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#### Abstract

An experimental conjecture on the existence of positive periodic solutions for the Brillouin electron beam focusing system $x^{\prime \prime}+a(1+\cos 2 t) x=\frac{1}{x}$ for $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is proved by applications of the Manasevich-Mawhin theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the $2 \pi$-periodic boundary value problem for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a(1+\cos 2 t) x=\frac{1}{x}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0$ is constant.
The equations arise in the study of electronics and govern the motion of a magnetically focused axially symmetric electron beam under the influence of a Brillouin flow [1]. When the negative pole in a traveling-wave tube is shielded completely by a magnetic field screen, the electron beam focusing system can be described by (1.1). Besides, from a mathematical point of view, equation (1.1) is a singular perturbation of the Mathieu equation.
Motivated by the results of laboratory experiments experts realized in [1], it was conjectured that (1.1) should have a positive periodic solutions if $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ [2]. In the last fifty years, many mathematicians have given birth to extensive literature about this topic (see [3-7]). Although numerical studies back up the experimental conjecture, an analytical proof of the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) for $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ is still lacking.
The first analytic work on periodic solution of (1.1) was obtained by Ding [3]. Ding proved that (1.1) had at least one positive periodic solution if $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{16}\right)$. Afterwards, Ye and Wang [4] obtained that (1.1) had at least one positive periodic solution if $a \in(0,0.1442)$. In [5], Zhang investigated a kind of singular Liénard equation, and by applications of his theory, they extended the existence result of (1.1) to $a \in(0,0.1532)$.
However, in the above works, authors were not able to prove or disprove the result which was conjectured in [1]. In this paper, we will show that (1.1) has at least one positive $2 \pi$ periodic solution when the parameter $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ other than ( $0, \frac{1}{4}$ ).

## 2 Brillouin electron beam focusing system

Lemma 2.1 (Manasevich-Mawhin [8]) Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded set in $C_{T}^{1}:=\{x \in$ $\left.C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}): x(t+T)-x(t) \equiv 0\right\}$. If
(i) The problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda \tilde{f}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right), \quad x \in C_{T}^{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be Carathéodory. For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, problem (2.1) has no solution on $\partial \Omega$.
(ii) The equation

$$
F(a):=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{f}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) d t=0
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) The Brouwer degree of $F$

$$
\operatorname{deg}\{F, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} \neq 0
$$

Then problem (2.1) has at least one periodic solution on $\bar{\Omega}$.
Lemma 2.2 ([9]) Suppose that $u \in C_{T}^{1}$ and there exists $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that $\left|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right|<d$. Then

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+d T^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Next, we prove that Brillouin electron beam focusing system (1.1) has at least one positive $2 \pi$-periodic solution if $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Firstly, we consider the following singular equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+a(t) x(t)=\frac{1}{x(t)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(t) \in C(\mathbb{R},[0,+\infty))$ and $a(t+T)=a(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Theorem 2.1 Assume that $|a|_{\infty}:=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|a(t)|<\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ holds. Then (2.2) has at least one positive T-periodic solution.

Proof Firstly, we consider the following (homotopy) family of (2.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda\left(a(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x(t)}\right)=0, \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x(t) \in C_{T}^{1}$ be an arbitrary solution of (2.3). Integrating (2.3) from 0 to $T$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(a(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x(t)}\right) d t=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we know that there exist positive constants $D_{1}<D_{2}$ and $t_{0} \in(0, T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} \leq x\left(t_{0}\right) \leq D_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|=\left|x\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq D_{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write $x(t)=\bar{x}+\tilde{x}(t)$, here $\tilde{x}(t):=x(t)-\bar{x}$, and $\bar{x}:=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} x(t) d t$. Obviously, $\int_{0}^{T} \tilde{x}(t) d t=0$. Now (2.3) for $\tilde{x}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda a(t)(\bar{x}+\tilde{x}(t))=\lambda \frac{1}{x(t)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\bar{x}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Multiplying (2.7) by $\bar{x}-\tilde{x}(t)$, we have

$$
\bar{x} \tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda a(t)\left(\bar{x}^{2}-\tilde{x}^{2}(t)\right)=\lambda \frac{\bar{x}-\tilde{x}(t)}{x(t)}
$$

Integrating this equation over one period and making use of the $T$-periodicity of $\tilde{x}(t)$, we get

$$
-\int_{0}^{T} \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^{\prime \prime}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t)\left(\bar{x}^{2}-\tilde{x}^{2}(t)\right) d t=\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\bar{x}-\tilde{x}(t)}{x(t)} d t
$$

So, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t=\lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t) \tilde{x}^{2}(t) d t-\lambda \bar{x}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} a(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\bar{x}-\tilde{x}(t)}{x(t)} d t
$$

Since $a(t) \geq 0$, then $-\bar{x}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} a(t) d t \leq 0$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t & \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t) \tilde{x}^{2}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\bar{x}-\tilde{x}(t)}{x(t)} d t \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t) \tilde{x}^{2}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{2 \bar{x}-x(t)}{x(t)} d t \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t) \tilde{x}^{2}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{2 \bar{x}}{x(t)} d t-\lambda T \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}|a(t)||\tilde{x}(t)|^{2} d t+2|\bar{x}| \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{|x(t)|} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $g_{\varepsilon}^{+} \in L^{2}(0, T)$ and $g_{\varepsilon}^{+}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x(t)} \leq \varepsilon x(t)+g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x(t)>0$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{T}|a(t)||\tilde{x}(t)|^{2} d t+2 \bar{x} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\varepsilon x(t)+g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}|a(t)||\tilde{x}(t)|^{2} d t+\frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)| d t \int_{0}^{T}\left(\varepsilon x(t)+g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & |a|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{x}(t)|^{2} d t+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{T}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|x(t)| d t\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)| d t \int_{0}^{T} g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t) d t \\
\leq & |a|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{x}(t)|^{2} d t+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)|^{2} d t \\
& +2\left(\int_{0}^{T}|x(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|a|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|a(t)|$. Since $D_{1} \leq x\left(t_{0}\right) \leq D_{2}$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}|x(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+D_{2} \sqrt{T} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applications of Wirtinger's inequality (in [10] Lemma 2.4) and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq & |a|_{\infty}\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \varepsilon\left(\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+D_{2} \sqrt{T}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+D_{2} \sqrt{T}\right)\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2} \\
= & |a|_{\infty}\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{x}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \varepsilon\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& +2\left(2 D_{2} \sqrt{T} \varepsilon+\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}\right)\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +2 D_{2}^{2} T \varepsilon+2 D_{2} \sqrt{T}\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\tilde{X}^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}(t)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq & \left(|a|_{\infty}\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& +2\left(2 D_{2} \sqrt{T} \varepsilon+\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}\right)\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +2 D_{2}^{2} T \varepsilon+2 D_{2} \sqrt{T}\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $|a|_{\infty}<\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, there exists a positive constant $M_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq M_{1}^{\prime}
$$

From (2.6) and by applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{\infty} \leq D_{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq D_{2}+\sqrt{T}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq D_{2}+\sqrt{T} M_{1}^{\prime \frac{1}{2}}=M_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $x(0)=x(T)$, we know that there is a point $t_{1} \in[0, T]$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, and then $\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| d s$. From (2.3) and (2.8), we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty} & \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{T}|a(t)||x(t)| d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{x(t)} d t \\
& \leq \lambda|a|_{\infty} M_{1} T+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\varepsilon x(t)+g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right) d t \\
& \leq \lambda|a|_{\infty} M_{1} T+\lambda \varepsilon M_{1} T+\lambda \sqrt{T}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \lambda|a|_{\infty} M_{1} T+\lambda \varepsilon M_{1} T+\lambda \sqrt{T}\left\|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right\|_{2}:=\lambda M_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty} \leq \lambda M_{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.3) by $x^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t)+\lambda a(t) x(t) x^{\prime}(t)=\lambda \frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(t)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau \in[0, T]$ be as in (2.5). For any $\tau \leq t \leq T$, we integrate (2.12) on $[\tau, t]$ and get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \int_{x(\tau)}^{x(t)} \frac{1}{u} d u & =\lambda \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{x^{\prime}(s)}{x(t)} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime}(t)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}+\lambda \int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}(t)^{2} \leq \lambda^{2} M_{2}^{2} \\
& \left|\int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) x(s) x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \lambda|a|_{\infty} M_{1} M_{2} T .
\end{aligned}
$$

With these inequalities we can derive from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{x(\tau)}^{x(t)} \frac{1}{u} d u\right| \leq M_{2}^{2}+|a|_{\infty} M_{1} M_{2} T . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we know that there exists $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \geq M_{3}, \quad \forall t \in[\tau, T] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{u} d u=+\infty$. The case $t \in[0, \tau]$ can be treated similarly.
Having in mind (2.5), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.15), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{x \in C_{T}^{1}: E_{1}<x(t)<E_{2} \text { and }\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<E_{3} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<E_{1}<\min \left\{M_{3}, D_{1}\right\}, E_{2}>\max \left\{M_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ and $E_{3}>M_{2}$. Then condition (i) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. For a constant $x \in \operatorname{ker} L, x>0$, we have

$$
\bar{g}(x):=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(a(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x(t)}\right) d t .
$$

Obviously, $\bar{g}(x)<0$ for all $x \in\left(0, E_{1}\right), \bar{g}(x)>0$ for all $x>E_{2}$, so condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 holds. Set

$$
H(x, \mu)=\mu x+(1-\mu) \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(a(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x(t)}\right) d t
$$

we have $x H(x, \mu)>0$. Thus $H(x, \mu)$ is a homotopic transformation and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\{F, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} & =\operatorname{deg}\left\{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(a(t) x(t)-\frac{1}{x(t)}\right) d t, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{x, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus assumption (iii) of Lemma 2.1 is also verified. Therefore (2.2) has at least one positive $T$-periodic solution.

Next, we apply Theorem 2.1 to Brillouin electron beam focusing system (1.1). Equation (1.1) is of the form (2.2) with $a(t)=a(1+\cos 2 t)$.

Theorem 2.2 If $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then (1.1) has at least one positive $2 \pi$-periodic solution.
Proof If $a<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
|a|_{\infty}=2 a<1=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}
$$

i.e., $|a|_{\infty}<\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ holds. Theorem 2.1 implies that (1.1) has at least one $2 \pi$-periodic positive solution.

Finally, we present an example to illustrate our result.

Example 2.1 Consider the second order differential equation with singularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+(1+\cos t)=\frac{1}{x} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $T=\pi, a(t)=1+\cos t$. Obviously,

$$
|a|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|1+\cos t|=2<4=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\pi^{2}} .
$$

Therefore, (2.17) has at least one $\pi$-periodic solution by application of Theorem 2.1.
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