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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate how the initial value belonging to spaces $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ( $0<\sigma<N$ ) affects the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the evolution $p$-Laplacian equation with absorption. In fact, we reveal the fact that $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$ is the critical exponent for the complicated asymptotic behavior of the solutions.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the evolution $p$-Laplacian equation with absorption

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda u^{q}=0 \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p>2, q>p-1+\frac{p}{N}, N \geq 1, \lambda>0$ and $\varphi(x) \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, i.e., $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \equiv$ $\left\{\phi \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) ;|x|^{\sigma} \phi(x) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left\||\cdot|{ }^{\sigma} \varphi(\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$.

For solutions of some evolution equations, different initial values may cause different asymptotic behaviors, see [1-5]. Consider Problem (1.1)-(1.2). If $\lambda=0$ and the nonnegative initial value $u_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, it is well known that the solutions $u(x, t)$ converge to the Barenblatt solution $U_{M}$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ [6]. If $q>p-1+\frac{p}{N}, 0<\sigma<N$ and $\lambda=1$, the initial value $u_{0}(x) \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{\sigma} u_{0}(x)=A$, then the solutions satisfy

$$
t^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma(p-2)+p}}|u(x, t)-w(x, t)| \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly on the cone $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;|x| \leq C t^{\beta}\right\}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\beta=\frac{q-p+1}{p(q-1)}$ and $w(x, t)=$ $\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ if $0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, or $\beta=\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}$ and $w(x, t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem
of the evolution $p$-Laplacian equation without absorption

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w\right)=0 \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.3}\\
& w(x, 0)=w_{0}(x)=A|x|^{-\sigma} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, or $\beta=\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}$ and $w(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1) with the initial value $w(x, 0)=A|x|^{-\sigma}$ if $\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N$, see details in [7]. If $\lambda=0$ and the initial value belongs to the bounded function space, it was first founded by Vázquez and Zuazua [8] that there exists an initial value $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that the rescaled solutions $u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{p}} x, t_{n}\right)$ converge to different functions in the weak-star topology of $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ along different sequences $t_{n} \rightarrow$ $\infty$. This result means that the bounded function space $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ provides the work space where complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions takes place.

Since then, much attention has been paid to studying the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions for evolution equations [9-11]. For example, Cazenave et al. considered the Cauchy problem of the heat equation and got a series of important results about the complicated asymptotic behavior of the rescaled solutions $t^{\mu}\left(t^{\beta} x, t\right)(\mu, \beta>0)$ in papers [12-16]. In our previous papers [17-19], we investigated the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions for the porous medium equation. One can find some other interesting results of the partial differential equations in [20-23].
Inspired by the above papers, in this paper, we try to find out how the initial value belonging to $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with different $\sigma$ affects the complicated asymptotic behavior for the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $\lambda=1$. In fact, we find that if $0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, the complicated asymptotic behavior for the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in$ $W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ cannot happen. While if $\frac{p}{q-p+1} \leq \sigma<N$, then the complicated asymptotic behavior for the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ may happen. In fact, if $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, there exists an initial value $u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+} \equiv\left\{f \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) ;\|f\|_{W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq M\right\}$ such that for every $\phi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t_{n} \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma(p-2)+p}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}} x, t_{n}\right)=v(x, 1)
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $v(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1) with the initial value $v(x, 0)=$ $\phi$; or if $\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N$, then there exists an initial value $u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$ such that for every $\varphi \in$ $B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t_{n} \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma(p-2)+p}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}} x, t_{n}\right)=w(x, 1)
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $w(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.3)-(1.4) with the initial value $w(x, 0)=\varphi$. So, the complexity of asymptotic behavior of the solutions for $\frac{p}{q-p+1} \leq \sigma<N$ occurs, according to Vázquez and Zuazua [8]. Therefore, we get that $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$ is the critical exponent for the complexity of asymptotic behavior of solutions. For convenience, in the rest of this paper, we define $\gamma=\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}$ and put $\lambda=1$ in (1.2).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give some concepts and lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the nonexistence of complexity for the asymptotic behavior of solutions. The complexity of asymptotic behavior for the solutions is considered for $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$ in Section 4 and for $\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N$ in Section 5, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first give some concepts as [24-26]. For $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $r>0$, we define

$$
\|f\|_{r}=\sup _{R \geq r} R^{-\frac{N(p-2)+p}{p-2}} \int_{\{|x| \leq R\}}|f(x)| d x .
$$

The space $X_{0}$ is given by

$$
X_{0} \equiv\left\{\varphi \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) ;\|\varphi\|_{1}<\infty \text { and } \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\|\varphi\|_{r}=0\right\}
$$

with the norm $\left\|\|\cdot\|_{1}\right.$. The existence and uniqueness of global weak solution for Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $\varphi(x) \in X_{0}$ has been shown in [24, 25] and this solution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha}\left((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha>0$. Note that for $0<\sigma<N$,

$$
W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \subset X_{0}
$$

So we can define an operator $T(t): W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t) u_{0}(x)=u(x, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0}(x)$.

Lemma $2.1([24,26])$ For $w_{0} \in X_{0}$, there exists a unique global weak solution $w(x, t)$ of Problem (1.3)-(1.4). Moreover, the evolution p-Laplacian equation generates a bounded semigroup in $X_{0}$ given by

$$
S(t): w_{0} \rightarrow w(x, t) .
$$

If $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $w_{0} \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \subset X_{0}$, then $S(t)$ is a contraction bounded semigroup in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

The following two lemmas appeared in [27] to study the chaotic dynamic systems in the evolution $p$-Laplacian equation. Let us write $\Omega(t)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; w(x, t)>0\right\}$, and let $d(x, \Omega(t))$ be the distance from $x$ to $\Omega(t)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Propagation speed estimate [27]) Suppose $0<\sigma<N$. If $w(x, t)$ is the weak solution of Problem (1.3)-(1.4) with the initial value $w_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then for $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\infty$, we have

$$
\Omega\left(t_{2}\right) \subset \Omega_{\rho\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\left(t_{1}\right),
$$

where $\Omega_{\rho\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\left(t_{1}\right) \equiv\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; d\left(x, \Omega\left(t_{1}\right)\right)<\rho\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right\}$ and $\rho\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)=C\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma(p-2)+p}} \times$ $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}$.

The following lemma concerns the decay estimate of the solutions.

Lemma 2.3 (Space-time decay estimate [27]) Let $0<\sigma<N$. If $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then for $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t) u_{0}(x) \leq C\left(t^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\sigma} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Nonexistence of complexity: $0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1}$

In this section, we consider the nonexistence of complicated asymptotic behavior for the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The ideas of the proof of the following lemma come from [1,2, 7], we give it here for completeness.

Lemma 3.1 Let

$$
q>p-1+\frac{p}{N}, \quad 0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1},
$$

and let

$$
\varphi \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

If $u(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0}(x)=\varphi(x)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\substack{\frac{q-p+1}{} \\|x| \leq C t \\ p(q-1)}} t^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u(x, t) \leq\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let

$$
u_{k}(x, t)=k^{\frac{p}{q-p+1}} u\left(k x, k^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p+1}} t\right), \quad k>0 .
$$

So, for every $k>0, u_{k}(x, t)$ is a solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value

$$
u_{k}(x, 0)=\varphi_{k}(x)=k^{\frac{p}{q-p+1}} \varphi(k x), \quad k>0 .
$$

Since $\overline{u(x, t)}=\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} t^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$ is a solution of equation (1.1), it follows from the comparison principle that for every $(x, t) \in(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
u_{k}(x, t) \leq \overline{u(x, t)} .
$$

This uniform upper bound implies that the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is equicontinuous on compact subsets of $(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. So we can extract a convergent subsequence $\left\{u_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$ such that

$$
u_{k^{\prime}}(x, t) \xrightarrow{k^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} U(x, t) \leq \overline{u(x, t)}
$$

on compact subsets of $(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Therefore, for every $C>0$, putting $t=1, k x=x^{\prime}$ and $k^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p+1}}=t^{\prime}$, we obtain, omitting the primes,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \sup _{\left\{|x| \leq C t^{\frac{q-p+1}{p(q-1)}}\right\}} u(x, t) \leq\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$

The proof of this lemma is complete.
Theorem 3.2 Suppose

$$
q>p-1+\frac{p}{N} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1} .
$$

Let $u(x, t)$ be the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then the complexity cannot occur in the asymptotic behavior of the rescaled solutions $t^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t\right)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In other words, if there exists a function $\phi \in W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t_{n} \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t_{n}\right)=\varphi(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then

$$
\varphi(x) \equiv 0 .
$$

Proof Suppose that (3.2) holds for some $\varphi(x) \not \equiv 0$. And, without loss of generality, we assume that for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)>0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) that there exists an integer $n_{1}$ such that if $n \geq n_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x_{0}, t_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By using Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u(x, t) \leq\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the sets $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;|x| \leq C t^{\frac{q-p+1}{(q-1)}}\right\}$ for $C>0$. It follows from (3.3) and the fact $\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{q-1}<0$ that there exists an integer $n_{2}$ such that if $n \geq n_{2}$, then

$$
t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}<\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

So, from (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(x, t_{n}\right)=t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{q-1}} t^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u\left(x, t_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in\left\{y ;|y| \leq C t_{n}^{\frac{q-p+1}{p(q-1)}}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $n=\max \left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, and then letting $C=2\left|x_{0}\right| t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-\frac{q-p+1}{p(q-1)}}$ and $x=t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x_{0}$, we have

$$
x \in\left\{y ;|y| \leq C t_{n}^{\frac{q-p+1}{p(q-1)}}\right\} .
$$

Thus we deduce from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \leq t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x_{0}, t_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

So we get a contradiction. Therefore, (3.2) cannot hold for $\varphi(x) \not \equiv 0$. This means that if (3.2) holds with $0<\sigma<\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, then

$$
\varphi(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and the proof is complete.

## 4 Complexity: $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$

To give the result about the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions, we need introduce some concepts. For $0<\sigma<N$ and $M>0$, the convex closed set $B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$ is defined as

$$
B_{M}^{\sigma,+} \equiv\left\{\varphi \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) ;\|\varphi\|_{W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq M\right\}
$$

For $\lambda>0,0<\sigma<N, \varphi(x) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we define

$$
D_{\lambda}^{\sigma} \varphi(x)=\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}} \varphi\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} x\right)
$$

For $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, it follows from this definition and (2.2) that the following commutative relation holds [28]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{\sigma}\left[T\left(\lambda^{2} t\right) u_{0}\right]=T(t)\left[D_{\lambda}^{\sigma} u_{0}\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give the result that for $\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}$, the complicated asymptotic behavior for the solutions of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ can happen.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $q>p+\frac{p}{N}$ and $M>0$. Let

$$
\sigma=\frac{p}{q-p+1}
$$

Then there exists a function $u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$ such that for every $\varphi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t_{n}\right)=T(1) \varphi(x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0}(x)$.

Proof By the definition of $B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a countable set $F=\left\{\phi_{i} ; \phi_{i} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+} \bigcap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$ such that for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\varphi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a function $\phi_{\epsilon} \in F$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}-\varphi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}<\epsilon \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there exists a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1} \subset F$ such that
I. For every $\phi_{i} \in F$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{\varphi_{i_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ of the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j_{k}}(x)=\phi_{j} \quad \text { for all } k \geq 1 ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)},\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right) \leq C j \quad \text { for } j \geq 1 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\frac{p}{q-p+1}=\sigma<N$. So the following inequality holds:

$$
N \gamma-\sigma(N(p-2)+p)>0 .
$$

Let

$$
\lambda_{j}= \begin{cases}2, & j=1,  \tag{4.6}\\ \max \left(j^{\frac{3 \gamma}{N \gamma-\sigma[N(p-2)+p]}} \lambda_{j-1},\left(2^{j} \lambda_{j-1}^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}+C 2^{j} M^{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right), & j>1 .\end{cases}
$$

Now we can follow the methods given in $[9,10,12]$ to construct an initial value by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x)==\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{\lambda_{j}^{-1}}^{\sigma}\left[\chi_{j}(x) \varphi_{j}(x)\right]=u_{n}+v_{n}+w_{n} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_{\lambda_{j}^{-1}}^{\sigma}\left[\chi_{j}(x) \varphi_{j}(x)\right], \quad v_{n}=D_{\lambda_{n}^{-1}}^{\sigma}\left[\chi_{n}(x) \varphi_{n}(x)\right],  \tag{4.8}\\
& w_{n}=\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} D_{\lambda_{j}^{-1}}^{\sigma}\left[\chi_{j}(x) \varphi_{j}(x)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

and $\chi_{j}(x)$ is the cut-off function defined on $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; 2^{-j}<|x|<2^{j}\right\}$ relatively to $\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N} ; 2^{-j+1}<|x|<2^{j-1}\right\}$. Note first that if $\varphi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, then

$$
\|\varphi\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq M
$$

and

$$
0 \leq \varphi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

By (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \sup _{j \geq 1}\left\|\lambda_{j}^{-\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}} \chi_{j}\left(x / \lambda_{j}^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(x / \lambda_{j}^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}\right)\right\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq M
$$

so

$$
u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+} .
$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$
D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma}\left[T\left(\lambda_{n}^{2} t\right) u_{0}\right]=T(t)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{0}\right]=T(t)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} v_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right] .
$$

We thus conclude from the definition of $\lambda_{j}$, comparison principle [29] and Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(T(1)\left[D_{n}^{\sigma}\left(w_{n}\right)\right]\right) \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;|x|>2^{n}+C M^{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(T(1)\left[D_{n}^{\sigma}\left(v_{n}+u_{n}\right)\right]\right) \subset\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;|x|<2^{n}+C M^{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}\right\}
$$

so

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(T(1)\left[D_{n}^{\sigma}\left(w_{n}\right)\right]\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(T(1)\left[D_{n}^{\sigma}\left(v_{n}+u_{n}\right)\right]\right)=\emptyset
$$

hence

$$
T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} v_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]=T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} v_{n}\right]+T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]
$$

The same result holds for $0<t<1$,

$$
T(t)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} v_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]=T(t)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} u_{n}+D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} v_{n}\right]+T(t)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]
$$

From the comparison principle [25, 29], Lemma 2.1, (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} & \leq\left\|S(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C\left\|D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma} w_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leq C \lambda_{n}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}} \lambda_{n+1}^{-\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} i \leq C 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For every $\phi \in F$, it follows from (4.4) and (4.8) that there exists a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that if

$$
x \in E_{k} \equiv\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; 2^{-n_{k}+1}<|y|<2^{n_{k}-1}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma} u_{n_{k}}(x)=D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}^{-1}}^{\sigma} \chi_{n_{k}} \varphi_{n_{k}}\right](x)=\chi_{n_{k}}(x) \varphi_{n_{k}}(x)=\phi(x) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the $L^{1}$-contraction principle $[25,26]$, we conclude from (4.6) and (4.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T(1 / 2)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma}\left(u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right)\right]-T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \phi\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left[D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left(u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right)\right]-\phi\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad=C\left\|D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma} u_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+C\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash E_{n_{k}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C n_{k}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n_{k}-1}}{\lambda_{n_{k}}}\right)^{\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}(N(q-p+1)+p)}+C\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash E_{n_{k}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C n_{k}{ }^{-2}+C\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash E_{n_{k}}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[D_{\lambda_{n}}^{\sigma}\left(u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|S\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{\gamma}, \beta}\left[u_{n_{k}-1}+v_{n_{k}}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\|D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[u_{n_{k}-1}+v_{n_{k}}\right]\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\frac{2}{N(m-1)+2}} \leq C\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{1}}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we deduce from (4.11) and (4.12) that there exists a subsequence, which we still denote as $T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[u_{n_{k}-1}+v_{n_{k}}\right]$, which satisfies

$$
T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[u_{n_{k}-1}+v_{n_{k}}\right] \xrightarrow{w *} T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \phi \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore, the regularity of the solutions (see (2.1)) indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(1) D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[u_{n_{k}-1}+v_{n_{k}}\right] \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} T(1) \phi \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right], \quad \phi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+} .
$$

So, for every $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain from Lemma 2.2 and the comparison principle that there exists $k_{1}>0$ such that if $|x| \geq 2^{n_{k 1}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma} u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right](x) \leq S(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma} u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right](x)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(1) \phi(x) \leq S(1) \phi(x)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), we get

$$
T(1)\left[D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma} u_{n_{k}}+v_{n_{k}}\right](x) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} T(1) \phi(x)
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Combining this with (4.9), we get that for every $\phi \in F$, there exists a sequence $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda_{n_{k}}}^{\sigma}\left[T\left(\lambda_{n_{k}}^{2}\right) u_{0}\right] \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} T(1) \phi \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Taking $t_{k}=\lambda_{n_{k}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.16), we can conclude from (4.3) that (4.2) holds, and the proof is complete.

## 5 Complexity: $\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N$

In this section, we investigate the complicated asymptotic behavior of solutions for Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\left(\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N\right)$.

Theorem 5.1 Suppose $q>p+\frac{p}{N}$ and $M>0$. Let

$$
\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N
$$

Then there exists a function $u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$ such that for every $\phi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t_{n}\right)=S(1) \phi(x) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) with the initial value $u_{0}$.

Proof In our previous paper [27], we have obtained the result that there exists a function $u_{0} \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$ such that for every $\phi \in B_{M}^{\sigma,+}$, there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} w\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t_{n}\right)=S(1) \phi(x) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $w(x, t)$ is the solution of Problem (1.3)-(1.4) with the initial value $w_{0}(x)=u_{0}(x)$. To get Theorem 5.1, we only need to prove that if $u_{0}(x)=\varphi(x) \in W_{\sigma}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then for every sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, the following limit holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t_{n} \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{p+\sigma(p-2)}}\left|u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{p+\sigma(p-2)}} x, t_{n}\right)-w\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{p+\sigma(p-2)}} x, t_{n}\right)\right|=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The ideas of the following proof come from [1, 2, 7].
Without loss of generality, assuming that $\|\varphi\|_{W_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq M$, we consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla V|^{p-2} \nabla V\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, T) \\
& V(x, 0)=M|x|^{-\sigma} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we define the functions

$$
w_{k}(x, t)=k^{\sigma} w\left(k x, k^{\gamma} t\right), \quad u_{k}(x, t)=k^{\sigma} u\left(k x, k^{\gamma} t\right)
$$

and

$$
V_{k}(x, t)=k^{\sigma} V\left(k x, k^{\gamma} t\right)
$$

It follows from the comparison principle that

$$
V(x, t)=V_{k}(x, t) \geq w_{k}(x, t) \geq u_{k}(x, t) .
$$

Therefore

$$
u_{k}(x, t) \leq w_{k}(x, t) \leq C V_{k}\left(x, t+\frac{1}{k^{\gamma}}\right), \quad k>0 .
$$

It is well known that

$$
V(x, t)=t^{-\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} f\left(\frac{|x|}{t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}\right)
$$

where $f(x)$ is the positive solution of the equation

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+\left(\frac{n-1}{\eta}+\frac{\eta}{\gamma}\right) f^{\prime}(\eta)+\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} f(\eta)=0 .
$$

As in [7], there exists a constant $C>0$ such that if $k>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \geq \tau>0$, then

$$
V_{k}(x, t) \leq C \tau^{-\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} f(\eta)=M
$$

From these, we can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{B_{1}} V(x, t) d x d t \leq C \tau \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{B_{1}} V^{q}(x, t) d x d t \leq C \tau+C \begin{cases}\tau^{\frac{N-\sigma q+\gamma}{\gamma}} & \text { if } N-\sigma q+\gamma>0, N \neq \sigma q  \tag{5.5}\\ \tau \log \frac{1}{\tau} & \text { if } N=\sigma q \\ \log \left(1+k^{\gamma} \tau\right) & \text { if } N-\sigma q+\gamma=0 \\ k^{-N+\sigma q-\gamma} & \text { if } N-\sigma q+\gamma<0\end{cases}
$$

where $k^{\nu} \tau \geq 1$. Let $\xi \in C^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ which vanishes at large $x$ and at $t=T$, then $u_{k}$ and $w_{k}$ satisfy the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T}}\left[\xi_{t}\left(w_{k}-u_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma} k^{-\alpha} \xi u_{k}^{q}\right] d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} a^{i j} \frac{\partial\left(w_{k}-u_{k}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{j}} d x d t=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\sigma(q-p+1)-p>\frac{p}{q-p+1}(q-p+1)-p=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k}^{i, j}(x, t)= & \delta_{i j} \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left|s \nabla u_{k}+(1-s) \nabla w_{k}\right|^{p-2} d s \\
& +(p-2) \int_{0}^{1}\left|s \nabla u_{k}+(1-s) \nabla w_{k}\right|^{p-4}\left(s u_{k}+(1-s) w_{k}\right)_{x_{i}}\left(s u_{k}+(1-s) w_{k}\right)_{x_{j}} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\{w_{k}\right\},\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded on any compact subsets of $Q_{T} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, and that $\left\{\nabla w_{k}\right\},\left\{\nabla u_{k}\right\}$ are Hölder continuous on any compact subsets of $Q_{T}$, see [25]. Then there exist subsequences $\left\{v_{k_{\ell}}\right\}$ of $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{k_{\ell}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, and two functions $w^{\prime}(x, t), u^{\prime}(x, t) \in$ $C\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(0, T ; W_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{k_{\ell}}(x, t) \rightarrow w^{\prime}(x, t), & u_{k_{\ell}}(x, t) \rightarrow u^{\prime}(x, t) \\
\nabla w_{k_{\ell}}(x, t) \rightarrow \nabla w^{\prime}(x, t), & \nabla u_{k_{\ell}}(x, t) \rightarrow \nabla u^{\prime}(x, t)
\end{array}
$$

in $C(\mathbb{K})$ as $k_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is a compact subset of $S_{T}$. So, letting $k=k_{\ell} \rightarrow+\infty$ in (5.6) and applying (5.4), (5.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T}} \xi_{t}\left(w^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right) d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} a^{i j} \frac{\partial\left(w^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{j}} d x d t=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{i, j}(x, t) & =\delta_{i j} \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left|s \nabla u^{\prime}+(1-s) \nabla w^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} d s \\
& =(p-2) \int_{0}^{1}\left|s \nabla u^{\prime}+(1-s) \nabla w^{\prime}\right|^{p-4}\left(s u_{k}+(1-s) w^{\prime}\right)_{x_{i}}\left(s u^{\prime}+(1-s) w^{\prime}\right)_{x_{j}} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the existence and uniqueness theorem [25,26] to (5.7), we obtain that

$$
u^{\prime}(x, t)-w^{\prime}(x, t)=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } Q_{T},
$$

hence the entire sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(\cdot, t)-w_{k}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Put $t=1$ and $k=t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ in (5.8), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}}\left|u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t_{n}\right)-w\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ as $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $0<\frac{p}{q-p+1}<\sigma<N$. It now follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} V\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, t_{n}\right) \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}
$$

for all $t_{n}>0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then, for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
\left\|t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} V\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}\right)}<\epsilon
$$

Using the comparison principle, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} u\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}\right)} & \leq\left\|t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} w\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|t_{n}^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} V\left(t_{n}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot, t\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}\right)}<\epsilon . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (5.9) and (5.10) indicate that (5.3) holds. Combining this with (5.2), we can get (5.1), and the proof is complete.
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