

RESEARCH

Open Access



Interior regularity criterion for incompressible Ericksen-Leslie system

Wenya Ma¹ and Jiqiang Feng^{2*}

*Correspondence:
fengjq@szu.edu.cn

²College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, P.R. China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

An interior regularity criterion of suitable weak solutions is formulated for the Ericksen-Leslie system of liquid crystals. Such a criterion is point-wise, with respect to some appropriate norm of velocity u and the gradient of d , and it can be viewed as a sort of simply sufficient condition on the local regularity of suitable weak solutions.

MSC: 35Q35; 76D03

Keywords: interior regularity; suitable weak solution; liquid crystal

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we investigate the local regularity of weak solutions to the following 3D incompressible Ericksen-Leslie liquid crystal system:

$$\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla P = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\partial_t d + (u \cdot \nabla)d = \Delta d - f(d), \quad (1.1c)$$

with the initial boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (u, d)(x, t)|_{t=0} &= (u_0(x), d_0(x)), & \nabla \cdot u_0 &= 0, & x &\in \Omega, \\ (u, d)(x, t)|_{x \in \partial \Omega} &= (0, d_0(x)), & u_0(x) &\in H_0^1(\Omega), & d_0(x) &\in H_0^2(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where u, d, P denote the velocity of the fluid, the uniaxial molecular direction, and the pressure, respectively, the i, j th element of $\nabla d \odot \nabla d$ is $\partial_i d^k \partial_j d^k$, $d_0(x)$ is a unit vector, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth domain. Additionally, $f(d) = \nabla F(d)$, and $F(d) = \frac{1}{\zeta^2}(|d|^2 - 1)^2$, ζ is a small number, formally speaking, as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$, d tends to a unit vector.

The dynamic flows of liquid crystals have been successfully described by the Ericksen-Leslie theory [1–4]. System (1.1a)–(1.1c) is a coupled system of the Navier-Stokes equations with a parabolic system. It is Leray [5] and Hopf [6] that established the global existence of weak solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes; however, the regularity of the weak solutions is still an open problem. Since the regularity of weak solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations is hard to get, some related conditions or criteria for the regularity of the weak

solutions are considered, such as the well-known Serrin type criterion [7] and the Beale-Kato-Majda type criterion [8]. Furthermore, based on the suitable weak solutions, some point-wise sufficient regularity criteria were imposed in [9–12].

The global existence of suitable weak solutions to system (1.1a)-(1.1c) was established in [13, 14] by Lin and Liu; however, noticing that system (1.1a)-(1.1c) contains the 3D Navier-Stokes equations as a subsystem, the uniqueness and regularity of these weak solutions are not known. In this paper, we would extend some point-wise sufficient conditions, which guarantee the local regularity of weak solutions for 3D Navier-Stokes equations, to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1a)-(1.1c). We would like to mention that when $f(d)$ in system (1.1a)-(1.1c) is replaced by $-\nabla d|^2 d$, the global existence of weak solutions to the resulting system in three dimensions has only been known under the additional assumption that $d_3 \geq 0$ or small initial data (see [15, 16]). Without these conditions, the general existence of weak solutions is still open. However, the Serrin type criterion and the Beale-Kato-Majda type criterion still hold true even for a weak solution (if it exists) (see [17, 18]).

The suitable weak solution established in [14] can be stated as below.

Definition 1.1 (Suitable weak solutions in $\Omega \times (0, T) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$) A pair (u, d) is called a suitable weak solution to system (1.1a)-(1.1c) and (1.2) in an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ (we set $\mathcal{O}_t = \mathcal{O} \cap (\mathbb{R}^3 \times \{t\})$), if it satisfies the following properties:

- (u, d) is a weak solution in the sense of distribution;
- $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), d \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$, or generally, there exist constants E_1, E_2 , such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_t} [|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2 + F(d)] dx < E_1,$$

$$\int \int_{\mathcal{O}} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta d - f(d)|^2 + F(d)] dx dt < E_2;$$

- for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, more specifically, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0))$, the following generalized energy inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x_0, R)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) \varphi dx + 2 \int_{t_0 - R^2}^t \int_{B(x_0, R)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2) \varphi dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{t_0 - R^2}^t \int_{B(x_0, R)} \{ (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2)(\varphi_t + \Delta \varphi) + (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2 + 2P) u \cdot \nabla \varphi \} dx dt \\ & + 2 \int_{t_0 - R^2}^t \int_{B(x_0, R)} ((u \cdot \nabla) d \nabla d \nabla \varphi - \nabla f(d) : \nabla d \varphi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

In the following, we can take $Q((x_0, t_0), R) \equiv B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0), B(x_0, R) \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 | |y - x_0| < R\}, z_0 \equiv (x_0, t_0)$ for simplicity.

We now state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2 *Let (u, d) be a suitable weak solution to liquid crystal system (1.1a)-(1.1c) in $Q(z_0, R)$. The real numbers $l \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{l} - \frac{3}{2} > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2l}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{6} \right\}.$$

Then there is a positive number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(s, l)$, such that if

$$M^{s,l}(z_0, R) = \frac{1}{R^\kappa} \int_{t_0-R^2}^{t_0} \left(\int_{B(x_0, R)} |u|^s + |\nabla d|^s \, dx \right)^{\frac{l}{s}} dt < \varepsilon, \quad \kappa = \frac{3l}{s} + 2 - l,$$

then z_0 is a regular point of $(u, \nabla d)$, i.e. $(u, \nabla d)$ is Hölder continuous in $Q(z_0, r)$, for some $r \in (0, R]$.

Throughout this paper, we use c to denote a generic positive constant which can be different from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

As the preparation for proving Theorem 1.2, we first give two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *We have*

$$D(z_0, r; p) \leq c \left[\frac{r}{\rho} D(z_0, \rho; p) + \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d) \right], \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$C(z_0, r; u, \nabla d) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{Q(z_0, r)} (|u|^3 + |\nabla d|^3) \, dz, \quad D(z_0, r; p) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{Q(z_0, r)} |p|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz.$$

Proof Step 1. For (1.1a), we choose the test function $w = \chi \nabla q$, for any $\chi \in C_c^\infty((t_0 - \rho^2, t_0), q \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, \rho)))$, then it yields

$$\int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} -u \cdot \partial_t \chi \nabla q - (u \otimes u + \nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \chi \nabla^2 q - u \cdot \chi \nabla \Delta q \, dz = \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} p \chi \Delta q \, dz.$$

It follows from $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ that

$$- \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} p \chi \Delta q \, dz = \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} \chi (u \otimes u + \nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla^2 q \, dz.$$

Therefore, for a.e. $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$, we have

$$- \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} p \Delta q \, dx = \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (u \otimes u + \nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla^2 q \, dx, \quad \forall q \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, \rho)). \tag{2.2}$$

Step 2. Approximate p with p_1 by confining q in $W^{2,3}(B(x_0, \rho))$.

Set $p_1 \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q(z_0, \rho))$ such that, for a.e. $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$,

$$- \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} p_1 \Delta q \, dx = \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (u \otimes u + \nabla d \odot \nabla d) : \nabla^2 q \, dx, \tag{2.3}$$

for any $q(\cdot, t) \in W^{2,3}(B(x_0, \rho))$, and $q(\cdot, t) = 0$ on $\partial B(x_0, \rho)$. The existence of p_1 is established due to the Lax-Milgram theorem with appropriate approximating process on u and d (see [11]).

Next, choose $q_0(\cdot, t) \in W^{2,3}(B(x_0, \rho))$, such that, for a.e. $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$,

$$\Delta q_0(\cdot, t) = -|p_1(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sgn} p_1(\cdot, t), \quad \text{in } B(x_0, \rho), \quad q_0(\cdot, t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \rho).$$

Then, by the Calderon-Zygmund inequality, it yields

$$\left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla^2 q_0(\cdot, t)|^3 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq c \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |p_1(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0).$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.3) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |p_1(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx &\leq c \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |u|^3 + |\nabla d|^3 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla^2 q|^3 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |u|^3 + |\nabla d|^3 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} |p_1(\cdot, t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz \leq c \rho^2 C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d)$.

Step 3. Estimates for the remainder $p - p_1$.

For a.e. $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$, let $p_2 = p - p_1$, then from (2.2)-(2.3) one infers that

$$\Delta p_2(\cdot, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } B(x_0, \rho).$$

By the harmonic property, one can get

$$\frac{1}{r^3} \int_{Q(z_0, r)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz \leq \frac{c}{\rho^3} \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz, \quad \forall r < \rho,$$

while

$$\int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz \leq \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} (|p|^{\frac{3}{2}} + |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \, dz \leq c \rho^2 (D(z_0, \rho; p) + C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d)).$$

Step 4. Estimates for p .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(z_0, r; p) &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \int_{Q(z_0, r)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz + \frac{r}{\rho^3} \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\rho^2}{r^2} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{Q(z_0, r)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz + \frac{r}{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dz \right) \\ &\leq c \left[\frac{\rho^2}{r^2} C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d) + \frac{r}{\rho} (D(z_0, \rho; p) + C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d)) \right] \\ &\leq c \left[\frac{r}{\rho} D(z_0, \rho; p) + \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 C(z_0, \rho; u, \nabla d) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote

$$A(\rho) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_0 - \rho^2 < t < t_0} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (|u(t)|^2 + |\nabla d(t)|^2) \, dx,$$

$$E(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2) \, dz, \quad H(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^3} \int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) \, dz.$$

Lemma 2.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$C(\rho) \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} (E(\rho) + A(\rho) + 1),$$

where $q = 2l(\frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{l} - \frac{3}{2})$, and $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$.

Proof With the help of the Hölder and Sobolev embedding inequalities, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^3 \, dx &= \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^{\lambda s + 2\mu + 6\gamma} \, dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^\mu \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^s \, dx \right)^\lambda \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^6 \, dx \right)^\gamma \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2} \rho^\mu \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_0 - \rho^2 < t < t_0} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^\mu \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |v|^s \, dx \right)^\lambda \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^{3\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda s + 2\mu + 6\gamma = 3, \lambda + \mu + \gamma = 1$. Substituting v by u and ∇d , respectively, then one can get the summation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |u|^3 + |\nabla d|^3 \, dx &\leq c\rho^\mu A^\mu(\rho) \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (|u|^s + |\nabla d|^s) \, dx \right)^\lambda \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) \, dx \right)^{3\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by choosing appropriate parameters $\lambda = \frac{1}{2s(\frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{l} - \frac{3}{2})}$, $\mu = \frac{\frac{3}{s} + \frac{3}{l} - 2}{2(\frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{l} - \frac{3}{2})}$, $\gamma = \frac{\frac{2}{s} + \frac{1}{l} - 1}{2(\frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{l} - \frac{3}{2})}$, and integrating from $t_0 - \rho^2$ to t_0 with the variable t , it follows from the Hölder and Young inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} C(\rho) &\leq c\rho^{\mu-2} A^\mu(\rho) \left(\int_{Q(z_0, \rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{t_0 - \rho^2}^{t_0} \left(\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} (|u|^s + |\nabla d|^s) \, dx \right)^{\frac{l}{s}} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c\rho^{\mu-2} A^\mu(\rho) \rho^{\frac{1}{q'}} (E(\rho) + H(\rho))^{\frac{1}{q'}} (\rho^\kappa M^{s,l}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq cA^\mu(\rho) (E(\rho) + H(\rho))^{\frac{1}{q'}} (M^{s,l}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} A^\mu(\rho) (E(\rho) + H(\rho))^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} (A^{\mu q}(\rho) + E(\rho) + H(\rho)) \\ &\leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} (E(\rho) + A(\rho) + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{3l}{s} + 2 - l$ as in Theorem 1.2, and in the last step, we used the fact that $\mu q \leq 1, H(\rho) \leq A(\rho)$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Due to the induction argument as Proposition 2.6 in [10] or Lemma 2.2 in [19] (the parabolic version of the Campanato criterion), to get the desired consequence, it suffices to prove $C(\theta^k) + D(\theta^k) < \epsilon_0$ for some small ϵ_0 . Here θ is a small number, which will be chosen later.

From the generalized energy inequality, it is easy to check that, for $\rho \in (0, R]$,

$$A\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) + E\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \leq c\left[C^{\frac{2}{3}}(\rho) + C(\rho) + D(\rho)\right].$$

Denoting $G(\rho) = A(\rho) + E(\rho) + D(\rho)$, due to Lemmas 2.1-2.2, and the fact that $C(2\theta\rho) \leq \frac{1}{4\theta^2}C(\rho)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} G(\theta\rho) &\leq c\left[C^{\frac{2}{3}}(2\theta\rho) + C(2\theta\rho) + D(2\theta\rho) + \theta D(\rho) + \frac{1}{\theta^2}C(\rho)\right] \\ &\leq c\left[\frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{4}{3}}}C^{\frac{2}{3}}(\rho) + \frac{1}{\theta^2}C(\rho) + \theta D(\rho)\right] \\ &\leq c\left[\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{2}{3q}}}{\theta^{\frac{4}{3}}}(G(\rho) + 1)^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\theta^2}(G(\rho) + 1) + \theta G(\rho)\right] \\ &\leq c\left[\left(\theta + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}\right)G(\rho) + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used $\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{2}{3q}}}{\theta^{\frac{4}{3}}}(G(\rho) + 1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq c\left[\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}(G(\rho) + 1)\right]$. Now choosing θ and ϵ such that $c\theta < \frac{1}{4}$ and $c\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2} < \frac{1}{4}$, then it yields $G(\theta\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2}G(\rho) + c\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}$. Iterating the above process, we obtain $G(\theta^k\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}G(\rho) + c\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}$, therefore,

$$D(\theta^k\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}G(\rho) + c\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

For $C(\theta^k\rho)$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$C(\theta^k\rho) \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}}\left[G(\theta^k\rho) + 1\right] \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}}\left[\frac{1}{2^k}G(\rho) + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2} + 1\right] \leq c\left[\frac{1}{2^k}G(\rho) + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}\right], \tag{3.2}$$

where in the last step we use the fact that $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2}$ for ϵ small enough. With these inequalities in hand, for fixed ρ and ϵ_0 , we can choose k_0 large enough such that $c\frac{1}{2^{k_0}}G(\rho) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}$, and choose ϵ small enough, such that $c\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\theta^2} < \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}$. With these prerequisites and (3.1)-(3.2), it follows that $D(\theta^k\rho) + C(\theta^k\rho) < \epsilon_0$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed equally in writing this paper. They both read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Information and Management Science, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, 450046, P.R. China.

²College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, P.R. China.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Huajun Gong and Dr. Jinkai Li for helpful discussions and suggestions. Ma is supported by Fostering Talents of NSFC-Henan Province (U1404102) and NSFC (No. 11501174, 11626090). Feng is supported by NSFC (No. 61401283, 11601342, 61472257), GDPSTPP (No. 2013B040403005) and (No. GCZX-A1409).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 December 2016 Accepted: 11 April 2017 Published online: 26 April 2017

References

1. Ericksen, JL: Conservation laws for liquid crystals. *Trans. Soc. Rheol.* **5**, 23-34 (1961)
2. Ericksen, JL: Liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **113**, 97-120 (1991)
3. Leslie, FM: Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **28**, 265-283 (1968)
4. Leslie, FM: Theory of flow phenomenon in liquid crystals. *Adv. Liq. Cryst.* **4**, 1-81 (1979)
5. Leray, J: Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.* **63**, 183-248 (1934)
6. Hopf, E: Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. *Math. Nachr.* **4**, 213-231 (1951)
7. Serrin, J: On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **9**, 187-195 (1962)
8. Beale, JT, Kato, T, Majda, A: Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **94**, 61-66 (1984)
9. Caffarelli, L, Kohn, R, Nirenberg, L: Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **35**, 771-831 (1982)
10. Ladyzhenskaya, OA, Seregin, G: On partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **1**, 356-387 (1999)
11. Seregin, G: On the number of singular points of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **54**, 1019-1028 (2001)
12. Zajaczkowski, W, Seregin, G: Sufficient condition of local regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Sci.* **143**, 2869-2874 (2007)
13. Lin, FH, Liu, C: Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**, 501-537 (1995)
14. Lin, FH, Liu, C: Partial regularities of the nonlinear dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **2**, 1-23 (1996)
15. Lin, FH, Wang, CY: Global existence of weak solutions of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **69**, 1532-1571 (2016)
16. Ma, WY, Gong, HJ, Li, JK: Global strong solutions to incompressible Ericksen-Leslie system in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Nonlinear Anal.* **109**, 230-235 (2014)
17. Huang, T, Wang, CY: Blow up criterion for nematic liquid crystal flows. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **37**, 875-884 (2012)
18. Hong, MC, Li, JK, Xin, ZP: Blow up criteria of strong solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **39**, 1284-1328 (2014)
19. Escauriaza, L, Seregin, G, Sverak, V: $L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **58**(2), 211-250 (2003)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com