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## Abstract

In this paper we consider the existence and regularity of solutions to the following nonlocal Dirichlet problems:

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta)^{s} u-\lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{2 s}}+u^{p}=f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u>0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $(-\Delta)^{s}$ is the fractional Laplacian operator, $s \in(0,1), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary such that $0 \in \Omega, f$ is a nonnegative function that belongs to a suitable Lebesgue space.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently, the fractional Laplacian has more and more applications in physics, chemistry, biology, probability and finance. The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{s}$ is a pseudo-differential operator defined by

$$
(-\Delta)^{s} u=a_{N, s} P . V \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d y, \quad s \in(0,1)
$$

where P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value and $a_{N, s}$ is a constant given by

$$
a_{N, s}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1-\cos x}{|x|^{N+2 s}}\right)^{-1}=2^{2 s-1} \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2 s}{2}\right)}{|\Gamma(-s)|} .
$$

For some equivalent definitions of $(-\Delta)^{s}$, see [1-5].
The operator $(-\Delta)^{s}$ is well defined as long as $u$ belongs to the space $C_{\text {loc }}^{1,1} \cap L_{s}$, where

$$
L_{s}=\left\{u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+|x|^{N+2 s}}<\infty\right\} .
$$

In this paper, we establish existence and regularity of solutions to the following nonlocal problem:

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta)^{s} u-\lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{2 s}}+u^{p}=f(x), & x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ u>0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $s \in(0,1), p>0, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary such that $0 \in \Omega, f$ is a positive measurable function in $\Omega$.

Before stating our main theorem and related results, we give some notions used in this paper.

Definition 1.1 Let $s \in(0,1), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, define the fractional Sobolev space

$$
H^{s}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega): \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{N+2 s}{2}}} \in L^{2}(\Omega \times \Omega)\right\}
$$

and the space $H_{0}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, defined as

$$
H_{0}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): u=0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash(\mathcal{C} \Omega \times \mathcal{C} \Omega)$.

The Hardy inequality plays an important role in this paper [6-8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{N, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} d x \leq \frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y, \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda_{N, s}=2^{2 s} \frac{\Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{N+2 s}{4}\right)}{\Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{N-2 s}{4}\right)}
$$

is optimal and not attained.
We need to make precise the sense of solutions that we will handle here and distinguish two types of solutions, according to the regularity of $f$.

Definition 1.2 Assume $0<\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s}$. For $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$ we say that $u \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$ is a finite energy solution to problem (1.1) if, for any $w \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$,

$$
\frac{a_{N, s}}{2}\left\langle(-\Delta)^{s} u, w\right\rangle-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u w}{|x|^{2 s}} d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{p} w d x=\langle f, w\rangle,
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the natural duality product between $H_{0}^{s}$ and $H^{-s}$, be defined as

$$
\left\langle(-\Delta)^{s} u, w\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}:=P . V \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(w(x)-w(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y .
$$

Definition 1.3 For $f \in L^{m}(\Omega), m \geq 1$, we say that $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to problem (1.1) if $u^{p} \in L^{1}(\Omega), u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$ and the following equality holds:

$$
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)^{s} \phi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{|x|^{2 s}} \phi d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{p} \phi d x=\int_{\Omega} f \phi d x, \quad \forall \phi \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) .
$$

Recently a great attention has been devoted to understanding the role of the Hardy potential in the solvability of fractional elliptic problem; see for instance [9-13] and the references therein. In particular, Abdellaoui et al. [12] obtained regularity of solutions to the following nonlocal nonlinear problem:

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta)^{s} u-\lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{s s}}=f(x), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u>0, & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ and $0<\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s}$, where $\Lambda_{N, s}$ appears in the Hardy inequality (1.2). The main results of [12] can be summarized as follows:

- If $f \in L^{m}(\Omega), m>\frac{N}{2 s}$, the unique energy solution $u \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$ to problem (1.3) with $\lambda \leq \Lambda_{N, s}$ satisfies $u \leq C|x|^{-\gamma}$ for some constants $C$ and $\gamma$.
- If $\frac{2 N}{N+2 s} \leq m<\frac{N}{2 s}$, the unique energy solution $u$ to problem (1.3) verifies $u \in L^{m_{s}^{* *}}(\Omega)$, $m_{s}^{* *}=\frac{m N}{N-2 m s}$, provided $\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s} \frac{4 N(m-1)(N-2 m s)}{m^{2}(N-2 m s)^{2}}$.
- If $1<m<\frac{2 N}{N+2 s}$, the unique weak solution $u$ to problem (1.3) verifies $u \in L^{m_{s}^{* *}}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{s_{1}, m_{s}^{*}}(\Omega)$ for all $s_{1}<s$ and $m_{s}^{*}=\frac{m N}{N-m s}$, provided $\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s} \frac{4 N(m-1)(N-2 m s)}{m^{2}(N-2 m s)^{2}}$.
The main objective of this work is to explain the combined influence of the Hardy potential and lower order terms on the existence and regularity of solutions to problem (1.1). The influence of the Hardy potential for fractional Laplacian was studied in [12], the main effect of the Hardy potential in (1.3) is that the weak solutions to problem (1.3) satisfy $u(x) \geq C|x|^{-\gamma}$ for some constants $C$ and $\gamma$, this fact shows that $u(x)$ is unbounded in a neighborhood of the origin, instead of $u(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, it is well known that the lower order term $u^{p}$ produces a regularizing effect; see [14-17] and the references therein. Therefore, thanks to the regularizing properties of the lower order term, we will prove that summability of finite energy the solution to problem (1.1) increases as the power of the lower order term increases; see (1.4) below.
According to such a definition, we can now state our existence results for problem (1.1).

Theorem 1.4 Assume $\lambda \leq \Lambda_{N, s}$. Then, for any $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq m \leq 1+\frac{1}{p}$, problem (1.1) has a weak solution. More precisely, $u \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega)$.

In the case where $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>1+\frac{1}{p}$, we will prove the following existence result.
Theorem 1.5 Let $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>1+\frac{1}{p}$, and

$$
\lambda \leq \Lambda_{N, s} \frac{4 p(m-1)}{(p(m-1)+1)^{2}} .
$$

Then there exists a finite energy solution $u$ to problem (1.1) that verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{m s, p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m_{s, p}=\frac{N(p(m-1)+1)}{N-2 s}
$$

Remark 1.6 Obviously,

$$
\frac{N(p(m-1)+1)}{N-2 s} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Thus the summability of the solution to problem (1.1) increases as $p$ increases.

Remark 1.7 When $s=1$, the above theorem was proved by Adimurthi et al. [18].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we collect some useful tools, such as Sobolev's imbedding theorem and a certain algebraic inequality. Furthermore, we also obtain a prior estimate of the absorption term $u^{p}$ by analyzing the associated approximating problems. The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 will be given in Sect. 3 .

## 2 Useful tools and preliminaries

In this paper, we will use the classical truncating method. Given $u$ a measurable function we consider the $k$-truncation of $u$ defined by

$$
T_{k}(u)= \begin{cases}u, & |u| \leq k \\ k \frac{u}{|u|}, & |u|>k\end{cases}
$$

The remainder of the truncation $T_{k}(u)$ is defined as $G_{k}(u)=u-T_{k}(u)$.
We will also need the classical Sobolev theorem; for an elementary proof of this inequality, see [1].

Lemma 2.1 Let $s \in(0,1)$ and $N>2 s$. There exists a constant $C(N, s)$ such that for any measurable and compactly supported function $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2 s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C(N, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2_{s}^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2 s}$ is called the Sobolev critical exponent.
The next algebraic inequality will be used in our article.
Lemma 2.2 Let $s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 0$ and $a>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\left(s_{1}^{a}-s_{2}^{a}\right) \geq \frac{4 a}{(a+1)^{2}}\left(s_{1}^{\frac{a+1}{2}}-s_{2}^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The complete proof is given in [12], for the reader's convenience, we include here a sketch of the proof.

If $s_{1}=0$ or $s_{2}=0$, This conclusion is obvious. We can assume $s_{1}>s_{2}>0$, let $x:=s_{2} / s_{1}$, then (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x)\left(1-x^{a}\right) \geq \frac{4 a}{(a+1)^{2}}\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)^{2}, \quad x \in(0,1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
h(x):=(1-x)\left(1-x^{a}\right)(a+1)^{2}-4 a\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Rewrite $h$ as

$$
h(x):=(a-1)^{2}\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)^{2}-(a+1)^{2}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}-x^{\frac{a}{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

For $a>1$, we claim that

$$
(a-1)\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right) \geq(a+1)\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}-x^{\frac{a}{2}}\right)
$$

Define

$$
h_{1}(x):=(a-1)\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)-(a+1)\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}-x^{\frac{a}{2}}\right) .
$$

Clearly,

$$
h_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{a+1}{2}\left(-(a-1) x^{\frac{a-1}{2}}-x^{\frac{1}{2}}+a x^{\frac{a-2}{2}}\right) .
$$

Thus $h_{1}^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$, here the following Young inequality will be used:

$$
x^{\frac{a}{2}-1} \leq \frac{a-1}{a} x^{\frac{a-1}{2}}+\frac{1}{a} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Therefore $h_{1}(x) \geq h_{1}(1)=0$, which shows that (2.3) holds.
For $a<1$. Firstly we show that

$$
(1-a)\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right) \geq(a+1)\left(x^{\frac{a}{2}}-x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

In order to do this, define

$$
h_{2}(x):=(1-a)\left(1-x^{\frac{a+1}{2}}\right)-(a+1)\left(x^{\frac{a}{2}}-x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

By Young's inequality, we obtain $h_{2}^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ and hence $h_{2}(x) \geq h_{1}(1)=0$, which shows that (2.3) holds again. Therefore, (2.2) holds.

Now we consider the following approximation problems:

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta)^{s} u_{n}-\lambda \frac{u_{n}}{|x|^{2 s}+\frac{1}{n}}+u_{n}^{p}=f_{n}(x), & x \in \Omega  \tag{2.4}\\ u_{n}>0, & x \in \Omega \\ u_{n}=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{n}(x)=\frac{f(x)}{1+\frac{1}{n}}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $f \in L^{m}(\Omega), m \geq 1$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a solution $u_{n} \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$ to problem (2.4) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p m} \leq \int_{\Omega} f^{m} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof To show estimate (2.5), we will consider the case $m>1$ and $m=1$ separately. Case $m>1$. Choose $\phi=u_{n}^{p(m-1)}$ as a test function in (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(u_{n}^{p(m-1)}(x)-u_{n}^{p(m-1)}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p m} \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{p(m-1)}+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{p(m-1)+1}}{|x|^{2 s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (1.2) and (2.2) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2}\left(\frac{4 p(m-1)}{(p(m-1)+1)^{2}}-\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{N, s}}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{\frac{p(m-1)+1}{2}}(x)-u_{n}^{\frac{p(m-1)+1}{2}}(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p m} \leq \int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{p(m-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p m} \leq \int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{p(m-1)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided

$$
\lambda \leq \frac{4 p(m-1) \Lambda_{N, s}}{(p(m-1)+1)^{2}} .
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality on the right-hand-side of (2.6), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{p(m-1)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m^{\prime}}},
$$

where $m^{\prime}=\frac{m}{m-1}$, which together with (2.6), implies that (2.5) holds.
Case $m=1$. Using $\frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k}$ as a test function in (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2 k} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{k} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k}=\int_{\Omega} f_{n} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \sigma=T_{k}(\sigma)+G_{k}(\sigma)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)^{2}+\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)\left(G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-G_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4 in [19], we know that

$$
\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)\left(G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-G_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right) \geq 0,
$$

and using Hardy's inequality (1.2), we get

$$
\frac{a_{N, s}}{2 k}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{N, s}}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k} \leq \int_{\Omega} f_{n} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k} .
$$

Since $\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s}$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k} \leq \int_{\Omega} f \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{k} \leq \int_{\Omega} f .
$$

Fatou's lemma implies, for $k \rightarrow \infty$, that estimate (2.5) holds.

## 3 Proof of main results

Let us begin with the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Set $f_{n}=\frac{f}{1+\frac{1}{n}}$, obviously, $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\phi=T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \\
& \quad-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}+\frac{1}{n}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega} f_{n} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma=T_{k}(\sigma)+G_{k}(\sigma)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)\left(G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4 in [19], we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)\left(G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-G_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right) \geq 0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (3.1)-(3.2) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq k \int_{\Omega}|f|+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)+G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{T_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using $G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|G_{k} u_{n}(x)-G_{k} u_{n}(x)\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} f G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $u_{n} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)=G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)+T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$, thus this fact combined with (3.6), implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|G_{k} u_{n}(x)-G_{k} u_{n}(x)\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leq k \int_{\Omega} f_{n}+k \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Young inequality on the right-hand-side of (3.7), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{2 s}} .
$$

Taking into account that $\lambda<\Lambda_{N, s}$, by the Hardy inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|G_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-G_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \leq C\left(f, k, \lambda, \Lambda_{N, s}\right) .
$$

Therefore $\left\{G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{R}}$ is uniformly bounded in $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$, it implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} \leq C\left(f, k, \lambda, \Lambda_{N, s}\right) .
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)+G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{T_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{G_{k}^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}}+2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{2 s}} \\
& \leq C\left(f, k, \lambda, \Lambda_{N, s}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting together (3.4)-(3.5) and (3.8), it follows that

$$
\frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-T_{k}\left(u_{n}(y)\right)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} T_{k}^{p+1}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C\left(f, k, \lambda, \Lambda_{N, s}\right) .
$$

We deduce that $T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega)$. Then we pass to the limit in the approximation problem (2.4); up to a subsequence, there exists a function $u \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega)$.

Now we want to prove that $u_{n}^{p} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. Let $\psi_{i}(\sigma)$ be defined by

$$
\psi_{i}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}1, & \sigma \geq t  \tag{3.9}\\ 0, & |\sigma|<t \\ -1, & \sigma \leq-t\end{cases}
$$

Choosing $\phi=\psi_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in (2.4), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} \psi_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} f \psi_{i}\left(u_{n}\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>t\right\} \cap \Omega} u_{n}^{p} \leq \int_{\left\{u_{n}>t\right\} \cap \Omega} f .
$$

Let $E$ is any measurable subset of $\Omega$. For any $t>0$ we have

$$
\int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq t^{p}|E|+\int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n}>t\right\}} u_{n}^{p} \leq t^{p}|E|+\int_{\left\{u_{n}>t\right\}}|f| .
$$

The above fact and $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ allow us to say that, for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>t_{\varepsilon}\right\}}|f| \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq t_{\varepsilon}^{p}|E|+\varepsilon .
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Thus we prove that $\lim _{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_{E} u_{n}^{p}=0$. Vitali's theorem implies that $u_{n}^{p} \rightarrow u^{p}$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ i.e.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p}=\int_{\Omega} u^{p} .
$$

Let us show Theorem 1.5, that is, the existence of solution to problem (1.1) in the case where $f \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ with $m>\frac{p+1}{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 Define $\beta=p(m-1)$, that satisfies $p+\beta=\beta m^{\prime}$. Using $\phi=u_{n}^{\beta}$ as a test function in (2.4), we have

$$
\frac{a_{N, s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(u_{n}^{\beta}(x)-u_{n}^{\beta}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p+\beta}=\int_{\Omega} f_{n} u_{n}^{\beta}+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{\beta+1}}{|x|^{2 s}} .
$$

Now, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right)\left(u_{n}^{\beta}(x)-u_{n}^{\beta}(y)\right) \geq \frac{4 \beta}{(\beta+1)^{2}}\left(u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(x)-u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(y)\right)^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Hardy's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}^{\beta+1}}{|x|^{2 s}}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2 s}} \leq \frac{a_{N, s}}{2 \Lambda_{N, s}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(x)-u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{N, s}}{2}\left(\frac{4 \beta}{(\beta+1)^{2}}-\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{N, s}}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(x)-u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}}+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p+\beta} \\
& \quad \leq\|f\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\beta m^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m^{\prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this choice of $\beta$, by Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(x)-u_{n}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we arrive at

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\frac{(\beta+1) 2_{s}^{*}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_{s}^{*}}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)} .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\frac{(\beta+1) 2_{s}^{*}}{2}=\frac{N(p(m-1)+1)}{N-2 s}=m_{s, p} .
$$

As a consequence there exists a function $u \in L^{\frac{(\beta+1) 2_{s}^{*}}{2}}(\Omega)$.
Finally, we want to prove that $u_{n}^{p} \rightarrow u^{p}$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. Using (3.10) as a test function in (2.4), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p} \psi_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} f \psi_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

For any $t>0$ and $E \subset \Omega$ is measurable. we get

$$
\int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq t^{p}|E|+\int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n}>t\right\}} u_{n}^{p} \leq t^{p}|E|+\int_{\left\{u_{n}>t\right\}}|f| .
$$

There exists $t_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq t_{\varepsilon}^{p}|E|+\varepsilon .
$$

We see that $|E| \rightarrow 0$ implies

$$
\int_{E} u_{n}^{p} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

i.e., the sequence $u_{n}^{p}$ is equiintegrable. Consequently

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{p}=\int_{\Omega} u^{p} .
$$

Thus we have proved the existence result.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we main study the regularizing effect of a nonlinear term $u^{p}$, and the influence of the Hardy potential on the existence of solutions to fractional Laplacian equations. Specifically, the positive effect of the nonlinear term $u^{p}$ is shown.
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