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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the blow-up phenomena for a positive solution of a nonlinear parabolic problem with $p$-Laplacian operator under a nonlinear boundary condition. The sufficient conditions which ensure that the blow-up does occur at finite time are presented by constructing some appropriate auxiliary functions and using first-order differential inequality technique. Moreover, a lower bound and an upper bound for the blow-up time are derived when blow-up happens.
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## 1 Introduction

The mathematical investigation of the blow-up phenomena of a solution to nonlinear parabolic equations and systems has received a great deal of attention during the last few decades [1-6]. The authors in [7, 8] considered an initial-boundary value problem for parabolic equations of the form

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\Delta u+u^{p}-|\nabla u|^{q} & \text { in } \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0)=h(x) \geq 0 & \text { in } \mathcal{O}\end{cases}
$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \Delta$ is the Laplace operator, $\nabla$ is the gradient operator, $\partial \mathcal{O}$ is the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$. They proved that problem (1) blows up at finite time $T^{*}$ if $1<p \leq 5$ and $1<q<\frac{2 p}{p+1}$. Soon et al. in [1] gave a lower bound for the blow-up time $T^{*}$ under the above condition. Shortly afterwards, the relative result in [1] was extended to the case with nonlinear boundary condition by Liu [9]. Further, Enache in [10] considered a more complicated case, in which he investigated the following class of quasilinear initial-boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\operatorname{div}(b(u) \nabla u)+f(u) & \text { in } \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty)  \tag{2}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\kappa u=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0)=h(x) \geq 0 & \text { in } \mathcal{O}\end{cases}
$$

Here $n$ is the unit outer normal vector of $\partial \mathcal{O}$, and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ is outward normal derivative of $u$ on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}$ which is assumed to be sufficiently smooth. Under the suitable assumptions on the functions $b, f$, and $h$, the author established a sufficient condition to guarantee the occurrence of the blow-up. Moreover, a lower bound for the blow-up time was obtained.
However, there are few papers on blow-up phenomena of the problem with a $p$ Laplacian operator except [11], in which Zhou considered the following:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(u|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+(\gamma+1)|\nabla u|^{p} & \text { in } \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{3}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0)=h(x) \geq 0 & \text { in } \mathcal{O} .\end{cases}
$$

He proved that problem (3) blows up at finite time $T^{*}$ when $0<\gamma<1$. But he did not give any bounds to the scale $T^{*}$.
In this text, we consider the more complicated case than the ones in (1)-(3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a(u))_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(b(u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\gamma b^{\prime}(u)|\nabla u|^{p}+f(u) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following nonlinear boundary condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+g(u)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=h(x) \geq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the process of deriving the lower bound, we make the following assumptions:
(A1) The parameters of problem (4) satisfy $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2, p>2$.
(A2) The function $g(s)$ satisfies

$$
g(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i} s^{\sigma_{i}},
$$

where $\kappa_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\sigma_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ are nonnegative constants.
Since the initial data $h(x)$ in (6) is nonnegative, it is easy to see that the solution $u$ to problem (4)-(6) is nonnegative in $\mathcal{O} \times(0, \infty)$ by the parabolic maximum principles [12,13]. In Section 2, we plan to present the sufficient conditions which guarantee the occurrence of the blow-up. In Section 3, we will find a lower bound for the blow-up time when blowup occurs.

## 2 The blow-up solution

In this section we mainly seek the sufficient conditions for the blow-up. To this end, we define some auxiliary functions of the form

$$
G(s)=2 \int_{0}^{s} y b(y)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(y) \mathrm{d} y
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(t)=\int_{O} G(u(x, t)) \mathrm{d} x, \\
& H_{i}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} y^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}} b(y)^{p(p-1)} \mathrm{d} y, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{7}\\
& \sigma=\max \left\{\sigma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}, \quad F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(s) b(s)^{(p-1) p-1} \mathrm{~d} s, \\
& B(t)=\int_{\mathcal{O}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} H_{i}(u) \mathrm{d} x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (3).
The main result of this section is formulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of problem (4)-(6). Assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& s f(s) b(s)^{(p-1) p-1} \geq p(1+\alpha) F(s), \quad s>0,  \tag{8}\\
& \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} y^{\sigma p-\sigma+1} b(y)^{p(p-1)}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B(0) \geq 0, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive constant. Then $u(x, t)$ blows up as some finite time $T^{*}$ such that

$$
T^{*} \leq M^{-1} A(0)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)},
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant to be determined later.

Proof We first compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} G^{\prime}(u(x, t)) u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & 2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} u b(u)^{(p-1) p-1}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(b(u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\gamma b^{\prime}(u)|\nabla u|^{p}+f(u)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
= & 2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} u f(u) b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +[\gamma-2((p-1) p-1)] \int_{\mathcal{O}} u b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} b^{\prime}(u)\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p} u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $b^{\prime} \leq 0$ and $\gamma \leq 2$, we drop the nonnegative terms to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & 2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} u f(u) b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} \mathrm{~d} x-2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p} u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1\right) H(u) \geq u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} b(u)^{p(p-1)} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the method of integration by parts and consider condition (9). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i}(u)= & \int_{0}^{u} y^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}} b(y)^{p(p-1)} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & y^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} b(y)^{p(p-1)} \int_{0}^{u}-\left(p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}\right) \int_{0}^{u} y^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}} b(y)^{p(p-1)} \mathrm{d} y \\
& -p(p-1) \int_{0}^{u} y^{p} b(y)^{p(p-1)-1} b^{\prime}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
\geq & u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} b(u)^{p(p-1)}-\left(p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}\right) \int_{0}^{u} y^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}} b(y)^{p(p-1)} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}+1} b(u)^{p(p-1)}-\left(p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}\right) H_{i}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we prove (11). Further, inserting (8) and (11) into (10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & 2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha) \int_{\mathcal{O}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -2(1+\alpha) \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} H_{i}(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & 2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha) B(t) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, computing $B(t)$ in (12) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(u) b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -(p-1) \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} b^{\prime}(u) u_{t}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} H_{i}^{\prime}{ }_{i}(u) u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(u) b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -(p-1) \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} b^{\prime}(u) u_{t}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p}\left[(\nabla u)^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}^{p-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} u^{p \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}} b(u)^{p(p-1)} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} u_{t}\left\{f(u)+b^{\prime}(u)\left((\nabla u)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+b(u) \cdot \operatorname{div}\left[\left((\nabla u)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geq & \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} u_{t}\left\{f(u)+(\gamma+1) b^{\prime}(u)\left((\nabla u)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+b(u) \cdot \operatorname{div}\left[\left((\nabla u)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} u_{t}(a(u))_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(u)\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a^{\prime}>0$ and $B(0) \geq 0$, we see that $B(t)$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying

$$
B(t) \geq 0 .
$$

Multiplying (12) by $B(t)$ and using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq(1+\alpha) A^{\prime}(t) B(t) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)}\left(A^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{2}{(p \sigma-\sigma+1)}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} u b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(u) u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{(p \sigma-\sigma+1)} B^{\prime}(t)\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} u b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(u) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We further prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u) \geq u^{2} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(u) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting $b^{\prime} \leq 0, a^{\prime}>0$, and $a^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$, and using the method of integration by parts, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(u)= & s^{2} b(s)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(s) \int_{0}^{u}-\int_{0}^{u} s b(s)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& -((p-1) p-1) \int_{0}^{u} s^{2} b(s)^{(p-1) p-2} b^{\prime}(s) a^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\int_{0}^{u} s^{2} b(s)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime \prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
\geq & u^{2} b(u)^{(p-1) p-1} a^{\prime}(u)-G(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we prove (14) and substitute it into (13). Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+\alpha) A^{\prime}(t) B(t) & \leq \frac{2}{p \sigma-\sigma+1} B^{\prime}(t)\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} G(u) \mathrm{d} x\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{p \sigma-\sigma+1} B^{\prime}(t) A(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} B\right) \geq 0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (15) from 0 to $t$ gives

$$
\frac{B(t)}{B(0)} \geq\left(\frac{A(t)}{A(0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)}
$$

This and (12) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & 2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha) B(0) \\
& \cdot A(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} A(t)^{\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A^{\prime}(t)}{A(t)^{\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)}} \geq 2(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha) B(0) A(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the fact that $p>2, \sigma>0$ and integrate (16) from 0 to $t$. Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(t)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} \\
& \quad \leq A(0)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)}-M t, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M=2 & {\left[\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)-1\right](p \sigma-\sigma+1) } \\
& \cdot(1+\alpha) B(0) A(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (17) cannot hold for $A(0)^{1-\frac{p}{2}(1+\alpha)}-M t \leq 0$, that is, for

$$
t \geq M^{-1} A(0)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)} .
$$

Hence, we conclude that the solution $u$ of problem (4)-(6) blows up at some finite time $T^{*}$ with upper bound $M^{-1} A(0)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p \sigma-\sigma+1)(1+\alpha)}$. The proof is complete.

## 3 Lower bound for blow-up time

In this section we seek the lower bound for the blow-up time $T^{*}$. To this end, we define an auxiliary function of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{a^{\prime}(y)}{b(y)} \mathrm{d} y,  \tag{18}\\
& E(t)=\int_{\mathcal{O}}[v(u(x, t))]^{\mu p+2} \mathrm{~d} y \quad \text { with } \mu \geq 1 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have to point out that (18) indicates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{b(u)} \Delta u, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is very important to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a bounded convex domain. Further, assume that the nonlinear functions $a, b$, and $f$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<f(s) \leq \delta b(s)\left(\int_{0}^{s} v(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{p-1}, \quad s>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a positive constant independent of $a, b$, and $f$. Then the blow-up time $T^{*}$ is bounded below by

$$
T^{*} \geq \int_{E(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{A_{0}+A_{1} \xi+A_{2} \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} \xi^{3}+A_{4} \xi^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}}}
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, and $A_{4}$ are positive constants to be determined later.

Proof We first compute

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t)= & (\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1} \frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{b(u)} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & (\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1} \frac{1}{b(u)}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(b(u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma b^{\prime}(u)|\nabla u|^{p}+f(u)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
= & -\kappa^{p-1}(\mu p+2) \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1}|u|^{(p-1) \sigma} \mathrm{d} x \\
& -(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p} \nabla v|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +(\mu p+2)(1+\gamma) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1} \frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{b(u)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +(\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1} \frac{f(u)}{b(u)} \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & -\kappa^{p-1}(\mu p+2) \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1}|u|^{(p-1) \sigma} \mathrm{d} x \\
& -(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p} \nabla v|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +(\mu p+2)(1+\gamma) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+1} \frac{b^{\prime}(u)}{b(u)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\delta(\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality holds due to condition (21). Further, in view of (20), (21), and $b^{\prime} \leq 0$, we drop some non-positive terms in (22) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & -(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1) \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{b(u)}{a^{\prime}(u)}\right)^{p-1} v^{\mu p}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\delta(\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $b(s) \geq b_{m}>0$ and $0<a^{\prime}(s) \leq a_{M}^{\prime}$, (23) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & -(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1)(\mu+1)^{-p}\left(\frac{b_{m}}{a_{M}^{\prime}}\right)^{p-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{\mu+1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\delta(\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we seek to bound $\delta(\mu p+2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nu^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x$ in terms of $E(t)$ and $\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{\mu+1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x$. By means of the Hölder and Young inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq & |\mathcal{O}|^{\frac{2}{\mu p+p+1}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p+1} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2}} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\mu p+p+2}|\mathcal{O}|+\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p+2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\mu p+p+1}|\mathcal{O}|+\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\frac{3}{2}(\mu p+2)} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{2 p}{\mu p+2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\mu p+2-2 p}{\mu p+2}} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\mu p+p+2}|\mathcal{O}|+\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\frac{3}{2}(\mu p+2)} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{\mu p+2-2 p}{\mu p+2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the integral inequality derived in [1] (see (2.16)), namely

$$
\int_{\mathcal{O}} u^{\frac{3}{2}(\mu p+2)} \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{2 \rho_{0} \frac{3}{2}} E(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{0}}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left[\frac{E(t)^{3}}{4 \chi^{3}}+\frac{3}{4} \chi \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla u^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu p+2)}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right],
$$

(25) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq & \frac{2}{\mu p+p+2}|\mathcal{O}|+\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \frac{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{2 \rho_{0} 0^{\frac{3}{2}}} E(t)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& +\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{0}}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \cdot\left[\frac{E(t)^{3}}{4 \chi^{3}}+\frac{3}{4} \chi \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla u^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu p+2)}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& +\frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{\mu p+2-2 p}{\mu p+2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} v^{\mu p+2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity, let $w=v^{1+n s}$. Again by using the Hölder and Young inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla \nu^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu p+2)}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{4(\mu+1)^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla w|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} w^{\frac{p(\mu p+2)}{(p-2)(\mu+1)}-\frac{2 p}{p-2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{2 p(\mu+1)^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla w|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{p-2}{p} \frac{(\mu p+2)^{2}}{4(\mu+1)^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} w^{\frac{p(\mu p+2)}{(p-2)(\mu+1)}-\frac{2 p}{p-2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{2 p(\mu+1)^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{1+\mu}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{p-2}{p}|\mathcal{O}|^{1-\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}} \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{4(\mu+1)^{2}} E(t)^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

combining which with (26) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(\mu p & +2) \int_{\mathcal{O}} u^{\mu p+p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & A_{0}+A_{1} E(t)+A_{2} E(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} E(t)^{3} \\
& +A_{4} E(t)^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}}+\chi A_{5} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{1+\mu}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi$ is a positive constant to be determined later,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0}= & \frac{2 \delta(\mu p+2)}{\mu p+p+2}|\mathcal{O}|, \quad A_{1}=\delta(\mu p+2) \frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{\mu p+2-2 p}{\mu p+2}, \\
A_{2}= & \frac{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{2 \rho_{0} 0^{\frac{3}{2}}} \delta(\mu p+2) \frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2}, \\
A_{3}= & \frac{\delta(\mu p+2)}{4 \chi_{2}^{3}} \frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{0}}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\
A_{4}= & \frac{3}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{0}}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta(\mu p+2) \frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \\
& \cdot \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \frac{p-2}{p}|\mathcal{O}|^{1-\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}} \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{4(\mu+1)^{2}} \chi, \\
A_{5}= & \frac{3}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{0}}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta(\mu p+2) \frac{\mu p+p}{\mu p+p+2} \frac{2 p}{\mu p+2} \frac{(\mu p+1)^{2}}{2 p(\mu+1)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, inserting (27) into (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & -(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1)(\mu+1)^{-p} \frac{b_{m}}{a_{M}^{\prime}} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{\mu+1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& +A_{0}+A_{1} E(t)+A_{2} E(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} E(t)^{3} \\
& +A_{4} E(t)^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}}+\chi A_{5} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v^{1+\mu}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

To make use of (28), we choose

$$
\chi=A_{5}^{-1}(\mu p+2)(\mu p+1)(\mu+1)^{-p}\left(\frac{b_{m}}{a_{M}^{\prime}}\right)^{p-1}
$$

to arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} E(t) \leq A_{0}+A_{1} E(t)+A_{2} E(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} E(t)^{3}+A_{4} E(t)^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration of the differential inequality (29) from 0 to $t$ implies that

$$
\int_{E(0)}^{E(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{A_{0}+A_{1} \xi+A_{2} \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} \xi^{3}+A_{4} \xi^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}}} \leq t
$$

from which we derive a lower bound for $T^{*}$, that is,

$$
T^{*} \geq \int_{E(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{A_{0}+A_{1} \xi+A_{2} \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}+A_{3} \xi^{3}+A_{4} \xi^{\frac{2(\mu p+2)-p}{2(p-2)(\mu p+2)}}} .
$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

Remark 3.2 Theorem 3.1 remains valid if we assume that $g$ is a positive $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$function replacing the one in Assumption (A2).
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