Han et al. *Boundary Value Problems* (2017) 2017:67
DOI 10.1186/s13661-017-0798-5

Boundary Value Problems
a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH Open Access

A new application of boundary integral behaviors of harmonic functions to the least harmonic majorant

Minghua Han[1], Jianguo Sun[2] and Gaoying Xue[3*]

*Correspondence:
3246346184@qq.com
[3]School of Mechanical Engineering, Taizhou University, Taizhou, 317000, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Our main aim in this paper is to obtain a new type of boundary integral behaviors of harmonic functions in a smooth cone. As an application, the least harmonic majorant of a nonnegative subharmonic function is also given.

Keywords: boundary integral behavior; subharmonic function; harmonic majorant

1 Introduction

Let $B(P,R)$ denote the open ball with center at P and radius R in $\mathbf{R}^n$, where $\mathbf{R}^n$ is the n-dimensional Euclidean space, $P \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $R > 0$. Let $B(P)$ denote the neighborhood of P and $S_R = B(O,R)$ for simplicity. The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^n$ are denoted by $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_1^+$, respectively. For simplicity, a point $(1,\Theta)$ on $\mathbf{S}_1$ and the set $\{\Theta;(1,\Theta)\in\Gamma\}$ for a set Γ, $\Gamma\subset\mathbf{S}_1$, are often identified with Θ and Γ, respectively. Let $\Lambda\times\Gamma$ denote the set $\{(r,\Theta)\in\mathbf{R}^n; r\in\Lambda,(1,\Theta)\in\Gamma\}$, where $\Lambda\subset\mathbf{R}_+$ and $\Gamma\subset\mathbf{S}_1$. We denote the set $\mathbf{R}_+\times\mathbf{S}_1^+ = \{(X,x_n)\in\mathbf{R}^n; x_n>0\}$ by $\mathbf{T}_n$, which is called the half space.

We shall also write $h_1\approx h_2$ for two positive functions h_1 and h_2 if and only if there exists a positive constant a such that $a^{-1}h_1\le h_2\le ah_1$. We denote $\max\{u(r,\Theta),0\}$ and $\max\{-u(r,\Theta),0\}$ by $u^+(r,\Theta)$ and $u^-(r,\Theta)$, respectively.

The set $\mathbf{R}_+\times\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ is called a cone. We denote it by $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma\subset\mathbf{S}_1$. The sets $I\times\Gamma$ and $I\times\partial\Gamma$ with an interval on $\mathbf{R}$ are denoted by $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;I)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;I)$, respectively. We denote $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)\cap S_R$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(0,+\infty))$ by $\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;R)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma)$, respectively.

Furthermore, we denote by $d\sigma$ (resp. dS_R) the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume elements induced by the Euclidean metric on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ (resp. S_R) and by dw the elements of the Euclidean volume in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

It is known (see, e.g., [1], p.41) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^*\varphi(\Theta)+\lambda\varphi(\Theta)=0 \quad \text{in } \Gamma,\\ &\varphi(\Theta)=0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ^* is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. We denote the least positive eigenvalue of this boundary value problem (1.1) by λ and the normalized positive eigenfunction corresponding to λ by $\varphi(\Theta)$, $\int_\Gamma\varphi^2(\Theta)\,dS_1=1$.

We remark that the function $r^{\aleph^{\pm}}\varphi(\Theta)$ is harmonic in $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, belongs to the class $C^2(\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)\backslash\{O\})$ and vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma)$, where

$$2\aleph^{\pm} = -n + 2 \pm \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 4\lambda}.$$

For simplicity we shall write χ instead of $\aleph^{+} - \aleph^{-}$.

For simplicity we shall assume that the boundary of the domain Γ is twice continuously differentiable, $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Gamma})$ and $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n} > 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$. Then (see [2], pp.7-8)

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Theta, \partial\Gamma) \approx \varphi(\Theta), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Theta \in \Gamma$.

Let $\delta(P) = \operatorname{dist}(P, \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma))$, we have

$$\varphi(\Theta) \approx \delta(P) \tag{1.3}$$

for any $P = (1, \Theta) \in \Gamma$ (see [3, 4]).

Let $u(r, \Theta)$ be a function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. For any given $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$, the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma} u(r, \Theta)\varphi(\Theta)\, dS_1$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_u(r)$ when it exists. The finite or infinite limit

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{-\aleph^{+}} \mathcal{N}_u(r)$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_u$ when it exists.

Remark 1 A function $g(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is $\mathbb{A}_{d_1,d_2}$-convex if and only if $g(t)t^{d_2}$ is a convex function of t^d ($d = d_1 + d_2$) on $(0, \infty)$ or, equivalently, if and only if $g(t)t^{-d_1}$ is a convex function of t^{-d} on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 2 $\mathcal{N}_u(r)$ is $\mathbb{A}_{\aleph^{+},\gamma-1}$-convex on $(0, \infty)$, where u is a subharmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ such that

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma), P\to Q} u(P) \le 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ (see [5]).

The function

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}(P, Q) = \frac{\partial\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q}$$

is called the ordinary Poisson kernel, where $\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}$ is the Green function.

The Poisson integral of g relative to $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P) = \frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma)} \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}(P, Q)g(Q)\, d\sigma,$$

where g is a continuous function on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_Q}$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$.

We set functions f satisfying

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma)} \frac{|f(t,\Phi)|^p}{1+t^\gamma}\, d\sigma < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $p > 0$ and

$$\gamma > \frac{-\aleph^+ - n + 2}{p} + n - 1.$$

Further, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ the class of all measurable functions $g(t,\Phi)$ $((t,\Phi) = (t,Y) = (Y,y_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma))$ satisfying the following inequality:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)} \frac{|g(t,\Phi)|^p \varphi}{1+t^{\gamma+1}}\, dw < \infty, \tag{1.6}$$

and the class $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma$ consists of all measurable functions $h(t,\Phi)$ $((t,\Phi) = (Y,y_n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma))$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma)} \frac{|h(t,\Phi)|^p}{1+t^{\gamma-1}} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\, d\sigma < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

We will also consider the class of all continuous functions $u(t,\Phi)$ $((t,\Phi) \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)})$ harmonic in $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ with $u^+(t,\Phi) \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ $((t,\Phi) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma))$, and $u^+(t,\Phi) \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma$ $((t,\Phi) \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma))$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$.

Remark 3 If we denote $\Gamma = S_1^+$ in (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$\int_{T_n} y_n \left|f(Y,y_n)\right| \left(1+t^{n+2}\right)^{-1} dQ < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial T_n} \left|g(Y,0)\right| \left(1+t^n\right)^{-1} dY < \infty.$$

Recently Zhao and Yamada (see [6]) obtained the following result.

Theorem A *Let g be a measurable function on ∂T_n such that*

$$\int_{\partial T_n} \frac{|g(Q)|}{1+|Q|^n}\, dQ < \infty.$$

Then the harmonic function $\mathbb{PI}_{T_n}[g]$ satisfies $\mathbb{PI}_{T_n}[g](P) = o(r\sec^{n-1}\theta_1)$ as $r \to \infty$ in T_n.

Recently Wang and Qiao (see [7]) generalized Theorem A to the conical case.

Theorem B *Let g be a continuous function on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying* (1.5) *with $p = 1$ and $\gamma = -\aleph^- + 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g]} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[|g|]} = 0.$$

2 Results

Our main aim in this paper is to give the least harmonic majorant of a nonnegative subharmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. For related results, we refer the reader to the papers [8, 9].

Theorem 1 *If u is a subharmonic function on a domain containing $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}$, $u \geq 0$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ and $u' = u|\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ (the restriction of u to $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$) satisfies* (1.5), *then the limit $\mathcal{U}_u$ $(0 \leq \mathcal{U}_u \leq +\infty)$ exists. Further, if $\mathcal{U}_u < +\infty$, then*

$$u(P) \leq h_u(P) = \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\big[u'\big](P) + M\mathcal{U}_u r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta) \quad \big(P = (r,\Theta) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)\big), \tag{2.1}$$

where $h_u(P)$ is the least harmonic majorant of u on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$.

3 Main lemmas

Lemma 1 *Let u be a function subharmonic on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying* (1.4) *for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Then the limit $\mathcal{U}_u$ $(-\infty < \mathcal{U}_u \leq +\infty)$ exists.*

Proof It suffices to prove that the limit $\lim_{r\to 0} r^{\gamma-1}\mathcal{N}_u(r)$ exists, then apply it to the function

$$u''(r,\Theta) = r^{2-n}(u \circ K)(r,\Theta),$$

where $K : (r,\Theta) \to (r^{-1},\Theta)$ is the Kelvin transform (see [10], pp.36-37). Consider the auxiliary function

$$I(s) = s^{\frac{\aleph^+}{\chi}}\mathcal{N}_u\big(s^{-\frac{1}{\chi}}\big)$$

on $(a^{-\chi},+\infty)$. Then, from Remarks 1 and 2, $I(s)$ is a convex function on $(a^{-\chi},+\infty)$. Hence

$$\zeta = \lim_{s\to\infty} s^{-1}I(s) = \lim_{r\to 0} r^{\gamma-1}\mathcal{N}_u(r) \quad (-\infty < \zeta \leq +\infty)$$

exists. □

Lemma 2 *Let u be a nonnegative subharmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying* (1.4) *for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ and*

$$\mathcal{U}_{u^+} < +\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$u(r,\Theta) \leq M\mathcal{U}_{u^+}r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta)$$

for any $(r,\Theta) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, where M is a positive constant.

Proof Take any $(r,\Theta) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ and any pair of numbers R_1, R_2 $(0 < 2R_1 < r < \frac{1}{2}R_2 < +\infty)$. We define a boundary function on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2))$ by

$$\nu(r,\Theta) = \begin{cases} u(R_i,\Theta) & \text{on } \{R_i\} \times \Gamma \ (i = 1,2), \\ 0 & \text{on } [R_1,R_2] \times \partial\Gamma. \end{cases}$$

This is an upper semi-continuous function which is bounded above. If we denote Perron-Wiener-Brelot solution of the Dirichlet problem on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2))$ with ν by $H_\nu((r,\Theta);\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2)))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(r,\Theta) &\le H_\nu\bigl((r,\Theta);\mathfrak{C}_n\bigl(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2)\bigr)\bigr) \\ &\le \frac{1}{c_n}\int_\Gamma u^+(R_1,\Theta)\frac{\partial \mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2))}((R_1,\Phi),(r,\Theta))}{\partial R}R_1^{n-1}\,dS_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c_n}\int_\Gamma u^+(R_2,\Theta)\frac{\partial \mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(R_1,R_2))}((R_2,\Phi),(r,\Theta))}{\partial R}R_2^{n-1}\,dS_1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$u(r,\Theta) \le MR_1^{\gamma-1}\mathcal{N}_{u^+}(R_1)r^{\aleph^-}\varphi(\Theta) + MR_2^{-\aleph^+}\mathcal{N}_{u^+}(R_2)r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta). \tag{3.2}$$

As $R_1 \to 0$ and $R_2 \to +\infty$ in (3.2), we complete the proof by (3.1). □

Lemma 3 *Let g be a locally integrable function on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying (1.5) and u be a subharmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying*

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q}\bigl\{u(P) - \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P)\bigr\} \le 0 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q}\bigl\{u^+(P) - \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[|g|\bigr](P)\bigr\} \le 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Then the limits $\mathcal{U}_u$ and $\mathcal{U}_{u^+}$ ($-\infty < \mathcal{U}_u \le +\infty$, $0 \le \mathcal{U}_{u^+} \le +\infty$) exist, and if (3.1) *is satisfied, then*

$$u(P) \le \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P) + M\mathcal{U}_{u^+}r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta), \tag{3.5}$$

where M is a positive constant and $P = (r,\Theta) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Consider two subharmonic functions

$$U(P) = u(P) - \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P) \quad \text{and} \quad U'(P) = u^+(P) - \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[|g|\bigr](P)$$

on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. From (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q} U(P) \le 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q} U'(P) \le 0$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Hence it follows from Lemma 1 that the limits $\mathcal{U}_U$ and $\mathcal{U}_{U'}$ ($-\infty < \mathcal{U}_U \le +\infty$, $0 \le \mathcal{U}_{U'} \le +\infty$) exist. Since

$$\mathcal{N}_U(r) = \mathcal{N}_u(r) - \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g]}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{U'}(r) = \mathcal{N}_{u^+}(r) - \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[|g|]}(r),$$

Theorem B (Theorem 1 will be proved in the next section) gives the existences of the limits $\mathcal{U}_u$, $\mathcal{U}_{u^+}$,

$$\mathcal{U}_U = \mathcal{U}_u \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_{U'} = \mathcal{U}_{u^+}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $0 \le U^+(P) \le u^+(P) + (\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g])^-(P)$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, it also follows from Theorem B and (3.1) that

$$\mathcal{U}_{U^+} \le \mathcal{U}_{u^+} < \infty.$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 2 to $U(P)$, we obtain the conclusion from (3.6). □

Lemma 4 *Let g be a nonnegative lower semi-continuous function on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfying* (1.5) *and u be a nonnegative subharmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ such that*

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma), P\to Q} u(P) \le g(Q) \tag{3.7}$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Then the limit $\mathcal{U}_u$ $(0 \le \mathcal{U}_u \le +\infty)$ exists, and if $\mathcal{U}_u < +\infty$, then

$$u(P) \le \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P) + M\mathcal{U}_u r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$.

Proof Since $-g$ is an upper semi-continuous function $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, it follows from [11], p.3, that

$$\liminf_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma), P\to Q} \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P) \ge g(Q) \tag{3.8}$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. We see from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma), P\to Q} \left\{u(P) - \mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[g](P)\right\} \le 0$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, which gives (3.3). Since g and u are nonnegative, (3.4) also holds. Thus we obtain the conclusion from Lemma 3. □

Lemma 5 *Let u be subharmonic on a domain containing $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}$ such that $u' = u|\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ satisfies* (1.5) *and $u \ge 0$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Then $\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[u'](P) \le h(P)$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, where $h(P)$ is any harmonic majorant of u on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$.*

Proof Take any $P' = (r', \Theta') \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Let ϵ be any positive number. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can choose R such that

$$\frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(R,\infty))} \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}(P', Q)u'(Q)\,d\sigma < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Further, take an integer j $(j > R)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(0,R))} \frac{\partial\Gamma_j(P', Q)}{\partial n_Q}u'(Q)\,d\sigma < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(0,R))}\frac{\partial \mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(0,j))}(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}u'(Q)\,d\sigma \le H_u\bigl(P;\mathfrak{C}_n\bigl(\Gamma;(0,j)\bigr)\bigr)$$

for any $P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(0,j))$, we have from (3.9) and (3.10) that (see [12])

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[u'\bigr]\bigl(P'\bigr)-H_u\bigl(P';\mathfrak{C}_n\bigl(\Gamma;(0,j)\bigr)\bigr)\\
&\quad\le \frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(0,R))}\frac{\partial \Gamma_j(P',Q)}{\partial n_Q}u'(Q)\,d\sigma\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{c_n}\int_{\mathfrak{S}_n(\Gamma;(R,\infty))}\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl(P',Q\bigr)u'(Q)\,d\sigma\\
&\quad<\epsilon.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Here note that $H_u(P;\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(0,j)))$ is the least harmonic majorant of u on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma;(0,j))$ (see [13], Theorem 3.15). If h is a harmonic majorant of u on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, then

$$H_u\bigl(P';\mathfrak{C}_n\bigl(\Gamma;(0,j)\bigr)\bigr)\le h\bigl(P'\bigr).$$

Thus we obtain from (3.11) that

$$\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[u'\bigr]\bigl(P'\bigr)<h\bigl(P'\bigr)+\epsilon,$$

which gives the conclusion of Lemma 5. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $P=(r,\Theta)$ be any point of $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ and ϵ be any positive number. By the Vitali-Carathéodory theorem (see [10], p.56), we can find a lower semi-continuous function $g'(Q)$ on $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ such that

$$u'(Q)\le g'(Q)\quad\text{on } \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)\tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[g'\bigr](P)<\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[u'\bigr](P)+\epsilon.\tag{4.2}$$

Since

$$\lim_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q}u(P)\le u'(Q)\le g'(Q)$$

for any $Q\in\partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ from (4.1), it follows from Lemma 4 that the limit $\mathcal{U}_u$ exists (see [11]), and if $\mathcal{U}_u<+\infty$, then

$$u(P)\le\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}\bigl[g'\bigr](P)+M\mathcal{U}_u r^{\aleph^+}\varphi(\Theta).\tag{4.3}$$

Hence we have from (4.2) and (4.3) that (2.1) holds.

Next we shall assume that $h_u(P)$ is the least harmonic majorant of u on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Set $h''(P)$ is a harmonic function on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$ such that

$$u(P) \le h''(P) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma). \tag{4.4}$$

Consider the harmonic function

$$h^*(P) = h_u(P) - h''(P) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma).$$

Since

$$h^*(P) \le h_u(P) \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),$$

Theorem B gives that $\mathcal{U}_{h^{*+}} < +\infty$. Further, from Lemma 2 we see that

$$\limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q} h^*(P) = \limsup_{P\in\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma),P\to Q} \{\mathbb{PI}_{\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)}[u'](P) - h''(P)\} \le 0$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. From Theorem B and (4.4) we know

$$\mathcal{U}_{h^*} = \mathcal{U}_{h_u} - \mathcal{U}_{h''} = \mathcal{U}_u - \mathcal{U}_{h''} \le \mathcal{U}_u - \mathcal{U}_u = 0.$$

We see from Lemma 2 that $h^*(P) \le 0$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$, which shows that $h_u(P)$ is the least harmonic majorant of $u(P)$ on $\mathfrak{C}_n(\Gamma)$. Theorem 1 is proved.

5 Conclusions
In this article, we have obtained a new type of boundary integral behaviors of harmonic functions in a smooth cone. As an application, we also gave the least harmonic majorant of a nonnegative subharmonic function.

6 Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

7 Consent for publication
Not applicable.

8 List of abbreviations
Not applicable.

9 Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions
GX drafted the manuscript. MH helped to draft the manuscript and revised the written English. JS helped to draft the manuscript and revised it according to the referee reports. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
[1]College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, China. [2]Department of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, 150001, China. [3]School of Mechanical Engineering, Taizhou University, Taizhou, 317000, China.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank the editor, the associate editor and the anonymous referees for their careful reading and constructive comments which have helped us to significantly improve the presentation of the paper.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 November 2016 Accepted: 27 April 2017 Published online: 11 May 2017

References

1. Rosenblum, G, Solomyak, M, Shubin, M: Spectral Theory of Differential Operators. VINITI, Moscow (1989)
2. Miranda, C: Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type. Springer, Berlin (1970)
3. Courant, R, Hilbert, D: Methods of Mathematical Physics, vol. 1. Interscience, New York (1953)
4. Xu, G, Yang, P, Zhao, T: Dirichlet problems of harmonic functions. Bound. Value Probl. **2013**, [illegible] (2013)
5. Wanby, G: Convexity of means and growth of certain subharmonic functions in an *n*-dimensional cone. Ark. Math. **21**, 29-43 (1983)
6. Zhao, T, Yamada, A Jr.: Growth properties of Green-Sch potentials at infinity. Bound. Value Probl. **2014**, 245 (2014)
7. Wang, F, Qiao, L: The w-weak global dimension of commutative rings. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52**(4), 1327-1338 (2015)
8. Albanese, G, Rigoli, M: Lichnerowicz-type equations on complete manifolds. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **5**(3), 223-250 (2016)
9. Fonda, A, Garrione, M, Gidoni, P: Periodic perturbations of Hamiltonian systems. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **5**(4), 367-382 (2016)
10. Helms, LL: Introduction to Potential Theory. Wiley-Interscience, New York ([illegible])
11. Huang, J: Persistent excitation in a shunt DC motor under adaptive control. Asian J. Control **9**(1), 37-44 (2007)
12. Feng, C, Huang, J: Almost periodic solutions of nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive systems with dominated delays. Int. J. Biomath. **8**(2), 1550019 (2015)
13. Hayman, WH, Kennedy, PB: Subharmonic Functions, vol. 1. Academic Press, London (1976)