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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of affine-periodic solutions of nonlinear impulsive differential equations. The affine-periodic solutions have the form $x(t+T)=Q x(t)$ with some nonsingular matrix $Q$. We give a theorem on the existence of the affine-periodic solutions, respectively, depending on wether $\operatorname{det}(I-Q)(I=$ identity matrix) is equal to 0 or not.
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## 1 Introduction

The periodicity is a very important property in the study of the impulsive differential equations [1, 2]. However, not all natural phenomena can be described alone by periodicity. Some differential equations often exhibit certain symmetries rather than periodicity. For example, consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=f(t, x), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: R^{1} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is continuous, and for some $Q \in G L_{n}(R)$ (general linear group), satisfies the following affine symmetry:

$$
f(t+T, x)=Q f\left(t, Q^{-1} x\right) .
$$

We call it a $(Q, T)$-affine-periodic system. For this $(Q, T)$-affine-periodic system, we are concerned with the existence of $(Q, T)$-affine-periodic solutions $x(t)$ with

$$
x(t+T)=Q x(t), \quad \forall t .
$$

It should be pointed out that when $Q=I$ (identity matrix) or $Q=-I$, the solutions are just the pure periodic solutions or antiperiodic ones; when $Q \in S O_{n}$ (special orthogonal group), the solutions correspond to the solutions with Q-rotating symmetry, particularly to some special quasi-periodic solutions. So the interest to particular kinds of periodic solutions that we are going to study is not purely theoretical. The antiperiodicity property or some quasi-periodicity property, which is obviously a particular case of affine-periodic solutions, has drawn wide attention from physicists and astronomers [3, 4].

Recently, these conceptions and existence results of the solutions have been introduced and proved by Li and his coauthors; see [5] for Levinson's problem, [6] for Lyapunov function type theorems, [7] for averaging methods of affine-periodic solutions, and [8] for some dissipative dynamical systems. The aim of this paper is to touch such a topic for affine-periodic solutions of nonlinear impulsive differential equations.
The paper is organized as follows. We first change the affine-periodic solutions problem to the boundary value problem in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, when $\operatorname{det}(I-Q) \neq 0$, we give an unique affine-periodic solution by using the Banach contraction mapping principle. Furthermore, via the topological degree theory, we prove the existence of affine-periodic solutions for nonlinear impulsive system when $\operatorname{det}(I-Q)=0$ in Sect. 4. We give two examples by numerical simulation in Sect. 5 .

## 2 Nonlinear impulsive differential system

In this paper, we investigate the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=f(t, x), \quad t \neq t_{k}, t \in R,  \tag{2}\\
& \Delta x=I_{k}(x), \quad t=t_{k}, k \in Z .
\end{align*}
$$

The system satisfies the following hypotheses $\mathbf{H}$ :
(1) $f(\cdot) \in C\left(R \times R^{n}, R^{n}\right)$ and $f(t+T, x)=Q f\left(t, Q^{-1} x\right)$ for some $G \in S O_{n}(R)$.
(2) $I_{k}(\cdot) \in C\left(R^{n}, R^{n}\right), t_{k}<t_{k+1}(k \in Z)$.
(3) There exists $q \in N$ such that $I_{k+q}(x)=Q I_{k}\left(Q^{-1} x\right)$ and $t_{k+q}=t_{k}+T(k \in Z)$.

In system (2), the continuous part corresponds to a nonlinear ( $Q, T$ )-affine-periodic system. The discrete component models the affine-periodic impulsive change of $x(t)$.

Lemma 2.1 The existence of $Q$-affine-periodic solutions of equation (2) is equivalent to the existence of the boundary value problem (2) with $x(T)=Q x(0)$.

Proof Let $x(t)$ be a solution of equation (2) defined on $t \in[0, T]$. Then

$$
u(t)= \begin{cases}x(t), & t \in(0, T]  \tag{3}\\ Q^{j} x(t-j T), & t \in(j T, j T+T]\end{cases}
$$

is a Q-affine-periodic solution of (2). Indeed, if $t \in(j T, j T+T]$ and $t \neq t_{k}$, then $t-j T \in$ ( $0, T$ ], and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t} & =Q^{j} \frac{d x(t-j T)}{d t} \\
& =Q^{j} f(t-j T, x(t-j T)) \\
& =Q^{j} \cdot Q^{-j} f\left(t, Q^{j} x(t-j T)\right) \\
& =f(t, u(t)) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $t_{k} \in(j T, j T+T]$, then $t_{k-j q}=t_{k}-j T \in(0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u\left(t_{k}\right) & =Q^{j} \Delta x\left(t_{k}-j T\right) \\
& =Q^{j} I_{k-j q}\left(x\left(t_{k}-j T\right)\right) \\
& =Q^{j} \cdot Q^{-j} I_{k}\left(Q^{j} x\left(t_{k}-j T\right)\right) \\
& =I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x(t)$ be any solution of (2) with $x(T)=Q x(0)$. Then $x(t)$ has the form

$$
x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Denote $x(0)$ by $x_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-Q) x_{0}=-\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Noncritial case

$$
\operatorname{det}(I-Q) \neq 0
$$

In this case, $(I-Q)^{-1}$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=-(I-Q)^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the existence of Q -affine-periodic solutions of equation (2) is equivalent to the existence of solutions of the following impulsive integral equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & -(I-Q)^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathrm{X}=\left\{x:[0, T] \rightarrow R^{n}: x(t) \text { is continuous on }[0, T]\right\},
$$

and define the norm $\|x\|=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}|x(t)|$. It is easy to see that X is a Banach space with norm $\|x\|$. We also define the norm of the matrix $\|X(t)\|=\left\|\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)\right\|=$ $\max _{i=1,2, \ldots, n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let a function $p \in L\left([0, T], R^{+}\right)$and nonnegative constants $\alpha_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, q)$ be such that

$$
|f(t, y)-f(t, x)| \leq p(t)|y-x|, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], x, y \in R^{n}
$$

$$
\left|I_{k}(y)-I_{k}(x)\right| \leq a_{k}|y-x|, \quad \alpha_{k} \in R(k=1,2, \ldots, q), x, y \in R^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{\left\|(I-Q)^{-1}\right\|+1} .
$$

Then system (2) has an unique Q-affine-periodic solution.
Proof Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x(t))= & -(I-Q)^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
&|A(y(t))-A(x(t))| \\
&= \mid-(I-Q)^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(f(s, y(s))-f(s, x(s))) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}\left(I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)-I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right]\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{t}(f(s, y(s))-f(s, x(s))) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t}\left(I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) \mid \\
& \leq\left\|(I-Q)^{-1}\right\|\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k}\right)|y-x| \\
&+\left(\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k}\right)|y-x| \\
& \leq\left(\left\|(I-Q)^{-1}\right\|+1\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k}\right)|y-x| . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

So, if $\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{\left\|(I-Q)^{-1}\right\|+1}$, then by the Banach contraction mapping principle system (2) has a unique Q -affine-periodic solution.

## 4 Critial case

$$
\operatorname{det}(I-Q)=0 .
$$

To investigate the existence of solutions of system (2), the following auxiliary equation is often considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=\lambda f(t, x), \quad t \neq t_{k}, t \in R,  \tag{10}\\
& \Delta x=\lambda I_{k}(x), \quad t=t_{k}, k \in Z .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we give the following existence theorem for ( $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{T}$ )-affine-periodic solutions by using the topological degree theory $[6,7,9-11]$.

Theorem 4.1 Let $D \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded open set. Assume that the following hypotheses hold for system (10):
(H1) For each $\lambda \in(0,1]$, every Q-affine-periodic solution $x(t)$ of system (10) satisfies

$$
x(t) \notin \partial D \quad \text { for all } t ;
$$

(H2) the Brouwer degree,

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g, D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q), 0) \neq 0 \quad \text { if } \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
g(a)=\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, a) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right],
$$

with an orthogonal projection $P: R^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)$.
Then system (2) has at least one $Q$-affine-periodic solution $x_{*}(t) \in D$ for all $t$.

Proof Consider the auxiliary equation (10) with the boundary value condition $x(T)=$ $Q x(t)$, where $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Let $x(t)$ be any solution of $(10)$ with $x(T)=Q x(0)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (I-Q) x_{0} \\
& \quad=-\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, $(I-Q)^{-1}$ does not exist. By coordinate transformation, without loss of generality, we can just let

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0  \tag{12}\\
0 & Q_{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\left(I-Q_{1}\right)^{-1}$ exists. Here $Q=Q_{1} \oplus I$.
Let $P: R^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)$ be the orthogonal projection. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(I-Q) x_{0}= & (I-Q)\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+x_{\perp}^{0}\right) \\
= & -\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & -\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& -\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right], \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{\text {ker }}^{0} \in \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q), x_{\perp}^{0} \in \operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$ and $x_{0}=x_{\text {ker }}^{0}+x_{\perp}^{0}$.

Let $L_{p}=\left.(I-Q)\right|_{\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)}$. It is easy to see that $L_{p}^{-1}$ exists. Thus equation (13) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (I-Q) x_{\text {ker }}^{0}=-\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]=0, \\
& (I-Q) x_{\perp}^{0}=-\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
x_{\perp}^{0}=\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

For $x \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $x(t) \in \bar{D}$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, we define the operator $\mathrm{T}\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right)$ by

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{14}\\
x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\lambda \in[0,1]$. We claim that each fixed point $x$ of T in X is a solution of $(10)$ with $x(T)=$ Qx(0).
In fact, if $x$ is a fixed point of $T$, we have

$$
\binom{x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}}{x(t)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]=0,  \tag{15}\\
& x(t)= \\
& \quad x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{16}\\
& \quad+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By equation (16) we know that

$$
x_{0}=x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

According to $(I-Q) x_{\text {ker }}^{0}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q x_{0} & =Q x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda Q L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda Q L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since equation (15) holds, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(I-Q) L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
&= {\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] } \\
&= {\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] } \\
&+\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
&= \int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda Q L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
Q x_{0}= & x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda Q L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]=x(T) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

By equations (16) and (17), equation (11) holds. Thus,

$$
x_{\perp}^{0}=-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+x_{\perp}^{0}+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & x_{0}+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the fixed point $x$ is a solution of (10) with $x(T)=Q x(0)$.
Now, we need to prove the existence of the fixed point of $T$. Take a constant $M$ such that $M>\sup _{t \in[0, T], x \in \bar{D}}|f(t, x)|$, and let

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\lambda}=\left\{x \in \mathrm{X}:\left|\frac{x(t)-x(r)}{t-r}\right| \leq \lambda M \text { for all } t, r \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], t \neq r\right\} .
$$

Then, it is easy to make a retraction $\alpha_{\lambda}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\lambda}$.
Define an operator $\widehat{\mathrm{T}}\left(x_{\text {ker }}^{0}, x, \lambda\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{\mathrm{T}}\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x_{\text {ker }}^{0}-\lambda L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
+\lambda\left[\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]
\end{array}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $P: R^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)$, it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \in \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)
$$

Also,

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \in \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)
$$

Let us consider the homotopy

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right)=\widehat{T}\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right),  \tag{19}\\
& \left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, x, \lambda\right) \in(D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q) \times \widetilde{D} \times[0,1]), \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{D}=\{x \in X: x(t) \in D$ for all $t \in[0, T]\}$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \notin(i d-H)(\partial((D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q) \times \widetilde{D}) \times[0,1]) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists $\left(\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, \widehat{x}, \widehat{\lambda}\right) \in \partial((D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q) \times \widetilde{D}) \times[0,1]$ such that $(i d-H)\left(\widehat{x}_{\text {ker }}^{0}, \widehat{x}, \widehat{\lambda}\right)=0$. Since $\widehat{x}_{\text {ker }}^{0} \in \partial D$ is contradictory to $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and since $\partial(D \cap$ $\operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)) \subset \partial D$, we have that $\widehat{x}_{\text {ker }}^{0} \notin \partial(D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q))$. In other words, $\widehat{x} \in \partial D$. Then (21) can be proved as follows.
(i) When $\widehat{\lambda}=0$, by the definition of the set $X_{\lambda}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{X}_{0}=\left\{x \in X:\left|\frac{x(t)-x(r)}{t-r}\right| \leq 0 \text { for all } t, r \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], t \neq r\right\} .
$$

Hence $\alpha_{0} \circ x(t) \equiv \alpha_{0} \circ x\left(t_{k+1}\right)$ for all $t \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$. Since $(i d-H)\left(\widehat{x}_{\text {ker }}^{0}, \widehat{x}, 0\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}}{\widehat{x}(t)}=\binom{\hat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]}{\alpha_{0} \circ \widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $\widehat{x}(t) \equiv \widehat{x}(0)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Taking $\widehat{x}(t)=p$, we have $\alpha_{0} \circ \widehat{x}_{\text {ker }}^{0}=\widehat{x}(t)=p$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\lambda} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\lambda} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

and this is equivalent to $g(p)=0$ by the definition of $g(a)$. Notice that $\widehat{x} \in \partial \widetilde{D}$ and $\widetilde{D}=\{x \in$ $D$ for all $t \in[0, T]\}$. Then there exists $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that $\widehat{x(t)_{0}} \in \partial D$. Since $\widehat{x}(t) \equiv p$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, we obtain that $p \in \partial D$. Thus, we have $p \in \partial D$ and $g(p)=0$. It is contradictory to $\left(H_{2}\right)$ because the Brouwer degree $\operatorname{deg}(g, D, 0) \neq 0$.
(ii) When $\widehat{\lambda} \in(0,1]$, as $0=(i d-H)\left(\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, \widehat{x}, \widehat{\lambda}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}}{\widehat{x}(t)} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}+\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\widehat{\lambda} L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
+\widehat{\lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} P f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T} P I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{x}(t)= & \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}-\widehat{\lambda} L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\widehat{\lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x(s)\right) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{x(t)-x(r)}{t-r}\right| \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{|t-r|}\left|\widehat{\lambda} \int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ \widehat{x}(s)\right) d s-\widehat{\lambda} \int_{0}^{r} f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ \widehat{x}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{|t-r|}\left|\widehat{\lambda} \int_{r}^{t} f\left(s, \alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ \widehat{x}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \quad \leq \lambda M .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $X_{\lambda}$ we obtain $\widehat{x} \in X_{\widehat{\lambda}}$, which means that $\alpha_{\widehat{\lambda}} \circ \widehat{x}=\widehat{x}$. Now we can rewrite equation (23) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{x}(t)= & x_{\text {ker }}^{0}-\widehat{\lambda} L_{p}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(I-P) f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<T}(I-P) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\widehat{\lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s+\sum_{0 \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar discussion of equation (16) we can prove that $\widehat{x}(t)$ is a solution of equation (10). By hypothesis $\left(H_{1}\right)$ we know that $\widehat{x}(t) \notin \partial \widetilde{D}$ for any $t \in[0, T]$. This is a contradiction to $\widehat{x} \in \partial \widetilde{D}$.

By (i) and (ii) we obtain that

$$
0 \notin(i d-H)(\partial((D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)) \times \widetilde{D}) \times[0,1])
$$

Therefore, by the homotopy invariance and the theory of Brouwer degree we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(i d-H\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0} \cdot, 1\right),(D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)) \times \widetilde{D}, 0\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{deg}\left(i d-H\left(x_{\mathrm{ker}}^{0}, \cdot, 0\right),(D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q)) \times \widetilde{D}, 0\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{deg}(g, D \cap \operatorname{Ker}(I-Q), 0) \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that there exists $\widehat{x}_{*} \in \widetilde{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\widehat{x}_{* \mathrm{ker}}^{0}}{\widehat{x}_{*}(t)}=\widehat{T}\left(\widehat{x}_{* \mathrm{ker}}^{0}, \widehat{x}_{*}(t), 1\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the proof in (ii), we get $\widehat{\mathcal{x}}_{*} \in \mathrm{X}_{\lambda}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}\left(\widehat{x}_{* \mathrm{ker}}^{0}, \widehat{x}_{*}(t), 1\right)=T\left(\widehat{x}_{* \mathrm{ker}}^{0} \widehat{x}_{*}(t), 1\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equations (24) and (25) we obtain that $\widehat{x}_{*}$ is a fixed point of $T$ in $X$. Thus, $\widehat{x}_{*}$ is a solution of system (2) with boundary value condition $x(T)=Q x(0)$.

## 5 Numerical simulation

Example 1 Consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=-|x|^{2} x+(\sin \pi t, \cos \pi t)^{T}, \quad t \neq N, \\
& \Delta x=\left(\frac{1}{e}-1\right) x, \quad t=N . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In this example, $Q=-I$. System (26) has an antiperiodic solution (see Fig. 1).

Example 2 Consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=-|x|^{2} x+(\sin t, \cos t, 1)^{T}, \quad t \neq N, \\
& \Delta x=\left(\frac{1}{e}-1\right) x, \quad t=N . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1 The antiperiodic solution of system (26). The green line is the trajectory of $x(t)$ for $t \in(0,1]$, the red line corresponds to the trajectory of $Q x(t)$ for $t \in(0,1]$


Figure 2 The $(Q, T)$-affine-periodic solution of system (27). The black line is the trajectory of $x(t)$ for $t \in(0,1]$, the red line corresponds to the trajectory of $Q x(t)$ for $t \in(0,1]$, and the green line corresponds to the trajectory of $Q^{2} x(t)$ for $t \in(0,1]$. It is easy to see that $x(t)$ is a quasi-periodic solution of system (27)

Set

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos (2 \pi-1) & -\sin (2 \pi-1) & 0 \\
\sin (2 \pi-1) & \cos (2 \pi-1) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly to Example 1, system (26) has a ( $Q, 1$ )-affine-periodic solution., which is a quasi-periodic solution (see Fig. 2).
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