RESEARCH

Open Access



Artificial boundary condition for one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger problem with Dirac interaction: existence and uniqueness results

Mostafa Abounouh, Hassan Al Moatassime and Abderrazak Chrifi*

*Correspondence: abderrazak.chrifi@gmail.com Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco

Abstract

We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with Dirac interaction in a half-line domain of \mathbb{R} . Endowed with artificial boundary condition, we discuss the global well-posedness of the equation.

Keywords: Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Artificial boundary condition; Fractional derivative; Galerkin method

1 Introduction

We consider a nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with Dirac distribution defect [1-4]

$$iu_t + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} + q\delta_a u + g(|u|^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, u = u(x, t) is the unknown solution maps $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ into \mathbb{C} , δ_a is the Dirac distribution at the point $a \in \Omega$, namely, $\langle \delta_a, v \rangle = v(a)$ for $v \in H^1(\Omega)$, and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ represents its intensity parameter. Such distribution is introduced in order to model physically the defect at the point x = a (see [3–6]). The function g represents a generalization of the classical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (see for example [7–9]).

This specific model (1) is of recent research from a physical point of view in nonlinear optics plasma physics, water wave, quantum mechanics, hydrodynamics; see for example [10-15].

In nonlinear optics, equation (1) models a soliton propagating in a medium with a point defect [4, 16] or a wide soliton with a much narrower one in a bimodal fiber [17]. In the case when a = 0 and g(s) = s, this model coincides with the Gross–Pitaevskii equation; see, for instance [1, 2, 4, 18, 19] and the references therein. See also [6, 20, 21] for some recent results dealing with NLS models.

The well-posedness of the solutions of the NLS equation (1) has been studied in the literature. In the case when q = 0 and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, the global existence in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ were proved in [22–24]. For bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ and with the standard boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann and periodic), NLS equation (1) possesses a unique global solution

© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$, as was proved in [7]. In the last case $q \neq 0$ and g(s) = s, in [4] the well-posedness in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$ of the solution of NLS equation (1) was proved.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the NLS equation (1) with Dirac interaction defect $(q \neq 0)$ in the case of a half-line in \mathbb{R} . We consider for example $\Omega =]-\infty, 0[$ (the same calculations remain true for a positive half-line choice of Ω). The equation is endowed with a non-standard boundary condition at the point 0 in order to avoid the perturbations of the solutions caused by the boundary {0}. The condition is actually necessary to achieve numerical solutions of the equation, as was demonstrated in [25–27]. The initial data is then supposed of a compact support in Ω . Our main results that have been proved entail that the NLS equation (1) has a unique solution in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$. The demonstration is based on the Galerkin method. The continuous dependence of the solutions with regard to the initial data is also looked into.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some problem formulation and necessary technical results. In Section 3, we demonstrate the global well-posedness of the NLS equation in $\mathbf{H}^{1}(\mathbf{\Omega})$. A few concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

2 Problem formulation and preliminaries

We discuss the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

$$iu_t + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} + q\delta_a u + g(|u|^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(2)

where $\Omega =]-\infty, 0[$, a < 0 and δ_a is a Dirac interaction defect at point a. The smooth functional $g \in C^1([0, +\infty[, \mathbb{R})$ verifies the following conditions: There exist constants $C \ge 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\alpha_2 > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 2[$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(r) \le \alpha_1 (1+r^{\theta}) & \text{for } r \ge 0, \\ G(r) = \int_0^r g(s) \, ds & \text{and} \quad |G(r)| \le \alpha_2 r (1+r^{\theta}) & \text{for } r \ge 0, \\ |g'(r)| \le C & \text{for } r \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

We associate with equation (2) a non-standard boundary condition at the point x = 0,

$$\partial_n u(0,t) + \sqrt{2} e^{-i\pi/4} e^{i\mathbb{V}(0,t)} \partial_t^{1/2} \left(e^{-i\mathbb{V}(0,t)} u(0,t) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(4)

where the operator ∂_n is the normal derivative, the phase function \mathbb{V} defined by $\mathbb{V}(x,t) = \int_0^t g(|u(x,s)|^2) ds$ and operator $\partial_t^{1/2}$ represent a $\frac{1}{2}$ order Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative defined by

$$\partial_t^{1/2}(h(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_t \left(\int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} \, ds \right). \tag{5}$$

This result (4) is obtained by [25-27] with an initial data that has compact support in Ω , it represents an artificial boundary condition on x = 0 to the NLS equation (2) with q = 0. This condition is added in order to avoid the perturbation effect on the solutions resulting from the reflection at the limit point {0}.

We consider $u_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$ to be an initial data, such that its support is compact in $\mathbf{\Omega}$ (see [25–27])

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{\Omega}. \tag{6}$$

Next, using the method applied in [28], we obtain our first technical result.

Lemma 1 Consider the initial value problem in \mathbb{C}^m

$$\begin{cases} H'(t) = \mathbf{M}\partial_t^{1/2}H(t) + \mathbf{P}(H(t)), \\ H(0) = H_0, \end{cases}$$
(7)

where **M** is a square matrix of order m, **P** represents a polynomial function of \mathbb{C}^m , $H_0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is a constant vector. Then the problem (7) has a unique local solution $H \in L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{C}^m)$.

Proof We integrate (7) between 0 and *t*, to get

$$H(t) = H_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbf{M} \int_0^t \frac{H(\tau)}{\sqrt{t - \tau}} d\tau - \mathbf{M} \Big[I_t^{1/2} H(t) \Big]_{t=0} + \int_0^t \mathbf{P} \big(H(s) \big) ds,$$
(8)

where $I_t^{1/2}$ represents the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of $\frac{1}{2}$ order defined by

$$I_t^{1/2}(h(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} \, ds$$

Since $H \in L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{C}^m)$ we have $[I_t^{1/2}H(t)]_{t=0} = 0$. Then equation (8) becomes

$$H(t) = H_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbf{M} \int_0^t \frac{H(\tau)}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} d\tau + \int_0^t \mathbf{P}(H(s)) ds.$$
(9)

We show that there exists a unique function H verifying equation (9) by applying the Banach fixed-point theorem. Let T > 0, we denote

$$X_T = L^{\infty}(0, T, \mathbb{C}^m)$$
 and $||H||_{X_T} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||H(t)||_2$,

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{C}^m . We are looking for a fixed point of the functional

$$\Phi(H(t)) = H_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbf{M} \int_0^t \frac{H(\tau)}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} d\tau + \int_0^t \mathbf{P}(H(s)) ds.$$
(10)

 Φ sends the closed ball $B_{X_T}(0, R)$ into itself. Let $R = 2||H_0||_2$ and let $H \in X_T$ such that $||H||_{X_T} \leq R$. Using (9), there exists a constant $C_1(R) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi(H) \right\|_{2} &\leq \|H_{0}\|_{2} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|\mathbf{M}\|_{2} \sqrt{T}R + C_{1}(R)T \\ &\leq \frac{R}{2} + \left(\frac{2\|\mathbf{M}\|_{2} \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{C_{1}(R)T}{R} \right) R, \end{split}$$

where $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 = \sup_{\|Y\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{M}Y\|_2$. If you choose T such that $(\frac{2\|\mathbf{M}\|_2\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{C_1(R)T}{R}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we see that the functional Φ sends the closed ball $B_{X_T}(0, R)$ into itself.

 Φ is a contraction mapping in $B_{X_T}(0, R)$. Let $H, L \in B_{X_T}(0, R)$ such that $||H||_{X_T} \leq R$ and $||L||_{X_T} \leq R$. Let t < T where T satisfies $(\frac{2||\mathbf{M}||_2\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{C_1(R)T}{R}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We have

$$\left\|\Phi(H) - \Phi(L)\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{2\|\mathbf{M}\|_{2}\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\|H - L\|_{X_{T}} + \int_{0}^{t} \left|\mathbf{P}(H(s)) - \mathbf{P}(L(s))\right| ds.$$

Since **P** is a polynomial function and $B_{X_T}(0, R)$ is bounded, **P** has Lipschitz continuity in $B_{X_T}(0, R)$. This shows that there exists a constant $C_2(R) > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(H) - \Phi(L)\|_{2} \leq \frac{2\|\mathbf{M}\|_{2}\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|H - L\|_{X_{T}} + C_{2}(R)T\|H - L\|_{X_{T}}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{2\|\mathbf{M}\|_{2}\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + C_{2}(R)T\right)\|H - L\|_{X_{T}}.$$

By choosing $C(R) = \min(\frac{C_1(R)}{R}, C_2(R))$, there exists T > 0 such that $(\frac{2||\mathbf{M}||_2\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{C_1(R)T}{R}) \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $(\frac{2||\mathbf{M}||_2\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + C_2(R)T) \le \frac{1}{2} < 1$. This shows that the functional Φ is a contraction mapping in $B_{X_T}(0, R)$. By applying the Banach fixed-point theorem, there exists a unique function H verifying equation (9).

The following lemma was proved in [9, 29].

Lemma 2 Let $\phi \in \mathbf{H}^{1/4}(0, \mathbf{T})$ and $\psi \in \mathbf{H}^{3/4}(0, \mathbf{T})$, such that $\psi(0) = 0$, be two functions extended by zero outside [0, T]. Then we have the following inequalities:

(i)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\pi/4}\int_{0}^{+\infty}\overline{\phi}\partial_{t}^{1/2}\phi\,dt\right) \ge 0;$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i\pi/4}\int_{0}^{+\infty}\overline{\psi_{t}}\partial_{t}^{1/2}\psi\,dt\right) \ge 0.$

Remark 1 Let $\phi \in \mathbf{H}^{1/4}(t_1, t_2)$ be a function extended by zero outside $[t_1, t_2]$; we have

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(e^{i\pi/4}\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\overline{\phi}\partial_t^{1/2}\phi\,dt\right)\geq 0.$$

We state that the following lemma proved in [9].

Lemma 3 Let the complex function $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ be defined in $\Omega =]-\infty, 0[$. Then we have

$$|w(0)|^2 \le 2||w||_{L^2(\Omega)}||w_x||_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We introduce our technical result.

Lemma 4 Let $\Omega =]-\infty, 0[$, we assume that the sequence $(\lambda_m)_m$ of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ is such that $\|\lambda_m\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C$ and $\lambda_m \longrightarrow 0$ in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ when $m \longrightarrow +\infty$. Then $\lambda_m(0) \longrightarrow 0$ when $m \longrightarrow +\infty$.

Proof Since $\lambda_m \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$, by using Lemma 3, we have

$$egin{aligned} & \left|\lambda_m(0)
ight|^2 \leq 2 \|\lambda_{mx}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\lambda_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \ & \leq 2C \|\lambda_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

Finally, we state the last lemma, which has been proved in [30].

Lemma 5 Let $K \times]0, T[$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_t$, g_μ and g are functions in $L^q(K \times]0, T[), 1 < q < \infty$, such that

 $\|g_{\mu}\|_{L^{q}(K\times [0,T[)} \leq C \text{ and } g_{\mu} \longrightarrow g \text{ a.e in } K\times]0, T[.$

Then $g_{\mu} \rightarrow g$ in the weak topology of $L^{q}(K \times]0, T[)$.

3 Well-posedness of NLS equation

In this section, we are able to announce and prove our main result.

Theorem 1 Let $u_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ be an initial data with compact support in Ω . Then there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]') \text{ solution to the NLS equa$ $tion (2)–(4)–(6), where <math>\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{E})$ represents the space of k times continuously differentiable functions on \mathbf{I} in \mathbf{E} , $[\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]'$ is the dual of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$.

Remark 2 If *u* is a solution of the NLS equation (2)–(4)–(6), then $\tilde{u} : (x, t) \mapsto u(-x, t)$ is also a solution of the following NLS equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\tilde{u}_{t} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}_{xx} + q\delta_{-a}\tilde{u} + g(|\tilde{u}|^{2})\tilde{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\ \partial_{n}\tilde{u}(0,t) + \sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4}e^{i\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(0,t)}\partial_{t}^{1/2}(e^{-i\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(0,t)}\tilde{u}(0,t)) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\ \tilde{u}(x,0) = u_{0}(-x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(11)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{\Omega}} =]0, +\infty[$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(x, t) = \int_0^t g(|\tilde{u}(x, s)|^2) ds.$

The remainder of this section contains the proof of Theorem 1.

For the sake of simplicity, we make a change of the unknown solution to the nonlinear equation (2)-(4)-(6),

$$\nu(x,t) = \exp(-i\mathbb{V}(0,t))u(x,t).$$
(12)

Therefore, the NLS equation (2)-(4)-(6) is rewritten in the form

$$\begin{cases} i\nu_t + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{xx} + q\delta_a \nu + (g(|\nu(x,t)|^2) - g(|\nu(0,t)|^2))\nu = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \partial_n \nu(0,t) + \sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4}\partial_t^{1/2}(\nu(0,t)) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \nu(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Remark 3 From (12), we have the following properties:

- (i) |v(x,t)| = |u(x,t)|,(ii) $u(x,t) = \exp(i\int_0^t g(|v(0,s)|^2) \, ds)v(x,t),$ (...) $||v(x)|| = \exp(i\int_0^t g(|v(0,s)|^2) \, ds)v(x,t),$
- (iii) $\|\nu(t)\|_{H^m(\Omega)} = \|u(t)\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$ for all $m \ge 0$.

We use the Galerkin method to show that there exists a solution $\nu \in C^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]')))$ of the NLS equation (13). This method is divided into three steps as shown below. Also, we prove the uniqueness of this solution in the last subsection. As a result, by Remark 3 there exists a unique function $u \in C^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]) \cap C^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]')))$, a solution of (2)–(4)–(6).

3.1 First step: approximate problem

Let $(\varphi_k)_k$ be an orthonormal basis of functions in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$. For $m \ge 1$, we set $\mathcal{H}_m = \operatorname{Span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ and we define the orthogonal projection operator P_m by

$$P_m: H^1(\Omega) \to \mathcal{H}_m$$

$$\nu \mapsto P_m(\nu) = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \nu, \varphi_k \rangle_{H^1(\Omega)} \varphi_k,$$
(14)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is the scalar product in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$. For $m \ge 1$, we shall approximate ν in (13) by

$$\nu_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m h_{km}(t)\varphi_k,$$
(15)

which satisfies, for all $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \langle i \nu_m, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nu_{mx}, \varphi_{kx} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} \\ - \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4}}{2} \partial_t^{1/2} (\nu_m(0, t)) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} + q \langle \delta_a \nu_m, \varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} \\ + \langle g(|\nu_m|^2) \nu_m, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} - g(|\nu_m(0, t)|^2) \langle \nu_m, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} = 0, \\ \nu_m(0) = P_m(u_0). \end{cases}$$
(16)

We get the system

$$i\mathbf{A}H'_{m}(t) - \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4}}{2}\mathbf{B}\partial_{t}^{1/2}H_{m}(t) = \mathbf{F}(H_{m}(t)),$$
(17)

where **F** is a polynomial function, **A** and **B** are square matrices of order *m* defined by

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\langle \varphi_j, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} \right)_{k, j}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \left(\varphi_j(0) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} \right)_{k, j}$$

and

$$H_m(t) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1m}(t) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ h_{mm}(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$

such that at t = 0 the components of $H_m(0)$ are

$$h_{mk}(0) = k \text{th element of } u_{m0}.$$
 (18)

The matrix A is Hermitian and positive-definite, then it is invertible. Therefore

$$H'_{m}(t) + \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4}}{2}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\partial_{t}^{1/2}H_{m}(t) = -i\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(H_{m}(t)).$$
(19)

We set

$$\mathbf{M} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4}}{2}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}(H_m(t)) = -i\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(H_m(t)).$$

The system (19) becomes

$$\begin{cases} H'_{m}(t) = \mathbf{M}\partial_{t}^{1/2}H_{m}(t) + \mathbf{P}(H_{m}(t)), \\ H_{m}(0) = H_{m0}, \end{cases}$$
(20)

where **P** is a polynomial function of \mathbb{C}^m . By using Lemma 1, we see that the system (20) has a unique local solution. Then we obtain a unique function $H_m = (h_{1m}, \ldots, h_{mm})$ in $[0, T_m]$, a solution of (17) with the initial condition (18). As a result, the approximate problem (16) has a unique solution v_m such that $v_m : [0, T_m] \to \mathcal{H}_m$. The existence of a maximal solution v_m (defined on $[0, T_{max}[)$) is obtained by iterating *m*, where T_{max} is the maximum time of the existence such that $v_m : [0, T_{max}[\to \mathcal{H}_m$. Then we have $T_{max} < +\infty$ and $\lim_{t\to T_{max}} |v_m| =$ $+\infty$, or $T_{max} = +\infty$.

3.2 Second step: a priori estimates

3.2.1 Estimate in $L^2(\Omega)$

We multiply (13) by $-i\overline{\nu}$ and we integrate in space domain Ω . We then integrate by parts the second term and consider the real part, to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| v(t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \mathcal{R}e(i\overline{v(0,t)}\partial_n v(0,t)).$$
(21)

We integrate this equality between 0 and *t* with $t \in [0, +\infty[$, to get

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} - \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{R}e(i\overline{v(0,s)}\partial_{n}v(0,s)) ds.$$

By using the boundary condition for (13) and Lemma 2, we show that $\int_0^t \mathcal{R}e(i\nu(0,s)\partial_n\nu(0,s)) ds \le 0$. Hence

For
$$t \ge 0$$
, $\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$.

This gives the a priori estimate in $L^2(\Omega)$

$$\sup_{m} \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty[} \|\nu_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = K_0.$$
(22)

3.2.2 *Estimate in* $H^1(\Omega)$

We multiply the first equality of (13) by $\overline{v_t}$ and we integrate in Ω . By considering the real part, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(\nu(t)) = 2\operatorname{\mathcal{R}e}\left(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)}\partial_n\nu(0,t)\right) - 2g\left(\left|\nu(0,t)\right|^2\right)\frac{d}{dt}\|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,\tag{23}$$

where

$$\Psi(\nu(t)) = \|\nu_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2q |\nu(a,t)|^2 - 2\int_{\Omega} G(|\nu|^2) dx,$$
(24)

with G is defined by (3).

From the following, we set that *K* can be any positive constant depending only on *q*, α_1 , α_2 , θ and K_0 .

We have

$$\left| -g(\left| v(0,t) \right|^2) \frac{d}{dt} \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right| = \left| g(\left| v(0,t) \right|^2) \right| \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda(t) \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \tag{25}$$

where $\lambda(t) = \text{sign}(\frac{d}{dt} \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$. By using (3), (25) and equality (23), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi\big(\nu(t)\big) \leq 2\operatorname{\mathcal{R}e}\big(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)}\partial_n\nu(0,t)\big) + 2\alpha_1\big(1+\big|\nu(0,t)\big|^{2\theta}\big)\frac{d}{dt}\big(\lambda(t)\|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\big).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\Psi \left(\nu(t) \right) - 2\alpha_1 \lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \leq 2 \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e} \left(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)} \partial_n \nu(0,t) \right) \\
+ 2\alpha_1 \left| \nu(0,t) \right|^{2\theta} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$
(26)

We use Lemma 3 and (22), to get

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dt} \Big(\Psi \big(\nu(t) \big) - 2\alpha_1 \lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \Big) \\ &\leq 2 \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e} \big(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)} \partial_n \nu(0,t) \big) + K \|\nu_x(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \frac{d}{dt} \big(\lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \big) \\ &\leq 2 \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e} \big(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)} \partial_n \nu(0,t) \big) + K \sup_{s \in [0,T_{\max}[} \big(\|\nu_x(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \big) \frac{d}{dt} \big(\lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \big), \end{split}$$

where $T_{\text{max}} > 0$ is the maximum time of existence of $(v_m)_m$, which gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big(\Psi \big(\nu(t) \big) - 2\alpha_1 \lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - K \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \big(\|\nu_x(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^\theta \big) \lambda(t) \|\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \Big)
\leq 2 \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e} \Big(\overline{\nu_t(0,t)} \partial_n \nu(0,t) \Big).$$
(27)

Integrating the equality (27) between 0 and t, we get

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\nu(t)) &- 2\alpha_1 \lambda(t) \|\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - K \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \left(\|\nu_x(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \right) \lambda(t) \|\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \Psi(u_0) + 2\alpha_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + K \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \sup_{s \in [0,T_{\max}]} \left(\|\nu_x(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \right) \\ &- 2\sqrt{2} \mathcal{R}e\left(e^{-i\pi/4} \int_0^t \overline{\nu_t(0,t)} \partial_t^{1/2} \nu(0,t) \right). \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\Psi(\nu(t)) \leq \Psi(u_0) + K + K \sup_{s \in [0, T_{\max}[} \left(\left\| \nu_x(s) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \right).$$

Using equation (24) of $\Psi(\nu(t))$, we have

$$\|\nu_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq K \sup_{s \in [0, T_{\max}[} (\|\nu_{x}(s)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\theta}) + 2q |\nu(a, t)|^{2} + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(|\nu|^{2}) dx + \Psi(u_{0}) + K.$$
(28)

We will majorize the second member of (28).

By using the Young inequality, we get

$$K \sup_{s \in [0, T_{\max}[} \left(\left\| \nu_x(s) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \right) \le \frac{1}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, T_{\max}[} \left\| \nu_x(s) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + K.$$
(29)

We apply the Agmon and Young inequalities using (22), and we have

$$2q |\nu(a,t)|^{2} \leq 2|q| \|\nu\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|\nu_{x}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq 2|q| K_{0} \|\nu_{x}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nu_{x}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + K.$$
(30)

By considering (3) and by using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg and the Young inequalities, we have

$$2\int_{\Omega} G(|\nu(x,t)|^{2}) dx \leq 2\alpha_{2} \|\nu(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + 2\alpha_{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nu(x,t)|^{2(\theta+1)} dx$$

$$\leq 2\alpha_{2}K_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{2}C \|\nu(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2+\theta} \|\nu_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\theta}$$

$$\leq K + K \|\nu_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\theta}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nu_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + K.$$
 (31)

Then, by using (28), (29), (30) and (31), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \| v_x(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sup_{s \in [0, T_{\max}[} \| v_x(s) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + K + \Psi(u_0).$$

By taking the supremum on the left side of this inequality, we obtain

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_{\max}[} \|v_x(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K + 4\Psi(u_0).$$

Then $T_{\max} = +\infty$ and the sequence $(\nu_m)_m$ remains bounded in $C_b([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})))$. Hence, there exists $K_1 > 0$, which is dependent on the equation data, such that

$$\sup_{m} \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty[} \|\nu_m(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le K_1.$$
(32)

We now specify the space where $(\nu'_m)_m$ remains bounded. By (16), we see that

$$iv'_{m} = P_{m}^{*}\left(-\frac{1}{2}v_{mxx} - q\delta_{a}v_{m} - g(|v_{m}|^{2})v_{m} + g(|v_{m}(0,t)|^{2})v_{m}\right),$$

where P_m^* is the operator of $[\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$ in \mathcal{H}_m defined by $\forall \eta \in [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$, $\langle P_m^*\eta, \omega \rangle_{H^1(\Omega), [H^1(\Omega)]'} = \langle \eta, P_m \omega \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)}$. We see that P_m^* is a bounded operator on $[\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$. The operator $\partial_x^2 : \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}) \longrightarrow [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$ is continuous, then $(P_m^*(v_{mxx}))_m$ is a bounded sequence in $[\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$. On the other hand, the sequence $(\delta_a v_m)_m$ remains bounded in $[\mathbf{H}^{\frac{3}{4}}(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$ and the sequences $P_m^*(g(|v_m|^2)v_m)_m$ and $P_m^*(g(|v_m(0,t)|^2)v_m)_m$ remain bounded in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$. Hence, we see that $(v'_m)_m$ remains bounded in $\mathcal{C}_b([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]').$

3.2.3 *Estimate of* xv(t) *in* $L^2(\Omega)$

In order to pass to the limit in the nonlinear term, we require the inclusion of the approximated solution v_m in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; (1 + x^2) dx)$. The reason behind this necessity is the well-known compact injection: $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; (1 + x^2) dx)$ in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$. We have already proved the estimate of v_m in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ and so this section shows the estimate of v_m in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; (1 + x^2) dx)$.

We multiply (13) by $-ix^2\overline{\nu}$ and we integrate in Ω . By using integration by parts and by considering the real part, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|xv(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}e\left[ix^{2}v_{x}(x,t)\overline{v(x,t)}\right]_{-\infty}^{0}-\mathcal{R}e\left(i\int_{\Omega}xv_{x}\overline{v}\,dx\right).$$

Since $v \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$ we have $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}e[ix^2v_x(x,t)\overline{v(x,t)}]^0_{-\infty} = 0$. Then, by using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (32), we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \|xv(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &= -2 \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e} \left(i \int_{\Omega} x v_{x} \overline{v} \, dx \right) \\ &\leq 2 \|v_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|xv(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2K_{1} \|xv(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

By applying the Young inequality, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|xv(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\epsilon\left\|xv(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\leq K,$$

with $\epsilon > 0$. By applying the Gronwall lemma, for all $T \in [0, +\infty)$ we have

$$\forall t \in [0,T] \quad \left\| x \nu(t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le e^{\epsilon T} \| x u_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{K}{\epsilon} \left(e^{\epsilon T} - 1 \right).$$

Since u_0 is with compact support in Ω , we have $||xu_0||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K$.

Hence, there exists a constant K(T) > 0 such that

$$\forall t \in [0,T] \quad \left\| x \nu(t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K(T).$$

This gives the sequence

$$(\nu_m)_m$$
 remains bounded in $\mathcal{C}_b([0,T]; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; (1+x^2) dx)).$ (33)

3.3 Third step: passing to the limit

Since the sequence $(\nu_m)_m$ remains bounded in $C_b([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})), \text{ for } \forall T > 0, (\nu_m)_m \text{ is bounded in } \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))$. By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, we deduce that $(\nu_m)_m$ admits a subsequence still denoted $(\nu_m)_m$ such that

$$v_m \rightarrow v$$
 weakly \star in $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))$. (34)

By using (33) and since the embedding $H^1(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega; (1 + x^2) dx) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, we have

$$\forall t \in [0, T] \quad \nu_m(t) \longrightarrow \nu(t) \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{\Omega}). \tag{35}$$

On the other hand, the sequence $(\frac{dv_m}{dt})_m$ is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]')$. Then it admits a subsequence which converges weakly \star to $h \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]')$. We have $h = \frac{dv}{dt}$. Indeed, we have $\frac{dv_m}{dt} \longrightarrow h$ in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbf{\Omega})$. Otherwise, using (34) we obtain $\frac{dv_m}{dt} \longrightarrow \frac{dv}{dt}$ in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbf{\Omega})$. By the uniqueness of the limit in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbf{\Omega})$, we obtain $h = \frac{du}{dt}$. This implies that

$$\frac{dv_m}{dt} \rightharpoonup \frac{dv}{dt} \text{ weakly } \star \text{ in } \mathbf{L}^{\infty} (0, \mathbf{T}; [\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)]').$$
(36)

Now, we consider $\omega \in \mathcal{D}([0, T])$ such that $\omega(T) = 0$. We pass to the limit in each term in the equation

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\langle iv'_{m} + \frac{1}{2}v_{mxx} + q\delta_{a}v_{m} + \left[g\left(|v_{m}|^{2}\right) - g\left(\left|v_{m}(0,t)\right|^{2}\right)\right]v_{m}, \omega(t)\varphi_{k}\right\rangle dt = 0,$$
(37)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)}$.

Passing to the limit for the term $I_m = \int_0^T \langle iv'_m, \omega(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt$: By integration by parts with respect to time, we get

$$I_m = -\langle i\nu_m(0), \omega(0)\varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} - \int_0^T \langle i\nu_m, \omega'(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{H^1(\Omega), [H^1(\Omega)]'} dt.$$

By using (34), we obtain

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} I_m = -\langle iu_0, \omega(0)\varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} - \int_0^T \langle iv, \omega'(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{H^1(\Omega), [H^1(\Omega)]'} dt.$$
(38)

Passing to the limit for the term $J_m = \int_0^T \langle v_{mxx}, \omega(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt$: Applying the Green formula, we have

$$J_m = \int_0^T \partial_n v_m(0,t) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} \omega(t) dt - \int_0^T \langle v_{mx}, \omega(t) \varphi_{kx} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} dt.$$

By using (34) we have

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_0^T \langle v_{mx}, \omega(t) \varphi_{kx} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \langle v_x, \omega(t) \varphi_{kx} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} dt.$$

It remains to demonstrate

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_0^T \partial_n v_m(0, t) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} \omega(t) dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \partial_n v(0, t) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} \omega(t) dt.$$
(39)

Indeed,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \partial_{n} (v_{m}(0,t) - v(0,t)) \overline{\varphi_{k}(0)} \omega(t) dt$$

= $-\sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4} \overline{\varphi_{k}(0)} \int_{0}^{T} \partial_{t}^{1/2} (v_{m}(0,t) - v(0,t)) \omega(t) dt$
= $-\frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4} \overline{\varphi_{k}(0)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{T} \partial_{t} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{v_{m}(0,s) - v(0,s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \right) \omega(t) dt$
= $\frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4} \overline{\varphi_{k}(0)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{T} \omega'(t) \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{v_{m}(0,s) - v(0,s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \right) dt.$

By using (35) and by applying Lemma 4, we then obtain (39). This gives

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} J_m = \int_0^T \partial_n v(0, t) \overline{\varphi_k(0)} \omega(t) dt - \int_0^T \langle v_x, \omega(t) \varphi_{kx} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \langle v_{xx}, \omega(t) \varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt.$$
(40)

Passing to the limit for the term $L_m = \int_0^T \langle g(|v_m|^2)v_m, \omega(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt$: By using (34), (35) and (36), we have $v_m \longrightarrow v$ strongly in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{\Omega}))$. Let **K** be a compact of $\mathbf{\Omega}$, since $v_m g(|v_m|^2)$ belongs to a bounded set of $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{K}))$ we extract a subsequence of $(v_m)_m$ (noted again $(v_m)_m$) such that $v_m g(|v_m|^2) \longrightarrow w$ weakly \star in $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{K}))$. By using Lemma 5, we have $g(|v_m|^2)v_m \longrightarrow g(|v|^2)v$ strongly in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{\Omega}))$. Hence

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} L_m = \int_0^T \langle g(|\nu|^2) \nu, \omega(t) \varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt.$$
(41)

Passing to the limit for the term $K_m = \int_0^T g(|\nu_m(0,t)|^2) \langle \nu_m, \omega(t)\varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt$: We have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{T} \langle g(|\nu_{m}(0,t)|^{2})\nu_{m} - g(|\nu(0,t)|^{2})\nu,\omega(t)\varphi_{k} \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{T} \left[g(|\nu_{m}(0,t)|^{2}) - g(|\nu(0,t)|^{2}) \right] \langle \nu_{m},\omega(t)\varphi_{k} \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \langle \nu_{m} - \nu,g(|\nu(0,t)|^{2})\omega(t)\varphi_{k} \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt. \end{split}$$

Since g is continuous and by using Lemma 4, we have

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}g(|\nu_m(0,t)|^2)=g(|\nu(0,t)|^2).$$

By using the fact that $(v_m)_m$ is bounded in $\mathcal{C}_b([0, \mathbf{T}]; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))$, we get

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\int_0^T \left[g\left(\left|\nu_m(0,t)\right|^2\right) - g\left(\left|\nu(0,t)\right|^2\right)\right] \left\langle\nu_m,\omega(t)\varphi_k\right\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]',H^1(\Omega)} dt = 0.$$

Using the weak convergence \star of $(\nu_m)_m$ to ν in $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))$ and $g(|\nu(0, \cdot)|^2) \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T})$, we obtain

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\int_0^T \langle v_m-v,g(|v(0,t)|^2)\omega(t)\varphi_k\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]',H^1(\Omega)}\,dt=0.$$

This gives

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} K_m = \int_0^T \left\langle g\left(\left|\nu(0,t)\right|^2\right)\nu, \omega(t)\varphi_k \right\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt.$$
(42)

Passing to the limit for the term $D_m = \int_0^T \langle \delta_a v_m, \omega(t) \varphi_k \rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt$: For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\delta_a \in [\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$. Then the sequence $(\delta_a v_m(t))_m$ is bounded in $[\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$; in particular in $[\mathbf{H}^{\frac{3}{4}}(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \|\delta_{a}v_{m}\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)} = 1} |v_{m}(a)\varphi(a)| \\ &= |v_{m}(a)| \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)} = 1} |\varphi(a)| \\ &= |v_{m}(a)| \|\delta_{a}\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'}. \end{split}$$

By using Agmon's inequality, we have

$$\|\delta_{a}v_{m}\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} \leq \|\delta_{a}\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} \|v_{m}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|v_{mx}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \|\delta_{a}\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} \|v_{m}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}.$$
(43)

We use the Banach–Alaoglu theorem to obtain

$$\delta_a v_m \rightharpoonup \xi$$
 weakly \star in $\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, \mathbf{T}; [\mathbf{H}^{\frac{3}{4}}(\mathbf{\Omega})]')$.

Therefore $\forall \psi \in \mathbf{L}^1(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{H}^{3/4}(\mathbf{\Omega}))$ we have

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\int_0^T \langle \delta_a v_m,\psi\rangle_{[H^{3/4}(\Omega)]',H^{3/4}(\Omega)}\,dt = \int_0^T \langle \xi,\psi\rangle_{[H^{3/4}(\Omega)]',H^{3/4}(\Omega)}\,dt.$$

In particular $\forall \psi \in \mathbf{L}^1(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))$ we have

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\int_0^T \langle \delta_a v_m,\psi\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]',H^1(\Omega)}\,dt = \int_0^T \langle \xi,\psi\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]',H^1(\Omega)}\,dt.$$

We just justify that $\xi = \delta_a \nu$. Note that $\delta_a \nu \in [\mathbf{H}^{\frac{3}{4}}(\mathbf{\Omega})]'$. Using (43) we have

$$\|\delta_a v_m - \delta_a v\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} \le \|\delta_a\|_{[H^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)]'} \|v_m - v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|v_{mx} - v_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2}.$$

We know that $\nu_m \longrightarrow \nu$ strongly in $\mathcal{C}([0, \mathbf{T}]; \mathbf{L}^2_{loc}(\Omega))$ and $(\nu_m)_m$ is bounded in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, \mathbf{T}]; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))$. Then, for all **K** compact on Ω , we have

$$\delta_a \nu_m \longrightarrow \delta_a \nu$$
 strongly in $\mathcal{C}^0([0,\mathbf{T}]; [\mathbf{H}^{\frac{3}{4}}(\mathbf{K})]')$.

Otherwise, we have

$$\delta_a \nu_m \longrightarrow \xi \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0,\mathbf{T}) \times \mathbf{\Omega}).$$

By using the uniqueness of the limit in \mathcal{D}' , we get $\xi = \delta_a u$. This implies that

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} D_m = \int_0^T \left\langle \delta_a \nu, \omega(t) \varphi_k \right\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} dt.$$
(44)

Passing to the limit for equation (37): By using (37), (38), (40), (41), (42) and (44), we deduce that, for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$,

$$-\langle iu_{0},\omega(0)\varphi\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega),L^{2}(\Omega)} - \int_{0}^{T} \langle iv,\omega'(t)\varphi\rangle_{H^{1}(\Omega),[H^{1}(\Omega)]'} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \langle v_{xx},\omega(t)\varphi\rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt + q \int_{0}^{T} \langle \delta_{a}v,\omega(t)\varphi\rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \langle \left[g(|v|^{2}) - g(|v(0,t)|^{2})\right]v,\omega(t)\varphi\rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]',H^{1}(\Omega)} dt = 0.$$
(45)

For $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(0, \mathbf{T})$, we see that ν obeys

$$\int_0^T \left\langle i\nu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{xx} + q\delta_a \nu + \left[g(|\nu|^2) - g(|\nu(0,t)|^2)\right]\nu, \varphi \right\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]', H^1(\Omega)} \omega(t) \, dt = 0.$$

We just justify that for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$ we have

$$\left\langle i\nu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{xx} + q\delta_a\nu + \left[g(|\nu|^2) - g(|\nu(0,t)|^2)\right]\nu,\varphi\right\rangle_{[H^1(\Omega)]',H^1(\Omega)} = 0.$$
(46)

Then *v* satisfies the initial condition $v(0) = u_0$. We consider $\omega \in \mathcal{D}([0, T])$; $\omega(T) = 0$, and multiply equation (46) by $\omega(t)$. We integrate between 0 and *T* to obtain

$$-\langle i\nu(0), \omega(0)\varphi \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega), L^{2}(\Omega)} - \int_{0}^{T} \langle i\nu, \omega'(t)\varphi \rangle_{H^{1}(\Omega), [H^{1}(\Omega)]'} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \langle \nu_{xx}, \omega(t)\varphi \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]', H^{1}(\Omega)} dt + q \int_{0}^{T} \langle \delta_{a}\nu, \omega(t)\varphi \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]', H^{1}(\Omega)} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \langle [g(|\nu|^{2}) - g(|\nu(0, t)|^{2})]\nu, \omega(t)\varphi \rangle_{[H^{1}(\Omega)]', H^{1}(\Omega)} dt = 0.$$
(47)

Combining (45) and (47), we get

$$\forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \left\langle i u_0, \omega(0) \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)} = \left\langle i \nu(0), \omega(0) \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)}.$$

By choosing $\omega(0) = 1$, we deduce that $\nu(0) = u_0$. We then justify that

$$\nu \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]'))))$$

and this satisfies the problem (13).

3.4 Uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solutions

Let v(t) and $\hat{v}(t)$ be two solutions satisfying the problem (13) which follow, respectively, from the initial data u_0 and \hat{u}_0 . We set $w(t) = v(t) - \hat{v}(t)$ with the initial condition $w(0) = u_0 - \hat{u}_0$. Then we obtain

$$iw_{t} + \frac{1}{2}w_{xx} + q\delta_{a}w + g(|v|^{2})u - g(|\widehat{v}|^{2})\widehat{v} - g(|v(0,t)|^{2})u + g(|\widehat{v}(0,t)|^{2})\widehat{v} = 0,$$
(48)

with the boundary condition

$$\partial_n w(0,t) + \sqrt{2} e^{-i\pi/4} \partial_t^{1/2} (w(0,t)) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$
(49)

We multiply (48) by \overline{w} and we take the imaginary part, to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = -\mathcal{I}m(\partial_{n}w(0,t)\overline{w}(0,t)) - \mathcal{I}m\left(\int_{\Omega} (g(|\nu|^{2})\nu - g(|\widehat{\nu}|^{2})\widehat{\nu})\overline{w}\,dx\right) \\
+ \mathcal{I}m\left(\int_{\Omega} (g(|\nu(0,t)|^{2})u - g(|\widehat{\nu}(0,t)|^{2})\widehat{\nu})\overline{w}\,dx\right).$$
(50)

By using (49), we have

$$-\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\big(\partial_n w(0,t)\overline{w}(0,t)\big) = \mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\big(i\partial_n w(0,t)\overline{w}(0,t)\big)$$
$$= -\sqrt{2}\,\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\big(e^{i\pi/4}\overline{w}(0,t)\partial_t^{1/2}\big(w(0,t)\big)\big).$$

The other right terms of (50) are shown to be bounded by applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the fact that the injection of $H^1(\Omega)$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is continuous, and by using (3), we have

$$-\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(g\left(|\nu|^{2}\right)\nu - g\left(|\widehat{\nu}|^{2}\right)\widehat{\nu}\right)\overline{w}\,dx\right) \leq K \left\|w(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2},$$

and by applying Lemma 3, we have

$$\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(g\left(\left|\nu(0,t)\right|^{2}\right)u - g\left(\left|\widehat{\nu}(0,t)\right|^{2}\right)\widehat{\nu}\right)\overline{w}\,dx\right) \leq K \left\|w(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$

From the above inequalities, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq K \|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} - \sqrt{2} \operatorname{\mathcal{R}e}\left(e^{i\pi/4}\overline{w}(0,t)\partial_{t}^{1/2}(w(0,t))\right).$$

We apply Gronwall's lemma and Lemma 2, to obtain

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq e^{Kt} \|w(0)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq e^{Kt} \|u_{0} - \widehat{u}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$
(51)

Therefore the uniqueness of the solution of (13) follows immediately.

Finally, from Remark 3, there exists a unique function $u \in C^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega}))) \cap C^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]') \text{ solution of the NLS equation } (2)-(4)-(6) \text{ such that}$

$$u(x,t) = \exp\left(i\int_0^t g(|v(0,s)|)\,ds\right)v(x,t).$$

Moreover, the following proposition shows that the continuous dependence of the solutions of the NLS equation (2)-(4)-(6).

Proposition 1 The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \\ u_{0} &\mapsto u(t), \end{aligned}$$
 (52)

is continuous on bounded subsets of $H^1(\Omega)$ for the strong topology of $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof Let u(t) and $\hat{u}(t)$ be two solutions of NLS equation (2)–(4)–(6) which are issued, respectively, from the initial data u_0 and \hat{u}_0 . By using Remark 3, we have

$$u(t) - \widehat{u}(t) = \exp\left(i\int_0^t g(|v(0,s)|^2)\,ds\right)v(x,t) - \exp\left(i\int_0^t g(|\widehat{v}(0,s)|^2)\,ds\right)\widehat{v}(x,t).$$

Then we have

$$\left\|u(t)-\widehat{u}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \left\|v(t)-\widehat{v}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \left\|\widehat{v}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \int_{0}^{t} \left|g\left(\left|v(0,s)\right|^{2}\right)-g\left(\left|\widehat{v}(0,s)\right|^{2}\right)\right| ds.$$

$$\|u(t) - \widehat{u}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + K \|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \leq K \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$

where T > 0. Finally, by taking the supremum on the left side of the last inequality and by applying (51), we get

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left[\left\| u(t) - \widehat{u}(t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right] \leq K \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left[e^{\frac{K-\gamma}{4}t} \right] \left\| u_{0} - \widehat{u}_{0} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which gives the result.

Remark 4 The choice of the negative half-line Ω does not affect the well-posedness of the NLS equation. In fact, according to Remark 2, we deduce that if $\Omega =]0, +\infty[, a > 0 \text{ and } u_0]$ has a compact support in Ω , then the NLS equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} + q\delta_a u + g(|u|^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \partial_n u(0,t) + \sqrt{2}e^{-i\pi/4}e^{i\mathbb{V}(0,t)}\partial_t^{1/2}(e^{-i\mathbb{V}(0,t)}u(0,t)) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u \in C^0([0, +\infty[; \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty[; [\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})]')))$. Moreover, the map $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ is continuous on bounded subsets of $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$ for the strong topology of $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{\Omega})$.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with Dirac distribution in a half-line domain of \mathbb{R} . For this purpose, a non-standard boundary condition was considered in order to demonstrate the well-posedness of the solution. Then, by using the Galerkin method, we have shown that this equation can have a unique solution in $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$.

The remaining question is to investigate the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the Dirac distribution on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}^2 with non-standard boundary conditions. This is a delicate issue which needs future research.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for many valuable suggestions to improve this paper.

Funding

This work is supported by National Center for Scientific and Technical Research (CNRST) of Morocco (1003/009).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 25 July 2017 Accepted: 21 January 2018 Published online: 30 January 2018

References

- 1. Pitaevskii, L.P.: Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas. Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 451–454 (1961)
- 2. Gross, E.P.: Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. Nuovo Cimento 20, 454–477 (1961)
- 3. Holmer, J., Zworski, M.: Slow soliton interaction with delta impurities. J. Mod. Dyn. 1, 689-718 (2007)
- 4. Goodman, R.H., Holmes, P.J., Weinstein, M.I.: Strong NLS soliton-defect interactions. Physica D 192, 215-248 (2004)
- Fu, Y., Rădulescu, V.D., Zhang, B.: Hodge decomposition of variable exponent spaces of Clifford-valued functions and applications to Dirac and Stokes equations. Comput. Math. Appl. 70(4), 691–704 (2015)
- Goubet, O., Hamraoui, E.: Blow-up of solutions to cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations with defect: the radial case. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 6(2), 183–197 (2017)
- Ghidaglia, J.-M.: Finite dimensional behavior for weakly damped driven Schrödinger equations. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 5(4), 365–405 (1988)
- 8. Bahrouni, A., Ounaies, H., Rădulescu, V.D.: Infinitely many solutions for a class of sublinear Schrödinger equations with indefinite potentials. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 145(3), 445–465 (2015)
- Abounouh, M., Al Moatassime, H., Chrifi, A.: Existence of global attractor for one-dimensional weakly damped nonlinear Schrödinger equation with Dirac interaction and artificial boundary condition in half-line. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2017(1), 137 (2017)
- Claerbout, J.F.: Coarse grid calculation of waves in inhomogeneous media with application to delineation of complicated seismic structure. Geophysics 35(3), 407–418 (1970)
- Burgnies, L., Vanbésien, O., Lippens, D.: Transient analysis of ballistic transport in stublike quantum waveguides. Appl. Phys. Lett. 71, 803 (1997)
- 12. Levy, M.: Parabolic Equation Methods for Electromagnetic Wave Propagation. IEE Electromagnetic Waves Series, vol. 45. Institution of Electrical Engineers, London (2000)
- Schmidt, F., Deuflhard, P.: Discrete transparent boundary conditions for the numerical solution of Fresnel's equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 29(9), 53–76 (1995)
- 14. Tappert, F.D.: The Parabolic Approximation Method, pp. 224–287. Springer, Berlin (1977)
- Sulem, C., Sulem, P.-L.: The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 139. Springer, New York (1999)
- Malomed, B.A., Azbel, M.: Modulation instability of a wave scattered by a nonlinear center. Phys. Rev. B 47(16), 10402–10406 (1993)
- Cao, X.D., Malomed, B.A.: Soliton defect collisions in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Phys. Lett. A206, 177–182 (1995)
- Holmer, J., Marzuola, J., Zworski, M.: Fast soliton scattering by delta impurities. Commun. Math. Phys. 274(1), 187–216 (2007)
- Gazol, A., Passot, T., Sulem, P.L.: Coupling between nonlinear Alfvén waves and reduced magnetohydrodynamics for compressible fluids. Phys. Plasmas 6(8), 3114–3122 (1999)
- Holzleitner, M., Kostenko, A., Teschl, G.: Dispersion estimates for spherical Schrödinger equations: the effect of boundary conditions. Opusc. Math. 36(6), 769–786 (2016)
- 21. Colorado, E.: On the existence of bound and ground states for some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger–Korteweg–de Vries equations. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 6(4), 407–426 (2017)
- Bao, W.: The nonlinear Schrödinger equation and applications in Bose–Einstein condensation and plasma physics. In: Dynamics in Models of Coarsening, Coagulation, Condensation and Quantization. Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., vol. 9, pp. 141–239. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack (2007)
- 23. Cazenave, T.: Semilinear Schrödinger Equations. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2003)
- Glassey, R.T.: On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. J. Math. Phys. 18(9), 1794–1797 (1977)
- Antoine, X., Besse, C., Klein, P.: Absorbing boundary conditions for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an exterior repulsive potential. J. Comput. Phys. 228, 312–335 (2009)
- Antoine, X., Besse, C., Klein, P.: Absorbing boundary conditions for Schrödinger equations with general potentials and nonlinearities. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 33, 1008–1033 (2011)
- 27. Antoine, X., Arnold, A., Besse, C., Ehrhardt, M., Schädle, A.: A review of transparent and artificial boundary conditions techniques for linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Commun. Comput. Phys. 4, 729–796 (2008)
- 28. Idczak, D., Walczak, S.: On a linear-quadratic problem with Caputo derivative. Opusc. Math. 36(1), 49-68 (2016)
- Arnold, A.: Numerically absorbing boundary conditions for quantum evolution equations. VLSI Des. 4(1–4), 313–319 (1998)
- 30. Lions, J.-L.: Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problème aux Limites Non Linéaires. Dunod, Paris (1969)