3, and a direct calculation we see that, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)) \\ = (\phi'(h(r)))^{p-1} r^{-p} L(r) (I_{1+}(r) + I_2(x)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = d(x)$, that is, $\bar{u}_\varepsilon$ is a supersolution of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$.

In a similar way, we show that

$$\underline{u}_\varepsilon = \phi((A_2 - \varepsilon)h(d(x))), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}},$$

is a subsolution of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain, for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_{1\varepsilon}}$

$$\frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_2 + \varepsilon)h(d(x)))} \leq \frac{Mv(x)}{\phi((A_2 + \varepsilon)h(d(x)))} + 1$$

and

$$1 - \frac{Mv(x)}{\phi((A_2 - \varepsilon)h(d(x)))} \leq \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_2 - \varepsilon)h(d(x)))}.$$

Consequently, by (5.3) and Lemma 3.4(iv),

$$1 \leq \liminf_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_2 - \varepsilon)h(d(x)))} \leq \limsup_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\phi((A_2 + \varepsilon)h(d(x)))} \leq 1$$

and

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi((A_2 - \varepsilon)h(d(x)))}{\phi(h(d(x)))} = (A_2 - \varepsilon)^{1-C_g}.$$

Thus, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (1.9). $\square$

Competing interests

The author declares to have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the honorable reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments, which improved the paper. This work was partially supported by NSF of China (Grant no. 11301250), NSF of Shandong Province (Grant no. ZR2013AQ004), the Applied Mathematics Enhancement Program (AMEP) of Linyi University, and PhD research startup foundation of Linyi University (Grant no. LYDX2013BS049).

Received: 13 May 2016 Accepted: 14 June 2016 Published online: 24 June 2016

References

- Mikljukov, V: On the asymptotic properties of subsolutions of quasilinear equations of elliptic type and mappings with bounded distortion. *Sb. Math.* **111**, 42-66 (1980) (in Russian)
- Reshetnyak, Y: Index boundedness condition for mappings with bounded distortion. *Sib. Math. J.* **9**, 281-285 (1968)
- Uhlenbeck, K: Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems. *Acta Math.* **138**, 219-240 (1977)
- Herrero, M, Vázquez, J: On the propagation properties of a nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **7**(12), 1381-1402 (1982)
- Esteban, R, Vázquez, J: On the equation of turbulent filtration in one-dimensional porous media. *Nonlinear Anal.* **10**(11), 1303-1325 (1986)
- Crandall, M, Rabinowitz, P, Tartar, L: On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **2**, 193-222 (1977)
- Lazer, AC, McKenna, PJ: On a singular elliptic boundary value problem. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **111**, 721-730 (1991)
- Fulks, W, Maybee, J: A singular nonlinear elliptic equation. *Osaka J. Math.* **12**, 1-19 (1960)
- Stuart, C: Existence and approximation of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. *Math. Z.* **147**, 53-63 (1976)
- Lazer, A, McKenna, P: On a singular elliptic boundary value problem. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **111**, 721-730 (1991)
- Anedda, C: Second-order boundary estimates for solutions to singular elliptic equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2009**, 90 (2009)
- Anedda, C, Porru, G: Second-order boundary estimates for solutions to singular elliptic equations in borderline cases. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2011**, 51 (2011)
- Berhanu, S, Gladiali, F, Porru, G: Qualitative properties of solutions to elliptic singular problems. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **3**, 313-330 (1999)
- Berhanu, S, Cuccu, F, Porru, G: On the boundary behaviour, including second order effects, of solutions to elliptic singular problems. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **23**, 479-486 (2007)
- Giarrusso, E, Porru, G: Boundary behaviour of solutions to nonlinear elliptic singular problems. In: Misra, JC (ed.) *Appl. Math. in the Golden Age*, pp. 163-178. Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi (2003)
- Ghergu, M, Rădulescu, VD: Bifurcation and asymptotics for the Lane-Emden-Fowler equation. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* **337**, 259-264 (2003)
- Ćirstea, F, Rădulescu, V: Uniqueness of the blow-up boundary solution of logistic equations with absorption. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* **335**, 447-452 (2002)
- Ćirstea, F, Rădulescu, V: Asymptotics for the blow-up boundary solution of the logistic equation with absorption. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* **336**, 231-236 (2003)
- Ćirstea, F, Rădulescu, V: Nonlinear problems with boundary blow-up: a Karamata regular variation theory approach. *Asymptot. Anal.* **46**, 275-298 (2006)
- Ćirstea, F, Du, Y: General uniqueness results and variation speed for blow-up solutions of elliptic equations. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **91**, 459-482 (2005)
- Repovš, D: Asymptotics for singular solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with an absorption term. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **395**, 78-85 (2012)

22. Zhang, Z, Li, B: The boundary behavior of the unique solution to a singular Dirichlet problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **391**, 278-290 (2012)
23. Zhang, Z: The second expansion of the solution for a singular elliptic boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **381**, 922-934 (2011)
24. Zhang, Z, Cheng, J: Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **57**, 473-484 (2004)
25. Mi, L, Liu, B: The second order estimate for the solution to a singular elliptic boundary value problem. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **6**, 194-213 (2012)
26. Ni, W, Serrin, J: Existence and nonexistence theorems for ground states of quasilinear partial differential equations: the anomalous case. *Acad. Naz. Lincei* **77**, 231-257 (1986)
27. Ni, W, Serrin, J: Nonexistence theorems for singular solutions of quasilinear partial differential equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **38**, 379-399 (1986)
28. Guedda, M, Veron, L: Local and global properties of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **76**, 159-189 (1988)
29. Bognara, G, Drabek, P: The p -Laplacian equation with superlinear and supercritical growth, multiplicity of radial solutions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **60**, 719-728 (2005)
30. Prashanth, S, Sreenadh, K: Multiplicity of positive solutions for p -Laplace equation with superlinear-type nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **56**, 867-878 (2004)
31. Reichel, W, Walter, W: Radial solutions of equations and inequalities involving the p -Laplacian. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **1**, 47-71 (1997)
32. Santos, C: Non-existence and existence of entire solutions for a quasi-linear problem with singular and super-linear terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 3813-3819 (2010)
33. Serrin, J, Zou, H: Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities. *Acta Math.* **189**, 79-142 (2002)
34. Mâagli, H, Giacomoni, J, Sauvy, P: Existence of compact support solutions for a quasilinear and singular problem. *Differ. Integral Equ.* **25**(7-8), 629-656 (2012)
35. Masmoudi, S, Zermani, S: Existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to nonlinear radial p -Laplacian equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 171 (2015)
36. Xu, B, Yang, Z: Entire bounded solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2007** Article ID 16407 (2007)
37. Cencelj, M, Repovš, D, Virk, Ž: Multiple perturbations of a singular eigenvalue problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **119**, 37-45 (2015)
38. Chetri, M, Drabek, P, Shivaji, R: Analysis of positive solutions for classes of quasilinear singular problems on exterior domains. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* (2016). doi:10.1515/anona-2015-0143
39. Karamata, J: Sur un mode de croissance régulière de fonctions. *Théorèmes fondamentaux. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **61**, 55-62 (1933)
40. Bingham, N, Goldie, C, Teugels, J: Regular Variation. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987)
41. Maric, V: Regular Variation and Differential Equations. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1726. Springer, Berlin (2000)
42. Resnick, SI: Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes. Springer, New York (1987)
43. Seneta, R: Regular Varying Functions. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 508. Springer, Berlin (1976)
44. Zhang, Z, Li, B, Li, X: The exact boundary behavior of solutions to singular nonlinear Lane-Emden-Fowler type boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **21**, 34-52 (2015)
45. Tolksdorf, P: On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **8**, 773-817 (1983)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com