

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Positive periodic solution for prescribed mean curvature generalized Liénard equation with a singularity

Yun Xin<sup>1</sup> and Zhibo Cheng<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
[czbo@hpu.edu.cn](mailto:czbo@hpu.edu.cn)

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and  
Information Science, Henan  
Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo,  
China

Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence of a positive periodic solution for a prescribed mean curvature generalized Liénard equation with a singularity (weak and strong singularities of attractive type, or weak and strong singularities of repulsive type). Our proof is based on an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem.

**MSC:** 34B16; 34B18; 34C25

**Keywords:** Positive periodic solution; Prescribed mean curvature; Weak and strong; Attractive and repulsive; Liénard equation

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following  $p$ -Laplacian prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' + f(t, u(t))u'(t) + g(u(t)) = e(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p$  is a positive constant, and  $p > 1$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^2$ -Carathéodory function and  $f(t + T, \cdot) \equiv f(t, \cdot)$ ,  $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the continuous function and has a singularity at the origin,  $e \in L^\sigma(\mathbb{R})$  is  $T$ -periodic function and  $1 \leq \sigma < \infty$ ,  $T$  is a positive constant.

During the past 30 years, the problem of existence of positive periodic solutions to Liénard equations with singularity was extensively studied by many researchers [1–9]. In [9], Zhang discussed the existence of a positive periodic solution to equation (1.1), where  $\frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} = u'(t)$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $f(t, u) = f(u)$  and  $e(t) \equiv 0$ ,  $g$  satisfies a semilinear condition and has a strong singularity of repulsive type, i.e.,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g(u) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 g(v) dv = +\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

After that, Yu and Lu [7] improved the results of [9], showing in their Theorem 2.1 (see [7]) that  $g$  may possess weak and strong singularities. Zhang and Yu's proof was based on coincidence degree theory.

Compared with Liénard equations, only a few works focus on prescribed mean curvature Liénard equations, especially  $p$ -Laplacian prescribed mean curvature Liénard equations. As far as we know, prescribed mean curvature  $\frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}}$  of  $u(t)$  appears in different geometry and physics problems [10–16]. Using coincidence degree theory, Feng [17] and Lu [18] et al. investigated respectively the existence of a positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) without singularity and with a strong singularity of repulsive type, where  $p = 2$ ,  $f(t, u) = f(u)$ , and  $g$  satisfying a semilinear condition.

Inspired by [7, 9, 17, 18], in this paper, we further consider the existence of a positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) by means of an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem due to Ge and Ren [19]. It is worth mentioning that conditions on  $f$ ,  $g$  and the work for estimating *a priori bounds* of positive periodic solutions for equation (1.1) are more complex than in [7, 9, 17, 18]. Firstly, the friction term  $f(u(t))u'(t)$  in [7, 9, 17, 18] satisfies  $\int_0^T f(u(t))u'(t) dt = 0$ , which is crucial to estimating *a priori bounds* of positive periodic solutions for these equations. However, the friction term of this paper,  $f(t, u(t))u'(t)$ , may not satisfy  $\int_0^T f(t, u(t))u'(t) dt = 0$ . Secondly,  $g$  of this paper possesses weak and strong singularities of attractive type (or weak and strong singularities of repulsive type) at the origin. Thirdly,  $g$  of this paper may satisfy sublinearity, semilinearity, or superlinearity conditions at infinity. Therefore, we extend and improve the results in [7, 9, 17, 18].

## 2 Positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) when $p > 1$

In this section, we study the existence of a positive periodic solution to equation (1.1). Since  $(\phi_p(\frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}}))'$  is a nonlinear term, coincidence degree theory does not apply directly. The traditional study method is to translate equation (1.1) into the following two-dimensional system:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = \frac{\phi_q(u_2(t))}{\sqrt{1-\phi_q^2(u_2(t))}}, \\ u_2'(t) = -f(t, u_1(t))u_1'(t) - g(u_1(t)) + e(t), \end{cases}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , for which coincidence degree theory can be applied. However, from the first equation of the above system it is obvious that  $\|u_2\| < 1$ , where  $\|u_2\| := \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |u_2(t)|$ . Therefore, estimating an upper bound of  $u_2(t)$  is very complicated; in order to get around this difficulty, we find other methods to study equation (1.1). We first investigate the following second-order prescribed mean curvature equation:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' = \tilde{f}(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\tilde{f} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function. Applying the extension of Mawhin's continuous theorem due to Ge and Ren [19, Theorem 2.1], we get the following conclusion.

**Lemma 2.1** *Assume  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set in  $C_T^1 := \{u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : u(t+T) \equiv u(t) \text{ and } u'(t+T) \equiv u'(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Suppose the following conditions hold:*

(i) For each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , the equation

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' = \lambda \tilde{f}(t, u(t), u'(t))$$

has no solution on  $\partial\Omega$ .

(ii) The equation

$$F(a) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \tilde{f}(t, a, 0) dt = 0$$

has no solution on  $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$ .

(iii) The Brouwer degree

$$\deg\{F, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} \neq 0.$$

Then equation (2.1) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

*Proof* First, operators  $M$  and  $N_\lambda$  are defined by

$$M : \text{dom } M \cap X \rightarrow Z, \quad (Mu)(t) = \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)', \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$N_\lambda : X \rightarrow Z, \quad (N_\lambda u)(t) = \lambda \tilde{f}(t, u(t), u'(t)).$$

Obviously, equation (2.1) can be converted into

$$Mu = N_\lambda u, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

By [20, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2], we know that  $M$  is a quasilinear operator,  $N_\lambda$  is  $M$ -compact. From assumption (i), one finds

$$Mu \neq N_\lambda u, \lambda \in (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad u \in \partial\Omega,$$

and assumptions (ii) and (iii) imply that  $\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \ker M, \theta\}$  is valid and

$$\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \ker M, \theta\} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, applying the extension of Mawhin's continuous theorem, equation (2.1) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.  $\square$

In the following, applying Lemma 2.1, we prove the existence of a positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) with a singularity of repulsive type.

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that equation (1.2) holds. Furthermore, suppose the following conditions hold:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\inf_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}} |f(t,u)| \geq \gamma > 0$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exist two positive constants  $d_1, d_2$  with  $d_1 < d_2$  such that  $g(u) - e(t) < 0$  for  $(t,u) \in [0,T] \times (0,d_1)$  and  $g(u) - e(t) > 0$  for  $(t,u) \in [0,T] \times (d_2, +\infty)$ .

Then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.

*Proof* We embed equation (1.1) into the following family of equations:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' + \lambda f(t, u(t))u'(t) + \lambda g(u(t)) = \lambda e(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . Firstly, we claim that there exist two points  $\tau, \xi \in (0, T)$  such that

$$u(\tau) \geq d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u(\xi) \leq d_2. \quad (2.3)$$

In fact, since  $\int_0^T u'(t) dt = 0$ , it is easy to verify that there exist two point  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, T)$  such that

$$u'(t_1) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u'(t_2) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t_1)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t_1))^2}} \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t_2)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t_2))^2}} \right) \geq 0.$$

Letting  $\bar{t}, \underline{t} \in (0, T)$  be maximum and minimum points of the prescribed mean curvature term  $\phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right)$ , the above inequalities imply

$$\phi_p \left( \frac{u'(\bar{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\bar{t}))^2}} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(\bar{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\bar{t}))^2}} \right) \right)' = 0; \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\phi_p \left( \frac{u'(\underline{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\underline{t}))^2}} \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(\underline{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\underline{t}))^2}} \right) \right)' = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying equations (2.5) into (2.2), we deduce

$$g(u(\underline{t})) - e(\underline{t}) = -f(\underline{t}, u(\underline{t}))u'(\underline{t}). \quad (2.6)$$

By condition  $(H_1)$ , we know that  $f$  may not change sign (i.e.,  $f(t, u) > 0$  or  $f(t, u) < 0$ , for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ ). Without loss of generality, suppose  $f(t, u) > 0$ , for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Besides, since

$$\phi_p \left( \frac{u'(\underline{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\underline{t}))^2}} \right) = \left| \frac{u'(\underline{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\underline{t}))^2}} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u'(\underline{t})}{\sqrt{1+(u'(\underline{t}))^2}} \leq 0,$$

then it is clear that  $u'(\underline{t}) \leq 0$ . By condition  $(H_2)$  and since  $g(u(\underline{t})) - e(\underline{t}) \leq 0$ , we get that  $u(\underline{t}) \geq d_1$ .

Similarly, by condition  $(H_2)$  and equation (2.4), we obtain that  $u(\bar{t}) \leq d_2$ . Taking  $\tau = \underline{t}$  and  $\xi = \bar{t}$ , (2.3) is proved.

Multiplying both sides of equation (2.2) by  $u'(t)$  and integrating from 0 to  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' u'(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) |u'(t)|^2 dt + \lambda \int_0^T g(u(t)) u'(t) dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^T e(t) u'(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' u'(t) dt \\ &= \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) u'(t) \Big|_0^T - \int_0^T \phi_p \left( \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+(u')^2}} \right) du' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\int_0^T g(u(t)) u'(t) dt = 0$  into (2.7), it is clear that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t, u) |u'(t)|^2 dt \right| = \left| \int_0^T e(t) u'(t) dt \right|.$$

By condition  $(H_1)$  and Hölder inequality, the above equality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt &\leq \left| \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) |u'(t)|^2 dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T |e(t)| |u'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \neq 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , we arrive at

$$\left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\|e\|_2}{\gamma}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\|e\|_2 := (\int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . From equations (2.3) and (2.8), using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq d_2 + \int_0^T |u'(t)| dt \\ &\leq d_2 + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq d_2 + \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{\gamma} := M_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From equation (2.8) and using Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$\|u'\| = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|u'\| dt \leq T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T \|u'\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\|e\|_2}{\gamma} := M_2. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, let  $\tau \in (0, T)$  be as in equation (2.3). Multiplying both sides of equation (2.2) by  $u'(t)$  and integrating over the interval  $[\tau, t]$ , where  $t \in [\tau, T]$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{u(\tau)}^{u(t)} g(u) du &= \lambda \int_{\tau}^t g(u(s)) u'(s) ds \\ &= - \int_{\tau}^t \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(s)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(s))^2}} \right) \right)' u'(s) ds - \lambda \int_{\tau}^t f(s, u(s)) |u'(s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\tau}^t e(s) u'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from equations (2.2), (2.9) and (2.10), applying Hölder inequality, the above equation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left| \int_{u(\tau)}^{u(t)} g(u) du \right| &\leq \int_0^T \left| \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' \right| |u'(t)| dt \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| |u'(t)|^2 dt + \lambda \int_0^T |e(t)| |u'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \lambda M_2 \left( \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| |u'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| dt \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda M_2^2 \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| dt + \lambda M_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\lambda M_2 (M_2 T \|f_{M_1}\| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2) + \lambda M_2 \int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\|f_{M_1}\| := \max_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times (0,M_1]} |f(t,u)|$ .

Next, we consider  $\int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt$ . Integrating equation (2.2) over the interval  $[0, T]$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^T (f(t, u(t)) u'(t) + g(u(t)) - e(t)) dt = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

From equation (2.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt &= \int_{g(u(t)) \geq 0} g(u(t)) dt - \int_{g(u(t)) \leq 0} g(u(t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_{g(u(t)) \geq 0} g^+(u(t)) dt + \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) u'(t) dt - \int_0^T e(t) dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^T g^+(u(t)) dt + \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| |u'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $g^+(u) := \max\{g(u), 0\}$ . Since  $g^+(u(t)) \geq 0$ , from conditions  $(H_2)$  and equation (1.2), we know that there exists a positive constant  $d_2^*$  with  $d_2^* > d_1$  such that  $u(t) \geq d_2^*$ . Therefore, from equations (2.9) and (2.10), equation (2.13) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt &\leq 2T \|g_{M_1}^+\| + \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| |u'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| dt \\ &\leq 2T \|g_{M_1}^+\| + M_2 T \|f_{M_1}\| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\|g_{M_1}^+\| := \max_{d_2^* \leq u \leq M_1} g^+(u)$ . Applying equations (2.14) into (2.11), we have

$$\lambda \left| \int_{u(\tau)}^{u(t)} g(u) du \right| \leq 3\lambda M_2 (M_2 T \|f_{M_1}\| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2) + 2\lambda M_2 T \|g_{M_1}^+\|.$$

According to equation (1.2), we see that there exists a positive constant  $M'_3$  such that

$$u(t) \geq M'_3, \quad \text{for } t \in [\tau, T]. \quad (2.15)$$

If  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , we can handle this case similarly.

From equations (2.9), (2.10), and (2.15), we obtain that a periodic solution  $u$  of equation (2.2) satisfies

$$M_3 < u(t) < M_1, \quad \|u'\| < M_2,$$

where  $M_3 := \min\{d_1, M'_3\}$ . Then condition (1) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. For a possible solution  $C$  to equation

$$g(C) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e(t) dt = 0,$$

we have  $C \in [M_3, M_1]$ . Therefore, condition (2) of Lemma 2.1 holds. Finally, by condition  $(H_2)$ , we arrive at

$$g(M_3) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e(t) dt < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(M_1) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e(t) dt > 0.$$

So condition (3) of Lemma 2.1 is also satisfied. By Theorem 2.1, equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.  $\square$

In equation (1.2), the nonlinear term  $g$  requires a strong singularity of repulsive type (i.e.,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 g(v) dv = +\infty$ ). It is clear that the method of Theorem 2.1 is no longer applicable to estimate a lower bound on a periodic solution  $u(t)$  of equation (1.1) in the case of a weak singularity of repulsive type (i.e.,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 g(v) dv < +\infty$ ). Therefore, we need to find another method to consider equation (1.1) in the case of a weak singularity of repulsive type.

**Theorem 2.2** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. If  $\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\nu} < d_1$ , here  $d_1$  is defined in Theorem 2.1, then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.*

*Proof* We follow the same strategy and notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Next, we consider the lower bound on a periodic solution  $u(t)$  of equation (1.1). From equations (2.3) and (2.8), applying Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (u(t) + u(t - T)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( u(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^t u'(s) ds + u(\tau) - \int_{t-T}^{\tau} u'(s) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq u(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\tau}^t u'(s) ds - \int_{t-T}^{\tau} u'(s) ds \right| \\
&\geq u(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\tau}^t |u'(s)| ds + \int_{t-T}^{\tau} |u'(s)| ds \right) \\
&= u(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-T}^t |u'(s)| ds \\
&\geq d_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |u'(s)| ds \\
&\geq d_1 - \frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u'(s)| ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq d_1 - \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\gamma_2} := M_3 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\gamma} < d_1$ . The remaining part of the proof is the same as that of Theorems 2.1.  $\square$

Comparing Theorems 2.1 to 2.2, Theorem 2.2 is applicable to weak as well as strong singularities, whereas Theorem 2.1 is only applicable to a strong singularity. Besides, equation (1.2) is relatively weaker than condition  $\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\gamma} < d_1$ . On the other hand, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 require that  $g$  possesses a singularity of repulsive type (i.e.,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g(u) = -\infty$ ). In the following, we consider that  $g$  possesses a singularity of attractive type (i.e.,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g(u) = +\infty$ ). It is obvious that the attractivity condition and equation (1.2) with  $(H_2)$  contradict each other. Therefore, we have to find other conditions to consider equation (1.1) with a singularity of attractive type.

**Theorem 2.3** Assume that  $(H_1)$  holds. Furthermore, suppose the following conditions hold:

- $(H_3)$  There exist two positive constants  $d_3, d_4$  with  $d_3 < d_4$  such that  $g(u) - e(t) > 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (0, d_3)$  and  $g(u) - e(t) < 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (d_4, +\infty)$ .  
 $(H_4)$  (Strong singularity of attractive type)

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g(u) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 g(v) dv = -\infty.$$

Then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.

*Proof* We follow the same strategy and notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Next, we consider  $\int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt$ . From equations (2.12) and (2.13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt &= \int_{g(u(t)) \geq 0} g(u(t)) dt - \int_{g(u(t)) \leq 0} g(u(t)) dt \\
&= -2 \int_{g(u(t)) \leq 0} g^-(u(t)) dt - \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) u'(t) dt + \int_0^T e(t) dt \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^T |g^-(u(t))| dt + \int_0^T |f(t, u(t))| |u'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| dt, \quad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $g^-(u) := \min\{g(u), 0\}$ . Since  $g^-(u(t)) \leq 0$ , from conditions  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ , we know that there exists a positive constant  $d_4^*$  with  $d_4^* > d_3$  such that  $u(t) \geq d_4^*$ . Therefore, from



equations (2.9) and (2.10), equation (2.16) implies

$$\int_0^T |g(u(t))| dt \leq 2T \|g_{M_1}^-\| + M_2 T \|f_{M_1}\| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2,$$

where  $\|g_{M_1}^-\| := \max_{d_4^* \leq u \leq M_1} |g^-(u)|$ . The remaining part of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain the following conclusion.

**Theorem 2.4** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. If  $\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\gamma} < d_3$ , then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.

Finally, we illustrate our results with two numerical examples.

**Example 2.1** Consider the following prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation with a strong singularity of repulsive type

$$\left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right)' + ((\sin t + 3)u^4(t) + 1)u'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(t) = \frac{6}{u^\mu(t)} + e^{\cos t}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $\mu$  is a positive constant and  $\mu \geq 1$ ,  $n$  is a positive integer.

It is clear that  $T = 2\pi$ ,  $f(t, u) = (\sin t + 3)u^4 + 1$ ,  $g(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i - \frac{6}{u^\mu}$ ,  $e(t) = e^{\cos t}$ . We know that  $|f(t, u)| = (\sin t + 3)u^4 + 1 \geq 1$ . Take  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $d_1 = 0.01$ ,  $d_2 = 3$ . Then conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. Since  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 g(v) dv = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_u^1 (\sum_{i=1}^n v^i - \frac{6}{v^\mu}) dv = +\infty$ , equation (1.2) is satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, equation (2.17) has at least one positive  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

**Example 2.2** Consider the following prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation with a weak singularity of attractive type:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' - ((\cos 2t + 5)u^6(t) + 100)u'(t) - u^5(t) + \frac{4}{u^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} = \sin 2t, \quad (2.18)$$

where  $p > 1$ .

It is obvious that  $T = \pi$ ,  $f(t, u) = -(\cos 2t + 5)u^6 - 100$ ,  $g(u) = -u^5 + \frac{4}{u^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ ,  $e(t) = \sin 2t$ . Taking  $\gamma = 100$ ,  $d_3 = 0.09$ ,  $d_4 = 4$ , conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_3)$  are satisfied. Furthermore, we consider

$$\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_2}{2\gamma} = \frac{\pi}{200} < 0.09.$$

Hence, applying Theorem 2.4, equation (2.18) has at least one positive  $\pi$ -periodic solution.

### 3 Positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) when $p > 1$ and $p \neq 2$

In the following, by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we prove the existence of a positive periodic solution for equation (1.1) with a singularity of repulsive type.

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $p \neq 2$  hold. Then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.

*Proof* Let  $t^*, t_* \in (0, T)$  be the maximum and minimum points of  $u(t)$ , and  $u'(t^*) = u'(t_*) = 0$ . Besides, we claim that there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$u'(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in (t^* - \varepsilon, t^* + \varepsilon). \quad (3.1)$$

Assume, by way of contradiction, that inequality (3.1) does not hold. Then  $u'(t) < 0$  for  $t \in (t^* - \varepsilon, t^* + \varepsilon)$ . Therefore,  $u(t)$  is strictly decreasing for  $t \in (t^* - \varepsilon, t^* + \varepsilon)$ , this contradicts the definition of  $t^*$ . Hence, equation (3.1) is true. Since

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' = \left( \left| \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right|^{p-2} \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)'. \quad (3.2)$$

Applying equations (3.1) into (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' &= \left( \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right)^{p-1} \right)' \\ &= (p-1) \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right)^{p-2} \left( \frac{2u''(t) + u''(t)(u'(t))^2}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for  $t \in (t^* - \varepsilon, t^* + \varepsilon)$ . From equation (3.3) and  $p \neq 2$ , we obtain

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t^*)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t^*))^2}} \right) \right)' = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

From equations (2.2) and (3.4), we have

$$g(t^*, u(t^*)) - e(t^*) = 0.$$

By condition  $(H_2)$ , we get

$$d_1 \leq u(t^*) \leq d_2. \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, by condition  $(H_2)$ , we obtain

$$d_1 \leq u(t_*) \leq d_2. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, from equations (3.5) and (3.6), we see that

$$d_1 \leq u(t) \leq d_2, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.7)$$

By Theorem 2.1, we get that there exist a positive constant  $M_2^*$  such that

$$\|u'\| \leq M_2^*.$$

The remaining part of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Comparing Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, Theorem 3.1 is applicable to weak and strong singularities. Theorem 2.1 is only applicable to a strong singularity. However, Theorem 3.1 does not cover the case of  $p = 2$ , while Theorem 2.1 covers the case of  $p = 2$ . Therefore, Theorem 2.1 can be more general. Besides, Theorem 3.1 requires that  $g$  possesses a singularity of repulsive type. In the following, we consider that  $g$  possesses a singularity of attractive type. It is obvious that attractivity condition and  $(H_2)$  contradict each other. By Theorems 2.3 and 3.1, we obtain the following conclusion.

**Theorem 3.2** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_3)$  and  $p \neq 2$  hold. Then equation (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.*

It is worth mentioning that the method of Theorem 3.1 is also applicable to the case where  $g$  is nonautonomous, i.e.,  $g(u(t)) = g(t, u(t))$ . Then equation (1.1) is rewritten as the following form:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' + f(t, u(t))u'(t) + g(t, u(t)) = e(t). \quad (3.8)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following conclusion.

**Theorem 3.3** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $p \neq 2$  hold. Furthermore, suppose the following condition holds:*

$(H_5)$  *There exist two positive constants  $d_5, d_6$  with  $d_5 < d_6$  such that  $g(t, u) - e(t) < 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (0, d_5)$  and  $g(t, u) - e(t) > 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (d_6, +\infty)$ .*

*Then equation (3.8) has at least one positive periodic solution.*

*Proof* Consider the following equation:

$$\left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' + \lambda f(t, u(t))u'(t) + \lambda g(t, u(t)) = \lambda e(t), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . From equation (3.7) and  $(H_5)$ , we get

$$d_1 \leq u(t) \leq d_2, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.10)$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (3.9) by  $u'(t)$  and integrating from 0 to  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' u'(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t))u'(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T g(t, u(t))u'(t) dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^T e(t)u'(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting  $\int_0^T \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' u'(t) dt = 0$  into equation (3.11), it is clear that

$$\left| \int_0^T f(t, u(t))u'(t) dt \right| = \left| - \int_0^T g(t, u(t))u'(t) dt + \int_0^T e(t)u'(t) dt \right|.$$

By condition  $(H_1)$  and equation (3.10), the above equation imply

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt &\leq \left| \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) u'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T |g(t, u(t))| |u'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| |u'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \|g_1\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\|g_1\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|e\|_2) \left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|g_1\| := \max_{d_1 \leq u(t) \leq d_2} |g(t, u)|$ . Since  $\int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \neq 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , we arrive at

$$\left( \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\|g_1\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|e\|_2}{\gamma} := M_2'', \quad (3.12)$$

From equation (3.12), using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\|u'\| = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|u'\| dt \leq T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T \|u'\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_2'' := M_2^{**}. \quad (3.13)$$

The remaining part of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Theorem 3.3 requires that  $g$  of equation (3.8) possesses a singularity of repulsive type. In the following, by Theorems 2.3 and 3.3, we discuss equation (3.8) with a singularity of attractive type.

**Theorem 3.4** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $p \neq 2$  hold. Furthermore, suppose the following condition holds:

$(H_6)$  There exist two positive constants  $d_7, d_8$  with  $d_7 < d_8$  such that  $g(t, u) - e(t) > 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (0, d_7)$  and  $g(t, u) - e(t) < 0$  for  $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times (d_8, +\infty)$ .

Then equation (3.8) has at least one positive periodic solution.

Finally, we illustrate our results with one numerical example.

**Example 3.1** Consider the following prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation with a weak singularity of repulsive type

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \phi_p \left( \frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (u'(t))^2}} \right) \right)' + ((\sin^2 t) u^8(t) + 1) u'(t) + (\cos^2 t + 2) u^3(t) - \frac{\sin^2 t + 1}{u^{\frac{1}{5}}(t)} \\ = e^{\cos 2t}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $p = 5$ .

It is clear that  $T = \pi$ ,  $f(t, u) = (\sin^2 t) u^8(t) + 1$ ,  $g(t, u) = (\cos^2 t + 2) u^3(t) - \frac{\sin^2 t + 1}{u^{\frac{1}{5}}(t)}$ ,  $e(t) = e^{\cos 2t}$ . Take  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $d_5 = 0.01$ ,  $d_6 = 3$ . Then conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_5)$  hold. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, equation (3.14) has at least one positive  $\pi$ -periodic solution.

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, applying an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem, we first investigate the existence of a periodic solution for equation (1.1) in the case that  $p > 1$ , where  $g$  possesses weak and strong singularities of attractive type, or weak and strong singularities of repulsive type, and  $g$  may satisfy sublinearity, semilinearity, or superlinearity conditions at infinity. After that, we consider the existence of a periodic solution for equation (1.1) when  $p > 1$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Note that the conditions which  $f, g$  satisfy and the work of estimating *a priori bounds* of positive periodic solutions for equation (1.1) are more complex than in [7, 9, 17, 18]. Firstly, the friction term  $f(u(t))u'(t)$  in [7, 9, 17, 18] satisfies  $\int_0^T f(u(t))u'(t) dt = 0$ , which is crucial to estimate *a priori bounds* of positive periodic solutions for these equations. However, the friction term of this paper,  $f(t, u(t))u'(t)$ , may not satisfy  $\int_0^T f(t, u(t))u'(t) dt = 0$ . Secondly,  $g$  of this paper possesses weak and strong singularities of attractive type (or weak and strong singularities of repulsive type) at the origin. Thirdly,  $g$  of this paper may satisfy sublinearity, semilinearity, or superlinearity conditions at infinity. Therefore, we extend and improve the results in [7, 9, 17, 18].

## Acknowledgements

YX and ZBC are grateful to anonymous referees for their constructive comments and suggestions which have greatly improved this paper.

## Funding

This work was supported by Young backbone teachers of colleges and universities in Henan Province (2017GGJS057).

## Abbreviations

Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

YX and ZBC contributed to each part of this study equally and declare that they have no competing interests.

## Competing interests

YX and ZBC declare that they have no competing interests.

## Consent for publication

YX and ZBC read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Authors' contributions

YX and ZBC contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Computer Science and Technology, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, China.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 March 2020 Accepted: 30 April 2020 Published online: 12 May 2020

## References

1. Cheng, Z., Cui, X., Bi, Z.: Attractive singularity problems for superlinear Liénard equation. *Positivity* **23**, 431–444 (2019)
2. Cheng, Z., Yuan, Q.: Damped superlinear Duffing equation with strong singularity of repulsive type. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **22**, Article ID 37 (2020)
3. Gasinski, L., Papageorgiou, N.: Multivalued periodic Liénard systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **477**, 196–221 (2019)
4. Wang, Z.: Periodic solutions of Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **16**, 227–234 (2014)
5. Xin, Y., Liu, H.: Singularities of attractive and repulsive type for  $p$ -Laplacian generalized Liénard equation. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, Article ID 471 (2018)
6. Xin, Y., Liu, H.: Existence of periodic solution for fourth-order generalized neutral  $p$ -Laplacian differential equation with attractive and repulsive singularities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2018**, Article ID 259 (2018)

7. Yu, X., Lu, S.: A multiplicity result for periodic solutions of Liénard equations with an attractive singularity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **346**, 183–192 (2019)
8. Zamora, M.: On a periodically forced Liénard differential equation with singular  $\phi$ -Laplacian. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum.* **57**, 327–336 (2014)
9. Zhang, M.: Periodic solutions of Liénard equation singular forces of repulsive type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **203**, 254–269 (1996)
10. Bonheure, D., Habets, P., Obersnel, F., Omari, P.: Classical and non-classical solutions of a prescribed curvature equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **243**, 208–237 (2007)
11. Cheng, Z., Li, F.: Positive periodic solutions for a kind of second-order neutral differential equations with variable coefficient and delay. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **15**, Article ID 134 (2018)
12. Mawhin, J., Torres, P.: Prescribed mean curvature graphs with Neumann boundary conditions in some FLRW spacetimes. *J. Differ. Equ.* **261**, 7145–7156 (2016)
13. Li, F., Bi, Z., Yao, S., Xin, Y.: Linear difference operator with multiple variable parameters and applications to second-order differential equations. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2020**, Article ID 8 (2020)
14. Lv, L., Cheng, Z.: Positive periodic solution to superlinear neutral differential equation with time-dependent parameter. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **98**, 271–277 (2019)
15. Yao, S., Ma, Z., Cheng, Z.: Pattern formation of a diffusive predator–prey model with strong Allee effect and nonconstant death rate. *Physica A* **527**, Article ID 121350 (2019)
16. Yuan, L., Lou, B.: Entire solutions of a mean curvature flow connecting two periodic traveling waves. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **87**, 73–79 (2019)
17. Feng, M.: Periodic solutions for prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation with a deviating argument. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **13**, 1216–1223 (2012)
18. Lu, S., Kong, F.: Periodic solutions for a kind of prescribed mean curvature Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, Article ID 151 (2015)
19. Ge, W., Ren, J.: An extension of Mathin's continuation and its application to boundary value problems with a  $p$ -Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.* **58**, 447–488 (2004)
20. Du, B., Ge, W.: New approach for the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions to  $p$ -Laplacian prescribed mean curvature equations. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2016**, Article ID 186 (2016)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)